

# De Rham's Singular Function and Related Functions

L. Berg and M. Krüppel

**Abstract.** For de Rham's singular function we derive new properties, in particular some formulas which express its self-similarity. Inversions and compositions of de Rham's function are considered as well as generalizations of de Rham's functional equations which have a connection to the  $(3n + 1)$ -iteration of Collatz.

**Keywords:** *De Rham's singular function, inverse singular functions, compositions of such functions, functional equations, Collatz problem*

**AMS subject classification:** 39 B 22, 39 B 62, 26 A 30, 26 A 48

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that for a fixed  $a \in (0, 1)$  the system of functional equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) &= a \varphi(t) \\ \varphi\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) &= a + (1-a) \varphi(t) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (t \in [0, 1]) \quad (1.1)$$

has a unique bounded solution. This solution  $\varphi$  is continuous, strictly increasing with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and it has the representation

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_j}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_j} q^j \quad (1.2)$$

where  $q = \frac{1-a}{a}$ ,  $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots$ , in particular  $\varphi(\frac{1}{2}) = a$  and  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . In the case of need it will be denoted more precisely by  $\varphi_a$ . The case  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  is elementary, namely  $\varphi(t) = t$ . However, in the case of  $a \neq \frac{1}{2}$  the solution  $\varphi$  has the interesting property that it is a strictly singular function, i.e. a continuous and strictly increasing function with derivative zero almost everywhere. This solution  $\varphi$  was first constructed by de Rham [9], so that it is called de Rham's function (cf. [3], where a detailed history of the whole context can be found). Formula (1.2) defines a continuous solution of system (1.1) also in the case of complex  $a$  with  $|a| < 1$  and  $|1-a| < 1$ .

---

Both authors: FB Math. der Univ., Universitätspl. 1, D-18051 Rostock

In the following we derive some new properties for de Rham’s singular function  $\varphi$  and for some similar functions which are solutions of generalized de Rham’s functional equations. We consider the self-similarity of de Rham’s function, show that the inverse of a singular function is also singular, and deal with compositions of the studied functions. Finally, a connection to the  $(3n + 1)$ -iteration of Collatz is pointed out.

## 2. De Rham’s singular function

If for  $t \in [0, 1]$  we introduce the dyadic representation  $t = 0.d_1d_2 \cdots$  with  $d_j \in \{0, 1\}$ , then according to de Rham [8], the formula  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and representation (1.2) can be gathered up as

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{j+1} d_{j+1} q^{d_1+\dots+d_j} \tag{2.1}$$

since we have  $d_{j+1} = 1$  for  $j + 1 = \gamma_i$  and  $d_{j+1} = 0$  else, so that  $d_1 + \dots + d_j = i$ . The series in (2.1) appears also in [6], however, in another context. For  $t = \frac{k}{2^\ell}$  sum (2.1) terminates:

$$\varphi\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} a^{j+1} d_{j+1} q^{d_1+\dots+d_j} . \tag{2.2}$$

For non-negative integers  $k$  with the dyadic representation  $k = \delta_1 \delta_2 \cdots \delta_n$  ( $\delta_j \in \{0, 1\}$ ) we need the binary sum-of-digits function (cf. [1])

$$\nu(k) = \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_n \tag{2.3}$$

which satisfies the equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \nu(2k) &= \nu(k) \\ \nu(2k + 1) &= \nu(k) + 1 \end{aligned} \right\} . \tag{2.4}$$

Next, we shall show that the terms

$$x_n(t) = d_{n+1} q^{d_1+\dots+d_n} \tag{2.5}$$

appearing in (2.2) are step functions with special properties, so that (2.1) is a representation of  $\varphi$  by a series of step functions.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For  $t \in [0, 1)$  functions (2.5) satisfy the recursions*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_0(t) &= 0, & x_{n+1}(t) &= x_n(2t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2} \\ x_0(t) &= 1, & x_{n+1}(t) &= qx_n(2t - 1) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1 \end{aligned} \right\} , \tag{2.6}$$

and if we extend  $x_0(t)$  for  $t \geq 1$  by

$$x_0(t) = q^{\nu(k)} x_0(t - k) \tag{2.7}$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k = [t]$ , then

$$x_n(t) = x_0(2^n t) \tag{2.8}$$

for  $0 \leq t < 1$ .

**Proof.** For the numbers  $d_j$  from the dyadic representation  $t = 0.d_1d_2\dots$  let us write  $d_j = d_j(t)$ . In the case of  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$  we have  $d_1 = 0$  and  $d_j(2t) = d_{j+1}(t)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the case of  $\frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1$  we have  $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_j(2t - 1) = d_{j+1}(t)$ . Hence, (2.5) immediately implies (2.6). Solving (2.6) recursively, we find  $x_n(t) = q^{\nu(k)}x_0(2^n t - k)$  for  $k \leq 2^n t \leq k + 1 \leq 2^n$  and according to (2.7) finally (2.8) ■

**Proposition 2.2.** *The solution  $\varphi$  of system (1.1) satisfies the functional equations*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{k + \tau}{2^\ell}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) + a^\ell q^{\nu(k)}\varphi(\tau) \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , and for  $t = \frac{k}{2^\ell}$  with  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell$  it has the finite representation

$$\varphi\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) = a^\ell \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^{\nu(j)} . \tag{2.10}$$

**Proof.** In view of (2.3) representation (2.1) can be written as

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} a^{j+1} d_{j+1} q^{d_1+\dots+d_j} + a^\ell q^{\nu(k)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{j+1} d_{\ell+j+1} q^{d_{\ell+1}+\dots+d_{\ell+j}}$$

with  $k = [2^\ell t]$ . Substituting  $t = \frac{k+\tau}{2^\ell}$  with  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , the first sum on the right-hand side is equal to  $\varphi(\frac{k}{2^\ell})$  in view of (2.2). Since  $\tau = 2^\ell t - k$  has the dyadic representation  $\tau = 0.d_{\ell+1}d_{\ell+2}\dots$ , the last series is equal to  $\varphi(\tau)$ , so that (2.9) is proved. Now, in view of  $\varphi(1) = 1$ , representation (2.10) follows from (2.9) with  $\tau = 1$  by summation ■

Note that equations (2.2) and (2.10) are quite different in their external shape. Equation (2.9) has the following counterpart with respect to the left of  $\frac{k}{2^\ell}$ :

$$\varphi_a\left(\frac{k - \tau}{2^\ell}\right) = \varphi_a\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) - a^\ell q^{\nu(k-1)}\varphi_{1-a}(\tau) \tag{2.11}$$

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^\ell$  and  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , which can easily be derived from (2.9) by means of the later formula (2.12). Equations (2.9) and (2.11) express very distinctly the self-similarity of de Rham's function (with respect to the dyadic points), which is well known in the theory of fractals (cf. [5]).

**Proposition 2.3.** *The solution  $\varphi_a$  from system (1.1) with  $0 < t < 1$  and  $0 < a < 1$  is also strictly increasing with respect to  $a$ . It has the property*

$$\varphi_{1-a}(t) = 1 - \varphi_a(1 - t) . \tag{2.12}$$

The family of all curves  $y = \varphi_a(t)$  with  $0 < a < 1$  fills out the whole open square  $0 < t, y < 1$ .

**Proof.** If  $h$  is a differentiable strictly increasing function of  $a$  with  $0 < h < 1$  for  $0 < a < 1$ , then the function  $a \mapsto a + (1 - a)h(a)$  is strictly increasing. Since  $\varphi_a(\frac{1}{2}) = a$  is a strictly increasing polynomial, the specialization of system (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_a\left(\frac{k}{2^{\ell+1}}\right) &= a \varphi_a\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) \\ \varphi_a\left(\frac{2^\ell + k}{2^{\ell+1}}\right) &= a + (1 - a) \varphi_a\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with  $0 < k < 2^\ell$  shows by induction that all functions  $\varphi_a(\frac{k}{2^t})$  are also strictly increasing polynomials in  $a$ . Hence, at arbitrarily fixed  $t \in (0, 1)$ , the function  $a \mapsto \varphi_a$  is at least (improper) increasing, and we have to exclude intervals of constancy. In order to do this we show that, for  $|a| < 1$  and  $|1 - a| < 1$ , the function  $\varphi_a$  is holomorphic. Namely, choosing  $|a| < 1$  and  $|1 - a| \leq 1 - \varepsilon < 1$  in representation (1.2) with  $t = \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{-\gamma_j}$  we obtain the estimate

$$|\varphi_a(t)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |a|^{\gamma_j - j} |1 - a|^j \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty (1 - \varepsilon)^j = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

in view of  $j < \gamma_j$ . This implies that series (1.2) of polynomials is uniformly convergent in every compact subset of the domain  $\{a : (|a| < 1) \cap (|1 - a| < 1)\}$ . Consequently, in this domain  $\varphi_a$  is holomorphic. If it would be constant in a certain real interval, then it would be constant everywhere. But this is impossible since in view of  $j < \gamma_j$  representation (1.2) implies  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \varphi_a(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \varphi_a(t) = 1$  for  $0 < t < 1$ . Moreover, the both last relations imply in connection with the continuity that the curves fill out the whole open unit square.

If in system (1.1) we replace the constant  $a$  by  $1 - a$  and  $t$  by  $1 - t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1-a}\left(\frac{1-t}{2}\right) &= (1-a) \varphi_{1-a}(1-t) \\ \varphi_{1-a}\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right) &= 1 - a + a \varphi_{1-a}(1-t), \end{aligned}$$

and if we further replace  $\varphi_{1-a}(1-t) = 1 - \varphi(t)$ , we again obtain system (1.1), only with interchanged equations. Since in the space of continuous functions system (1.1) is uniquely solvable, the proposition is proved ■

Figure 1: The graphs of de Rham's function for  $a = 0.1(0.1)0.9$

Proposition 2.3 is illustrated by means of Figure 1, which shows de Rham's function for different parameters  $a$  (cf. also [6]).

Let us mention a connection to a functional equation, which was studied by Klemmt [4], and which gives us a new possibility to prove that  $\varphi$  is a singular function in the case of  $a \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . The equations in system (1.1) easily imply for  $0 < t < 1$

$$\varphi'\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \varphi'\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) = 2\varphi'(t)$$

almost everywhere. According to [4],  $\varphi'$  must be constant almost everywhere:  $\varphi'(t) = c$  with  $c \geq 0$ . Hence  $ct \leq \varphi(t)$ , and in view of  $\varphi(\frac{1}{2^n}) = a^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain  $0 \leq c \leq (2a)^n$  and therefore  $c = 0$  in the case of  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ . The case  $\frac{1}{2} < a < 1$  can be transferred to the foregoing one by means of (2.12).

### 3. Related functions

Since de Rham's function  $\varphi$  is continuous and strictly increasing in  $t$ , its inverse  $\varphi^{-1}$  exist and we can deal with it.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $f$  is a strictly singular function, then the inverse  $g = f^{-1}$  is also strictly singular.*

**Proof.** Since  $g$  is strictly increasing,  $g$  is differentiable almost everywhere with  $g'(\tau) \geq 0$ . For arbitrary  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  let  $E_{\alpha,\beta}$  be the set of all  $\tau$  such that  $g'(\tau)$  exists and  $\alpha \leq g'(\tau) \leq \beta$ . Denote by  $|E_{\alpha,\beta}|$  the Lebesgue measure of the measurable set  $E_{\alpha,\beta}$ . According to  $f'(g(\tau)) = \frac{1}{g'(\tau)}$  we have  $f'(t) \geq \frac{1}{\beta}$  for all  $t \in g(E_{\alpha,\beta})$ , which implies that  $|g(E_{\alpha,\beta})| = 0$  since  $f$  is singular. In view of  $g'(\tau) \geq \alpha$  for  $\tau \in E_{\alpha,\beta}$  we have the estimate  $\alpha|E_{\alpha,\beta}| \leq |g(E_{\alpha,\beta})|$  (cf. [7: p. 234]). Consequently,  $|E_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0$  for  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ . Since the set  $E$  of all  $\tau$  with  $g'(\tau) > 0$  is representable as countable union of such sets, we obtain  $|E| = 0$ . Hence  $g' = 0$  almost everywhere ■

There is another possibility to prove Proposition 3.1 by means of measure theory. Namely, if  $f$  is an increasing singular function, then it generates a Stieltjes measure which is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure. If, moreover,  $x = f(t)$  is continuous and strictly increasing, then the inverse function  $t = f^{-1}(x)$  generates automatically also a measure singular to the Lebesgue measure, i.e.  $f^{-1}$  is also a singular function.

In particular, for fixed  $a \neq \frac{1}{2}$  the inverse  $\varphi^{-1}$  of de Rham's function is also strictly singular with respect to  $t$ . System (1.1) implies that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1}(at) &= \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}(t) \\ \varphi^{-1}(a + (1 - a)t) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}(t) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \tag{3.1}$$

(cf. [2]). Moreover, from (2.12) we obtain that

$$\varphi_{1-a}^{-1}(t) = 1 - \varphi_a^{-1}(1 - t) \tag{3.2}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Systems (1.1) and (3.1) can be generalized by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi(ct) &= a\varphi(t) \\ \varphi(c + (1 - c)t) &= a + (1 - a)\varphi(t) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (t \in [0, 1]) \quad (3.3)$$

with fixed  $0 < a, c < 1$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following assertions are valid:*

(i) *The composition  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_a(\varphi_c^{-1}(t))$  is the unique bounded solution of the functional equations (3.3).*

(ii) *This solution is continuous, strictly increasing and maps  $[0, 1]$  onto  $[0, 1]$ .*

**Proof.** It can easily be checked that the composition  $\varphi_a\varphi_c^{-1}$  satisfies equations (3.3):

$$\varphi_a(\varphi_c^{-1}(ct)) = \varphi_a\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_c^{-1}(t)\right) = a\varphi_a(\varphi_c^{-1}(t))$$

and

$$\varphi_a(\varphi_c^{-1}(c + (1 - c)t)) = \varphi_a\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_c^{-1}(t)\right) = a + (1 - a)\varphi_a(\varphi_c^{-1}(t)).$$

Moreover,  $\varphi = \varphi_a\varphi_c^{-1}$  has in fact properties (ii).

Now, let  $\varphi$  be a further solution of equations (3.3). For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  we put  $d(t) = |\varphi(t) - \varphi_a(\varphi_c^{-1}(t))|$ . Assume that there exists a point  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  with  $d(t_0) > 0$ . If  $t_0 \leq c$ , then for  $t_1 = \frac{1}{c}t_0$  we have  $t_1 \in [0, 1]$  and the first equation of (3.3) implies that  $d(t_1) = \frac{1}{a}d(t_0)$ . In the case of  $c < t_0 \leq 1$  the point  $t_1 = \frac{t_0 - c}{1 - c}$  lies in  $[0, 1]$  and from the second equation of (3.3) we obtain that  $d(t_1) = \frac{1}{1 - a}d(t_0)$ . Putting  $m = \min\{\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{1 - a}\}$  and

$$t_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c}t_n & \text{for } 0 \leq t_n \leq c \\ \frac{t_n - c}{1 - c} & \text{for } c < t_n \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get  $d(t_n) \geq m^n d(t_0)$ . However, in view of  $m > 1$  this is a contradiction to the boundedness of  $\varphi$  ■

**Proposition 3.3.** *The solution  $\varphi = \varphi_a\varphi_c^{-1}$  of system (3.3) has the representation*

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c^{\gamma_j} q_c^j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_j} q_a^j \quad (3.4)$$

where  $q_a = \frac{1 - a}{a}$ ,  $q_c = \frac{1 - c}{c}$ ,  $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots$ . Moreover, for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1$  we have

$$\varphi\left(\varphi_c\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) + c^\ell q_c^{\nu(k)}\varphi_c(\tau)\right) = \varphi_a\left(\frac{k}{2^\ell}\right) + a^\ell q_a^{\nu(k)}\varphi_a(\tau) \quad (3.5)$$

for  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ . In the case of  $a \neq c$  the solution  $\varphi$  of system (3.3) is strictly singular and its derivative is 0 whenever it exists.

**Proof.** Representation (3.4) follows from (1.2) in view of  $\varphi(\varphi_c(t)) = \varphi_a(t)$  with  $t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_j}$ . From  $\varphi(\varphi_c(t)) = \varphi_a(t)$  with  $t = \frac{k+\tau}{2^n}$  we also get (3.5) by twofold use of (2.9), but once with  $c$  instead of  $a$ .

Let be  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi'(x)$  exists. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose integers  $k_n$  with  $0 \leq k_n \leq 2^n - 1$  and  $x_n = \varphi_c(\frac{k_n}{2^n})$ ,  $y_n = \varphi_c(\frac{k_n+1}{2^n})$  so that  $x_n \leq x \leq y_n$ . From (2.9) and (3.5) with  $\tau = 0$  respectively  $\tau = 1$  we obtain

$$D_n = \frac{\varphi(y_n) - \varphi(x_n)}{y_n - x_n} = \frac{a^n q_a^{\nu(k_n)}}{c^n q_c^{\nu(k_n)}} \rightarrow \varphi'(x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

owing to  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Now, putting  $z_n = x_n + c(y_n - x_n)$ , there are two possibilities, either  $x_n \leq x \leq z_n$  or  $z_n < x \leq y_n$ . From (2.9) and (3.5) with  $\tau = 0$ ,  $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$  respectively  $\tau = 1$  we get in view of  $\varphi(c) = a$  that

$$\frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(x_n)}{z_n - x_n} = \frac{a}{c} D_n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi(y_n) - \varphi(z_n)}{y_n - z_n} = \frac{1-a}{1-c} D_n .$$

At least one of both possibilities mentioned before occurs infinitely many times. Consequently,  $\varphi'(x) = \frac{a}{c} \varphi'(x)$  or  $\varphi'(x) = \frac{1-a}{1-c} \varphi'(x)$ . Hence  $\varphi'(x) = 0$  in view of  $a \neq c$  ■

Denoting the solution of system (3.3) by  $\varphi_{a,c}$ , we easily see the validity of the relations

1.  $\varphi_{a,b}(\varphi_{b,c}(t)) = \varphi_{a,c}(t)$
2.  $\varphi_{a,c}^{-1}(t) = \varphi_{c,a}(t)$
3.  $\varphi_{a,c}(1-t) = 1 - \varphi_{1-a,1-c}(t)$

for arbitrary  $0 < a, b, c < 1$ .

Next, we consider the generalization of system (1.1)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) &= a g(t) & (0 \leq t \leq 1) \\ g\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) &= a + c g(t) & (0 < t \leq 1) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

with  $|a| < 1$  and  $|c| < 1$ . A bounded solution of system (3.6) must have the particular values  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(1) = \frac{a}{1-c}$  and  $g(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{a^2}{1-c}$ , where also  $g(+0) = 0$ . However, in the case of  $a + c \neq 1$  it cannot be right-continuous in all points, since  $g(\frac{1}{2} + 0) = a \neq g(\frac{1}{2})$ . However, system (3.6) possesses the left-continuous solution

$$g\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_j}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_j} q^j \quad (3.7)$$

with  $1 \leq \gamma_j < \gamma_{j+1}$  and  $q = \frac{c}{a}$  (cf. (1.2)). On the other side, for

$$t_n = \sum_{j=0}^n 2^{-\gamma_j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{-\gamma_j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_n - j - 1} \quad (3.8)$$

with  $n \geq 0$  we have

$$g(t_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{\gamma_j} q^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_n+j+1} q^{n+j} \quad \text{and} \quad g(t_n + 0) = \sum_{j=0}^n a^{\gamma_j} q^j ,$$

and in view of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_n+j+1} q^{n+j} = \frac{a^{\gamma_n+1} q^n}{1 - aq} = \frac{a^{\gamma_n+1} q^n}{1 - c} \tag{3.9}$$

consequently

$$g(t_n + 0) - g(t_n) = \frac{a^{\gamma_n} q^n}{1 - c} (1 - a - c) . \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, the solution  $g$  is discontinuous at all dyadic points, so far as  $a \neq 1 - c$ . However, it is bounded and Lebesgue integrable as limit of uniformly converging step functions. According to (3.10) it is not increasing for  $a + c > 1$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *In the case of  $0 < a, c$  and  $a + c < 1$  the solution  $g$  of system (3.6) is strictly increasing and continuous except in the dyadic points  $t = t_n$  from (3.8) where*

$$g(t_n - 0) = g(t_n) < g(t_n + 0) \tag{3.11}$$

with jumps (3.10). Moreover,  $g' = 0$  almost everywhere.

**Proof.** Assuming that  $t, t' \in (0, 1]$  have the representations

$$t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_j} \quad \text{and} \quad t' = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma'_j}$$

with  $\gamma_j$  as before respectively  $\gamma'_j$ , then  $t > t'$  if and only if there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $\gamma_j = \gamma'_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$  and  $\gamma'_m \geq \gamma_m + 1$ . Owing to (3.7) we have

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_j} q^j \geq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a^{\gamma_j} q^j + a^{\gamma_m} q^m$$

since  $q = \frac{c}{a} > 0$ . Moreover,  $\gamma'_m \geq \gamma_m + 1$  implies that  $\gamma'_{m+j} \geq \gamma_m + 1 + j$  for all  $j \geq 0$  so that in view of  $0 < aq = c < 1$  we get

$$g(t') = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a^{\gamma'_j} q^j + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} a^{\gamma'_j} q^j \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a^{\gamma_j} q^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_m+1+j} q^{m+j} .$$

Hence, according to (3.9) and  $a < 1 - c$  we obtain  $g(t) > g(t')$ , i.e.  $g$  is strictly increasing.

It follows that the intervals  $(g(t_n), g(t_n + 0))$  are disjoint. Since the set of all dyadic points is dense in  $[0, 1]$  the union

$$G = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^\ell-1} \left( g\left(\frac{2k+1}{2^{\ell+1}}\right), g\left(\frac{2k+1}{2^{\ell+1}} + 0\right) \right)$$



is an open Cantor set with Lebesgue measure

$$|G| = \sum_{t_n}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\gamma_n} q^n}{1-c} (1-a-c)$$

(cf. (3.10) where we have to sum over all dyadic  $t_n$  of  $(0,1)$ ). Since there are  $\binom{k}{n}$  possibilities for  $\gamma_n$  to be equal to  $k+1$  we find that

$$\sum_{t_n}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_n} q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} a^{k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{k+1} (1+q)^k = \frac{a}{1-a-c}$$

in view of  $aq = c$ . Therefore we obtain that  $|G| = \frac{a}{1-c} = g(1)$ . Consequently, the increasing function  $g$  cannot have further jumps.

For the set  $M = [0,1] \setminus \cup\{t_n\}$  we have  $|M| = 1$  and  $|g(M)| = 0$  which implies that  $g' = 0$  almost everywhere (cf. [7: p. 234]). Hence, the proposition is proved ■

**Remarks.**

1.  $P = [0, \frac{a}{1-c}] \setminus G$  is a perfect Cantor set with measure zero.
2. Note that the boundary points  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$  do not belong to the points (3.8).
3. The results can easily be transferred to the case that the first equation in system (3.6) is valid for  $0 \leq t < 1$  and the second equation for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , where the solution is determined by  $g(1) = \frac{a}{1-c}$ ,  $g(\sum_{j=0}^n 2^{-\gamma_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^n a^{\gamma_j} q^j$  and right continuity with  $q$  and  $\gamma_j$  as before.

**Supplement.** Finally, we consider the generalization of systems (1.1) and (3.6)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) &= a f(t) \\ f\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) &= b + c f(t) \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.12}$$

where we admit that the solution is not defined for all  $t \in (0,1)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *For  $a \neq 0$ ,  $|a| < 1$ ,  $|c| < 1$  and  $0 < t \leq 1$ , system (3.12) has the left-continuous solution*

$$f\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_j}\right) = \frac{b}{a} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\gamma_j} q^j \tag{3.13}$$

with  $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma_j < \gamma_{j+1}$  and  $q = \frac{c}{a}$ . If  $1 < a$ ,  $0 < b$  and  $0 < c < 1$ , then every  $y > f(1) = \frac{b}{1-c}$  has infinitely many inverse images under  $f$ .

**Proof.** If  $g$  is the solution (3.7) of system (3.6), then  $f = \frac{b}{a}g$  is the solution of system (3.12). If  $|q| < 1$ , but  $|a| > 1$ , then the right-hand side of (3.13) can diverge, and  $f$  remains undefined at the corresponding points of  $(0,1]$ . However, for  $c \neq 1$  the solution of system (3.12) always possesses the value  $f(1) = \frac{b}{1-c}$ . Now, let  $1 < a$ ,  $0 < b$ ,  $0 < c < 1$  and  $y > f(1)$ . We look for a sequence  $\gamma_j$  such that

$$\frac{b}{a} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a^{\gamma_j} q^j + \frac{a^{\gamma_k} q^k}{1-c} \right) < y \leq \frac{b}{a} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a^{\gamma_j} q^j + \frac{a^{\gamma_k+1} q^k}{1-c} \right) \tag{3.14}$$

for infinitely many  $k$ . For  $k = 0$  this inequality means

$$\frac{ba^{\gamma_0-1}}{1-c} < y \leq \frac{ba^{\gamma_0}}{1-c}$$

and determines  $\gamma_0$  uniquely in view of  $a > 1$ . If  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n$  are already determined, we choose an arbitrary integer  $k > n$  depending on  $n$ , define  $\gamma_j = \gamma_n + j - n$  for  $j = n + 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and determine  $\gamma_k$  out of (3.14). The last step is uniquely possible, since in view of

$$\frac{a^{\gamma_n} q^n}{1-c} = \sum_{j=n}^{k-1} a^{\gamma_j} q^j + \frac{a^{\gamma_{k-1}+1} q^k}{1-c}$$

there always exists such a  $\gamma_k \geq \gamma_{k-1} + 1$ . In this way we find infinitely many sequences  $\gamma_j$  such that the right-hand side of (3.13) is equal to  $y$  ■

Let  $|a| > 1$ . If we define  $\ell = \overline{\lim} \frac{\gamma_j}{j}$ , the series at the right-hand side of (3.13) is convergent for  $\ell < 1 - \frac{\ln|c|}{\ln|a|}$  and divergent for  $\ell > 1 - \frac{\ln|c|}{\ln|a|}$  in view of the root test. In the "periodic" case  $\gamma_{pj+k} = rj + \varrho_k$  for sufficiently great  $j$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ , where  $t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma_j}$  is rational, we have  $\ell = \frac{r}{p}$ .

From system (3.12) we can derive further functional equations. Namely, for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^\ell - 1$  with  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , the dyadic representation  $k = d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n$ ,  $d_j \in \{0, 1\}$ , where  $d_1 = 0$  is allowed, and  $0 < t \leq 1$  we find

$$f\left(\frac{t+k}{2^\ell}\right) = b \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} a^j d_{j+1} q^{d_1+\dots+d_j} + a^\ell q^{\nu(k)} f(t)$$

(cf. (2.9) and (2.2)). For  $|a| > 1$  this formula shows that  $f$  is unbounded in every subinterval of  $(0, 1]$ , since  $f(\frac{t}{2^n}) = a^n f(t)$ .

Let us mention a curious connection to the  $(3n + 1)$ -problem of L. Collatz, which for negative  $n$  is equivalent to the  $(3n - 1)$ -problem, i.e. to the iteration of the function

$$t(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}n & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{2}(3n - 1) & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

The iterates of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  under  $t$  have the fixed point 1 and the two cycles (5, 7, 10) as well as (17, 25, 37, 55, 82, 41, 61, 91, 136, 68, 34), and one conjectures that all  $t$ -trajectories eventually end in one of these three sets (cf. [10: p. 13]). It suffices to restrict ourselves to odd  $n$  and to replace  $t(n)$  for such  $n$  by  $T(n) = 2^{-p_n}(3n - 1)$  if  $2^{p_n} | (3n - 1)$  but  $2^{p_n+1} \nmid (3n - 1)$ ,  $p_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The equation for  $T$  can be inverted by

$$n = \frac{1}{3}(1 + 2^{p_n} T(n)) . \tag{3.16}$$

We denote the iterates of  $T$  by  $T_k(n) = T(T_{k-1}(n))$  with  $T_0(n) = n$ , and for a fixed  $n$  we introduce the notations  $\gamma_0 = 1$  and  $\gamma_k = 1 + p_{T_0(n)} + \dots + p_{T_{k-1}(n)}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Then (3.16) implies the representation

$$n = \frac{1}{6} \left( 2^{\gamma_0} + \frac{1}{3} 2^{\gamma_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} 2^{\gamma_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{3^{k-1}} 2^{\gamma_k} T_k(n) \right)$$

for every odd  $n$ , and for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  the right-hand side converges to the right-hand side of (3.13) with  $a = 2$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $c = \frac{2}{3}$  and therefore  $q = \frac{1}{3}$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to express their thanks to the referees for their hints to the references [6, 8] and to other improvements of the text.

## References

- [1] Berg, L. and M. Krüppel: *Cantor sets and integral-functional equations*. Z. Anal. Anw. 17 (1998), 997 – 1020.
- [2] Borwein, J. M. and R. Girgensohn: *Addition theorems and binary expansions*. Canad. J. Math. 47 (1995), 262 – 273.
- [3] Kairies, H.-H.: *Functional equations for peculiar functions*. Aequationes Math. 53 (1997), 207 – 241.
- [4] Klemmt, H.-J.: *Ein maßtheoretischer Satz mit Anwendungen auf Funktionalgleichungen*. Archiv Math. 36 (1981), 537 – 540.
- [5] Lauwerier, H.: *Fractals*. Princeton (NJ): Univ. Press 1991.
- [6] Milnor, J.: *Fubini foiled: Katok's paradoxical example in measure theory*. Math. Intelligencer 19 (2) (1997), 30 – 32.
- [7] Natanson, I. P.: *Theorie der Funktionen einer reellen Veränderlichen*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1969.
- [8] de Rham, G.: *Sur certaines équations fonctionnelles*. École Polytechnique de l'Université de Lausanne, Ouvrage publié à l'occasion de son Centenaire (1953), 95 – 97.
- [9] de Rham, G.: *Sur quelques courbes définies par des équations fonctionnelles*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Torino 16 (1956), 101 – 113.
- [10] Wirsching, G. J.: *The Dynamical System Generated by the  $3n + 1$  Function*. Lect. Notes Math. 1681 (1998), 1 – 158.

Received 07.05.1999; in revised form 19.11.1999