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#### Abstract

Let $B_{n}$ be the Artin braid group on $n$ strings with standard generators $\sigma_{1}, \ldots$, $\sigma_{n-1}$, and let $S B_{n}$ be the singular braid monoid with generators $\sigma_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}$, $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}$. The desingularization map is the multiplicative homomorphism $\eta: S B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ defined by $\eta\left(\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}$ and $\eta\left(\tau_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}^{-1}$, for $1 \leq$ $i \leq n-1$. The purpose of the present paper is to prove Birman's conjecture, namely, that the desingularization map $\eta$ is injective.
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## 1 Introduction

Define an $n$-braid to be a collection $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ of disjoint smooth paths in $\mathbb{C} \times[0,1]$, called the strings of $b$, such that the $k$-th string $b_{k}$ runs monotonically in $t \in[0,1]$ from the point $(k, 0)$ to some point $(\zeta(k), 1)$, where $\zeta$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. An isotopy in this context is a deformation through braids which fixes the ends. Multiplication of braids is defined by concatenation. The isotopy classes of braids with this multiplication form a group, called braid group on $n$ strings, and denoted by $B_{n}$. This group has a well-known presentation with generators $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ and relations

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{k} \sigma_{j} & \text { if }|j-k|>1, \\
\sigma_{j} \sigma_{k} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{k} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{k} & \text { if }|j-k|=1 .
\end{array}
$$

The group $B_{n}$ has other equivalent descriptions as a group of automorphisms of a free group, as the fundamental group of a configuration space, or as the mapping class group of the $n$-punctured disk, and plays a prominent rôle in many disciplines. We refer to [4] for a general exposition on the subject.

The Artin braid group $B_{n}$ has been extended to the singular braid monoid $S B_{n}$ by Birman [5] and Baez [1] in order to study Vassiliev invariants. The strings of a singular braid are allowed to intersect transversely in finitely many double points, called singular points. As with braids, isotopy is a deformation through singular braids which fixes the ends, and multiplication is by concatenation. Note that the isotopy classes of singular braids form a monoid and not a group. It is shown in [5] that $S B_{n}$ has a monoid presentation with generators $\sigma_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}$, and relations

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i}^{-1}=\sigma_{i}^{-1} \sigma_{i}, \quad \sigma_{i} \tau_{i}=\tau_{i} \sigma_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}, \quad \sigma_{i} \tau_{j}=\tau_{j} \sigma_{i}, \quad \tau_{i} \tau_{j}=\tau_{j} \tau_{i}, & \text { if }|i-j|>1, \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}, \quad \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \tau_{i}=\tau_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}, & \text { if }|i-j|=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Consider the braid group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$. The natural embedding $B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ can be extended to a multiplicative homomorphism $\eta: S B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$, called desingularization map, and defined by

$$
\eta\left(\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}, \quad \eta\left(\tau_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i}^{-1}, \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 .
$$

This homomorphism is one of the main ingredients of the definition of Vassiliev invariants for braids. It has been also used by Birman [5] to establish a relation between Vassiliev knot invariants and quantum groups.

One of the most popular problems in the subject, known as "Birman's conjecture", is to determine whether $\eta$ is an embedding (see [5]). At the time of this
writing, the only known partial answer to this question is that $\eta$ is injective on singular braids with up to three singularities (see [17]), and on singular braids with up to three strings (see [13]).

The aim of the present paper is to solve this problem, namely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1 The desingularization map $\eta: S B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ is injective.
Let $S_{d} B_{n}$ denote the set of isotopy classes of singular braids with $d$ singular points. Recall that a Vassiliev invariant of type $d$ is defined to be a homomorphism $v: \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right] \rightarrow A$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules which vanishes on $\eta\left(S_{d+1} B_{n}\right)$. One of the main results on Vassiliev braid invariants is that they separate braids (see [3], [15], [16]). Whether Vassiliev knot invariants separate knots remains an important open question. Now, it has been shown by Zhu [17] that this separating property extends to singular braids if $\eta$ is injective. So, a consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following.

Corollary 1.2 Vassiliev braid invariants classify singular braids.
Let $\Gamma$ be a graph (with no loop and no multiple edge), let $X$ be the set of vertices, and let $E=E(\Gamma)$ be the set of edges of $\Gamma$. Define the graph monoid of $\Gamma$ to be the monoid $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ given by the monoid presentation

$$
\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)=\langle X| x y=y x \text { if }\{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma)\rangle^{+} .
$$

Graph monoids are also known as free partially commutative monoids or as right-angled Artin monoids. They were first introduced by Cartier and Foata [7] to study combinatorial problems on rearrangements of words, and, since then, have been extensively studied by both computer scientists and mathematicians.

The key point of the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists in understanding the structure of the multiplicative submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ generated by the set $\left\{\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1}-\right.$ $1 ; \alpha \in B_{n}$ and $\left.1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.3 Let $\Omega$ be the graph defined as follows.

- $\Upsilon=\left\{\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1} ; \alpha \in B_{n}\right.$ and $\left.1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$ is the set of vertices of $\Omega$;
- $\{u, v\}$ is an edge of $\Omega$ if and only if we have $u v=v u$ in $B_{n}$.

Let $\nu: \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\nu(u)=u-1$, for all $u \in \Upsilon$. Then $\nu$ is injective.

The proof of the implication Theorem $1.3 \Rightarrow$ Theorem 1.1 is based on the observation that $S B_{n}$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ with the braid group $B_{n}$, and that $\nu: \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ of the desingularization map. The proof of this implication is the subject of Section 2. Let $A_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n$, be the standard generators of the pure braid group $P B_{n}$. In Section 3, we show that $\Upsilon$ is the disjoint union of the conjugacy classes of the $A_{i j}$ 's in $P B_{n}$. Using homological arguments, we then show that we can restrict the study to the submonoid of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ generated by the conjugacy classes of two given generators, $A_{i j}$ and $A_{r s}$. If $\{i, j\} \cap\{r, s\} \neq \emptyset$, then the subgroup generated by the conjugacy classes of $A_{i j}$ and $A_{r s}$ is a free group, and we prove the injectivity using a sort of Magnus expansion (see Section 4). The case $\{i, j\} \cap\{r, s\}=\emptyset$ is handled using the previous case together with a technical result on automorphisms of free groups (Proposition 5.1).
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## 2 Theorem 1.3 implies Theorem 1.1

We assume throughout this section that the result of Theorem 1.3 holds, and we prove Theorem 1.1.
Let $\delta_{i}=\sigma_{i} \tau_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $S B_{n}$ is generated as a monoid by $\sigma_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}$, and has a monoid presentation with relations

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i}^{-1}=\sigma_{i}^{-1} \sigma_{i}=\quad \sigma_{i} \delta_{i}=\delta_{i} \sigma_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}, \quad \sigma_{i} \delta_{j}=\delta_{j} \sigma_{i}, \quad \delta_{i} \delta_{j}=\delta_{j} \delta_{i}, & \text { if }|i-j|>1, \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}, \quad \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \delta_{i}=\delta_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}, & \text { if }|i-j|=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, the desingularization map $\eta: S B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ is determined by

$$
\eta\left(\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}, \quad \eta\left(\delta_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{2}-1, \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 .
$$

The following lemma is a particular case of [12], Theorem 7.1.
Lemma 2.1 Let $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and let $\beta \in S B_{n}$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\beta \sigma_{i}^{2}=\sigma_{j}^{2} \beta$;
(2) $\beta \delta_{i}=\delta_{j} \beta$.

This lemma shows the following.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\hat{\Omega}$ be the graph defined as follows.

- $\hat{\Upsilon}=\left\{\alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} ; \alpha \in B_{n}\right.$ and $\left.1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$ is the set of vertices of $\hat{\Omega}$;
- $\{\hat{u}, \hat{v}\}$ is an edge of $\hat{\Omega}$ if and only if we have $\hat{u} \hat{v}=\hat{v} \hat{u}$ in $S B_{n}$.

Then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ which sends $\alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} \in \hat{\Upsilon}$ to $\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1} \in \Upsilon$ for all $\alpha \in B_{n}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof Let $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n}$ and $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1} & =\beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1} & & \Leftrightarrow \\
& \left(\beta^{-1} \alpha\right) \sigma_{i}^{2}=\sigma_{j}^{2}\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left.\beta^{-1} \alpha\right) \delta_{i} & =\delta_{j}\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha\right) &
\end{array}
$$

This shows that there exists a bijection $\varphi: \hat{\Upsilon} \rightarrow \Upsilon$ which sends $\alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} \in \hat{\Upsilon}$ to $\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1} \in \Upsilon$ for all $\alpha \in B_{n}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n}$ and $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Again, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl} 
& & \left(\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1}\right)\left(\beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1}\right) & =\left(\beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha \sigma_{i}^{2} \alpha^{-1}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1} \alpha\right) & =\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1} \alpha\right) \sigma_{i}^{2} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \delta_{i}\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1} \alpha\right) & =\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta \sigma_{j}^{2} \beta^{-1} \alpha\right) \delta_{i} \\
\Leftrightarrow & & \left(\beta^{-1} \alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} \beta\right) \sigma_{j}^{2} & =\sigma_{j}^{2}\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} \beta\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & & \left(\beta^{-1} \alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} \beta\right) \delta_{j} & =\delta_{j}\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1} \beta\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & & \left(\alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1}\right)\left(\beta \delta_{j} \beta^{-1}\right) & =\left(\beta \delta_{j} \beta^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha \delta_{i} \alpha^{-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

This shows that the bijection $\varphi: \hat{\Upsilon} \rightarrow \Upsilon$ extends to an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega})$ $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$.

Now, we have the following decomposition for $S B_{n}$.
Lemma 2.3 $S B_{n}=\mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega}) \rtimes B_{n}$.

Proof Clearly, there exists a homomorphism $f: \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega}) \rtimes B_{n} \rightarrow S B_{n}$ which sends $\beta$ to $\beta \in S B_{n}$ for all $\beta \in B_{n}$, and sends $\hat{u}$ to $\hat{u} \in S B_{n}$ for all $\hat{u} \in \hat{\Upsilon}$. On the other hand, one can easily verify using the presentation of $S B_{n}$ that there exists a homomorphism $g: S B_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega}) \rtimes B_{n}$ such that $g\left(\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{ \pm 1} \in B_{n}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and $g\left(\delta_{i}\right)=\delta_{i} \in \hat{\Upsilon}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Obviously, $f \circ g=\operatorname{Id}$ and $g \circ f=\mathrm{Id}$.

Remarks (1) Let $G(\hat{\Omega})$ be the group given by the presentation

$$
G(\hat{\Omega})=\langle\hat{\Upsilon}| \hat{u} \hat{v}=\hat{v} \hat{u} \quad \text { if } \quad\{\hat{u}, \hat{v}\} \in E(\hat{\Omega})\rangle
$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega})$ embeds in $G(\hat{\Omega})$ (see [9], [10]), thus $S B_{n}=$ $\mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega}) \rtimes B_{n}$ embeds in $G(\hat{\Omega}) \rtimes B_{n}$. This furnishes one more proof of the fact that $S B_{n}$ embeds in a group (see [11], [2], [14]).
(2) The decomposition $S B_{n}=\mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega}) \rtimes B_{n}$ together with Lemma 2.2 can be used to solve the word problem in $S B_{n}$. The proof of this fact is left to the reader. Another solution to the word problem for $S B_{n}$ can be found in [8].

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Consider the homomorphism deg: $B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $B_{n}^{(k)}=\left\{\beta \in B_{n} ; \operatorname{deg}(\beta)=k\right\}$. We have the decomposition

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(k)}\right]
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(k)}\right]$ denotes the free abelian group freely generated by $B_{n}^{(k)}$. Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$. We write $P=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{k}$, where $P_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(k)}\right]$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $P_{k}$ is called the $k$-th component of $P$.
Let $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in S B_{n}$ such that $\eta(\gamma)=\eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$. We write $\gamma=\alpha \beta$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ where $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega})$ and $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in B_{n}$ (see Lemma 2.3). Let $d=\operatorname{deg}(\beta)$. We observe that the $d$-th component of $\eta(\gamma)$ is $\pm \beta$, and, for $k<d$, the $k$-th component of $\eta(\gamma)$ is 0 . In particular, $\eta(\gamma)$ completely determines $\beta$. Since $\eta(\gamma)=\eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that $\beta=\beta^{\prime}$.
So, multiplying $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ on the right by $\beta^{-1}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\gamma=\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega})$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\Omega})$. Observe that

$$
(\nu \circ \varphi)(\gamma)=\eta(\gamma)=\eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=(\nu \circ \varphi)\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\nu$ is injective (Theorem 1.3) and $\varphi$ is an isomorphism (Lemma 2.2), we conclude that $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We start this section with the following result on graph monoids.
Lemma 3.1 Let $\Gamma$ be a graph, let $X$ be the set of vertices, and let $E=E(\Gamma)$ be the set of edges of $\Gamma$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l} \in X$ and $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ such that:

- $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{l}=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{l}($ in $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma))$;
- $y_{k}=x_{1}$, and $y_{i} \neq x_{1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k-1$.

Then $\left\{y_{i}, x_{1}\right\} \in E(\Gamma)$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$.
Proof Let $F^{+}(X)$ denote the free monoid freely generated by $X$. Let $\equiv_{1}$ be the relation on $F^{+}(X)$ defined as follows. We set $u \equiv_{1} v$ if there exist $u_{1}, u_{2} \in$ $F^{+}(X)$ and $x, y \in X$ such that $u=u_{1} x y u_{2}, v=u_{1} y x u_{2}$, and $\{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma)$. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the relation $\equiv_{p}$ on $F^{+}(X)$ by setting $u \equiv_{p} v$ if there exists a sequence $u_{0}=u, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}=v$ in $F^{+}(X)$ such that $u_{i-1} \equiv_{1} u_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$. Consider the elements $u=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{l}$ and $v=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{l}$ in $F^{+}(X)$. Obviously, there is some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u \equiv_{p} v$. Now, we prove the result of Lemma 3.1 by induction on $p$.
The case $p=0$ being obvious, we may assume $p \geq 1$. There exists a sequence $u_{0}=u, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p-1}, u_{p}=v$ in $F^{+}(X)$ such that $u_{i-1} \equiv_{1} u_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$. By definition of $\equiv_{1}$, there exists $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, l-1\}$ such that $\left\{y_{j}, y_{j+1}\right\} \in E(\Gamma)$ and $u_{p-1}=y_{1} \ldots y_{j-1} y_{j+1} y_{j} y_{j+2} \ldots y_{l}$. If either $j<k-1$ or $j>k$, then, by the inductive hypothesis, we have $\left\{x_{1}, y_{i}\right\} \in E(\Gamma)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k-1$. If $j=k-1$, then, by the inductive hypothesis, we have $\left\{x_{1}, y_{i}\right\} \in E(\Gamma)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k-2$. Moreover, in this case, $\left\{y_{j}, y_{j+1}\right\}=\left\{y_{k-1}, y_{k}\right\}=\left\{y_{k-1}, x_{1}\right\} \in$ $E(\Gamma)$. If $j=k$, then, by the inductive hypothesis, we have $\left\{y_{i}, x_{1}\right\} \in E(\Gamma)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k-1$ and $i=k+1$.

Now, consider the standard epimorphism $\theta: B_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{n}$ defined by $\theta\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=$ $(i, i+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. The kernel of $\theta$ is called the pure braid group on $n$ strings, and is denoted by $P B_{n}$. It has a presentation with generators

$$
A_{i j}=\sigma_{j-1} \ldots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \ldots \sigma_{j-1}^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq n,
$$

and relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{r s}^{-1} A_{i j} A_{r s}=A_{i j} \quad \text { if } \quad r<s<i<j \text { or } i<r<s<j, \\
A_{r s}^{-1} A_{i j} A_{r s}=A_{r j} A_{i j} A_{r j}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \quad s=i, \\
A_{r s}^{-1} A_{i j} A_{r s}=A_{i j} A_{s j} A_{i j} A_{s j}^{-1} A_{i j}^{-1} \quad \text { if } i=r<s<j, \\
A_{r s}^{-1} A_{i j} A_{r s}=A_{r j} A_{s j} A_{r j}^{-1} A_{s j}^{-1} A_{i j} A_{s j} A_{r j} A_{s j}^{-1} A_{r j}^{-1} \quad \text { if } r<i<s<j .
\end{gathered}
$$

(See [4]). We denote by $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ the abelianization of $P B_{n}$, and, for $\beta \in P B_{n}$, we denote by $[\beta]$ the element of $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ represented by $\beta$. A consequence of the above presentation is that $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ is a free abelian group freely generated
by $\left\{\left[A_{i j}\right] ; 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$. This last fact shall be of importance in the remainder of the paper.
For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, we set

$$
\Upsilon_{i j}=\left\{\beta A_{i j} \beta^{-1} ; \beta \in P B_{n}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 3.2 We have the disjoint union $\Upsilon=\bigsqcup_{i<j} \Upsilon_{i j}$.
Proof It is esily checked that

$$
\sigma_{r} A_{i j} \sigma_{r}^{-1}= \begin{cases}A_{i j+1} & \text { if } r=j, \\ A_{j-1 j} A_{i j-1} A_{j-1 j}^{-1} & \text { if } r=j-1>i, \\ A_{i+1 j} & \text { if } j-1>i=r, \\ A_{i j}^{-1} A_{i-1 j} A_{i j} & \text { if } r=i-1, \\ A_{i j} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This implies that the union $\bigcup_{i<j} \Upsilon_{i j}$ is invariant by the action of $B_{n}$ by conjugation. Moreover, $\sigma_{i}^{2}=A_{i i+1} \in \Upsilon_{i i+1}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, thus $\Upsilon \subset \bigcup_{i<j} \Upsilon_{i j}$. On the other hand, $A_{i j}$ is conjugate (by an element of $B_{n}$ ) to $\sigma_{i}^{2}$, thus $\Upsilon_{i j} \subset \Upsilon$ for all $i<j$, therefore $\bigcup_{i<j} \Upsilon_{i j} \subset \Upsilon$.
Let $i, j, r, s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i<j, r<s$, and $\{i, j\} \neq\{r, s\}$. Let $u \in \Upsilon_{i j}$ and $v \in \Upsilon_{r s}$. Then $[u]=\left[A_{i j}\right] \neq\left[A_{r s}\right]=[v]$, therefore $u \neq v$. This shows that $\Upsilon_{i j} \cap \Upsilon_{r s}=\emptyset$.

The following lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 will be proved in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.
Let $F(X)$ be a free group freely generated by some set $X$. Let $Y=\left\{g x g^{-1} ; g \in\right.$ $F(X)$ and $x \in X\}$, and let $F^{+}(Y)$ be the free monoid freely generated by $Y$. We prove in Section 4 that the homomorphism $\nu: F^{+}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$, defined by $\nu(y)=y-1$ for all $y \in Y$, is injective (Proposition 4.1). The proof of this result is based on the construction of a sort of Magnus expansion. Proposition 4.1 together with the fact that $P B_{n}$ can be decomposed as $P B_{n}=F \rtimes P B_{n-1}$, where $F$ is a free group freely generated by $\left\{A_{i n} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$, are the main ingredients of the proof of Lemma 3.3.
Choose some $x_{0} \in X$, consider the decomposition $F(X)=\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle * F\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$, and let $\rho: F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ be an automorphism which fixes $x_{0}$ and which leaves $F\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ invariant. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l} \in\left\{g x_{0} g^{-1} ; g \in F(X)\right\}$. We prove in Section 5 that, if $\rho\left(y_{1} \ldots y_{l}\right)=y_{1} \ldots y_{l}$, then $\rho\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$ (Proposition 5.1). The proof of Lemma 3.5 is based on this result together with Corollary 3.4 below.

Lemma 3.3 Let $i, j, r, s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i<j, r<s,\{i, j\} \neq\{r, s\}$, and $\{i, j\} \cap\{r, s\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]$ be the free monoid freely generated by $\Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$, and let $\bar{\nu}: \mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\bar{\nu}(u)=u-1$ for all $u \in \Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$. Then $\bar{\nu}$ is injective.

Corollary 3.4 Let $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i<j$. Let $\mathcal{M}[i, j]$ be the free monoid freely generated by $\Upsilon_{i j}$, and let $\bar{\nu}: \mathcal{M}[i, j] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\bar{\nu}(u)=u-1$ for all $u \in \Upsilon_{i j}$. Then $\bar{\nu}$ is injective.

Lemma 3.5 Let $i, j, r, s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i<j, r<s$, and $\{i, j\} \cap$ $\{r, s\}=\emptyset$. (In particular, we have $n \geq 4$.) Let $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$ be the graph defined as follows.

- $\Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$ is the set of vertices of $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$;
- $\{u, v\}$ is an edge of $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$ if and only if we have $u v=v u$ in $B_{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]=\mathcal{M}(\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s])$, and let $\bar{\nu}: \mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\bar{\nu}(u)=u-1$ for all $u \in \Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$. Then $\bar{\nu}$ is injective.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Recall the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(k)}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

given in the proof of Theorem 1.1, where $B_{n}^{(k)}=\left\{\beta \in B_{n} ; \operatorname{deg}(\beta)=k\right\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(k)}\right]$ is the free abelian group freely generated by $B_{n}^{(k)}$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(u)=2$ for all $u \in \Upsilon$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$. We write $\alpha=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{l}$, where $u_{i} \in \Upsilon$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Define the length of $\alpha$ to be $|\alpha|=l$. We denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the element of $B_{n}$ represented by $\alpha$ (ie, $\bar{\alpha}=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{l}$ in $B_{n}$ ). Let $[1, l]=\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$. Define a subindex of $[1, l]$ to be a sequence $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ such that $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q} \in$ $[1, l]$, and $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{q}$. The notation $I \prec[1, l]$ means that $I$ is a subindex of $[1, l]$. The length of $I$ is $|I|=q$. For $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \prec[1, l]$, we set $\alpha(I)=u_{i_{1}} u_{i_{2}} \ldots u_{i_{q}} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(I)$ denotes the corresponding element of $B_{n}^{(2 q)}$.

Observe that the decomposition of $\nu(\alpha)$ with respect to the direct sum (1) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\alpha)=\sum_{q=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-q} \sum_{I<[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(2 q)}\right],
$$

for all $q=0,1, \ldots, l$.
Let $\alpha^{\prime}=u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \ldots u_{k}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ such that $\nu(\alpha)=\nu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$. The decomposition given in (2) shows that $k=l$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I)=\sum_{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $q=0,1, \ldots, l$.
We prove that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$ by induction on $l$. The cases $l=0$ and $l=1$ being obvious, we assume $l \geq 2$.

Suppose first that $u_{1}^{\prime}=u_{1}$. We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I \prec[2, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I)=\sum_{I \prec[2, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by induction on $q$. The case $q=0$ being obvious, we assume $q \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{I<[2, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I) \\
= & \sum_{I<[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I)-u_{1} \cdot \sum_{I<[2, l],|I|=q-1} \bar{\alpha}(I) \\
= & \sum_{I<[1, l]],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)-u_{1} \cdot \sum_{I<[2, l],|I|=q-1} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I) \quad \text { (by induction and (3)) } \\
= & \sum_{I<[2, l]],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}=u_{2} \ldots u_{l}$ and $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}=u_{2}^{\prime} \ldots u_{l}^{\prime}$. By (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =\sum_{q=0}^{l-1}(-1)^{l-1-q} \sum_{I<[2, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I) \\
& =\sum_{q=0}^{l-1}(-1)^{l-1-q} \sum_{I<[2, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)=\nu\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, by the inductive hypothesis, $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}^{\prime}$, therefore $\alpha=u_{1} \alpha_{1}=u_{1} \alpha_{1}^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}$.

Now, we consider the general case. (3) applied to $q=1$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_{i}^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, there exists $k \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $u_{k}^{\prime}=u_{1}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime} \neq u_{1}$ for all $i=$ $1, \ldots, k-1$. We prove that, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1, u_{i}^{\prime}$ and $u_{1}=u_{k}^{\prime}$ multiplicatively commute (in $B_{n}$ or, equivalently, in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ ). It follows that $\alpha^{\prime}=$ $u_{1} u_{1}^{\prime} \ldots u_{k-1}^{\prime} u_{k+1}^{\prime} \ldots u_{l}^{\prime}$, and hence, by the case $u_{1}=u_{1}^{\prime}$ considered before, $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$.

Fix some $t \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Let $i, j, r, s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i<j, r<s$, $u_{1}=u_{k}^{\prime} \in \Upsilon_{i j}$, and $u_{t}^{\prime} \in \Upsilon_{r s}$. There are three possible cases that we handle simultaneously:
(1) $\{i, j\}=\{r, s\}$;
(2) $\{i, j\} \neq\{r, s\}$ and $\{i, j\} \cap\{r, s\} \neq \emptyset$;
(3) $\{i, j\} \cap\{r, s\}=\emptyset$.

Let $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$ be the graph defined as follows.

- $\Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$ is the set of vertices of $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$;
- $\{u, v\}$ is an edge of $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$ if and only if we have $u v=v u$ in $B_{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]=\mathcal{M}(\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s])$, and let $\bar{\nu}: \mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\bar{\nu}(u)=u-1$ for all $u \in \Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$. Note that, by Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.3, $\bar{\Omega}[i, j, r, s]$ has no edge and $\mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]$ is a free monoid in Cases 1 and 2. Moreover, the homomorphism $\bar{\nu}$ is injective by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 and by Corollary 3.4.

Let $a_{1}=1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p} \in[1, l], a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{p}$, be the indices such that $u_{a_{\xi}} \in \Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$ for all $\xi=1,2, \ldots, p$. Let $I_{0}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)$, and let $\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)=u_{a_{1}} u_{a_{2}} \ldots u_{a_{p}} \in \mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]$. (It is true that $\mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, but this fact is not needed for our purpose. So, we should consider $\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)$ as an element of $\mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]$, and not as an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$.) Recall that, for $\beta \in P B_{n}$, we denote by $[\beta]$ the element of $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ represented by $\beta$. Recall also that $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ is a free abelian group freely generated by $\left\{\left[A_{i j}\right] ; 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nu}\left(\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{p}(-1)^{p-q} \sum_{\substack{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q,[\bar{\alpha}(I)] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{i j}\right]+\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{r s}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}(I) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p} \in[1, l], b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{p}$, be the indices such that $u_{b_{\xi}}^{\prime} \in$ $\Upsilon_{i j} \cup \Upsilon_{r s}$ for all $\xi=1,2, \ldots, p$. (Clearly, (5) implies that we have as many $a_{\xi}$ 's as $b_{\xi}$ 's.) Note that $t, k \in\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}\right\}$. Let $I_{0}^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{p}\right)$, and let $\alpha^{\prime}\left(I_{0}^{\prime}\right)=u_{b_{1}}^{\prime} u_{b_{2}}^{\prime} \ldots u_{b_{p}}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}[i, j, r, s]$. By (3) we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{\left.I<[1, l]]| | I \mid=q,[\bar{\alpha}(I)] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{i}\right]\right]+\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{r} s\right]}} \bar{\alpha}(I)=\sum_{\substack{I\left\langle\left[1, l,|I|=q \\\left[\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)\right] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{i}\right]\right]+\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{r s}\right]\right.}} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I),
$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, thus, by $(6), \bar{\nu}\left(\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)\right)=\bar{\nu}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(I_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Since $\bar{\nu}$ is injective, it follows that $\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(I_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, and we conclude by Lemma 3.1 that $u_{t}^{\prime}$ and $u_{k}^{\prime}=u_{1}$ commute.

## 4 Proof of Lemma 3.3

As pointed out in the previous section, the key point of the proof of Lemma 3.3 is the following result.

Proposition 4.1 Let $F(X)$ be a free group freely generated by some set $X$, let $Y=\left\{g x g^{-1} ; g \in F(X)\right.$ and $\left.x \in X\right\}$, let $F^{+}(Y)$ be the free monoid freely generated by $Y$, and let $\nu: F^{+}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\nu(y)=y-1$ for all $y \in Y$. Then $\nu$ is injective.

First, we shall prove Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 that are preliminary results to the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Let deg: $F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism defined by $\operatorname{deg}(x)=1$ for all $x \in X$. Write $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $F_{k}(X)=\{g \in F(X) ; \operatorname{deg}(g) \geq k\}$, and let $\mathcal{A}_{k}=\mathbb{Z}\left[F_{k}(X)\right]$ be the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module freely generated by $F_{k}(X)$. The family $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a filtration of $\mathcal{A}$ compatible with the multiplication, that is:

- $\mathcal{A}_{k} \subset \mathcal{A}_{l}$ if $k \geq l$;
- $\mathcal{A}_{p} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{q} \subset \mathcal{A}_{p+q}$ for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- $1 \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$.

Moreover, this filtration is a separating filtration, that is:

- $\cap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{k}=\{0\}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the completion of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to this filtration. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $F^{(k)}(X)=\{g \in F(X) ; \operatorname{deg}(g)=k\}$, and we denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}=\mathbb{Z}\left[F_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}{ }^{(k)}(X)\right]$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module freely generated by $F^{(k)}(X)$. Then any element of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ can be
uniquely represented by a formal series $\sum_{k=d}^{+\infty} P_{k}$, where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $P_{k} \in \mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ for all $k \geq d$.

We take a copy $G_{x}$ of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ generated by $\{x, \hat{x}\}$, for all $x \in X$, and we set $\hat{G}={ }_{x \in X} G_{x}$. Let $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the group of units of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Then there is a homomorphism $\hat{\eta}: \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ defined by

$$
\hat{\eta}(x)=x, \quad \hat{\eta}(\hat{x})=x-1, \quad \text { for } x \in X
$$

Note that

$$
\hat{\eta}\left(\hat{x}^{-1}\right)=-\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^{k}, \quad \text { for } x \in X
$$

The homomorphism $\hat{\eta}$ defined above is a sort of Magnus expansion and the proof of the following lemma is strongly inspired by the proof of [6], Ch. II, § 5, Thm. 1.

Lemma 4.2 The homomorphism $\hat{\eta}: \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is injective.

Proof Let $g \in \hat{G}$. Define the normal form of $g$ to be the finite sequence $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{l}\right)$ such that:

- for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$, there exists $x_{i} \in X$ such that $g_{i} \in G_{x_{i}} \backslash\{1\}$;
- $x_{i} \neq x_{i+1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l-1$;
- $g=g_{1} g_{2} \ldots g_{l}$.

Clearly, such an expression for $g$ always exists and is unique. The length of $g$ is defined to be $\lg (g)=l$.
Let $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z},(p, q) \neq(0,0)$. Write

$$
(t-1)^{p} t^{q}=\sum_{k=d}^{+\infty} c_{k p q} t^{k}
$$

where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{k p q} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \geq d$. We show that there exists $a \geq d$ such that $a \neq 0$ and $c_{a p q} \neq 0$. If $q \neq 0$, then $a=q \neq 0$ and $c_{q p q}= \pm 1 \neq 0$. If $q=0$, then $a=1 \neq 0$ and $c_{1 p 0}= \pm p \neq 0$.
Let $g \in \hat{G}, g \neq 1$. Let $\left(\hat{x}_{1}^{p_{1}} x_{1}^{q_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{l}^{p_{l}} x_{l}^{q_{l}}\right)$ be the normal form of $g$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\eta}(g) & =\left(x_{1}-1\right)^{p_{1}} x_{1}^{q_{1}}\left(x_{2}-1\right)^{p_{2}} x_{2}^{q_{2}} \ldots\left(x_{l}-1\right)^{p_{l}} x_{l}^{q_{l}} \\
& =\sum_{k_{1} \geq d_{1}, \ldots, k_{l} \geq d_{l}} c_{k_{1} p_{1} q_{1}} c_{k_{2} p_{2} q_{2}} \ldots c_{k_{l} p_{l} q_{l}} \cdot x_{1}^{k_{1}} x_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots x_{l}^{k_{l}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above observation, there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $c_{a_{i} p_{i}} q_{i} \neq$ 0 for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Now, we show that $x_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{k_{l}} \neq x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{a_{l}}$ if $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}\right) \neq$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$. This implies that the coefficient of $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{a_{l}}$ in $\hat{\eta}(g)$ is $c_{a_{1} p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots c_{a_{l} p_{l} q_{l}} \neq 0$, thus $\hat{\eta}(g) \neq 1$.
Since $\left(\hat{x}_{1}^{p_{1}} x_{1}^{q_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{l}^{p_{l}} x_{l}^{q_{l}}\right)$ is the normal form of $g$, we have $x_{i} \neq x_{i+1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l-1$, thus $\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{l}^{a_{l}}\right)$ is the normal form of $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{a_{l}}$. Suppose $k_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Then $\left(x_{1}^{k_{1}}, \ldots, x_{l}^{k_{l}}\right)$ is the normal form of $x_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{k_{l}}$, therefore $x_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{k_{l}} \neq x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{a_{l}}$ if $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}\right) \neq\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$. Suppose there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $k_{i}=0$. Then

$$
\lg \left(x_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{k_{l}}\right)<l=\lg \left(x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{a_{l}}\right)
$$

thus $x_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{k_{l}} \neq x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{l}^{a_{l}}$.
For each $x \in X$, we take a copy $S G_{x}$ of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ generated as a monoid by $\left\{x, x^{-1}, \hat{x}\right\}$, and we set $S G=*_{x \in X} S G_{x}$. Then there is a homomorphism $\eta: S G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ defined by

$$
\eta\left(x^{ \pm 1}\right)=x^{ \pm 1}, \quad \eta(\hat{x})=x-1, \quad \text { for } x \in X .
$$

Lemma 4.3 The homomorphism $\eta: S G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ is injective.
Proof We have $S G \subset \hat{G}$, and, since $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a separating filtration, $\mathcal{A}=$ $\mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ is a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Now, observe that $\eta: S G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ is the restriction of $\hat{\eta}$ to $S G$, thus, by Lemma 4.2, $\eta$ is injective.

Let $\hat{Y}=\left\{g \hat{x} g^{-1} ; g \in F(X)\right.$ and $\left.x \in X\right\} \subset S G$, and let $F^{+}(\hat{Y})$ be the free monoid freely generated by $\hat{Y}$. The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader. A more general statement can be found in [9].

Lemma 4.4 We have $S G=F^{+}(\hat{Y}) \rtimes F(X)$.
Now, we can prove Proposition 4.1, and, consequently, Lemma 3.3.
Proof of Proposition 4.1 Let $\hat{\nu}: F^{+}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ be the restriction of $\eta: S G=F^{+}(\hat{Y}) \rtimes F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ to $F^{+}(\hat{Y})$, and let $\varphi: F^{+}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow F^{+}(Y)$ be the epimorphism defined by $\varphi\left(g \hat{x} g^{-1}\right)=g x g^{-1}$ for all $g \in F(X)$ and $x \in X$. (The proof that $\varphi$ is well-defined is left to the reader.) The homomorphism $\hat{\nu}$ is injective (Lemma 4.3), $\varphi$ is a surjection, and $\hat{\nu}=\nu \circ \varphi$, thus $\varphi$ is an isomorphism and $\nu$ is injective.

Proof of Lemma 3.3 Take $\zeta \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}$ such that $\zeta(\{i, j\})=\{1, n\}$ and $\zeta(\{r, s\})=\{2, n\}$. Choose $\beta \in B_{n}$ such that $\theta(\beta)=\zeta$. Then $\beta \Upsilon_{i j} \beta^{-1}=\Upsilon_{1 n}$ and $\beta \Upsilon_{r s} \beta^{-1}=\Upsilon_{2 n}$. So, up to conjugation by $\beta$ if necessary, we may assume that $\{i, j\}=\{1, n\}$ and $\{r, s\}=\{2, n\}$.

Let $F$ be the subgroup of $P B_{n}$ generated by $\left\{A_{i n} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. We have:
(1) $F$ is a free group freely generated by $\left\{A_{i n} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$;
(2) $P B_{n}=F \rtimes P B_{n-1}$;
(3) $\Upsilon_{i n}=\left\{g A_{i n} g^{-1} ; g \in F\right\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.
(1) and (2) are well-known and are direct consequences of the presentation of $P B_{n}$ given in Section 3, and (3) follows from the fact that the conjugacy class of $A_{i n}$ in $F$ is invariant by the action of $P B_{n-1}$.
Let $\Upsilon^{\prime}=\sqcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \Upsilon_{i n}$, and let $F^{+}\left(\Upsilon^{\prime}\right)$ be the free monoid freely generated by $\Upsilon^{\prime}$. By Proposition 4.1, the homomorphism $\nu^{\prime}: F^{+}\left(\Upsilon^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F]$, defined by $\nu^{\prime}(u)=$ $u-1$ for all $u \in \Upsilon^{\prime}$, is injective. Recall that $\mathcal{M}[1, n, 2, n]$ denotes the free monoid freely generated by $\Upsilon_{1 n} \cup \Upsilon_{2 n}$. Then $\mathcal{M}[1, n, 2, n] \subset F^{+}\left(\Upsilon^{\prime}\right), \mathbb{Z}[F] \subset$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$, and $\bar{\nu}: \mathcal{M}[1, n, 2, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}\right]$ is the restriction of $\nu^{\prime}$ to $\mathcal{M}[1, n, 2, n]$, thus $\bar{\nu}$ is injective.

## 5 Proof of Lemma 3.5

We assume throughout this section that $n \geq 4$. As pointed out in Section 3, one of the main ingredients of the proof of Lemma 3.5 is the following result.

Proposition 5.1 Let $F(X)$ be a free group freely generated by some set $X$, let $x_{0} \in X$, and let $\rho: F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ be an automorphism which fixes $x_{0}$ and leaves $F\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ invariant (where $F\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ denotes the subgroup of $F(X)$ (freely) generated by $\left.X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l} \in\left\{g x_{0} g^{-1} ; g \in F(X)\right\}$. If $\rho\left(y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{l}\right)=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{l}$, then $\rho\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$.

Proof Let $Z=\left\{h x_{0} h^{-1} ; h \in F\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)\right\}$, and let $F(Z)$ be the subgroup of $F(X)$ generated by $Z$. Observe that $Z$ freely generates $F(Z), \rho$ permutes the elements of $Z$, and $\left\{g x_{0} g^{-1} ; g \in F(X)\right\}=\left\{\beta z \beta^{-1} ; \beta \in F(Z)\right.$ and $\left.z \in Z\right\}$.
For $f \in F(Z)$, we denote by $\lg (f)$ the word length of $f$ with respect to $Z$. For $f, g \in F(Z)$, we write $f g=f * g$ if $\lg (f g)=\lg (f)+\lg (g)$. Note that, if $f g=f * g$, then $\rho(f g)=\rho(f) * \rho(g)$. Moreover, if $f g=f * g$ and $\rho(f g)=f g$, then $\rho(f)=f$ and $\rho(g)=g$.

Let $g_{0}=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{l}$. Recall that we are under the assumption that $\rho\left(g_{0}\right)=g_{0}$. For $i=1, \ldots, l$, let $\beta_{i} \in F(Z)$ and $z_{i} \in Z$ such that $y_{i}=\beta_{i} * z_{i} * \beta_{i}^{-1}$. Now, we prove that $\rho\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$ by induction on $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lg \left(y_{i}\right)=$ $l+2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lg \left(\beta_{i}\right)$.
We have three cases to study.
Case 1 There exists $t \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$ such that $\beta_{t+1}=\beta_{t} * z_{t}^{-1} * \gamma_{t}$, where $\gamma_{t} \in F(Z)$.
Let $y_{t+1}^{\prime}=\beta_{t} \gamma_{t} z_{t+1} \gamma_{t}^{-1} \beta_{t}^{-1}=y_{t} y_{t+1} y_{t}^{-1}$. Observe that

$$
g_{0}=y_{1} \ldots y_{t-1} y_{t+1}^{\prime} y_{t} y_{t+2} \ldots y_{l}
$$

We have $\lg \left(\beta_{t} \gamma_{t}\right)<\lg \left(\beta_{t+1}\right)$, thus, by the inductive hypothesis, $\rho\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, t-1, t, t+2, \ldots, l$, and $\rho\left(y_{t+1}^{\prime}\right)=y_{t+1}^{\prime}$. Moreover, since $y_{t+1}=y_{t}^{-1} y_{t+1}^{\prime} y_{t}$, we also have $\rho\left(y_{t+1}\right)=y_{t+1}$.

Case 2 There exists $t \in\{2, \ldots, l\}$ such that $\beta_{t-1}=\beta_{t} * z_{t} * \gamma_{t}$, where $\gamma_{t} \in$ $F(Z)$.

Then we prove that $\rho\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$ as in the previous case.
Case 3 For all $t \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ and for all $\gamma_{t} \in F(Z)$ we have $\beta_{t+1} \neq \beta_{t} * z_{t}^{-1} * \gamma_{t}$ and $\beta_{t-1} \neq \beta_{t} * z_{t} * \gamma_{t}$.

We observe that

$$
g_{0}=\beta_{1} * z_{1} * \beta_{1}^{-1} \beta_{2} * z_{2} * \cdots * \beta_{l-1}^{-1} \beta_{l} * z_{l} * \beta_{l}^{-1}
$$

Since $\rho\left(g_{0}\right)=g_{0}$, it follows that $\rho\left(\beta_{1}\right)=\beta_{1}, \rho\left(z_{1}\right)=z_{1}, \rho\left(\beta_{1}^{-1} \beta_{2}\right)=\beta_{1}^{-1} \beta_{2}$, $\rho\left(z_{2}\right)=z_{2}, \ldots, \rho\left(\beta_{l-1}^{-1} \beta_{l}\right)=\beta_{l-1}^{-1} \beta_{l}, \rho\left(z_{l}\right)=z_{l}$, and $\rho\left(\beta_{l}^{-1}\right)=\beta_{l}^{-1}$. This clearly implies that $\rho\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$.

Corollary 5.2 Let $u \in \Upsilon_{12}$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{l} \in \Upsilon_{n-1 n}$. If $u$ commutes with $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{l}$ (in $B_{n}$ ), then $u$ commutes with $v_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$.

Proof Let $\alpha_{0} \in P B_{n}$ such that $u=\alpha_{0} A_{12} \alpha_{0}^{-1}$. Up to conjugation of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{l}$ by $\alpha_{0}^{-1}$ if necessary, we can suppose that $\alpha_{0}=1$ and $u=A_{12}$.

Recall that $F$ denotes the subgroup of $P B_{n}$ generated by $\left\{A_{i n} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Recall also that:

- $F$ is a free group freely generated by $\left\{A_{i n} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$;
- $P B_{n}=F \rtimes P B_{n-1}$;
- $\Upsilon_{i n}=\left\{g A_{i n} g^{-1} ; g \in F\right\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Let $\rho: F \rightarrow F$ be the action of $A_{12}$ by conjugation on $F$ (namely, $\rho(g)=$ $\left.A_{12} g A_{12}^{-1}\right)$. Observe that $\rho\left(A_{n-1 n}\right)=A_{n-1 n}$ and the subgroup of $F$ generated by $\left\{A_{i n} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-2\right\}$ is invariant by $\rho$. Then, Proposition 5.1 shows that $\rho\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$ if $\rho\left(v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{l}\right)=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{l}$.

Now, we can prove Lemma 3.5.

Proof of Lemma 3.5 Take $\zeta \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}$ such that $\zeta(\{i, j\})=\{1,2\}$ and $\zeta(\{r, s\})=\{n-1, n\}$. Choose $\beta \in B_{n}$ such that $\theta(\beta)=\zeta$. Then $\beta \Upsilon_{i j} \beta^{-1}=$ $\Upsilon_{12}$ and $\beta \Upsilon_{r s} \beta^{-1}=\Upsilon_{n-1 n}$. So, up to conjugation by $\beta$ if necessary, we may assume that $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$ and $\{r, s\}=\{n-1, n\}$.

We use the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}[1,2, n-$ $1, n]$. We write $\alpha=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{l}$, where $u_{i} \in \Upsilon_{12} \cup \Upsilon_{n-1 n}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Define the length of $\alpha$ to be $|\alpha|=l$. We denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the element of $B_{n}$ represented by $\alpha$. Let $[1, l]=\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$. Define a subindex of $[1, l]$ to be a sequence $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ such that $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q} \in[1, l]$ and $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<$ $i_{q}$. The notation $I \prec[1, l]$ means that $I$ is a subindex of $[1, l]$. The length of $I$ is $|I|=q$. For $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \prec[1, l]$, we set $\alpha(I)=u_{i_{1}} u_{i_{2}} \ldots u_{i_{q}} \in$ $\mathcal{M}[1,2, n-1, n]$.

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nu}(\alpha)=\sum_{q=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-q} \sum_{I<[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{n}^{(2 q)}\right],
$$

for all $q=0,1, \ldots, l$.
Let $\alpha^{\prime}=u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \ldots u_{k}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}[1,2, n-1, n]$ such that $\bar{\nu}(\alpha)=\bar{\nu}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, the decomposition given in (7) shows that $k=l$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}(I)=\sum_{I \prec[1, l],|I|=q} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $q=0,1, \ldots, l$.
We prove that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$ by induction on $l$. The cases $l=0$ and $l=1$ being obvious, we assume $l \geq 2$.

Assume first that $u_{1}^{\prime}=u_{1}$. Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$.

Now, we consider the general case. (8) applied to $q=1$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_{i}^{\prime} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that there exists a permutation $\zeta \in \operatorname{Sym}_{l}$ such that $u_{i}=u_{\zeta(i)}^{\prime}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. (Note that the permutation $\zeta \in \mathrm{Sym}_{l}$ is not necessarily unique. Actually, $\zeta$ is unique if and only if $u_{i} \neq u_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$.)

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p} \in[1, l], a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{p}$, be the indices such that $u_{a_{\xi}} \in$ $\Upsilon_{12}$ for all $\xi=1, \ldots, p$. Let $I_{0}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)$. Recall that, for $\beta \in P B_{n}$, we denote by $[\beta]$ the element of $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ represented by $\beta$. Recall also that $H_{1}\left(P B_{n}\right)$ is a free abelian group freely generated by $\left\{\left[A_{i j}\right] ; 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$. Observe that $\alpha\left(I_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}[1,2]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nu}\left(\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^{p-k} \sum_{\substack{I\langle[1, l],| I \mid=k,[\bar{\alpha}(I)] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{12}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}(I) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{p}^{\prime} \in[1, l], a_{1}^{\prime}<a_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<a_{p}^{\prime}$, be the indices such that $u_{a_{\xi}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in$ $\Upsilon_{12}$ for all $\xi=1, \ldots, p$. Note that $\left\{\zeta\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right), \zeta\left(a_{2}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \zeta\left(a_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right\}$. Let $I_{0}^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{p}^{\prime}\right)$. By (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{I<[1, l],|I|=k,[\bar{\alpha}(I)] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{12}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}(I)=\sum_{\substack{I \prec[1, l],|I|=k,\left[\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)\right] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{12}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, thus, by $(10), \bar{\nu}\left(\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)\right)=\bar{\nu}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(I_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By Corollary 3.4, it follows that $\alpha\left(I_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(I_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. So, $u_{a_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=u_{a_{i}}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$, and the permutation $\zeta \in \operatorname{Sym}_{l}$ can be chosen so that $\zeta\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)=a_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$.

Let $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{q} \in[1, l], b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{q}$, be the indices such that $u_{b_{\xi}} \in$ $\Upsilon_{n-1 n}$ for all $\xi=1, \ldots, q$. Note that $[1, l]=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}\right\}$. Let $J_{0}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{q}\right)$. Let $b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{q}^{\prime} \in[1, l], b_{1}^{\prime}<b_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<b_{q}^{\prime}$, be the indices such that $u_{b_{\xi}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \Upsilon_{n-1 n}$ for all $\xi=1, \ldots, q$, and let $J_{0}^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{q}^{\prime}\right)$. We also have $\alpha\left(J_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(J_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{M}[n-1, n], u_{b_{i}}=u_{b_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, q$, and $\zeta$ can be chosen so that $\zeta\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)=b_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, q$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_{1} \in \Upsilon_{12}$ (namely, $a_{1}=1$ ). Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$. We set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & a_{i}<b_{1} \\
j & \text { if } & b_{j}<a_{i}<b_{j+1}, \\
q & \text { if } & b_{q}<a_{i}
\end{array}\right. \\
& T(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & a_{i}^{\prime}<b_{1}^{\prime} \\
j & \text { if } & b_{j}^{\prime}<a_{i}^{\prime}<b_{j+1}^{\prime} \\
q & \text { if } & b_{q}^{\prime}<a_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\alpha^{\prime}=u_{b_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \ldots u_{b_{T(1)}^{\prime}}^{\prime} u_{a_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \ldots=u_{b_{1}} \ldots u_{b_{T(1)}} u_{a_{1}} \ldots$. Now, we show that $u_{1}=u_{a_{1}}$ commutes with $u_{b_{i}}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, T(1)$. It follows that $\alpha^{\prime}=$ $u_{1} u_{b_{1}} \ldots u_{b_{T(1)}} \ldots$, and hence, by the case $u_{1}^{\prime}=u_{1}$ considered before, $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{i} & =u_{b_{1}} \ldots u_{b_{S(i)}} u_{a_{i}} u_{b_{S(i)}}^{-1} \ldots u_{b_{1}}^{-1} \in \Upsilon_{12} \\
v_{i}^{\prime} & =u_{b_{1}} \ldots u_{b_{T(i)}} u_{a_{i}} u_{b_{T(i)}}^{-1} \ldots u_{b_{1}}^{-1} \in \Upsilon_{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, p$, and let

$$
\gamma=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{p} \in \mathcal{M}[1,2], \quad \gamma^{\prime}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime} \ldots v_{p}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}[1,2] .
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nu}(\gamma)=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^{p-k} \sum_{\begin{array}{c}
I \prec[1, l],|I|=k+q, \\
{[\bar{\alpha}(I)]=k\left[A_{12}\right]+q\left[A_{n-1 n}\right]}
\end{array}} \bar{\alpha}(I)\right) \bar{\alpha}\left(J_{0}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \bar{\nu}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^{p-k} \sum_{\substack{I \prec[1, l],|I|=k+q,\left[\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)\right]=k\left[A_{12}\right]+q\left[A_{n-1 n}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)\right) \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(J_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that $\alpha\left(J_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(J_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, and, by (8),

$$
\sum_{\substack{I<[1, l],|I|=k+q,[\bar{\alpha}(I)]=k\left[A_{12}\right]+q\left[A_{n-1 n}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}(I)=\sum_{\substack{I<[1, l],|I|=k+q,\left[\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I)\right]=k\left[A_{12}\right]+q\left[A_{n-1 n}\right]}} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}(I),
$$

for all $k=0,1, \ldots, p$, thus $\bar{\nu}(\gamma)=\bar{\nu}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$. By Corollary 3.4, it follows that $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$, namely, $v_{i}=v_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$. So,

$$
u_{1}=v_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime}=u_{b_{1}} \ldots u_{b_{T(1)}} u_{1} u_{b_{T(1)}}^{-1} \ldots u_{b_{1}}^{-1}
$$

thus $u_{1}$ and $u_{b_{1}} \ldots u_{b_{T(1)}}$ commute (in $B_{n}$ ). We conclude by Corollary 5.2 that $u_{1}$ and $u_{b_{i}}$ commute for all $i=1, \ldots, T(1)$.
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