ISSN 1364-0380 (on line) 1465-3060 (printed)

Geometry & Topology Volume 5 (2001) 719{760 Published: 11 October 2001



Generating function polynomials for legendrian links

Lisa Traynor

Mathematics Department, Bryn Mawr College Bryn Mawr, PA 19010, USA

Email: Itraynor@brynmawr.edu

Abstract

It is shown that, in the 1{jet space of the circle, the swapping and the flyping procedures, which produce topologically equivalent links, can produce nonequivalent legendrian links. Each component of the links considered is legendrian isotopic to the 1{jet of the 0{function, and thus cannot be distinguished by the classical rotation number or Thurston{Bennequin invariants. The links are distinguished by calculating invariant polynomials de ned via homology groups associated to the links through the theory of generating functions. The many calculations of these generating function polynomials support the belief that these polynomials carry the same information as a re ned version of Chekanov's rst order polynomials which are de ned via the theory of holomorphic curves.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 53D35

Secondary: 58E05

Keywords: Contact topology, contact homology, generating functions, legendrian links, knot polynomials

Proposed: Yasha Elaishberg Seconded: Joan Birman, Robion Kirby Received: 15 June 2001 Revised: 6 September 2001

c Geometry & Topology Publications

1 Introduction

The 1{jet space of the circle, $\mathcal{J}^1(S^1)$, is a manifold di eomorphic to $S^1 \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$J^{1}(S^{1}) = T(S^{1}) \quad \mathbb{R} = f(q; p; z) : q \ 2 \ S^{1}; p; z \ 2 \ \mathbb{R}q;$$

Viewing S^1 as a quotient of the unit interval, $S^1 = fq 2[0,1]: 0$ 1*g*, it is easy to visualize knots in $J^1(S^1)$ as quotients of arcs in $I = \mathbb{R}^2$. This paper focuses on two-component links in $\mathcal{J}^1(S^1)$ that satisfy a geometrical condition imposed by the standard contact structure. This standard contact structure on $J^{1}(S^{1})$ is a eld of hyperplanes given by = ker(dz - pdq): There are no integral surfaces of this hyperplane distribution; however, there are integral curves. An immersed curve L in $(J^1(S^1))$ is legendrian if it is tangent to , TL Functions on S^1 with values in \mathbb{R} give rise to legendrian knots in $J^1(S^1)$: the graph of a smooth 1 {periodic function f in the (q; z) {plane has a lift to an embedded legendrian curve $j^1(f) := (q; p; z) : z = f(q); p = \frac{d}{dq}f(q)$: Notice that $j^{1}(f)$ is the 1{jet of the function f. Similarly, the graphs of two smooth functions $f_{i}q$: S^{1} ! \mathbb{R} will lift to a legendrian link as long as they have no points of tangency. In [19], a link of the form $j^{1}(f) q j^{1}(g)$ is considered where $f:g: S^1 ! \mathbb{R}$ satisfy f(q) > 0 and g(q) < 0, for all $q \ge S^1$. In particular, it is shown that $j^{1}(f) q j^{1}(g)$ is an \ordered" legendrian link. A legendrian link $_{1} q_{0}$ is ordered if $_{1} q_{0}$ is not legendrianly equivalent to $_{0} q_{1}$: there is not a smooth 1 { parameter family of legendrian links t, $t \ge [0, 1]$, such that $_0 = _1 q_{0}$ and $_1 = _0 q_{1}$. $_0 q_{1}$ will be called the swap of $_1 q_{0}$.



Figure 1.1: The legendrian link (0) and its nonequivalent swap

In this paper, more complicated legendrian links will be studied. All these links will be topologically unordered, but many will be legendrianly ordered. In addition, \flyping" moves applied to these links will produce topologically equivalent links that are legendrianly distinct. These more complicated links are constructed as the lifts of graphs of \multivalued" 1{periodic functions. Namely, in f(q; z): $q \ge 1; z \ge \mathbb{R}g$, consider a piecewise smooth arc with semicubical cusps at the nonsmooth points. Then the arc has a well-de ned tangent

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

line at each point. As long as the tangent line is never vertical, and there are no self-tangencies, this arc will have a lift, with p specified by the slope of the tangent line, to an embedded legendrian arc in $I \quad \mathbb{R}^2$. As long as the appropriate boundary conditions are satisfied, this arc will lift to a legendrian knot in $J^1(S^1)$. The graphs of such cusped curves can be seen in Figures 1.5, 1.12. Notice that it is not necessary to use broken curves to indicate which is the upperstrand in the lift: the third coordinate is determined by slope.

The basic links considered in this paper can be thought of as closures of rational tangles, de ned by Conway in [6], and so it will be convenient to label the links using the rational tangle nomenclature developed in [20], which is similar to the nomenclature in [6] and [1]. With this notation, the ordered link $j^1(f) q j^1(g)$ considered above will be called the link (0). More generally, for any h = 0, an \integral" link (2*h*) is constructed as the lift of the graphs of two functions $f; g: S^1 ! \mathbb{R}$ that cross transversally at 2h points. More complicated links will be described by length 2n - 1 vectors of the form

(1.2)
$$(2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1); \quad h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; h_2; v_1 = 1; \text{ and } h_1 = 0:$$

The standard rational legendrian link $(2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1)$ can be constructed recursively: for n = 1, these are the integral links (2h) described above, and for n = 2, the (2n - 1) {length link $(2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1)$ is formed from \vertical and horizontal additions" to the (2n - 3) {length link $(2h_n; \ldots; v_2; 2h_2)$, as shown in Figure 1.3. This rational tangle nomenclature is extremely valuable in labeling knots and links in topological knot tables. Developing a legendrian version of such nomenclature is obviously useful for labeling legendrian knots and links in $\mathcal{J}^1(S^1)$, but also in \mathbb{R}^3 since, as described by Ng in [13], a satellite construction glues these links in $\mathcal{J}^1(S^1)$ into a tubular neighborhood of a knot in \mathbb{R}^3 to produce links in \mathbb{R}^3 .



Figure 1.3: The recursive construction of the link $(2h_n, v_{n-1}, \ldots, 2h_2, v_1, 2h_1)$ from the link $(2h_n, v_{n-1}, \ldots, 2h_2)$

When the link $(2h_n; ...; v_1; 2h_1)$ is thought of as a subset of $I = \mathbb{R}^2$ without the boundary identi cation, the legendrian arcs topologically form a rational tangle that is alternatively known as the nonnegative rational number

$$(1.4) \quad q := 2h_1 + 1 = (v_1 + 1 = (2h_2 + 1 = (v_2 + \dots + 1 = (v_{n-1} + 1 = 2h_n) :::))) \quad 2\mathbb{Q}:$$

In fact, by Conway's construction, there is a topological tangle associated to every $q \ge \mathbb{Q}$. Many of these tangles do not close up to two-component links in $J^1(S^1)$. Other $q \ge \mathbb{Q}$ will correspond to two-component topological links, but will not be considered here, since this paper will discuss only legendrian links where each component is legendrian isotopic to $j^1(0)$, the 1{jet of the 0{function. Such legendrian links will be called *minimal* legendrian links. It is shown in [20] that a minimal legendrian link version of $q \ge \mathbb{Q}$ exists if and only if q corresponds to a vector of the form in (1.2). Minimal legendrian links cannot be distinguished by examining the legendrian invariants for the strands known as the Thurston{Bennequin invariant and the rotation number. Background on these classic invariants can be found, for example, in [2], [7], [15].



Figure 1.5: Minimal legendrian links 4 and $(4,3,2) = \frac{30}{13}$

For all the rational links, changing the order of the components produces topologically equivalent links. Although the legendrian link (0) is ordered, it is easy to verify that the legendrian link (2h), for h = 1, is not ordered. However, this is the exception among the rational legendrian links.

Theorem 1.6 (See Theorem 7.2) *Consider the legendrian link* $L = (2h_n, v_{n-1}, 2h_{n-1}, \dots, v_1, 2h_1)$. For n = 1, $L = (2h_1)$ is ordered $i = h_1 = 0$. For n = 2, L is ordered for all choices of h_i, v_i .

In [19], the link (0) is shown to be ordered by studying Viterbo's invariants known as c. These invariants arise as \canonical critical values" of a di erence of generating functions associated to the strands of the link. The links of

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

Theorem 1.6 with $h_1 = 0$ can also be distinguished by studying c. All the links will be proven to be ordered by doing a more in depth analysis of the generating functions: rather than merely studying the critical values, homology groups $H_k(L)$, $k \ge \mathbb{Z}$, for a minimal legendrian link L will be constructed by examining the relative homology groups of canonical sublevel sets. This construction is explained in Section 3. From $H_k[L]$, *positive* and *negative* homology polynomials are legendrian invariants associated to each link L:

(1.7)
$$^{+}()[L] = \bigwedge_{k=-1}^{\cancel{k}} \dim H_{k}^{+}(L)^{-k}; \quad ^{-}()[L] = \bigwedge_{k=-1}^{\cancel{k}} \dim H_{k}^{-}(L)^{-k}:$$

A comparison of +()[L] and -()[L] can detect that the legendrian link L is ordered. It will be said that polynomials $() = \frac{1}{k_{=}-1} a_{k}^{k} a_{k}^{k}$ and $() = \frac{1}{k_{=}-1} b_{k}^{k} k$ are 1{shift palindromic if $() = \frac{1}{k_{=}-1} b_{k}^{k} ()$ denotes the palindrome of $() = \frac{1}{k_{=}-1} b_{k}^{k} -k$.

Theorem 1.8 (See Corollary 3.17) If +()[L] and -()[L] are not 1 {shift palindromic, then the link L is ordered.

Theorem 1.6 then follows easily from Theorem 1.8 and the following calculation which is proven in Section 6 after developing algebraic topology tools in Section 4 and methods to calculate the indices of critical points in Section 5.

Theorem 1.9 (See Theorem 6.1) *Consider the legendrian link* $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_1; 2h_1)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} -() [L] = h_1 + h_2 \quad \stackrel{-v_1}{=} + h_3 \quad \stackrel{-v_1 - v_2}{=} + h_n \quad \stackrel{-v_1 - v_2 - \dots - v_{n-1}}{:} \\ +() [L] = \quad \begin{array}{c} -() [L] : & h_1 \quad 1 \\ (1 + \dots) + & \stackrel{-}{=} () [L] : & h_1 = 0 : \end{array}$$

In [14], the author and Lenny Ng nd similar calculations of a re ned version of the Chekanov rst order polynomials; these Chekanov polynomials are invariants obtained from the di erential algebras obtained from the theory of holomorphic curves, [5], [8], [10].

For the topological version of the link $(2h_n; ...; v_1; 2h_1)$, n = 2, in addition to changing the order of the components, there are \flyping" moves that do not change the topological type of the link. A topological flype occurs when a portion of the link, represented by the circle labeled with \F" in Figure 1.10, is rotated 180 about a vertical axis (a vertical flype), or about a horizontal axis (a horizontal flype). For background on topological flypes, see, for example, [1].

This motivates the de nition of a *legendrian flype*: when a crossing is formed by two edges emanating from a legendrian tangle, represented by the box labeled with \F" in Figure 1.10, a legendrian vertical (horizontal) flype occurs when the tangle is rotated 180 about vertical (horizontal) axis and the crossing is \transferred" to the opposite edges. This rotation action is not a legendrian isotopy; so, although the resulting legendrian links are topologically equivalent, they are potentially not legendrianly equivalent.



Figure 1.10: (a) a topological vertical flype, (b) a topological horizontal flype, (c) a legendrian vertical flype, (d) a legendrian horizontal flype.

For each positive horizontal entry $2h_i$, $i \notin n$, in the legendrian link $(2h_n; \ldots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1)$, it is possible to perform 0, 1, \ldots , or $2h_i$ successive horizontal flypes; for each vertical entry v_i , it is possible to perform 0, 1, \ldots , or v_i successive vertical flypes. A flype at the $2h_i$ entry horizontally flips the tangle constructed from entries $2h_n; \ldots; 2h_{i+1}$; and v_i , while a flype at v_i vertically flips the tangle made from entries $2h_n; \ldots; 2h_{i+1}$. The flyping procedure preserves the minimality of the links. The nomenclature

(1.11)
$$\begin{array}{c} 2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1^{p_1}; \quad q_i \ 2 \ f_0; \dots; v_i g; \\ p_i \ 2 \ f_0; \dots; 2h_i q; \end{array}$$

will be used to denote the modi cation of the standard link $(2h_n; ...; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1)$ by p_i horizontal flypes in the *i*th horizontal component, and q_i vertical flypes in the *i*th vertical component. With this notation, the standard link $(2h_n; ...; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1)$ is written as $(2h_n; v_{n-1}^0; 2h_{n-1}^0; ...; 2h_2^0; v_1^0; 2h_1^0)$. If no superscript is speci ed for an entry of the vector, it will be assumed to be 0.

First consider a link *L* that is obtained by applying vertical flypes to a standard rational link: $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}; ...; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1); q_i \ 2 \ f_0; ...; v_i g$: Figure 1.12 illustrates some links that di er by vertical flypes. In fact, any link *L* that is obtained from $L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_1; 2h_1)$ by vertical flypes is legendrianly equivalent to L_0 .



Figure 1.12: (a) The equivalent legendrian links (2/1/0) and $(2/1^{1}/0)$; (b) The equivalent legendrian links (2/1/2/2/0), $(2/1/2/2^{1}/0)$, and $(2/1/2/2^{2}/0)$.

Theorem 1.13 (See Theorem 2.1) Consider the legendrian links $L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1)$ and $L_1 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}; \dots; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1)$. Then L_0 and L_1 are legendrianly equivalent.

Theorem 1.13 is proved in Section 2 by showing that the (q; z) {projections of L_1 and L_0 are equivalent through a sequence of \legendrian planar isotopies" and \legendrian Reidemeister moves".

Next consider a link L that is obtained by applying horizontal flypes to a standard rational link: $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{q_1})$, $p_i \ 2 \ f_0; \dots; 2h_i g$: Figure 1.14 illustrates some links that di er by horizontal flypes. In contrast to the vertical flyping situation, it is possible to obtain distinct legendrian links by horizontal flypes. For example, the legendrian links (2;1;2) and $(2;1;2^1)$ are topologically equivalent but not legendrianly equivalent. This is a consequence of calculating the -() or +() polynomials.



Figure 1.14: The legendrian links (a) $(2/1/2^0)$, (b) $(2/1/2^1)$, and (c) $(2/1/2^2)$. The link $(2/1/1^0)$ is equivalent to $(2/1/2^2)$, but distinct from $(2/1/2^1)$.

Theorem 1.15 (See Theorem 6.2) Consider the legendrian link

$$L = 2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}}; p_{i} 2 f_{0}; \dots; 2h_{i}g;$$

For $j = 1; \dots; n-1$, let $(j) = 1 + \frac{P_{j}}{i=1}p_{i} \mod 2$. Then
$$\stackrel{-()}{=} [L] = h_{1} + \frac{X_{n}}{i=2} h_{i} (-1)^{-(1)}v_{1} + (-1)^{-(2)}v_{2} + \dots + (-1)^{-(i-1)}v_{i-1};$$

$$\stackrel{+()}{=} (L] = \frac{-()}{(1+1)}[L]; h_{1} = 0;$$

Remark/Question 1.16 Notice that given $L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1})$ and $L_1 = 2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{w_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{w_1}$, if $p_i \quad w_i \mod 2$, for all *i*, then $-()[L_0] = -()[L_1]$, and $+()[L_0] = +()[L_1]$. This is a natural condition on p_1 : if $w_1 \quad p_1 \mod 2$, and $w_i = p_i$ when $i \notin 1$, then L_0 and L_1 are, in fact, equivalent. Due to the boundary identic cation, \double'' horizontal flypes are eqivalent to a rotation. It would be interesting to know if only the parity is important for other p_i , $i \notin 1$. For example, are the links (2;1;2;1;0) and $(2;1;2^2;1;0)$ illustrated in Figure 1.17 legendrianly equivalent?



Figure 1.17: The legendrian links (a) (2;1;2;1;0), and (b) $(2;1;2^2;1;0)$. They have the same polynomials. Are they equivalent?

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

 $L_3^{\theta} = (4;2;2^1;1;2^1)$ and $L_3^{\theta} = (2;1;2^1;1;2^1)$ satisfy $() [L_3^{\theta}] = 2 - 1 + 1 + , -() [L_3^{\theta}] = 2 + ,$ and, since these polynomials are not palindromic, L_3^{θ} and L_3^{θ} are each ordered.



Figure 1.19: The link $(2;2;2^1;1;2^1)$ and its swap $(2;2;2^1;1;2^1)$. Their polynomials are the same. Are the links equivalent?

Remark/Question 1.20 *See Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 7.3* The flyping procedure can be thought of as a generalization of the swapping procedure: as shown in Corollary 2.3, for h_1 1, if

$$L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; \dots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1) \text{ and } L_1 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; \dots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1^1);$$

where $v_1; \dots; v_{n-2} = 0 \mod 2;$

then L_0 and L_1 are swaps of one another. This motivates two questions. *Is this statement true without the hypothesis on the parity of* v_i ?, in particular, is $(2;1;2;1;2^1)$ equivalent to the swap of (2;1;2;1;2)? In fact, $(2;1;2;1;2^1)$ is equivalent to the swap of $(2;1;2^2;1;2)$, and thus this question is closely related to Remark/Question 1.16. Secondly, *Is the analog of this statement true for horizontal flypes of* L_0 ? Namely, for h_1 1, consider

$$\mathcal{M}_{0} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}});$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{1} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}+1});$$

Let $\overline{M_0}$ be the swap of M_0 . It is shown in Proposition 7.3 that $()\overline{M_0} = ()[M_1]$, and $()\overline{M_0} = ()[M_1]$; Are $\overline{M_0}$ and M_1 equivalent? In particular, are $(2;2;2^1;2;2)$ and $(2;2;2^1;2;2^1)$ equivalent? See Figure 1.21.

Remark/Question 1.22 The question of the equivalence of the links $(2;2;2^1;2;2)$ and $(2;2;2^1;2;2^1)$ mentioned in the previous remark is closely related to the question of the equivalence of the links $L_0 = (2;1;2^1;1;0)$ and $L_1 = (2;1;2^1;1^1;0)$; see Figure 1.23. Notice that L_1 only di ers from L_0 by a vertical flype, but L_0 is not standard, and thus Theorem 1.13 does not imply they are equivalent. Remark 6.3 explains that the +() and -() polynomials will never be able to distinguish two links that only di er by vertical



Figure 1.21: The legendrian links (a) $(2;2;2^1;2;2)$, (b) $(2;2;2^1;2;2^1)$. These links have the same polynomials. Are they equivalent?

flypes. It would be interesting to know if it is ever possible to obtain distinct legendrian links by a vertical flype: Do there exist links of the form $L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1^{p_1})$ and $L_1 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}^{w_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1^{w_1}; 2h_1^{p_1})$ such that L_0 and L_1 are not legendrianly equivalent? Lemma 2.1.1 implies that if $w_i = q_i$, when $i \notin n-1$, then L_0 and L_1 are equivalent.



Figure 1.23: The links (a) $(2/1/2^1/1/0)$, and (b) $(2/1/2^1/1^1/0)$. They have the same polynomials. Are they equivalent?

The following summarizes how many di erent legendrian representations of a given rational link type can be constructed from the swap and the flype operations. It would be interesting to know if there are other minimal legendrian versions of these links.

Theorem 1.24 (See Theorems 7.2, 7.4, 7.6) Consider the topological link

 $L_n = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}; \dots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1); \quad h_n; v_{n-1}; \dots; h_2; v_1 = 1; \quad h_1 = 0:$

- (1) If n = 2, there are at least 2 legendrianly distinct minimal links that are topologically equivalent to L_2 .
- (2) If n = 3, and either $h_1 = 0$, $h_2 \notin h_3$, or $v_2 \notin 2v_1$, then there are at least 4 legendrianly distinct minimal links that are topologically equivalent to L_3 .

Generating function polynomials for legendrian links

(3) For n = 4, if $\max fh_1 : 1g [fh_i g_{i=2}^n]$ form a set of order n such that the sums of all its 2^n subsets are distinct, there are at least 2^{n-1} minimal legendrian links that are topologically equivalent to L_n .

When $h_1 = 1$, these 2^{n-1} di erent legendrian links arise by looking at

(1.25)
$$(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1})$$

for $p_i \ 2 \ f_0; 1g$, $i = 1; \dots; n-1$. When $h_1 = 0$, the variations are obtained by the original and the swap of each of the 2^{n-2} links in (1.25).

Remark/Question 1.26 The condition that $h_1 = 0$, $h_2 \notin h_3$, or $v_2 \notin 2v_1$ when n = 3, or that, for n = 4, fh_ig or fh_ig [flg form a set of order n with \distinct subset sums" guarantees that distinct polynomials are associated to the 2^{n-1} links in (1.25). In contrast, consider

$$L^{(0;1,0)} = (2;1;2^{0};1;2^{1};1;2^{0}); \quad L^{(0;1,1)} = (2;1;2^{0};1;2^{1};1;2^{1});$$

see Figure 1.27. By Theorem 1.15,

$$-()[L^{(0,1,0)}] = -1 + 2 + = -()[L^{(0,1,1)}]:$$

Are $L^{(0;1;0)}$ and $L^{(0;1;1)}$ legendrianly equivalent? It is interesting to note that variations of $L^{(0;1;0)}$ and $L^{(0;1;1)}$ with $h_2 \neq h_4$ will produce di erent polynomials. For example, if

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0;1;0)} = (2;1;2^{0};1;4^{1};1;2^{0}); \quad \mathcal{M}^{(0;1;1)} = (2;1;2^{0};1;4^{1};1;2^{1});$$

then $[M^{(0;1;0)}] = 2^{-1} + 2 +$, while $[M^{(0;1;1)}] = ^{-1} + 2 + 2 + 2$.



Figure 1.27: The legendrian links $L^{(0,1,0)} = (2,1,2^0,1,2^1,1,2^0)$ and $L^{(0,1,1)} = (2,1,2^0,1,2^1,1,2^1)$. They have the same polynomials. Are they equivalent?

Remark 1.28 For certain choices of v_i , it is possible that the 2^{n-1} links $L_n = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_2; 2h_1^{p_1})$ have distinct polynomials without the hypothesis that $fh_1; \dots; h_n g$ form a set of order n with distinct subset sums. For example, consider $L_4 = (2; 3; 2; 2; 2; 1; 2)$: In this example, each flype gives a polynomial containing a di erent set of powers of t. More generally, given $v_1; \dots; v_n$, if the 2^{n-1} sets

$$\begin{array}{l} f(-1) \stackrel{(1)}{\longrightarrow} V_1; \ (-1) \stackrel{(1)}{\longrightarrow} V_1 + \ (-1) \stackrel{(2)}{\longrightarrow} V_2; \\ (-1) \stackrel{(1)}{\longrightarrow} V_1 + \ (-1) \stackrel{(2)}{\longrightarrow} V_2 + \\ \end{array} + \ (-1) \stackrel{(n-1)}{\longrightarrow} V_{n-1}g; \qquad : \ f_1; \\ \ldots; n - 1g \ ! \quad \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

are distinct, then any choice of h_i will produce 2^{n-1} di erent -() polynomials.

In Section 6, the polynomials are calculated for rational links, their flypes, and for the usually nonrational \connect sums" of such links. Since, up to legendrian isotopy, the connect sum may depend on the choice of where the links are cut into tangles, a standard position for cutting the links will be chosen. Namely, the connect sum $L_1 \# L_2$ is de ned as the closure of the connect sum of the legendrian rational tangles : L_1 : and : L_2 :, which are constructed analogously to the links L_i . This construction is illustrated in Figure 1.29 where, if L_1 denotes the link $(2h_n; \ldots; 2h_1)$, then : L_1 : corresponds to Figure 1.3 except considered as a tangle rather than closed to a link.



Figure 1.29: The construction of the connect sum $L_1 # L_2$

Theorem 1.30 (See Theorem 6.4) Consider the legendrian links

$$L_{1} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}});$$

$$L_{2} = (2k_{m}; u_{m-1}; 2k_{m-1}^{w_{m-1}}; \dots; u_{1}; 2k_{1}^{w_{1}}):$$

Then

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

Remark/Question 1.31 Theorem 1.30 gives many examples of topogically equivalent, nonrational minimal links that are legendrianly distinct; it also raises some interesting questions. For example, *Are the legendrian links*

(2;1;2) # (2;1;2) and $(2;1;2^2) # (2;1;2)$ equivalent? See Figure 1.32. Notice that the rotation that made (2;1;2) and $(2;1;2^2)$ equivalent is no longer possible.



Figure 1.32: The legendrian links (2/1/2) # (2/1/2), and $(2/1/2^2) # (2/1/2)$. They have the same polynomials. Are they equivalent?

Lastly, notice that the nomenclature for links in $\mathcal{J}^1(S^1)$ easily lends itself to nomenclature for legendrian *knots* in $\mathcal{J}^1(S^1)$. In analog with (1.2), length 2n - 1 vectors of the form

(1.33)
$$2h_{n}; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}^{q_{1}}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}} - 1 ; \\ h_{n}; v_{n-1}; \dots; h_{2}; v_{1}; h_{1} ; q_{i} 2 f0; \dots; v_{i}g; \\ p_{i} 2 f0; \dots; 2h_{i}a;$$

give rise to legendrian knots. The technique of generating functions, as used in this paper, no longer applies. The above results about links raise many interesting questions about such knots. For example, *Are the legendrian knots* (2;1;2;1;1) and $(2;1;2^1;1;1)$ *legendrianly equivalent?* See Figure 1.34.



Figure 1.34: The legendrian knots (2/1/2/1/1) and $(2/1/2^1/1/1)$. They have the same classical invariants. Are they equivalent?

In [14], it is shown that sometimes the di erential algebra approach can say something about some of the above questions. It is a topic for further study

to understand if the generating function approach can be further re ned to capture as many invariants as the holomorphic curve approach.

2 Equivalent Vertical Flypes

In this section, it is shown that it is not possible to produce a nonequivalent legendrian link by performing vertical flypes to a standard link. Recall the terminology $(2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}; \dots; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1)$, $q_i \ 2 \ f_0; \dots; v_i g$, introduced in (1.11).

Theorem 2.1 Consider the legendrian links $L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}; \ldots; v_1; 2h_1)$ and $L_1 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}; \ldots; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1)$. Then L_0 and L_1 are legendrianly equivalent.

To prove Theorem 2.1, it su ces to show that the (q; z) {projections of the links are equivalent by a sequence of \legendrian planar isotopies" and \legendrian (Reidemeister) moves"; see Figure 2.2. (For background on the topological Reidemeister moves, see, for example, [1].) A *legendrian planar isotopy* is a planar isotopy that does not introduce cusps or vertical tangents. Each of the *legendrian type 1 moves* are analogous to one of the type I topological Reidemeister moves: one additional crossing and two additional cusps are introduced into the projection. The *legendrian type 2 moves* are analogous to the type II Reidemeister moves: two new crossings are introduced into the projection after a cusp crosses a noncusped segment. Note that the relative slopes of the cusp and the segment determine if the cusped segment passes over or under the noncusped segment. Lastly, the *legendrian type 3 move* is analogous to one of the type III Reidemeister moves: a strand is slid from one side of a crossing to the other.



Figure 2.2: The legendrian Reidemeister moves

For the proof of Theorem 2.1, it will be useful to introduce the notion of a *legendrian tangle*, [20]. A legendrian tangle consists of two disjoint legendrian arcs $_1$; $_0$ $J^1([0,1])$, where $J^1([0,1])$ denotes the 1{jet space of the interval [0,1], with @ $_1$; @ $_0$ fq = 0g [fq = 1g. Legendrian tangles T_1 ; T_2 are equivalent if their (q; z) {projections are equivalent by a sequence of legendrian planar isotopies and legendrian moves supported in (0,1) \mathbb{R} . The nomenclature $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; 2h_1$: will be used to denote the legendrian tangle constructed using the same recursive procedure used to construct the legendrian link $(2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; 2h_1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 For *n* 2, the desired equivalence of the links $(2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; v_1; 2h_1)$ and $(2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \ldots; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1)$ will follow from a proof that the tangles $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; v_1; 2h_1 :$ and $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \ldots; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1 :$ are equivalent for all choices of $q_i \ 2 \ f_0; \ldots; v_i g$, $i = 1; \ldots; n-1$. The equivalence of the tangles will be proved by induction on *n*. Lemma 2.1.1 proves the base case of n = 2.

Lemma 2.1.1 The legendrian tangles $: 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1 : and : 2h_2; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1 : are equivalent for any <math>q_1 \ 2 \ f_0; \ldots; v_1 g$.

Proof It su ces to show that the tangles : 2h/1/0 : and : 2h/1/0 : are equivalent for all h = 1. This will be shown by an induction argument on h. Figure 2.1.1.1 outlines the legendrian moves that prove the base case of h = 1.



Figure 2.1.1.1: The equivalence of the tangles : 2/1/0 : and $: 2/1^1/0 :$

As the induction step, assume : 2k/1/0 : and $: 2k/1^1/0 :$ are equivalent. Figure 2.1.1.2 then outlines the legendrian moves that demonstrate the equivalence of : 2k + 2/1/0 : and $: 2k + 2/1^1/0 :$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.1.



Figure 2.1.1.2: The equivalence of the tangles : 2k + 2/1/0 : and $: 2k + 2/1^{1}/0 :$ assuming the equivalence of : 2k/1/0 : and $: 2k/1^{1}/0 :$

The induction step in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to show that the length 2n-1 tangles $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_2; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1 :$ and $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; ...; v_2^{q_2}; 2h_2; v_1^{q_1}; 2h_1 :$ are equivalent for all choices of q_i , i = 1; ...; n-1, assuming that the length 2n-3 tangles $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_2; 2h_2 :$ and $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; ...; v_2^{q_2}; 2h_2 :$ are equivalent for all choices of q_i , i = 2; ...; n-1. For this, it su ces to prove that $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_2; 2h_2; 1; 0 :$ and $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_2; 2h_2; 1^1; 0 :$ are equivalent. This will be proved by induction on h_2 . Figure 2.1.2 outlines the moves that prove the base case statement that $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_2; 2; 1; 0 :$ and $: 2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; v_2; 2; 1; 0 :$

The induction statement is that the equivalence of the tangles : $2h_n$; v_{n-1} ; ...; v_2 ; 2k + 2; 1/0 : and : $2h_n$; v_{n-1} ; ...; v_2 ; 2k + 2; $1^1/0$: follows from the equivalence of the tangles : $2h_n$; v_{n-1} ; ...; v_2 ; 2k; 1/0 : and : $2h_n$; $v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}$; ...; $v_2^{q_2}$; 2k; $1^1/0$: This is proven using a sequence of moves similar to those shown in Figure 2.1.1.2.

A nice consequence of Theorem 2.1 is that the flyping procedure can be seen as a generalization of the swapping procedure.

Corollary 2.3 For h_1 1, consider the legendrian links

 $L_0 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; \dots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1); \quad L_1 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; \dots; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1^1);$

when v_1 ; \dots ; v_{n-2} are even. If $\overline{L_0}$ denotes the swap of L_0 , then $\overline{L_0}$ and L_1 are equivalent legendrian links.

Generating function polynomials for legendrian links



Figure 2.1.2: The equivalence of the tangles $: 2h_n$, v_{n-1} , ..., v_2 , 2, 1, 0: and $: 2h_n$, v_{n-1} , ..., v_2 , 2, 1^1 , 0: assuming the equivalence of the tangles $: 2h_n$, v_{n-1} , ..., v_2 , 0: and $: 2h_n$, v_{n-1} , ..., $v_2^{q_2}$, 0:

Proof By a rotation, L_1 is equivalent to the swap of

$$2h_n; v_{n-1}^{v_{n-1}}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; v_{n-2}^{v_{n-2}}; \dots; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1^{v_1}; 2h_1;$$

where, for i = 2; ::: ; n - 1,

$$p_i = \begin{array}{ccc} 0; & (i) & 0 \mod 2 \\ 2h_i; & (i) & 1 \mod 2 \end{array} \text{ where } (i) = \begin{array}{c} \overleftarrow{k} \\ v_k: \\ k-1 \end{array}$$

Thus, if v_1 ; :::; v_{n-2} are even, L_1 is equivalent to the swap of $2h_n$; $v_{n-1}^{V_{n-1}}$; $2h_{n-1}$; $v_{n-2}^{V_{n-2}}$; .::; $2h_2$; $v_2^{V_2}$; $2h_1$, and thus, by Theorem 2.1, L_1 is equivalent to the swap of L_0 .

3 Generating Function Theory

Recall that the links in $J^1(S^1)$ under consideration are minimal, and thus, by de nition, each strand is legendrian isotopic to $j^1(0)$, the 1{jet of the 0{ function. This condition guarantees that each component of the link has an essentially unique \generating function". The technique of generating functions

is an extension of the fact that the 1{jet of a smooth function, $f: fq \ 2 \ S^1 g$! $fz \ 2 \ \mathbb{R}g$, is a legendrian submanifold. More generally, if $F: S^1 \ \mathbb{R}^N$! \mathbb{R} has ber derivatives $\frac{@F}{@e}$ transverse to 0, then

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad := \qquad q_0 \not: \frac{\mathscr{P}F}{\mathscr{Q}q}(q_0 \not: e_0) \not: F(q_0 \not: e_0) \qquad : \frac{\mathscr{P}F}{\mathscr{Q}e}(q_0 \not: e_0) = 0$$

is an immersed legendrian submanifold of $J^1(S^1)$, and F is called a generating function for . Critical points of a generating function correspond to points where intersects fp = 0g. A function $F : S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quadratic at in nity if there exists a berwise quadratic, nondegenerate form Q(q; e) such that F(q; e) - Q(q; e) has compact support. The index of a quadratic at in nity function will refer to the index of the associated quadratic form. The abbreviation g.q.i. function will be used to denote a generating and quadratic at in nity function. There is a parallel de nition of quadratic at in nity generating functions for lagrangian submanifolds of cotangent bundles; see, for example, [21], [16], [22], [18], [9].

The following existence theorem is proved, for a more general situation, by Chaperon in [3], by Chekanov in [4], and in the appendix to [19].

Existence (3.2) If $t J^1(S^1)$, t 2[0;1], is a smooth 1 {parameter family of legendrian submanifolds such that $_0 = j^1(0)$, then there exists an $N 2 \mathbb{N}$, and a smooth 1{parameter family F_t : $S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$, t 2[0;1], of g.q.i. functions for $_t$.

Example 3.3 For the strands of the links under consideration in this paper, generating functions can be explicitly described. For example, if the (q; z) { , $q_{Z}()$, is the graph of a function f, then $f: S^1 \bowtie \mathbb{R}$ is a projection of g.q.i. function for (A, B). Notice that if Q(e) is a quadratic form, Q(e) = (A + E) Q(e)*ij ej ej ;* then F(q; e) = f(q) + Q(e) is also a g.q.i. function for . Next consider given as the \nongraph " strand the link $(2, 1^1, 0)$ as pictured in Figure 1.12. Construct a g.q.i. function $F: S^1 \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{R}$ for this strand with a \bubble" as follows. On fq = 0g, let the ber function $F(0;): \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{R}$ be a quadratic function of index 1 with critical point *a* with value given by $q_{z}() \wedge fq = 0g$. For q_0 in a neighborhood of 0, $F(q_0;): \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{R}$ continues to be a quadratic function, and there is a path of critical points $a(q_0) \ 2 \ fq_0 g \ \mathbb{R}$ of $F(q_0;)$ with values given by $q_{z}() \wedge fq = q_0 g$. At the q{coordinate where the left cusp occurs, the ber function experiences a birth of a degenerate critical point. This can be accomplished by a compact perturbation of the function. As *q* increases, this degenerate critical point bifurcates into two paths of nondegenerate critical points $b(q_0)$; $c(q_0) \ge fq_0g \quad \mathbb{R}$ of $F(q_0; \cdot)$ of indices 1; 0 with $b(q_0)$ of index 1

having a larger critical value. As q increases further, the critical values of the critical points $a(q_0); b(q_0); c(q_0)$ of $F(q_0;)$ are traced out by $_{q;Z}() \setminus fq = q_0g$. Eventually, the critical value of $b(q_0)$ is larger than the critical value of $a(q_0)$, and the critical value of $a(q_0)$ approaches the value of the critical value of $c(q_0)$. At the q {coordinate where the right cusp occurs, the critical points $a(q_0)$ and $c(q_0)$ merge to form a degenerate critical point which dies as q increases further. After this point, $F(q_0;)$ is again a quadratic function of index 1. After applying ber preserving di eomorphisms, F will be quadratic at in nity of index 1. This procedure can be generalized to construct a g.q.i. function for a strand L_2 from a g.q.i. function for a strand L_1 , when L_2 has an additional bubble resulting from a legendrian type 1 move applied to L_1 .

As can be seen from the previous example, there are choices in the domain and in the location of the critical points, but not in the critical values. If is de ned by a g.q.i. function $F: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N / \mathbb{R}$, Theorem 3.4 shows that all other g.q.i. functions for arise from the following \natural modi cations" of F:

- (1) **Fiber Preserving Di eomorphism** Given a ber preserving di eomorphism : $S^1 \ \mathbb{R}^N \ S^1 \ \mathbb{R}^N$, consider $\not \in F$;
- (2) **Stabilization** Let $Q: \mathbb{R}^{M}$! \mathbb{R} be a nondegenerate quadratic form, $Q(f) = \underset{ij}{i} f_{i}f_{j}$, and consider $\hat{F}: S^{1} \mathbb{R}^{N} \mathbb{R}^{M}$! \mathbb{R} de ned by $\hat{F}(q; e; f) = F(q; e) + Q(f)$.

The following uniqueness theorem parallels a uniqueness result for quadratic at in nity generatating functions of lagrangian submanifolds, proved by Viterbo and Theret, [21],[16], [22]. The following can be proved by modifying Theret's careful proof to the legendrian setting, replacing references to Sikorav's lagrangian g.q.i. function existence results by the above mentioned legendrian g.q.i. function existence results, [3].

Uniqueness Theorem 3.4 (Theret) Let $J^1(S^1)$ be legendrian isotopic to $j^1(0)$. If F_1 ; F_2 are both g.q.i. functions for , then there exist nondegenerate quadratic forms Q_1 ; Q_2 and a ber preserving di eomorphism so that $F_2 + Q_2 = (F_1 + Q_1)$

De nition 3.5 Consider a minimal legendrian link $L = {}_{1}q {}_{0}$. Let

$$F_1: S^1 \quad \mathbb{R}^{N_1} ! \quad \mathbb{R}^{:} \quad F_0: S^1 \quad \mathbb{R}^{N_0} ! \quad \mathbb{R}$$

be g.q.i. functions for $1 \stackrel{!}{,} 0$. Then the associated (quadratic at in nity) *di erence function* of *L*, $S^1 \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \mathbb{R}^{N_0}$! \mathbb{R} , is de ned as

$$(q; e_1; e_0) := F_1(q; e_1) - F_0(q; e_0)$$

Proposition 3.6 Suppose $L = {}_{1} q {}_{0} J^{1}(S^{1})$ is a minimal legendrian link. Then for any di erence function of ${}_{1} q {}_{0}$, critical points of are in 1 - -1 correspondence with points $((q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{1}); (q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{0})) 2 {}_{1} {}_{0}$, and 0 is never a critical value of .

Proof Using formula (3.1), it is easy to verify that the function $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \mathbb{R}^{N_0} ! \mathbb{R}$ generates the legendrian $D := f(q; p_1 - p_0; z_1 - z_0) : (q; p_i; z_i) 2_i g$: Since critical points of correspond to points where D intersects fp = 0g, there is a 1 - -1 correspondence between critical points of and the speci ed points of $_1 _0$. Furthermore, since $_1 \setminus_0 = /$, 0 cannot be a critical value for .

For $c \ 2 \mathbb{R}$, a noncritical value of $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \mathbb{R}^{N_0} / \mathbb{R}$, let

$$(3.7) c := f(q; e_1; e_0): (q; e_1; e_0) cg.$$

For every link, there exists M > 0 so that all critical values of are contained in [-M + (M -)], for some > 0. For such an M, let

(3.8)
$$^{1} := M$$

De nition 3.9 The *total, positive, and negative homology groups* of a minimal legendrian link $L = {}_{1} q {}_{0} J^{1}(S^{1})$ are de ned as

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(L) &:= H_{k+q} & \stackrel{1}{;} & \stackrel{-1}{;} \\ H_k^+(L) &:= H_{k+q} & \stackrel{1}{;} & \stackrel{0}{;} \\ H_k^-(L) &:= H_{k+q} & \stackrel{0}{;} & \stackrel{-1}{;} & k \ 2 \ \mathbb{Z}; \end{aligned}$$

where is a di erence function for L, q is the index of , and the relative homology groups are calculated with coe cients in \mathbb{Z}_2 .

From the de nitions of these homology groups, if has index q, then critical points of of index i will often contribute to the $H_{i-q}(L)$; $H_{i-q}(L)$. For this reason, when is quadratic at in nity of index q, if x is a critical point of with index i, the *shifted index* of x is de ned as i - q.

The following is a classical result of Morse theory, but for the reader's convenience, a proof will be given. This lemma will be useful when showing that $H_k(L)$; $H_k(L)$ are well-de ned invariants of L, and in the Section 6 calculations of these homology groups.

Generating function polynomials for legendrian links

Lemma 3.10 Consider a smooth 1 {parameter family of quadratic at in nity functions $_t: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$, $t \ge [0;1]$, of index q. Given paths $; : [0;1] ! \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all t, (t); (t) are noncritical values of $_t$ with (t) < (t), then

$$H_{q+k} = {}_{0}{}^{(0)} : {}_{0}{}^{(0)} : {}_{H_{q+k}} = {}_{t}{}^{(t)} : {}_{t}{}^{(t)} : {}_{t}{}^{(t)} : {}_{t}{}^{8t 2 [0;1]} : {}_{k}{}^{8k 2 \mathbb{Z}} :$$

Proof By applying ber preserving di eomorphisms, which will not change the calculation of the homology groups, it can be assumed that for all t_0 ; $t_1 \ge [0, 1]$, $t_0 = t_1$ outside a compact set. It su ces to show that for all $t_0 \ge t_1 \ge [0, 1]$, there exists a neighborhood $U(t_0)$ of t_0 such that $H_{q+k}(t_0 \ge t_0^{(t_0)}) \ge H_{q+k}(t_0 \ge t_0^{(t_0)})$.

First notice that since (*t*) and (*t*) are noncritical values for *t*, it is possible to choose > 0 such that for all $t \ge [0,1]$, there are no critical values of *t* in ((t) - 2; (t) + 2) or ((t) - 2; (t) + 2). This implies that if jb - (t)j < 2 and ja - (t)j < 2, then $H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b \\ t \\ t \end{array}) \xrightarrow{a} H_{q+k}(t) \xrightarrow{(t)} H_{q+k}(t) \xrightarrow{(t)} H_{q+k}(t)$.

Next, using such an $\$, choose a neighborhood $U(t_0)$ of t_0 $2\ [0;1]$ such that, for $t\ 2\ U(t_0)$,

- (1) $\sup_{t \to t_0} j_{t}(x) t_0(x) j_{t} x 2 S^1 \mathbb{R}^N < x \text{ and}$
- (2) $j(t) (t_0)j < J$, and $j(t) (t_0)j < J$

By (2), H_{q+k} $t^{(t)}$; $t^{(t)}$, H_{q+k} $t^{(t_0)+}$; $t^{(t_0)+}$. By (1), for $c = (t_0)$ and $c = (t_0)$, the inclusions t^{c-} c_{t_0} t^{c+} t^{c+2} induce homomorphisms

$$H_{q+k} = t^{(t_0)-}; \quad t^{(t_0)-} - -t^{k} = H_{q+k} = t^{(t_0)}; \quad t_0^{(t_0)} -t^{k} = H_{q+k} = t^{(t_0)+}; \quad t^{(t_0)+} = -t^{k} = H_{q+k} = t^{(t_0)+2}; \quad t^{(t_0)+2} = t^{(t_$$

Since j $(t_0) - (t)j; j$ $(t_0) - (t)j; j$ $(t_0) 2 - (t_0)j < 2$, the rst and third groups are isomorphic to H_{q+k} $t^{(t)}; t^{(t)}$, the second and fourth groups are isomorphic to H_{q+k} $t_0^{(t_0)}; t_0^{(t_0)}$, and 2 1 and 3 2 are isomorphisms. Thus 2 is an isomorphism, and it follows that H_{q+k} $t^{(t)}; t^{(t)}$ H_{q+k} $t_0^{(t_0)}; t_0^{(t_0)}$.

Theorem 3.11 $H_k(L)$, $H_k^+(L)$, and $H_k^-(L)$ are well-de ned invariants of a minimal legendrian link $L = J^1(S^1)$.

Proof It must be shown that the homology groups do not depend on the choice of generating functions F_i for the strands, and will not change as the link undergoes a legendrian isotopy.

Suppose $L = {}_{1} q {}_{0}$, and let F_{i} : $S^{1} \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$! \mathbb{R} be g.q.i. function for *i*. It must be shown that if $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i: S^1 \quad \mathbb{R}^{M_i} \neq \mathbb{R}$ are other g.q.i. function for then the relative homology groups of $e(q; e_1; e_0) := F_1(q; e_1) - F_0(q; f_0)$ agree, up to a shift of appropriate index, with those of $(q; e_1; e_0) := F_1(q; e_1; e_0) - F_1(q; e_1; e_0)$ $F_0(q; e_1; e_0)$. By the Uniqueness Theorem 3.4, it is only necessary to check the cases where ^e di ers from by a ber preserving di eomorphism or by a stabilization. If e = 1, then the associated sublevel sets are di eomorphic: $^{-1}(^{\circ})$. Thus the relative homology groups are unchanged. Next, е*с* = suppose that $e: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N \mathbb{R}^M ! \mathbb{R}$ is defined by e(q; e; f) = (q; e) + Q(f), where is a g.q.i. function of index q, and Q is a nondegenerate quadratic form of index *j*. It is easily veri ed that the critical values of agree with those of ~, and that for a noncritical value v, for all $k \ge \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism : $C_{q+k}^{\vee}()$! $C_{q+j+k}^{\vee}(e)$, where $C_{j}^{\vee}(\cdot)$ (respectively $C_{j}^{\vee}(e)$) denotes the *i*{chains of v (respectively ev). For all noncritical values a < b, and all $k \ 2 \ \mathbb{Z}$, the isomorphism induces chain isomorphisms $e: C^b_{q+k}() = C^a_{q+k}()$! $C_{q+j+k}^{b}(\mathbb{C}) = C_{q+j+k}^{a}(\mathbb{C})$; and thus $H_{q+k}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, $H_{q+j+k}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, as desired. Suppose L_t , $t \ge [0,1]$, is a 1{parameter family of minimal legendrian links. By Existence (3.2), there exist di erence functions $t: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N / \mathbb{R}$ of index q for L_t . It must be shown that, for all $t \ge [0, 1]$, and all $k \ge \mathbb{Z}$,

Choose paths (\cdot, \cdot) : [0,1] ! \mathbb{R} such that (t) is negative and is less than all critical values of t, (t) = 0, and (t) is positive and is greater than all critical values of t. By construction and Proposition 3.6, (t); (t); (t) are noncritical values of t, and thus the desired result holds by Lemma 3.10. \Box

Proposition 3.12 For any minimal legendrian link L, $H_k(L) \land H_k(S^1)$, for all $k \ge \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof By hypothesis, each strand of *L* can be individually isotoped so that it is the graph of a function. Thus there exists a 1{parameter family of immersed legendrian links L_t , $t \ge [0,1]$ with $L_0 = L$, $L_1 = j^1(+f) q j^1(-f)$, where $j^1(-f)$ is the 1{jet of $f(q) = (\cos(2 q) + 2)$. For the associated 1{parameter family of di erence functions t of L_t , choose paths $j : [0,1] ! \mathbb{R}$ such that

(*t*) is negative and less than all critical values of t, and (*t*) is positive and greater than all critical values of t. Since it is easy to understand the total homology group of L_1 , the desired result then follows by Lemma 3.10.

Lemma 3.13 For a function $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$, and a; b; c noncritical values of with a < b < c, there is a long exact sequence

Proof Given : $S^1 extsf{R}^N ! extsf{R}$ of index q, and a noncritical value v of , $C_k^v(\)$ will denote the (q + k){chains of v. Given a triple a < b < c of noncritical values of , for each k, there is a natural exact sequence

$$0 ! C_k^b() = C_k^a() !' C_k^c() = C_k^a() ! C_k^c() = C_k^b() ! 0!$$

Since *i*; are chain maps, it follows that there is an exact sequence

De nition 3.15 For a minimal legendrian link *L*, form the *positive* and *negative homology polynomials*:

$$+()[L] = \bigvee_{k=-1}^{\mathcal{A}} \dim H_k^+(L) \quad \stackrel{k}{:} \quad -()[L] = \bigvee_{k=-1}^{\mathcal{A}} \dim H_k^-(L) \quad \stackrel{k}{:}$$

A comparison of ${}^{+}()[L]$ and ${}^{-}()[L]$ may detect that the legendrian link L is ordered. Recall from Section 1 that polynomials $() = \frac{1}{k_{m-1}} a_k^{-k} a_m^{-1} a_k^{-k} a_m^{$

Theorem 3.16 Let $L = {}_{1} q {}_{0}$ be a minimal legendrian link, and let \overline{L} denote its swap, $\overline{L} = {}_{0} q {}_{1}$. Then ${}^{+}()[L]$ and ${}^{-}()[\overline{L}]$ are 1{shift palindromic, and ${}^{-}()[L]$ and ${}^{+}()[\overline{L}]$ are 1{shift palindromic.

Proof If $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$! \mathbb{R} is a di erence function for *L*, then $\overline{=}$ - is a di erence function for \overline{L} . If *Q* and \overline{Q} denote the indices of and $\overline{}$, then $\overline{Q} = N - 1 - Q$. Notice

$$H_{k}^{+}(L) = H_{k+Q}(+1; 0) + H^{N-(k+Q)}(+1; 0) + H_{N-(k+Q)} = -1$$
$$= H_{N-(k+Q)-\overline{Q}}^{-}(\overline{L}) = H_{N-(k+Q)-N+1+Q}^{-}(\overline{L}) = H_{-k+1}^{-}(\overline{L}):$$

Thus dim $H_k^+(L) = \dim H_{-k+1}^-(\overline{L})$, and it follows that +()[L] and $-()[\overline{L}]$ are 1 {shift palindromic. A similar calculation shows that -()[L] and $+()[\overline{L}]$ are 1 {shift palindromic.

Corollary 3.17 Let $L = {}_{1} q {}_{0}$ be a minimal legendrian link. If $^{+}()[L]$ and $^{-}()[L]$ are not 1{shift palindromic, then the link L is ordered.

Proof If *L* is not ordered, then $+()[L] = +()[\overline{L}]$, and thus +()[L] and -()[L] must be 1{shift palindromic.

4 Algebraic Topology Tools

In this section, some tools will be developed that will aid in the Section 6 calculations of the link polynomials of rational links and connect sums of rational links. The rst result is called the Additive Extension Lemma since it gives conditions when, for $b_2 > b_1 > 0$ and $a_2 < a_1 < 0$, dim $H(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ b_2 \end{array}) = \dim H(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ b_1 \end{array}) = \dim H(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ a_1 \end{array}) + \dim H(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \\ a_1 \end{array}) = \dim H(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array}).$

Additive Extension Lemma 4.1 Suppose that $c_1
end c_2$ are critical values of a quadratic at in nity function $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$ of index q, and that $a_2; a_1; b_1; b_2$ are noncritical values such that

$$a_2 < c_2^- < a_1 < c_1^- < 0 < c_1^+ < b_1 < c_2^+ < b_2$$

Suppose that

- (1) c_2^+ is the only critical value in $[b_1; b_2]$, c_2^- is the only critical value in $[a_2; a_1]$, all critical points with values c_2^+ are nondegenerate and have the same index, and all critical points with value c_2^- are nondegenerate and have the same index,
- (2) $H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 \end{array}) = 0; \quad 8k \ 2\mathbb{Z}, \text{ and}$
- (3) $H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_1 \end{array}) \land H_{q+k+1}(\begin{array}{cc} b_1 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}) \not \quad 8k \ 2 \mathbb{Z}.$

Then

$$\dim H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ a_2 \end{array} = \dim H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b_1 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array}$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

Proof The statement about dim $H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array})$ follows immediately if @ 0 in the long exact sequence

If there exists k such that $0 \notin im @ (H_{q+k+1}(b_2; b_1))$, then by hypothesis (1), $H_{q+k}(b_2; b_1) = 0$, and thus

(4.1.2)
$$\dim H_{q+k}(\stackrel{b_1}{,} \stackrel{0}{,}) > \dim H_{q+k}(\stackrel{b_2}{,} \stackrel{0}{,}):$$

Since all critical points with values in $[b_1; b_2]$ have index q + k + 1, another exact sequence argument, using the fact that $H(\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for all $a_1 = 0$, proves

(4.1.3)
$$H_{q+k-1}(\overset{b_2}{\ldots}, \overset{a_1}{\ldots}) = 0$$

The facts 4:1:2 and 4:1:3 combine with the hypotheses

 $\dim H_{q+k-1}(\ \ {}^{0};\ \ {}^{a_{1}}) = \dim H_{q+k}(\ \ {}^{b_{1}};\ \ {}^{0})$

to give a contradiction to the necessary surjectivity of @ in the exact sequence (4.1.4)

$$I = H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array}) \stackrel{g}{!} = H_{q+k-1}(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \end{array} \stackrel{a_1}{!} \stackrel{i}{!} H_{q+k-1}(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \stackrel{a_1}{!} \stackrel{i}{!} \dots \stackrel{a_{2}}{!}$$

This proves the claim about dim $H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ \vdots \end{array}$). A proof of the claim about dim $H_{q+k}(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \end{array}$ can be proved similarly.

The following proposition will be useful when calculating the homology polynomials of legendrian links that are topologically the rational links q, for q = 1.

Positive Integral Proposition 4.2 Suppose the minimal legendrian link $L = {}_1 q {}_0$ has a di erence function $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$ with critical values $c_1 : :::; c_n$, and noncritical values $a_1 : :::; a_n; b_n; :::; b_1$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{l} a_1 < c_1^- < a_2 < c_2^- < < a_{n-1} < c_{n-1}^- < a_n < c_n^- < 0; \\ 0 < c_n^+ < b_n < c_{n-1}^+ < b_{n-1} < < c_2^+ < b_2 < c_1^+ < b_1; \end{array}$$

If

(1) for k = 1; ...; n, there are h_k nondegenerate critical points with value c_k^+ , and h_k nondegenerate critical points with value c_k^- ; all critical points with value c_k^- ; have shifted index $i_k + 1$, and all critical points with value c_k^- have shifted index i_k ; and

(2) for k = 2; ...; n, $H({b_k}; {a_k}) = 0$, for all $2\mathbb{Z}$,

then

$$+()[L] = \frac{\times}{k=1}^{k} h_{k} \quad i_{k+1}; \quad -()[L] = \frac{\times}{k=1}^{k} h_{k} \quad i_{k}:$$

Proof By hypothesis (1),

$$\dim H_{q+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_n \end{pmatrix} = \dim H_{q++1} \begin{pmatrix} b_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_n & = i_n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$
$$\dim H_{q+} \begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1} & a_k \end{pmatrix} = \dim H_{q++1} \begin{pmatrix} b_k & b_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_k & = i_k \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{pmatrix} \text{ else } \text{ for all } H_{q++1} \begin{pmatrix} b_k & b_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_k & a_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

for k = 1; ...; n - 1. Thus it succes to show that for n - 1 k = 1, dim H_{q+} $\begin{pmatrix} b_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \dim H_{q+}$ $\begin{pmatrix} b_{k+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dim H_{q+}$ $\begin{pmatrix} b_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \dim H_{q+}$ $\begin{pmatrix} b_{k+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dim H_{q+}$ $\begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lim H_{q+}$ $\begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lim$

This will be proven by repeatedly applying the Additive Extension Lemma 4.1. To apply this lemma, it must be shown that for n + k = 2,

$$H_{q+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_k \end{pmatrix} & H_{q++1} \begin{pmatrix} b_k & 0 \\ c & c \end{pmatrix}$$

As mentioned above, this is true when k = n. Assume it is true when k = '. Then the Additive Extension Lemma applied to

$$a_{'-1} < c_{'-1}^- < a_{'} < c_{'}^- < 0 < c_{'}^+ < b_{'} < c_{'-1}^+ < b_{'-1}$$

shows that

$$\dim H_{q++1}(\stackrel{b_{r-1}}{,} \stackrel{0}{,} = \dim H_{q++1}(\stackrel{b_{r}}{,} \stackrel{0}{,} + \dim H_{q++1}(\stackrel{b_{r-1}}{,} \stackrel{b_{r}}{,})$$
$$= \dim H_{q+}(\stackrel{0}{,} \stackrel{a_{r}}{,} + \dim H_{q+}(\stackrel{a_{r}}{,} \stackrel{a_{r-1}}{,})$$
$$= \dim H_{q+}(\stackrel{0}{,} \stackrel{a_{r-1}}{,})$$

Thus the isomorphism holds when k = -1. This completes the proof.

The next proposition will be used to calculate the homology polynomials of minimal legendrian links that are topologically the rational links q, for q < 1.

Zero Integral Proposition 4.3 Suppose the minimal legendrian link $L = {}_{1}Q_{0}$ has a di erence function : $S^{1} \mathbb{R}^{N} / \mathbb{R}$ with critical values $c_{1}^{0} / c_{1}^{1} / c_{2} / \dots / c_{n}$ and noncritical values $a_{2} / \dots / a_{n} / b_{n} / \dots / b_{1}$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{l} a_2 < c_2 < & < a_{n-1} < c_{n-1} < a_n < c_n^- < 0 \\ 0 < c_n^+ < b_n < c_{n-1}^+ < b_{n-1} < & < c_2^+ < b_2 < c_1^0 < c_1^1 < b_1 \end{array}$$

If, for k = 2; :::; n,

(1) there are h_k nondegenerate critical points with value c_k^+ , and h_k nondegenerate critical points with value c_k^- ; all critical points with value c_k^+ have shifted index $i_k + 1$, while all critical points with value c_k^- have shifted index i_k , and

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

Generating function polynomials for legendrian links

(2)
$$H\left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{k} & a_{k} \\ \end{array}\right) = 0$$
, for all $2\mathbb{Z}$,

then

$$()[L] = (1 +) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} h_k^{i_k+1}; \quad ()[L] = \sum_{k=2}^{n} h_k^{i_k}:$$

Proof Using the hypothesis $H(b_k; a_k) = 0$ for k = 3; ...; n, arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.2 prove that

$$\begin{array}{l} -()[L] = \dim H_{q+i_n}(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_n \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i_n + \dim H_{q+i_{n-1}}(\begin{array}{c} a_n \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_{n-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i_{n-1} + \ldots \\ + \dim H_{q+i_2}(\begin{array}{c} a_3 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i_2 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ = \sum_{k=2}^{N} h_k \begin{array}{c} i_k \end{array} \end{array}$$

To prove the claim about +()[L], it su ces to show that

$$\dim H_k^+(L) = \frac{\dim H_{k-1}^-(L) + 1; \quad k = 0; 1}{\dim H_{k-1}^-(L); \quad \text{else:}}$$

By Proposition 3.12, dim $H_k(L) = 1$, when k = 0/1, and vanishes otherwise. Thus the desired calculations of $H_k^+(L)$ will follow if it is shown that i = 0 in the exact sequence

The map *i* is induced by the inclusion map *i*: $\frac{C_k^0(\)}{C_k^{d_2}(\)}$ *!* $\frac{C_k^{b_1}(\)}{C_k^{d_2}(\)}$: Since *i* = i_2 i_1 , where

$$\frac{C_k^0(\)}{C_k^{a_2}(\)} \stackrel{j_1}{=} \frac{C_k^{b_2}(\)}{C_k^{a_2}(\)} \stackrel{j_2}{=} \frac{C_k^{b_1}(\)}{C_k^{a_2}(\)}.$$

 $i = (i_2)$ (i_1) . However, since $H(b_2, b_2) = 0$, $(i_1) = 0$, and thus i = 0, as desired.

5 Index Calculations

Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 will make it easy to calculate the homology polynomials of a minimal legendrian link $L = {}_{1} q {}_{0}$ from a di erence function that is in a \nice" form. To apply the propositions, it will be necessary to nd the critical points of ${}_{,}$ and calculate the indices of these critical points. Critical points of are easily described in terms of ${}_{1} q {}_{0}$: as was shown in Proposition

3.6, they correspond to points $((q_0; p_0; z_1); (q_0; p_0; z_0)) 2_1 = 0$. The indices of the critical points of can easily be calculated in terms of data from the (q; Z) {projection of the link: as will be shown in Proposition 5.5, the index of the critical point corresponding to $((q_0; p_0; z_1); (q_0; p_0; z_0))$ can be calculated as a di erence of \branch indices" of $(q_0; p_0; z_1)$ and of $(q_0; p_0; z_0)$, and a Morse \graph index" between $(q; p_0; z_1)$ and $(q_0; p_0; z_0)$.

Throughout this section, $q;z : q;p : J^1(S^1) ! S^1 \mathbb{R}$ will denote the projections to the (q;z) {, (q;p) {coordinates, respectively.

De nition 5.1 Given a legendrian knot $J^1(S^1)$, the *branches* of are the connected components of nC, where C denotes the set of points that project to cusp points in $_{q;Z}($). Branches $V_1 : V_0$ of are *adjacent* if their closures \overline{V}_i intersect. If $V_1 : V_0$ are adjacent, $V_1 > V_0$ if there exists $v \ge \overline{V}_1 \setminus \overline{V}_0$ and a path $_{q;Z}($) with $[0; 1=2) = _{q;Z}(V_0)$, $(1=2) = _{q;Z}(v)$, and $(1=2; 1] = _{q;Z}(V_1)$, so that $_{q;Z}(v)$ is an up cusp along the path.

De nition 5.2 Suppose $J^1(S^1)$ is legendrian isotopic to $j^1(0)$. Let fV_ig denote the set of branches of . Suppose there exists a branch $I \ge fV_ig$, $v \ge I$, and a contact isotopy t, $t \ge [0,1]$, so that $t_1(v) = j^1(v)$, and $t_{g,z}(v, t_i)$ is never a cusp. Then the branch index $i_B \colon fV_ig \nmid \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $i_B(V_i) = 0$, if $V_i = I$, and $i_B(V_1) - i_B(V_0) = 1$, if $V_1 \not: V_0$ are adjacent with $V_1 > V_0$.

From this de nition, it appears that the above branch index may depend on the choice of an initial branch /. However, the next proposition shows that this is not the case.

Proposition 5.3 Suppose is legendrian isotopic to $j^1(0)$, V is a branch of , and $v \ge V$. If $F: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$ is a g.q.i. function of index \mathcal{Q} for , and $(q_{v}; e_v) \ge S^1 \mathbb{R}^N$ corresponds to v, then $i_B(V) = \text{ind } F(q_{v};)(e_v) - \mathcal{Q}$:

Proof Let t be a legendrian isotopy with $0 = j^1(0)$, 1 = 0, and let q;p(t) denote the lagrangian projections. By a classical result, see for example Appendix B of [17] or [18], the shifted index of the ber function $F(q_V; 0) = 0$ is equal to the Maslov index of a path t = 0 and t = 0, t = 0

Generating function polynomials for legendrian links

De nition 5.4 Given legendrian knots $_{1, 0} = \mathcal{J}^{1}(S^{1})$, suppose $(q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{1})$ $2 V_{1}, (q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{0}) 2 V_{0}$, where $V_{1}; V_{0}$ are branches of $_{1}; _{0}$, respectively. Then there exist functions $f_{1}; f_{0}: U ! \mathbb{R}$ such that near $(q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{1}), _{q;z}(V_{1}) =$ $f(q; f_{1}(q))g$, and near $(q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{0}), _{q;z}(V_{0}) = f(q; f_{0}(q))g$. It follows that q_{0} is a critical point of $f_{1} - f_{0}$. Then $((q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{1}); (q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{1})) 2 = 1 = 0$ is nondegenerate if q_{0} is a nondegenerate critical point of $f_{1} - f_{0}$; the graph index, i, of a nondegenerate point is the Morse index of $f_{1} - f_{0}$ at q_{0} .

Proposition 5.5 Suppose $L = {}_{1}q_{0} \quad J^{1}(S^{1})$ is a minimal legendrian link. Given a nondegenerate $((q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{1}); (q_{0}; p_{0}; z_{0})) \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 0$, the corresponding nondegenerate critical point *x* of has shifted index $\tau(x)$ given by

$$\vec{\eta}(x) = i_B(V_1) - i_B(V_0) + i \ ((q_0; p_0; z_1); (q_0; p_0; z_0));$$

where $(q_0; p_0; z_i)$ lies in the branch V_i of i.

Proof Suppose that $x = (q_0; e_1; e_0)$ is a critical point of . By ber preserving di eomorphisms, it can be assumed that in a neighborhood *U* of $(q_0; e_1; e_0)$,

$$(q_{i-1}; 0)j_{U} = (F_{1}(q_{i-1}) - F_{0}(q_{i-0}))j_{U} = (G_{1}(q) + J(1)) - (G_{0}(q) + H(0))j_{U}$$

and thus it su ces to show

ind
$$(x) - \text{ind} = \text{ind}(G_1 - G_0)(q_0) + \text{ind} J(e_1) + \text{ind}(-H)(e_0)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\operatorname{ind}(G_1 - G_0)(q_0) = i ((q_0; p_0; z_1); (q_0; p_0; z_0)):$$

Let $-_0 := f(q; -p; -z): (q; p; z) \ 2_0 g$. Then $-F_0$ is a g.q.i. function for $-_0$ and $(q_0; -p_0; -z_0)$ lies in a branch W_0 of $-_0$ with $i_B(W_0) = -i_B(V_0)$. Since $(q_0; e_1) \ 2 \ S^1 \ \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$ corresponds to $(q_0; p_0; z_1) \ 2 \ V_1$, and $(q_0; e_0) \ 2 \ S^1 \ \mathbb{R}^{N_0}$ corresponds to $(q_0; -p_0; -z_0) \ 2 \ W_0$, Proposition 5.3 implies that

ind $J(e_1) - i_{Q_1} = i_B(V_1)$; ind $(-H)(e_0) - i_{Q_0} = i_B(W_0) = -i_B(V_0)$;

where i_{Q_1} is the index of $J(e_1)$, i_{Q_0} is the index of $-H(e_0)$. Since ind $= i_{Q_1} + i_{Q_0}$, the desired result follows.

6 Polynomial Calculations

In this section, the positive and negative polynomials are calculated for the standard rational legendrian links, flypes of these standard links, and for connect sums of these flypes.

Theorem 6.1 Consider the legendrian link $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; v_1; 2h_1)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} -() [L] = h_{1} + h_{2} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1}}{=} \quad h_{3} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1} - v_{2}}{=} \quad + \quad h_{n} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1} - v_{2}}{=} \quad -v_{n-1} \\ +() [L] = \quad \quad \stackrel{-() [L]}{=} \quad \begin{array}{c} -() [L] ; \quad h_{1} \quad 1 \\ (1 +) + \quad \stackrel{-() [L]}{=} \quad h_{1} = 0 \\ \end{array}$$

Proof First consider the case of h_1 1. It is possible to legendrian isotop L to a position so that it has a di erence function $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ? \mathbb{R}$ with $2h_1 + 2h_n$ nondegenerate critical points: for $i = 1, \dots, n$, has h_i critical points with, by Proposition 5.5, shifted index $-v_1 - v_2 - v_{i-1} + 1$ and value c_i^+ , and h_i critical points of shifted index $-v_1 - v_2 - v_{i-1}$ and value c_i^- , where

$$C_1^- < C_2^- < ... < C_n^- < 0 < C_n^+ < ... < C_2^+ < C_1^+$$

Figure 6.1.1 illustrates one such construction for the link (2/2/4/1/2).



Figure 6.1.1: The legendrian link (2/2/4/1/2) positioned so that it has a di erence function with (2 + 4 + 2) critical points which are represented as pairs of points $((q_0, p_0, z_1)/(q_0, p_0, z_0)) \ 2_{-1} = 0$. The shifted indices of these critical points are calculated by Proposition 5.5.

For $n \in k$ 2, choose a_k ; b_k so that

$$C_{k-1}^- < a_k < C_k^- < 0 < C_k^+ < b_k < C_{k-1}^+$$

The claimed calculations will follow immediately from the Positive Integral Proposition 4.2 if it is shown that $H(\begin{array}{c} b_k \\ a_k \end{array}) = 0$, for all $2 \mathbb{Z}$. By applying a deformation argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.12, it is possible to construct a 1{parameter family of quadratic at in nity functions $t: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N ! \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_k b_k$ are noncritical values of t for all t 2 [0, 1], where 0 = 0, and 1 has no critical points in $[a_k, b_k]$. Thus by Lemma 3.10,

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

 $H \begin{pmatrix} b_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = H \begin{pmatrix} b_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, for all $2\mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof in the case of $h_1 = 1$.

In the case of $h_1 = 0$, it is possible to legendrian isotop L to a position so that it has a di erence function $: S^1 \mathbb{R}^N / \mathbb{R}$ that has 1 critical point of shifted index 0 with value c_1^0 , 1 critical point of shifted index 1 with value c_1^1 , and for i = 2; ...; n, h_i critical points of shifted index $-v_1 - v_2 - v_{i-1}$ with value c_i^- , and h_i critical points of index $-v_1 - v_2 - v_{i-1} + 1$ with value c_i^+ , where

$$C_2^- < < C_n^- < 0 < C_n^+ < < < C_2^+ < C_1^0 < C_1^1$$

For k = 2; ...; *n*, choose a_k ; b_k so that

$$a_2 < c_2^- < c_2^+ < b_2 < c_1^0; \quad c_{k-1}^- < a_k < c_k^- < 0 < c_k^+ < b_k < c_{k-1}^+; n \quad k = 3:$$

An argument as in the above paragraph proves $H({}^{b_k}; {}^{a_k}) = 0$ for all $2\mathbb{Z}$, for k = 2; :::: *n*. Thus the Zero Integral Proposition 4.3 gives the desired calculation of ${}^+()[L]$ and ${}^-()[L]$.

Next, the positive and negative polynomials will be calculated for horizontal flypes of a standard rational link. Recall the notation $(2h_n, v_{n-1}, 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}, \dots, v_1, 2h_1^{p_1})$, $p_i \ 2\ f_0, \dots, 2h_i g$, introduced in (1.11).

Theorem 6.2 Consider the legendrian link $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{h-1}^{p_{n-1}}; ...; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1})$: For j = 2; ...; n-1, let $(j) = 1 + \bigcap_{i=1}^{j} p_i \mod 2$. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} -() [L] = h_{1} + \sum_{i=2}^{N} h_{i} (-1)^{(1)} v_{1} + (-1)^{(2)} v_{2} + (-1)^{(i-1)} v_{i-1} \\ +() [L] = \frac{-() [L] ;}{(1+) + (-() [L] ;} h_{1} = 0 \\ \end{array}$$

Proof The claim will follow using arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 if it is shown that for $L = {}_{1} q_{0} = {}_{2}h_{n}; v_{n-1}; {}_{2}h_{h-1}^{p_{n-1}}; ...; v_{1}; {}_{2}h_{1}^{p_{1}}$, there exists a di erence function with $2h_{1} + 2h_{2} + {}_{2} + {}_{2}h_{n}$ nondegenerate critical points, where for k = 2; ...; n, the $2h_{k}$ critical points correspond to $2h_{k}$ pairs of points on branches W_{1}^{k} ${}_{1}, W_{0}^{k}$ ${}_{0}$ with branch indices

$$i_B(W_1^k) - i_B(W_0^k) = (-1)^{(1)} v_1 + (-1)^{(2)} v_2 + (-1)^{(k-1)} v_{k-1};$$

for $(j) = 1 + \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} p_i \mod 2$. As seen in the proof of Theorem 6.1, there exists such a di erence function for $L_0 = 2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1}$, when $p_k = 0$ for all k. For arbitrary $p_{n-1}; \dots; p_1$, assume there exists such a diperence function for $L = 2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1}$. Let (') = $1 + \bigcup_{k=1}^{j} p_k$

mod 2. Consider L^{\emptyset} which di ers from L by one additional horizontal flype: $L^{\emptyset} = 2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{w_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{w_1}$, $9j: w_k = p_k$, for $k \notin j$, and $w_j = p_j + 1$. Notice that

$${}^{\theta}(') := 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{X} W_k \mod 2 = 2 \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} ('); & j-1 \\ (')+1; & j: \end{array}$$

As in the case of *L*, there exists a di erence function ${}^{\ell}$ for L^{ℓ} with $2h_1 + 2h_n$ critical points; however, now, due of the flype in the h_j term, there is a change in the indices of the branches containing points in the pairs associated to the terms $2h_{j+1}$;...; $2h_n$. Figure 6.2.1 illustrates such a construction for horizontal flypes of (2;1;2;2;2). More precisely, for k = 2;...;n, the $2h_k$ critical points are associated to points on branches $Y_1^k = {}^{\ell}_1, Y_0^k = {}^{\ell}_0$ with branch indices

$$i_{B}(Y_{0}^{k}) - i_{B}(Y_{1}^{k}) = (-1)^{(1)}v_{1} + (-1)^{(2)}v_{2} + (-1)^{(j-1)}v_{j-1} + (-1)^{(j)+1}v_{j} + (-1)^{(j)+1}v_{j+1} + (-1)^{(k-1)+1}v_{k-1} = (-1)^{(1)}v_{1} + (-1)^{(2)}v_{2} + (-1)^{(k-1)}v_{k-1};$$

where

as desired.



Figure 6.2.1: Links that di er by horizontal flypes: (a) (2/1/2/2/2), (b) $(2/1/2^1/2/2)$, (c) $(2/1/2/2/2^1)$, (d) $(2/1/2^1/2/2^1)$. If *L* and L^{ℓ} di er by a horizontal flype from the *j*th component, then there will be a change in the indices of the critical points associated to the terms h_{j+1} , \dots , h_{p} .

Remark 6.3 Applying vertical flypes to a link will leave the positive and negative homology polynomials unchanged. This follows since if

$$L = 2h_{n}; v_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; 2h_{h-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_{1}^{q_{1}}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}}; L^{\theta} = 2h_{n}; v_{n-1}^{w_{n-1}}; 2h_{h-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_{1}^{w_{1}}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}}; L^{\theta}$$

where for some j, $W_k = q_k$, for $k \notin j$, and $W_j = q_j + 1$, then there exist di erence functions and ${}^{\ell}$ for L and L^{ℓ} with the same critical values and the *same* shifted indices. Similar to the situation in the proof of Theorem 6.2, a vertical flype from the j^{th} component will a ect the indices of the branches that contain points of the pairs associated to the terms $2h_{j+1}$; ...; $2h_n$. Although the branch index associated to each point in the pair will change, the di erence between the branch indices of this pair is unchanged.

Theorem 6.4 Consider the legendrian links

 $L_1 = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1}); L_2 = (2k_m; u_{m-1}; 2k_{m-1}^{w_{m-1}}; \dots; u_1; 2k_1^{w_1}):$ Then

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[L_{1} \# L_{2}] = & -()[L_{1}] + & -()[L_{2}]; \\ +()[L_{1} \# L_{2}] = & \begin{array}{c} +()[L_{1}] + & +()[L_{2}]; & h_{1}; k_{1} & 1 \\ +()[L_{1}] + & +()[L_{2}] - (1+); & else. \end{array}$$

Proof Let : L_i : denote the tangles whose closure give L_i . It is possible to isotop the tangles : L_1 : and : L_2 : to the con gurations similar to those used to calculate $+()[L_i]$ and $-()[L_i]$ but \scaled" so there exists an $M \ge \mathbb{N}$ such that all critical values associated to : L_1 : are contained in [-M; M], while all critical values associated to : L_2 : are contained in (-1; -M) [(M; 1)]. Figure 6.4.1 illustrates this construction when $p_1 = 0 = w_1$.



Figure 6.4.1: The connect sum of L_1 and L_2 , when $p_1 = W_1 = 0$, conveniently scaled.

When h_1 ; k_1 1, this procedure gives rise to a di erence function with $2(h_1 + h_n + k_1 + k_m)$ critical points: for j = 1; ...; n, i = 1; ...; m, has h_j critical points of shifted index $i_j + 1$ and value c_i^+ , h_j critical points

of shifted index i_j and value c_j^- , k critical points of shifted index ' + 1 and value d^+ , and k critical points of shifted index ' and value d^- , where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d_1^- < d_2^- < & < d_m^- < C_1^- < C_2^- < & < C_n^- < 0 \\ & 0 < c_n^+ < & < c_2^+ < C_1^+ < d_m^+ < & < d_2^+ < d_1^+ \end{array}$$

Arguments as in the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 show that the hypotheses of Proposition 4.2 are satis ed. Thus

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[L_{1} \# L_{2}] = \begin{pmatrix} & h_{j} & i_{j} + & k \\ & j=1 & & = 1 \\ & +()[L_{1} \# L_{2}] = \begin{pmatrix} & h_{j} & i_{j+1} + & k \\ & j=1 & & = 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

For the case $h_1 = 0$ or $k_1 = 0$, since $L_1 \# L_2$ is equivalent to $L_2 \# L_1$, it can be assumed $h_1 = 0$. In this case, two positive critical points that were necessary so that the tangles : L_i : closed to links can be eliminated. Once $L_1 \# L_2$ is positioned so that these points are eliminated, it is possible to construct a that satis es all the hypotheses of either Proposition 4.2 or Proposition 4.3. More precisely, when $k_1 = 1$, it is possible to legendrian isotop the link $L_1 \# L_2$ to a position so that it has a generating function with $2(h_2 + \dots + h_n + k_1 + \dots + k_m)$ critical points: for $j = 2 : \dots : n$, $j = 1 : \dots : m$, has h_j critical points of shifted index $i_j + 1$ and value c_j^+ , h_j critical points of shifted index i_j and value c_j^- , k critical points of shifted index ' + 1 and value d^+ , and kcritical points of shifted index ' and value d^- , where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d_1^- < d_2^- < & < d_m^- < c_2^- < & < c_n^- < 0 \\ 0 < c_n^+ < & < c_2^+ < d_m^+ < & < d_2^+ < d_1^+ \end{array}$$

All hypotheses of Proposition 4.2 are satis ed, and thus

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[L_{1} \# L_{2}] = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

When $k_1 = 0$, it is possible to legendrian isotop the link $L_1 \# L_2$ to a position so that it has a generating function with $2(h_2 + \dots + h_n + k_2 + \dots + k_m) + 2$ critical points: has 1 critical point of shifted index 0 with value d_1^0 , 1 critical point of shifted index 1 with value d_1^1 , for j = 2; ...; n, = 2; ...; m, has h_j

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

critical points of shifted index $i_j + 1$ and value c_j^+ , h_j critical points of shifted index i_j and value c_j^- , k critical points of shifted index ' + 1 and value d^+ , and k critical points of shifted index ' and value d^- , where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d_2^- < & < d_m^- < c_2^- < & < c_n^- < 0 \\ & 0 < c_n^+ < & < c_2^+ < d_m^+ < & < d_2^+ < d_1^0 < d_1^1 \end{array}$$

All hypotheses of Proposition 4.3 are satis ed, and thus

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[L_{1} \# L_{2}] = \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}_{j=2} \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & &$$

7 Applications

In this section, the polynomial calculations of Section 6 will be applied to show that \most" of the legendrian links $L_n = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; ...; 2h_1)$ are ordered. In addition, by analyzing the polynomials resulting from the swap and the flype operations, lower bounds will be given for the number of di erent minimal legendrian representations of a given topological link type.

The following proposition shows that there is a simple relation between the polynomials of a rational link and its swap.

Proposition 7.1 Let $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-2}^{p_{n-2}}; \dots; h_2^{p_2}; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1})$, and let \overline{L} denote the swap of L. Then

$$\overline{[L]} = \frac{\overline{[L]}}{(1+) + \overline{[L]}}; \quad h_1 = 0;$$

where $\overline{-()[L]}$ denotes the palindrome of -()[L].

Proof This follows easily from Theorem 3.16 and Theorem 6.2. For $h_1 = 1$,

$$()[L] = ()[L] = ()[L] = ()[\overline{L}]:$$

For $h_1 = 0$,

 $(1 +) + -()[L] = +()[L] = -()[\overline{L}]:$

This implies, by examining palindromes, that

$$[1 \ -()]\overline{L}] = 1 + [-1 \ + [-1 \ -()]\overline{L}];$$

and the desired result follows.

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

Theorem 7.2 Consider the legendrian link $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}; ...; v_1; 2h_1)$. If $h_1 = 1$, then L is ordered i $L \in (2h_1)$. If $h_1 = 0$, then L is ordered.

Proof First suppose that h_1 1. When $L = (2h_1)$, it is easy to explicitly check that L is not ordered. Suppose $L = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; \ldots; v_1; 2h_1)$, n = 2. By Proposition 7.1, to show L is ordered, it su ces to prove that -()[L] is not palindromic. By Theorem 6.1,

$$[L] = h_1 + h_2 \quad -v_1 + h_3 \quad -v_1 - v_2 + \dots + h_n \quad -v_1 - v_2 - \dots - v_{n-1};$$

and, since n = 2, -()[L] is not palindromic.

Next suppose $h_1 = 0$. By Proposition 7.1, it su ces to verify that $(1 +) + -()[L] \neq -()[L]$. By Theorem 6.1,

$$\frac{1}{p(1)[L]} = \frac{1}{m(m)} a_m m; \quad \text{with } a_1 = 0;$$

while

$$()[L] = \int_{m=-1}^{1} b_m t^m$$
, with $b_1 = 0$; and thus

$$(1 +) + -()[L] = C_m m;$$
 with $c_1 = 1;$
 $m = -1$

Thus *L* must be ordered.

Distinct minimal legendrian versions of the topological link

$$L_n = (2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1} ::::; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1); \quad h_n; ::::; v_1 = 1; h_1 = 1;$$

will now be enumerated. For h_1 1, there are potentially 2 2^{n-1} legendrian versions arising from the swap and the flype operations that can be distinguished by the polynomials. However, the following proposition shows that the polynomials cannot distinguish all these swaps and flypes: the swap operation always produces a link with the same polynomials as some flype.

Proposition 7.3 For $h_1 = 1$, $p_1 \ge f_0$; ...; $2h_1 - 1g$, and $p_i \ge f_0$; ...; $2h_ig$ when i = 2, consider the minimal legendrian links

$$L_{1} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}});$$

$$L_{2} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}+1});$$

Let $\overline{L_1}$ be the swap of L_1 . Then $()[\overline{L_1}] = ()[L_2]$; and $()[\overline{L_1}] = ()[L_2]$:

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

754

Proof It su ces to prove that $\Pr_{j=1}^{-}()[\overline{L_1}] = -()[L_2]$. By Proposition 7.1 and Theorem 6.2, for $(j) = 1 + \Pr_{j=1}^{-} p_j \mod 2$,

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[\overline{L_{1}}] = \overline{-()[L_{1}]} = \overline{h_{1}} + \underbrace{\overset{\times}{}_{i=2}}_{i=2} h_{i} \ (-1) \ ^{(1)}v_{1} + (-1) \ ^{(2)}v_{2} + \ +(-1) \ ^{(i-1)}v_{i-1} \end{array} \\ = h_{1} + \underbrace{\overset{\times}{}_{i=2}}_{i=2} h_{i} \ ^{(-1) \ ^{(1)+1}v_{1} + (-1) \ ^{(2)+1}v_{2} + \ +(-1) \ ^{(i-1)+1}v_{i-1} }_{i=2} \\ = \ ^{-}()[L_{2}]: \ \Box \end{array}$$

Thus the swap and flype operations give at most 2^{n-1} legendrian versions of L_n that can be distinguished by the polynomials. In fact, for n = 3, there are often at least $4 = 2^{3-1}$ versions of L_3 distinguishable by the polynomials.

Theorem 7.4 Consider the topological link

$$L_3 = (2h_3; v_2; 2h_2; v_1; 2h_1); \qquad h_3; h_2; v_2; v_1 = 1; \quad h_1 = 0:$$

If $h_1 = 0$, then there are at least 4 minimal legendrian versions of L_3 . If $h_1 = 1$ and either $v_2 \neq 2v_1$ or $h_2 \neq h_3$, then there are at least 4 minimal legendrian versions of L_3 .

Proof For $h_1 = 0$, consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_3^0 = & (2h_3; v_2; 2h_2^0; v_1; 0); & L_3^1 = & (2h_3; v_2; 2h_2^1; v_1; 0); \\ L_3^2 = & \overline{(2h_3; v_2; 2h_2^0; v_1; 0)}; & L_3^3 = & \overline{(2h_3; v_2; 2h_2^1; v_1; 0)}; \end{array}$$

By Theorem 6.2 and Proposition 7.1,

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[L_{3}^{0}] = h_{2} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1}}{=} + h_{3} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1}-v_{2}}{=} \quad \stackrel{-()}{=} ()[L_{3}^{1}] = h_{2} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1}}{=} + h_{3} \quad \stackrel{-v_{1}+v_{2}}{=} \\ \begin{array}{c} -()[L_{3}^{2}] = 1 + & + h_{2} \quad \stackrel{+v_{1}}{=} + h_{3} \quad \stackrel{+v_{1}+v_{2}}{=} \\ \stackrel{-()}{=} ()[L_{3}^{3}] = 1 + & + h_{2} \quad \stackrel{+v_{1}}{=} + h_{3} \quad \stackrel{+v_{1}-v_{2}}{=} \\ \end{array}$$

It is easy to verify that for all choices of h_i ; $v_i = 1$, these must be distinct polynomials, and thus L_3^i , i = 0; $\ldots 3$, are legendrian distinct.

For h_1 1, consider

By Theorem 6.2,

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[L_{3}^{(0;0)}] = h_{1} + h_{2} \quad {}^{-\nu_{1}} + h_{3} \quad {}^{-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}}; \\ \hline \\ -()[L_{3}^{(1;0)}] = h_{1} + h_{2} \quad {}^{-\nu_{1}} + h_{3} \quad {}^{-\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}; \\ \hline \\ -()[L_{3}^{(0;1)}] = h_{1} + h_{2} \quad {}^{+\nu_{1}} + h_{3} \quad {}^{+\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}; \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ -()[L_{3}^{(1;1)}] = h_{1} + h_{2} \quad {}^{+\nu_{1}} + h_{3} \quad {}^{+\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}}; \end{array}$$

The condition $v_2 \notin 2v_1$ or $h_2 \notin h_3$ implies that all these polynomials are distinct. Thus there are at least 4 distinct legendrian versions of L_3 .

The following condition on h_i will guarantee that all the flypes have distinct -() polynomials. Such sets arise in Additive Number Theory; see [12].

De nition 7.5 A set fh_1 ; ...; h_ng of integers is said to have *distinct subset* sums if the sums of all its 2^n subsets are distinct. Such a set will be abbreviated as a *d.s.s.* set.

It is easy to verify that $f_{1/2g}$, $f_{1/2/4g}$ and $f_{2/3/4/8g}$ are d.s.s. sets, while $f_{1/2/3g}$ is not. In general, $f_{2}^{i}: 0$ *i* kg is a d.s.s. set of order k + 1. There is an Erdös prize associated to nding the largest order of a d.s.s. set with entries positive and bounded above by 2^{k} .

Theorem 7.6 For n = 4, consider the topological link

$$L_{n} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{0}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{0}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{0}); \quad h_{n}; v_{n-1}; \dots; v_{1} = 1; h_{1} = 0;$$

If $h_1 = 1$, assume $fh_1; h_2; \ldots; h_n g$ form a d.s.s. set of order n, while if $h_1 = 0$, assume $f1; h_2; \ldots; h_n g$ form a d.s.s. set of order n. Then there exist at least 2^{n-1} legendrian versions of L_n .

Proof For the case where $h_1 = 1$, consider the links

 $(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1; 2h_1^{p_1}); \qquad p_{n-1}; \dots; p_1 \ 2\mathbb{Z}_2:$

It will be shown that distinct choices of $(p_{n-1}, \dots, p_1) \ 2\mathbb{Z}_2$ \mathbb{Z}_2 give rise to legendrian links with distinct -() polynomials. Let

$$L_{1} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{p_{1}});$$

$$L_{2} = (2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{q_{2}}; v_{1}; 2h_{1}^{q_{1}})$$

and suppose that $()[L_1] = ()[L_2]$. By Theorem 6.2,

$$()[L_1] = h_1 + h_2 \quad s_2 + \dots + h_n \quad s_n; \quad ()[L_2] = h_1 + h_2 \quad m_2 + \dots + h_n \quad m_n = h_n + h_n$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

Generating function polynomials for legendrian links

for

$$S_{k} = (-1)^{(1)} v_{1} + (-1)^{(2)} v_{2} + (-1)^{(k-1)} v_{k-1};$$

$$m_{k} = (-1)^{(1)} v_{1} + (-1)^{(2)} v_{2} + (-1)^{(n-1)} v_{n-1}; \qquad k = 2; \dots; n;$$

$$P_{k} = 0$$

where $(s) = 1 + \int_{t=1}^{s} p_t \mod 2$, $(s) = 1 + \int_{t=1}^{s} q_t \mod 2$. If it is shown that $s_k = m_k$, $\mathcal{B}k$, then (k) = (k), $\mathcal{B}k$, and thus $p_k = q_k$, $\mathcal{B}k$.

First $()[L_1]$ and $()[L_2]$ will be rewritten in terms of distinct powers of . Choose I_0 ; ..., I_N with $I_0 < I_N$ to be the distinct elements of f_0 ; s_2 ; ..., $s_n g = f_0$; m_2 ; ..., $m_n g$. Then

$$[L_1] = \bigvee_{i=0}^{N} \bigotimes_{k=1}^{k} h_{i_k} \quad i \quad \dots \quad -() [L_2] = \bigvee_{i=0}^{N} \bigotimes_{k=1}^{k} h_{j_k} \quad i \quad j \in [L_2]$$

where, for all , $h_{i_1} < h_{i_2} < < h_{i_k}$, and $h_{j_1} < h_{j_2} < < h_{j_L}$. Since $fh_1 : \ldots : h_n g$ are a d.s.s. set, $-()[L_1] = -()[L_2]$ implies K = L, for all , and that $i_k = j_k$, for all and k. This implies $s_k = m_k$, as desired.

For the case where $h_1 = 0$, consider the links

$$(2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 0); \qquad \overline{(2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 0)};$$

where p_{n-1} ; ...; $p_2 \ 2 \ \mathbb{Z}_2$: It will be shown that the 2^{n-2} choices of $(p_{n-1}$; ...; $p_2)$ $2 \ \mathbb{Z}_2$ \mathbb{Z}_2 give rise to $2 \ 2^{n-2}$ legendrian links with distinct – () polynomials. By Theorem 6.2 and Propositions 7.1 and 7.3,

$$\begin{array}{l} -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};0)] \\ = & -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};2^{0})] - 1; \\ -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};0)] \\ = & \hline -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};0)] + 1 + \\ = & \hline -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};2^{0})] - 1 + 1 + \\ = & \hline -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};2^{0})] + \\ = & -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};2^{0})] + \\ = & -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};2^{1})] + \\ \end{array}$$

Thus to show that these 2^{n-1} polynomials are distinct, the following three statements will be proven.

(1) If
$$(p_{n-1}; \ldots; p_2) \notin (q_{n-1}; \ldots; q_2)$$
, then

$$\begin{array}{c} -()[(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \ldots; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1; 2^0)] - 1 \notin \\ -()[(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \ldots; 2h_2^{q_2}; v_1; 2^0)] - 1; \end{array}$$

(2) If
$$(p_{n-1}; \dots; p_2) \notin (q_{n-1}; \dots; q_2)$$
, then

$$= ()[(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1; 2^1)] + \quad \notin$$

$$= ()[(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_2^{q_2}; v_1; 2^1)] + ;$$

(3) For all
$$(p_{n-1}; ...; p_2); (q_{n-1}; ...; q_2),$$

$$= ()[(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; ...; 2h_2^{p_2}; v_1; 2^0)] - 1 \notin$$

$$= ()[(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; ...; 2h_2^{q_2}; v_1; 2^1)] + ::$$

Statements (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 6.2. To verify statement (3), suppose that there exist (p_{n-1}, \ldots, p_2) ; (q_{n-1}, \ldots, q_2) such that

$$- ()[(2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{p_{2}}; v_{1}; 2^{0})] - 1 = - ()[(2h_{n}; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_{2}^{q_{2}}; v_{1}; 2^{1})] + :$$

By writing the polynomials in terms of distinct powers of ,

$$\begin{array}{l} -()[(2h_{n};v_{n-1};2h_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}};\ldots;2h_{2}^{p_{2}};v_{1};2^{0})] - 1 = \\ & a_{i}t^{i}; \\ & \text{where } a_{0} = h_{i_{1}} + \\ & + h_{i_{K}}; \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} h_{i_{1}};\ldots;h_{i_{K}} \ 2 \ fh_{2};\ldots;h_{n}g; \\ \end{array}$$

while

$$\begin{array}{c} () [(2h_n; v_{n-1}; 2h_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}; \dots; 2h_2^{q_2}; v_1; 2^1)] + \\ \text{where } b_0 = 1 + h_{j_1} + h_{j_L}; \\ h_{j_1}; \dots; h_{j_L} \ 2 \ fh_2; \dots; h_n g; \end{array}$$

The assumption that these polynomials are equal contradicts the hypothesis that f_1 ; h_2 ; \dots ; $h_n g$ are a d.s.s. set of order n. Thus statement (3) is true, and it follows that the 2^{n-1} polynomials are distinct.

Acknowledgements

During the preparation of this paper, I had the pleasure of visiting the American Institute of Mathematics in Palo Alto, CA for their program on contact geometry, and the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, NJ. I thank both of these institutions for their wonderful hospitality. I also thank my reviewers for numerous useful comments and suggestions.

This research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 9971374 and, while visiting IAS, NSF grant DMS 97-29992.

Geometry & Topology, Volume 5 (2001)

References

- [1] C Adams, The Knot Book, WH Freeman and Company (1994)
- [2] **D Bennequin**, *Entrelacements et equation de Pfa*, Asterique 107{108 (1983) 87{162
- [3] **M Chaperon**, *On generating families*, from: \The Floer Memorial Volume", (H Hofer, C Taubes, A Weinstein, E Zehnder, Editors) Birkhäuser (1995)
- [4] Yu Chekanov, Critical points of quasifunctions, and generating families of legendrian manifolds, Funktsional.-Anal.-i Prilozhen (in Russian) 30 (1996) 56{
 69
- [5] **Yu Chekanov**, *Di erential algebras of Legendrian links*, Fields Institute preprint FI-ST1997-010 (1997)
- [6] JH Conway, An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties, from: \Computational problems in Abstract Algebra", Proc. Conf. Oxford, 1967 (J Leech, Editor) Pergamon Press New York (1970)
- [7] Y Eliashberg, Legendrian and transversal knots in tight contact 3{manifolds, from: \Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics", (L R Goldberg and A V Phillips, Editors) Publish or Perish, Inc. (1993)
- [8] Y Eliashberg, Invariants in contact topology, Doc. Math. J. DMV, Extra Volume ICM 1998 (electronic), 327{338
- [9] Y Eliashberg, M Gromov, Lagrangian intersections theory: nite-dimensional approach, from: \Geometry of di erential equations", Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 186 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (1998)
- [10] Y Eliashberg, A Givental, H Hofer, *Symplectic Field Theory*, e-print arXi v: math. SG/0010059
- [11] **E Ferrand**, *On Legendrian knots and polynomial invariants*, e-print arXiv: math. GT/0002250
- [12] R Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, Springer{Verlag (1991)
- [13] L Ng, Invariants of Legendrian Links, MIT Ph.D. Thesis (2001)
- [14] L Ng, L Traynor, in preparation
- [15] S Tabachnikov, Estimates for the Bennequin number of Legendrian links from state models for knot polynomials, Math. Res. Lett. 4 (1997) 143{156
- [16] D Theret, A complete proof of Viterbo's uniqueness theorem on generating functions, Topology Appl. 96 249{266
- [17] D Theret, A Lagrangian camel, Comm. Math. Helv. 74 (1999) 591{614
- [18] L Traynor, Symplectic homology via generating functions, Geom. Funct. Anal. 4 (1994) 718{748
- [19] L Traynor, Legendrian circular helix links, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 122 (1997) 301{314

- [20] L Traynor, A legendrian strati cation of rational tangles, Journal of Knot Theory and its Rami cations 7 (1998) 659{700
- [21] C Viterbo, Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions, Math. Ann. 292 (1992) 685{710
- [22] C Viterbo, Generating Functions, Symplectic Geometry, and Applications, from: \Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Zürich, Switzerland 1994", Birkhäuser{Verlag, Basel, Switzerland (1995) 537{547