ISSN 1364-0380

Geometry & Topology Volume 4 (2000) 517{535 Published: 21 December 2000



Symplectic Lefschetz brations on S^1 M^3

Weimin Chen Rost isl av Mat veyev

UW-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA SUNY at Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

Email: wechen@math.wisc.edu and slava@math.sunysb.edu

Abstract

In this paper we classify symplectic Lefschetz brations (with empty base locus) on a four-manifold which is the product of a three-manifold with a circle. This result provides further evidence in support of the following conjecture regarding symplectic structures on such a four-manifold: if the product of a three-manifold with a circle admits a symplectic structure, then the three-manifold must ber over a circle, and up to a self-di eomorphism of the four-manifold, the symplectic structure is deformation equivalent to the canonical symplectic structure determined by the bration of the three-manifold over the circle.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 57M50

Secondary: 57R17, 57R57

Keywords: Four-manifold, symplectic structure, Lefschetz bration, Seiberg{ Witten invariants

Proposed: Dieter Kotschick Seconded: Robion Kirby, Yasha Eliashberg Received: 12 April 2000 Revised: 8 December 2000

Copyright Geometry and Topology

1 Introduction and statement of results

Suppose M^3 is a closed oriented 3{manifold. If M^3 bers over S^1 , then the 4{manifold $X = S^1$ M^3 has a symplectic structure canonical up to deformation equivalence.

An interesting question motivated by Taubes' fundamental research on symplectic 4{manifolds [12] asks whether the converse is true: *Does every symplectic structure (up to deformation equivalence) on* $X = S^1$ M^3 *come from a bration of* M^3 *over* S^1 , *in particular, is it true that* M^3 *must be bered?*

In this paper we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1 Let M^3 be a closed 3{manifold which contains no fake 3{cell. If ! is a symplectic structure on the 4{manifold $X = S^1$ M^3 de ned through a Lefschetz bration (with empty base locus), then:

- (1) M^3 is bered, with a bration $p: M^3 ! S^1$.
- (2) There is a self-di eomorphism h: X ! X such that h ! is deformation equivalent to the canonical symplectic structure on X associated to the bration p: M³ ! S¹.

Remarks (1) A 3{manifold could admit essentially di erent brations over a circle (see eg [10]). The bration $p: M^3 ! S^1$ in the theorem is speci ed by the Lefschetz bration.

(2) The self-di eomorphism $h: X \neq X$ is homotopic to the identity.

(3) R Gompf and A Stipsicz have shown [5] that for any 4{manifold with the rational homology of S^1 S^3 , any Lefschetz pencil or bration (even allowing singularities with the wrong orientation) must be a locally trivial torus bration over S^2 , in particular, the manifold is S^1 L(p;1) with the obvious bration.

A stronger version of the theorem, in which we also classify symplectic Lefschetz brations on S^1 M^3 , is given in section 4.

The proof of the theorem consists of two major steps. First, we show that any symplectic Lefschetz bration on $X = S^1$ M^3 has no singular bers, ie, it is a locally trivial bration. This result is the content of lemma 3.4 (Lemma on Vanishing Cycles). Secondly, we show that every such bration of $X = S^1$ M^3 is induced in a certain way from a bration of M^3 over a circle.

The essential ingredient in the proof of the Lemma on Vanishing Cycles is a result of D Gabai, which says roughly that the minimal genus of an immersed

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

surface representing a given homology class in a 3{manifold is equal to the minimal genus of an embedded surface representing the same homology class. This result is speci c for dimension three and does not hold in dimension four.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains a brief review of some background results. Section 3 is devoted to a preparatory material for the proof of the main theorem, in particular, it contains the Lemma on Vanishing Cycles. In section 4, the full version of the main theorem is stated and proved.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to John McCarthy for pointing out an erroneous quotation of the Nielsen Representation Theorem in an early version. The second author is indebted to Siddhartha Gadgil for numerous discussions on 3{dimensional topology. The authors wish to thank the editors and referees for their comments which have helped to improve the presentation greatly. The second supported by an NSF grant.

2 **Recollections**

2.1 Lefschetz pencils and brations

Let X be a closed, oriented, smooth 4{manifold. A Lefschetz pencil on X is a smooth map $P: X nB ! \mathbb{C}P^1$ de ned on the complement of a nite subset B of X, called the base locus, such that each point in B has an orientationpreserving coordinate chart in which P is given by the projectivization map $\mathbb{C}^2 nf0g ! \mathbb{C}P^1$, and each critical point has an orientation-preserving chart on which $P(z_1; z_2) = \underline{z_1^2} + z_2^2$. Blowing up at each point of B, we obtain a Lefschetz bration on $X \# n\mathbb{C}P^2$ (n = #B) over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with ber $F_t = P^{-1}(t) [B$ for each $t 2 \mathbb{C}P^1$.

More generally, a Lefschetz bration on a closed oriented smooth 4{manifold X is a smooth map $P: X \mid B$ where B is a Riemann surface, such that each critical point of P has an orientation-preserving chart on which $P(z_1; z_2) = z_1^2 + z_2^2$. We require that each ber is connected and contains at most one critical point. Every Lefschetz bration can be changed to satisfy these two conditions. A Lefschetz bration is called symplectic if there is a symplectic structure ! on X whose restriction to each regular ber is non-degenerate.

In an orientation-preserving chart at a critical point $x \ 2 \ X$, the map P is given by $P(z_1, z_2) = z_1^2 + z_2^2$. Let $t \ 2 \ \mathbb{R}$ \mathbb{C} be a positive regular value. The ber $F_t = P^{-1}(t)$ contains a simple closed loop which is the intersection of

 F_t with the real plane $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2$, ie, the boundary of the disc in X de ned by $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = t$. This simple closed loop on F_t is called the vanishing cycle associated to the critical point x. A regular neighborhood of the singular ber F_0 can be described as the result of attaching a 2{handle along the vanishing cycle to a regular neighborhood of the regular ber F_t with framing -1relative to the product framing on $F_t = S^1$. The monodromy around the critical value P(x) is a right Dehn twist along the vanishing cycle .

We quote an observation of Gompf which roughly says that most of the Lefschetz brations are symplectic. For a proof, see [5] or [1].

Theorem 2.1 (Gompf) A Lefschetz bration on an oriented 4{manifold X is symplectic if the ber class is non-zero in $H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$. Any Lefschetz pencil (with non-empty base locus) is symplectic.

A remarkable theorem of Donaldson [3] says that any symplectic 4{manifold admits a Lefschetz pencil by symplectic surfaces.

The following lemma is known for general Lefschetz brations. For completeness, we give a short proof for the case of non-singular brations. This is su cient for our purpose.

Lemma 2.1 Let $F \not\mid X \not\mid B$ be a bration and l_1, l_2 symplectic forms on X with respect to which each ber of P is symplectic. Then l_1 and l_2 are deformation equivalent if they induce the same orientation on the ber.

Proof We orient the base *B* so that the pull-back of the volume form $!_B$ of *B*, *P* $!_B$, has the property that $!_1 \land P !_B$ is positive on *X* with respect to the orientation induced by $!_1$. Then

$$!(s) := !_1 + sP !_B$$

is a symplectic form on X for any s 0. Let $!(s, t) = t!_2 + (1 - t)!(s)$ for 0 t 1, s 0. Then

$$! (s; t) ^{!} (s; t) = t^{2} !_{2} ^{!} !_{2} + (1 - t)^{2} ! (s) ^{!} (s) + 2t(1 - t) !_{2} ^{!} (s)$$

is positive for all 0 t 1 when *s* is su ciently large, since $l_2 \wedge l$ (*s*) is positive for large enough *s*. This implies that l_1 and l_2 are deformation equivalent. \Box

2.2 Seiberg{Witten theory

On an oriented Riemannian 4{manifold X, a $Spin^{c}$ structure S consists of a hermitian vector bundle W of rank 4, together with a Cli ord multiplication : $T \times ! End(W)$. The bundle W decomposes into two bundles of rank 2, $W^{+} = W^{-}$, such that det $W^{+} = \det W^{-}$. Here W^{-} is characterized as the subspace annihilated by () for all self-dual 2{forms . We write $c_{1}(S)$ for the rst Chern class of W^{+} . The Levi{Civita connection on X coupled with a U(1) connection A on det W^{+} de nes a Dirac operator D_{A} : $(W^{+}) ! (W^{-})$ from the space of smooth sections of W^{+} into that of W^{-} .

The 4{dimensional Seiberg{Witten equations are the following pair of equations for a section of W^+ and a U(1) connection A on det W^+ :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (F_{\mathcal{A}}^{+}) - f & g &= & 0 \\ D_{\mathcal{A}} & &= & 0 \end{array}$$

Here F^+ is the projection of the curvature onto the self-dual forms, and the curly brackets denote the trace-free part of an endomorphism of W^+ .

The moduli space M_S is the space of solutions (A_i^{-}) modulo the action of the gauge group $G = Map(X_i^{-}S^1)$, which is compact with virtual dimension

$$d(S) = \frac{1}{4}(c_1(S)^2[X] - 2(X) - 3(X));$$

where (X) and (X) are the Euler characteristic and signature of X respectively. When $b^+(X) = 1$, for a generic perturbation of the Seiberg{Witten equations where is a self-dual 2{form

$$(F_{A}^{+} + i) - f \qquad g = 0$$

 $D_{A} = 0;$

the moduli space M_{S_c} is a compact, canonically oriented, smooth manifold of dimension d(S), which contains no reducible solutions (ie, solutions with

0). The fundamental class of $M_{S'}$ evaluated against some universal characteristic classes de nes the Seiberg{Witten invariant $SW(S) \ 2\mathbb{Z}$, which is independent of the Riemannian metric and the perturbation when $b^+(X) > 1$. When $b^+(X) = 1$, SW(S) is well-de ned if $c_1(S)^2[X] = 0$ and $c_1(S)$ is not torsion, by choosing *jj jj* su ciently small. The set of complex line bundles *fEg* on *X* acts on the set of *Spin^c* structures freely and transitively by (E;S) ! S = E. We will call a cohomology class $2H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ a Seiberg{Witten basic class if there exists a *Spin^c* structure *S* such that $= c_1(S)$ and $SW(S) \neq 0$. There is an involution *I* acting on the set of *Spin^c* structures on *X* which has the property that $c_1(S) = -c_1(I(S))$ and SW(S) = SW(I(S)). As a

consequence, if a cohomology class $2 H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a Seiberg{Witten basic class, so is -.

We will use the following fundamental result:

Theorem 2.2 (Taubes) Let (X; !) be a symplectic 4{manifold with canonical line bundle $K_{!}$. Then $c_{1}(K_{!})$ is a Seiberg{Witten basic class if $b^{+}(X) > 1$ or $b^{+}(X) = 1$, $c_{1}(K_{!})$ [!] > 0 and 2 (X) + 3 (X) = 0.

2.3 Gabai's Theorem

The following theorem of Gabai says that, given a singular oriented surface in a closed oriented 3{manifold, one can nd an embedded surface (not necessarily connected) representing the same homology class and having the same topological complexity as the singular surface.

Let us recall the de nition of Thurston norm and the singular norm on the second homology group of a compact 3{manifold. Let *S* be an orientable surface. The complexity of *S* is de ned by $x(S) = \sum_{i} \max(-(S_i); 0)$, where the summation is taken over connected components of *S*. For a closed, oriented 3{manifold *M*, the Thurston norm x(z) and singular norm $x_s(z)$ of a homology class $z \ 2 H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ are de ned by

$$x(z) = \min fx(S)jS \text{ is an embedded surface representing } zg;$$

$$x_{s}(z) = \inf f\frac{1}{n}x(S)jf: S ! M; f([S]) = nzg:$$

Theorem 2.3 (Gabai [6]) Let M be a closed oriented 3{manifold. Then $x_s(z) = x(z)$ for all $z \ge H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

Gabai's theorem is speci c for dimension three and fails in dimension four in general. Precisely because our 4{manifold under consideration is the product of a 3{manifold with a circle, we are able to apply Gabai's theorem to yield a stronger estimate for the 4{manifold. This is the essential point in the proof of the Lemma on Vanishing Cycles.

3 Preparatory material for the proof of the theorem

This section is devoted to preparatory material necessary for the proof of the theorem.

3.1 Map(F) and Di (F)

We rst list some results about the mapping class group Map(F) and the di eomorphism group Di (F) of an orientable surface F.

The reader is referred to [8] for the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 (Nielsen Representation Theorem) Every nite subgroup G of the mapping class group of a big (2) genus surface can be lifted to the di eomorphism group of that surface. Moreover, there is a conformal structure on F such that G is realized by conformal isometries of F.

We will also need an analogue of the Nielsen Representation Theorem in a slightly di erent setting. It states that a commutativity relation between an arbitrary element and a nite order element of the mapping class group of a big (2) genus surface can be lifted to the homeomorphism group of the surface.

Lemma 3.1 Let *F* be a surface with genus(*F*) 2 and ; ' be two mapping classes of *F* such that ' has nite order and ' = ' in Map(F). Then there are homeomorphisms ; : *F* ! *F* in the mapping classes ; ' respectively, such that has nite order, and = as homeomorphisms.

Proof The proof is based on a theorem of Teichmüller [13, 14]. A very clear treatment of Teichmüller's theorem can be found in [2].

We rst recall the notions of quasi-conformal mappings and total dilatation of homeomorphisms of a surface. Let F be a Riemann surface with a given conformal structure, and $f: F \mid F$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism. At a point $p \ge F$ where f is C^1 {smooth we can measure the deviation of f from being conformal by the ratio of the bigger axis to the smaller axis of an in nitesimal ellipse, which is the image of an in nitesimal circle around the point p under f. This ratio is called the local dilatation of f and is denoted by $K_p[f]$. A homeomorphism $f: F \mid F$ is called a quasi-conformal mapping if $K_p[f]$ is de ned for almost all $p \ge F$ and $\sup K_p[f] < 1$. The number $K[f] = \sup K_p[f]$ is called the total dilatation of the quasi-conformal mapping f.

Teichmüller's theorem states that among all the homeomorphisms in a given mapping class of a Riemann surface F of genus(F) 2, there is a unique one which minimizes the total dilatation.

Now back to the proof of the lemma. By the Nielsen Representation Theorem (cf Proposition 3.1), the mapping class ' can be represented by an isometry of F

with respect to some conformal structure . By Teichmüller's theorem, there is a unique homeomorphism in the mapping class which minimizes the total dilatation with respect to the conformal structure . Since is an isometry of -1 -1has the same total dilatation as . On the other hand, are in the same mapping class since ′ = ′ in Map(F). The and uniqueness of the extremal homeomorphism in Teichmüller's theorem implies that they must coincide, and as homeomorphisms. =

Proposition 3.2 Let F_g be a closed orientable surface of genus g, and denote by $Di_0(F_g)$ the identity component of $Di_0(F_g)$. Then for all g_2 , $Di_0(F_g)$ is contractible, for g = 1, $Di_0(F_g)$ is homotopy equivalent to the identity component of the group of conformal automorphisms of the torus and, for g = 0, $Di_0(F_g)$ is homotopy equivalent to SO(3).

The reader is referred to [4] for this result.

The rest of this subsection concerns locally trivial brations of 4{manifolds over a Riemann surface.

De nition Two locally trivial brations $F \not X \stackrel{f}{!} B$ and $F^{\ell} \not X \stackrel{f}{!} \stackrel{f}{B}^{\ell} B^{\ell}$ are said to be equivalent if there are di eomorphisms $f \colon B \not B^{\ell}$ and $f \colon X \not X^{\ell}$, such that the following diagram

commutes. When $X = X^{\ell}$, we say that P and P^{ℓ} are strongly equivalent if f is isotopic to the identity.

Each bration P de nes a monodromy homomorphism Mon_P from the fundamental group of the base to the mapping class group of the ber.

Lemma 3.2 When the ber is high-genus (2), monodromy Mon_P determines the equivalence class of a bration P.

Proof We write the base *B* as $B_0 [D]$ where B_0 is a disc with several 1{ handles attached and *D* is a disc. We choose a base point $b_0 2 B_0 \setminus D$. The bration *P* restricted to B_0 is determined by Mon_P as a representation of $_1(B_0; b_0)$ in the mapping class group of the ber F_{b_0} over the base point b_0 . Over the disc *D*, *P* is trivial. We can recover *P* on the whole manifold *X* by

gluing $P^{-1}(B_0)$ and $P^{-1}(D)$ along the boundary via some gluing map ', and P depends only on the homotopy class of ' viewed as a map $S^1 ! Di_0(F_{b_0})$. Now we recall the fact that $Di_0(F_g)$ is contractible for all g = 2, so that ' Id when genus(F_{b_0}) = 2. The lemma follows.

3.2 Cyclic coverings and S¹{valued functions

Here we describe a construction, which, starting with a S^1 {valued function on a CW{complex and a positive integer, gives a cyclic nite covering of the CW{complex and a generator of the deck transformation group. An inverse of this construction is then discussed.

For the rest of the paper we view S^1 as the unit circle in \mathbb{C} , oriented in the usual way, ie, in the counter-clockwise direction.

Consider a CW{complex Y and let $g: Y ! S^1$ be a function. It determines a cohomology class $[g] 2 H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by d(g) the divisibility of [g]. For a positive integer n and a function $g: Y ! S^1$ such that gcd(n; d(g)) = 1, de ne a subset $\mathscr{V} S^1 Y$ by

$$\mathscr{V} = f(t; y) 2 S^1$$
 $Y j t^n = g(y)g$

Obviously \mathscr{V} is invariant under the transformation ': $S^1 Y ! S^1 Y$ induced from rotation of S^1 by angle $\frac{2}{n}$ in the positive direction. Let $pr: \mathscr{V} ! Y$ be the restriction of the projection from $S^1 Y$ onto the second factor. It is a cyclic n{fold covering of Y and ' generates the group of deck transformations of this covering.

Now we would like to invert this construction, ie, starting with a nite cyclic covering $pr: \mathcal{V} ! \mathcal{V}$ and a generator $': \mathcal{V} ! \mathcal{V}$ of the structure group of pr, nd a function $g: \mathcal{V} ! \mathcal{S}^1$ such that the construction above yields $pr: \mathcal{V} ! \mathcal{V}$ and '. However this is not always possible.

Fix a nite cyclic covering $pr: \notin ! Y$ with a generator $': \notin ! \notin$ of the structure group of pr. Let G = h'i be the group of deck transformations of pr and n = jGj. De ne an action of G on S^1 by $' Z = e^{2in}Z$. This gives rise to an S^1 {bundle Z over Y and \notin sits naturally in Z. The Euler class of this bundle is a torsion class, for the n^{th} power of the bundle (considered as U(1) bundle) is trivial. Moreover, there is a choice of trivialization, canonical up to rotations of S^1 , given by an n{valued section of pr, which becomes a section in the n^{th} power of the bundle. Suppose the Euler class vanishes, then $Z = S^1 - Y$ and this di eomorphism is canonical up to isotopy. Consider the

map $g: \notin ! S^1$, which is the restriction to \notin of the projection from $S^1 \quad Y$ onto the S^1 {factor. The map g^n is ' invariant and therefore descends to a map $g: Y ! S^1$. It is an easy exercise to show that the construction above applied to the pair (g; n) yields (pr; ').

Thus we have proved the following:

Lemma 3.3 Let *Y* be a *CW* {complex such that $H^2(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion. Then the construction above gives a 1{1 correspondence between two sets A = f(pr; ')g and B = f(n; [g])g, where $pr: \forall ! Y$ is a nite cyclic covering, ': $\forall ! \forall$ is a generator of the deck transformation group of pr, *n* is a positive integer and [g] is the homotopy class of a map $g: Y ! S^1$ with gcd(n; d(g)) = 1.

3.3 Surface brations over a torus

In this subsection we will explore a family of surface brations of $X = S^1 \quad M^3$ over a torus, where M^3 is a closed orientable 3{manifold bered over a circle with ber and bration $p: M^3 \ S^1$. Denote by the ber over a point $2 \ S^1$ and by $[p] \ 2 \ H^1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ the homotopy class of p.

Consider a smooth function $g: M^3 ! S^1$ and denote by $[g] 2 H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ its homotopy class and by d(g) the divisibility of [g] restricted to the ber . Let n be a positive integer such that gcd(n; d(g)) = 1.

Define $P_{g;n}$: $X = S^1$ M^3 ! S^1 S^1 by $P_{g;n}(t;m) = (t^n \overline{g(m)}; p(m))$, where $\overline{g(m)}$ stands for the complex conjugate of g(m) in S^1 . The map $P_{g;n}$ is a locally trivial bration of X over a torus such that the ber $F_{(\cdot,\cdot)}$ over a point $(\cdot, \cdot) 2 S^1$ S^1 is the graph of a multi-valued function $(-gj)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ on -, ie,

$$F_{(j)} = f(t;m) \ 2 \ S^1 \qquad j \ t^n = g(m)g \ S^1 \qquad S^1 \ M^3$$

Fix $g: M^3 ! S^1$ and n as above. Let us nd the monodromy of $P_{g,n}$. First of all, the projection S^1 *!* restricted to $F_{(\cdot,\cdot)}$ is a cyclic n{fold covering $pr: F_{(\cdot,\cdot)}$ *!* Denote by ' the self-di eomorphism of $F_{(1,1)}$ induced by a rotation of the S^1 {factor in S^1_{-1} by angle 2 =n, then ' generates the group of deck transformations of $pr: F_{(1,1)} ! = 1$. Secondly, denote by $Mon_p 2 Map(-1)$ the monodromy of $p: M^3 ! S^1$, then Mon_p pulls back to an element in $Map(F_{(1,1)})$.

Let $q = S^1$ flg and r = flg S^1 be the \coordinate" simple closed loops in S^1 S^1 . Then it is easily seen that the monodromy $Mon_{P_{g;n}}(q)$ of $P_{g;n}$ along

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

q is equal to ['] and the monodromy $Mon_{P_{g;n}}(r)$ along *r* is the pull-back of Mon_p to $Map(F_{(1,1)})$.

We end this subsection with the following classi cation of $P_{q;n}$.

Proposition 3.3 Two brations $P_{g;n}$ and $P_{g^{\theta};n^{\theta}}$ are strongly equivalent if and only if $n = n^{\theta}$ and $[g] = [g^{\theta}] \mod ([p])$, ie, when the two planes in $H^{1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{1}(S^{1}; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{1}(M^{3}; \mathbb{Z})$ spanned by two pairs of vectors (n[t] - [g]; [p]) and $(n^{\theta}[t] - [g^{\theta}]; [p])$ coincide.

Proof The necessity follows from homotopy theoretical considerations: the two said planes are pull-backs of $H^1(S^1 \ S^1; \mathbb{Z})$ by $P_{g;n}$ and $P_{g^{\theta};n^{\theta}}$ respectively, therefore they must coincide if $P_{g^{\theta};n^{\theta}}$ is homotopic to $P_{g;n}$ post-composed with a self-di eomorphism of $S^1 \ S^1$.

On the other hand, by changing basis on one of the tori, we can arrange that the homotopy classes of g and g^{ℓ} are equal. Then a homotopy from g to g^{ℓ} leads to a strong equivalence between $P_{g;n}$ and $P_{q^{\ell};n^{\ell}}$.

We observe that when the ber of $p: M^3 ! S^1$ has genus zero, there is a unique equivalence class of $P_{g;n}$, ie, the trivial one when [g] = 0 and n = 1.

3.4 Lemma on Vanishing Cycles

Lemma 3.4 Let M^3 be a closed 3{manifold and $P: X = S^1 \quad M^3 ! B$ be a symplectic Lefschetz bration with regular ber F. Then P has no singular bers.

Proof We rst observe that every vanishing cycle must be non-separating since X has an even intersection form. There are three cases to consider according to the genus of the ber.

(1) The ber F is a sphere. There are no vanishing cycles since every curve on a sphere is separating.

(2) The ber F is a torus. Recall a formula for the Euler characteristic of the total space of a Lefschetz bration

(X) = (F) (B) + # fvanishing cycles g:

There must be no vanishing cycles since (F) = 0 and (X) = 0.

(3) The ber *F* is a high genus (2) surface. We rst show that the canonical class *K* is Seiberg{Witten basic. By Theorem 2.2, we only need to show that, if $b^+(X) = 1$, then *K* [!] > 0, where ! is the symplectic form on *X*. This could be seen as follows. The 4{manifold *X* has a hyperbolic intersection form since $b^+(X) = 1$ and (X) = 0. Let $x; y \ 2 \ H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ form a hyperbolic basis, ie, $x = y \ y = 0$ and $x \ y = 1$. Since $F \ F = 0$, $K \ K = 2 \ (X) + 3 \ (X) = 0$ and $K \ F = 2g_F - 2 > 0$, we can assume without loss of generality that PD[F] = ax and K = by for some positive *a* and *b*. Let [!] = x + y. Observe that [!] [!] = > 0 and [!] F = a > 0, therefore and are both positive. But $K \ [!] = b > 0$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, *K* is a Seiberg{Witten basic class.

Let $V \ 2 \ H_2(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ be the homology class of the projection of F into M^3 . Suppose there is a singular ber. Since the vanishing cycle for this singular ber is non-separating, the class V can be represented by a map $f: F^{\emptyset} \ M^3$ such that $g_{F^{\emptyset}} = g_F - 1$. The singular norm of V, $x_s(V)$, is less than or equal to $2g_{\overline{F^{\emptyset}}} - 2$. By Theorem 2.3, there are embedded surfaces S_i in M^3 such that $_i[S_i] = V$ and $_i x(S_i) = x_s(V) \quad 2g_{F^{\emptyset}} - 2$, where x stands for the complexity of an orientable surface. On the other hand, since K is Seiberg{ Witten basic, by the adjunction inequality (cf [9]), we have

$$x(S_i)$$
 jK $S_i j$; for all *i*:

Therefore,

$$2g_{F^{\theta}}-2 \qquad \times \\ i \qquad x(S_i) \qquad j K \quad Vj = K \quad F = 2g_F - 2;$$

which is a contradiction. We used the fact that $K \ V = K \ F$. This is because $H_1(S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \ H_1(M^3;\mathbb{Z}) \ H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ consists of classes represented by embedded tori in X, thus, by the adjunction inequality, $K \ H = 0$ for any $H \ 2 \ H_1(S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \ H_1(M^3;\mathbb{Z})$. This concludes the proof for the case of high genus ber.

4 The main theorem

Let us recall that if M is a closed oriented 3{manifold bered over S^1 , then the 4{manifold $X = S^1$ M carries a canonical (up to deformation) symplectic structure compatible with the orientation on X. Note that we have canonically oriented S^1 so that the orientation of M determines an orientation of the ber of $M ! S^1$.

The Poincare associate of a 3{manifold M, denoted by P(M), is defined by the condition that P(M) contains no fake 3{cell and M = P(M) # A where A is a homotopy 3{sphere. The theorem about unique normal prime factorization of 3{manifolds implies that the Poincare associate exists and is unique [7]. An orientation on M canonically determines an orientation on the Poincare associate P(M).

Theorem 4.1 Let M^3 be a closed oriented 3{manifold and $X = S^1$ M^3 . Let P: X ! B be a symplectic Lefschetz bration with respect to some symplectic form ! on X compatible with the orientation. Denote by F the regular ber of P. Then:

- (1) The Poincare associate $P(M^3)$ of M^3 is bered over S^1 with bration $p: P(M^3) \mathrel{!} S^1$.
- (2) There is a di eomorphism $h: S^1 P(M^3) ! X$ such that h ! is deformation equivalent to the canonical symplectic structure on $S^1 P(M^3)$ de ned through the bration $p: P(M^3) ! S^1$.

There are two possibilities for the Lefschetz bration *P*:

- (a) If $P(S^1 \quad fptg)$ is not null-homotopic in B, then genus(B) = 1, and $P \quad h = P_{g;n}$ for some integer n > 0 and map $g: P(M^3) ! S^1$ (see subsection 3.3 for the de nition of $P_{g;n}$).
- (b) If $P(S^1 \ fptg)$ is null-homotopic, then genus(F) = 1. Moreover, $S^1 P(M^3) = F B$ and $P \ h = pr_2$ is the projection onto the second factor, and the bration $p = pr_1$: $P(M^3) = S^1 B ! S^1$ is the projection onto the rst factor.

Proof By lemma 3.4, the Lefschetz bration *P* has no singular bers.

Let's rst consider the case when the base $B = S^2$. The ber F must be T^2 , and X is simply the product F B and $P = pr_2$ is the projection onto the second factor. This belongs to the case when $P(S^1 \ fptg)$ is null-homotopic. It is easily seen that the Poincare associate $P(M^3)$ of M^3 is homeomorphic to $S^1 \ B$.

For the rest of the proof, we assume genus(B) 1. Denote x_0 a base point in X and let $b_0 = P(x_0)$. Consider the exact sequence induced by the bration:

$$1 ! _{1}(F_{b_{0}}; x_{0}) ! _{1}(X; x_{0}) ! _{1}(B; b_{0}) ! 1$$

Observe that $_1(X; x_0) = \mathbb{Z} _1(M^3)$. Denote the generator of the \mathbb{Z} {summand by $u = [S^1 \quad fptg] \ 2 _1(X; x_0)$.

Case 1 The image $P(u) \neq 1$ in $_1(B; b_0)$.

The element *u* is central in $_1(X; x_0)$, therefore *P* (*u*) is central in $_1(B; b_0)$. It follows immediately that *B* is a torus. Let *n* be the divisibility of *P* (*u*), $v = \frac{1}{n}P(u)$ and *q* be a simple closed loop in *B* containing b_0 and representing *v*. The monodromy $Mon_P(P(u))$ is trivial in the mapping class group of F_{b_0} . This is because the mapping class group of F_{b_0} is isomorphic to $Out(_1(F_{b_0}; x_0))$ and $Mon_P(P(u))() = u u^{-1} =$ for every in $_1(F_{b_0}; x_0) = _1(X; x_0)$ (note that *u* is central in $_1(X; x_0)$).

The cases when the ber F is a torus or a sphere need special treatment, and will be considered after the general case.

We assume for now that genus(*F*) 2. Observe that $Mon_P(v)$ has nite order in $Map(F_{b_0})$.

Claim $Mon_P(v) = Mon_P(q)$ has a representative ': F_{b_0} ! F_{b_0} which has order *n* and is periodic-point-free.

Proof Let ' be a nite order representative of $Mon_P(q)$ provided by proposition 3.1. Suppose it has periodic points. We can go back to the beginning of the proof and make sure that $x_0 \ 2 F_{b_0}$ is a periodic point of ', ie, ' $n^{\theta}(x_0) = x_0$ for some n^{θ} such that $0 < n^{\theta} < n$. The minimal period n^{θ} divides n and we set $k = n = n^{\theta}$.

The idea of the proof, which follows, is that if $r^{n^{\theta}}$ has a xed point, then $r^{n^{\theta}}$ acts (periodically) on $_{1}(F_{b_{0}}; x_{0})$ \on a nose", not up to inner automorphisms of $_{1}(F_{b_{0}}; x_{0})$ as in the case of periodic-point-free actions. This will contradict the structure of $_{1}(X; x_{0})$ seen from the exact sequence induced by the bration.

Let $Z = F_{b_0}$ $I = [_{(x;1)} ('(x),0)]$ be the mapping torus of ' and $\hat{Z} = F_{b_0}$ $I = [_{(x;1)} ('n^{\theta}(x),0)]$ be the mapping torus of ' n^{θ} . There are a natural n^{θ} {fold covering *cov*: \hat{Z} ! Z and an embedding *emb*: Z ! X, such that *cov*(x_0 ;0) = (x_0 ;0) and *emb*(x_0 ;0) = x_0 . Their composition induces a monomorphism in the fundamental groups (*emb cov*) : $_1(\hat{Z}; (x_0;0))$! $_1(X;x_0)$. Let be the image of $[fx_0g \ I] 2 _1(\hat{Z}; (x_0;0))$ under the above monomorphism. For any $2 _1(F_{b_0}; x_0) = _1(X; x_0)$, the following relations hold in $_1(X; x_0)$:

On the other hand, we have ${}^{k} = u$ for some element in ${}_{1}(F_{b_{0}}; x_{0})$, since $P({}^{k}) = P(u)$. The relations above then imply that ${}^{-1} =$ for any $2 {}_{1}(F_{b_{0}}; x_{0})$, thus = 1. Thus we have ${}^{k} = u$ for k > 1. This contradicts the fact that u generates a direct summand in ${}_{1}(X; x_{0})$.

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

Denote $= F_{b_0} = '$. Let r be an oriented simple closed loop in B such that $q \setminus r = b_0$ and hq; ri = 1. The monodromy $Mon_P(r)$ commutes with $Mon_P(q)$. Hence it has a representative $\sim: F \mid F$, which commutes with ' by lemma 3.1, and therefore descends to a map : ! . Let $g: ! S^1$ be the S^1 {valued function corresponding to the cyclic covering $pr: F_{b_0} ! = F_{b_0} = '$ and the generator ' of the deck transformations of pr (cf lemma 3.3). Since ' commutes with , the function g extends to a function $g: \tilde{M}^3 ! S^1$, where \tilde{M}^3 is the mapping torus of . In general g is only a continuous function. We can always deform it into a smooth function, which is still denoted by g for simplicity. Identify B with $S^1 = S^1$ so that (q; r) becomes $(S^1 = f_{1}g; f_{1}g = S^1)$, then the brations $P_{g;n}: S^1 = \tilde{M}^3 ! B$ and P: X ! B have the same monodromy and therefore $X = S^1 = M^3$ is di eomorphic to $S^1 = \tilde{M}^3$ by lemma 3.2.

Now we consider the case when genus(F) = 1.

Claim $Mon_P(v) = Mon_P(q)$ is trivial.

Proof Suppose $Mon_P(v)$ is not trivial in $Map(F_{b_0})$. Then we must have $n \in 1$. We identify $Map(F_{b_0})$ with $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ by the action of $Map(F_{b_0})$ on $H_1(F_{b_0};\mathbb{Z})$, and denote by $A \ 2 \ SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ the element corresponding to $Mon_P(v)$. It has two complex eigenvalues, neither of which is equal to one. This implies, in particular, that Id - A has non-zero determinant and Q = $H_1(F_{b_0};\mathbb{Z}) = Im(Id - A)$ is a nite group. Consider the 3{manifold $Z = P^{-1}(q)$. It is di eomorphic to the mapping torus of $Mon_P(v)$. The natural embedding of Z into X induces a monomorphism of fundamental groups and u is in the image of this monomorphism; thus we can regard *u* as an element of $_1(Z; x_0)$. Let us recall that $_1(X; x_0)$ splits into a direct sum, $_1(X; x_0) = \mathbb{Z}hui \quad _1(M^3)$. $_1(Z; x_0)$, the fundamental group of Z also splits into a direct Since *Zhui* sum, $_1(Z; x_0) = \mathbb{Z}hui [_1(Z; x_0) \setminus _1(M^3)]$. In particular, the image [*u*] of *u* in $H_1(Z;\mathbb{Z})$ has in nite order. Choose a loop $2 (Z; x_0)$ such that P() = v. Then *u* and are related by equation ${}^{n} = u$ for some $2_{1}(F_{b_{0}}; x_{0})$. Denote by [] the image of in $H_1(Z; \mathbb{Z})$. Calculating homology of Z we have

$$H_1(Z;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}h[]i \quad Q \text{ and } [u] = n[] - []$$

where $Q = H_1(F_{b_0}; \mathbb{Z}) = Im(Id - A)$, and [] 2 Q is the image of . On the other hand, we have

$$H_1(Z;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}h[u]i \quad Q^{\ell} \text{ and } [] = m[u] + [^{\ell}]$$

for some integer $m \notin 0$ and $[{}^{\ell}] 2 Q^{\ell}$, where Q^{ℓ} is the abelianization of $_1(Z; x_0) \setminus _1(M^3)$. Note that Q^{ℓ} is a nite group because the rank of $H_1(Z; \mathbb{Z})$ is 1. Putting the two equations together, we have

$$(nm-1)[u] = [] - n[^{l}]; n > 1:$$

This is a contradiction, since the left-hand-side has in nite order in $H_1(Z; \mathbb{Z})$, but the right-hand-side has nite order.

Thus we have established that $Mon_P(v)$ is trivial and, in fact, the manifold Z is di eomorphic to $S^1 F_{b_0}$. It will be convenient to x a product structure $S^1 F_{b_0}$ on Z and a flat metric on F_{b_0} . These give rise to a flat metric on $Z = S^1 F_{b_0}$.

Let us recall that $Di_0(F_{b_0})$ is homotopy equivalent to the set of conformal isometries of F_{b_0} ; hence every element in $_1(Di_0(F_{b_0}); Id)$ could be represented by a linear family of parallel transforms of F_{b_0} .

Let : F_{b_0} ! F_{b_0} be a representation of the monodromy of *P* along *r*, where *r* is an oriented simple closed loop in *B* such that $q \setminus r = b_0$ and hq; ri = 1. We may assume that is linear with respect to the chosen flat metric on F_{b_0} .

Since *X* bers over a circle with ber *Z*, it is di eomorphic to the mapping torus of some self-di eomorphism : *Z* ! *Z*, which could be chosen so that it preserves each ber *fptg* F_{b_0} . Such a is the composition of *Id* with a \Dehn twist" of *Z* along F_{b_0} , which is de ned as follows: Choose an element in $_1(Di_{0}(F_{b_0}); Id)$ and represent it by a loop : $S^1 ! Di_{0}(F_{b_0})$. De ne a Dehn twist $t_{F_{b_0}}$: $Z = S^1 \quad F_{b_0} ! Z$ by $t_{F_{b_0}}$; (s; x) = (s; (s)(x)). If we choose to be a linear loop in the space of conformal automorphisms of F_{b_0} , then will be a linear self-di eomorphism of *Z*.

Recall that $X = S^1$ M^3 and denote by $pr_1: X ! S^1$ the projection onto the rst factor. Let $: Z ! S^1$ be a linear map representing the homotopy class of pr_1j_Z , which de nes a trivial bration on Z with ber $= T^2$. Then and are homotopic, because pr_1 is de ned on the whole X, which is the mapping torus of T. This implies that = since both are linear maps.

Thus preserves the bration structure of Z by , so that extends to a bration : $X \ ! \ S^1$. Let ': ! be the self-homeomorphism induced by , and denote the mapping torus of ' by \widehat{M}^3 and the corresponding bration by $p: \widehat{M}^3 \ ! \ S^1$. We claim that is a trivial bration over S^1 with ber \widehat{M}^3 , therefore $X = S^1 \quad \widehat{M}^3$. This can be seen as follows: The monodromy of is a composition of Id ' with a Dehn twist of Z along since preserves the trivial bration on Z by . The Dehn twist must be trivial

since otherwise we would have $(u) \notin u$, which contradicts the fact that u is central in $_1(X; x_0)$. Therefore is trivial and $X = S^1 \quad \widehat{M}^3$, where \widehat{M}^3 is bered with bration $p: \widehat{M}^3 \, ! \, S^1$ and ber $= T^2$. Note that the product structure $h: X \, ! \, S^1 \quad \widehat{M}^3$ could be chosen to be homotopic to the given product structure $X = S^1 \quad M^3$ in the sense that h is homotopic to a product of homotopy equivalences from S^1 to S^1 and from M^3 to \widehat{M}^3 . Speci cally, this could be done as follows: Choose a product structure $S^1 \quad \text{on } Z$ by choosing a projection from Z to so that the induced map on fundamental groups sends u to zero. Since both u and are preserved by , the product structure on Z extends to a product structure on X, which has the required property.

Now we will nd $g: \widehat{M}^3 ! S^1$ and n such that the bration $P_{g;n}$ is equivalent to the original bration P on X. Let $pr: F_{b_0} !$ be the restriction to F_{b_0} of the projection from Z to . Recall that both F_{b_0} and are linear subspaces in Z. It follows that pr is a cyclic covering with deck transformations being parallel transforms on F_{b_0} . Set n to be equal to the degree of pr. Now let $g = (j_{F_{b_0}})^n$, where the power is taken point-wise in S^1 . The function gdescends to a function $g: ! S^1$, which is linear and ' {invariant, therefore extends to a map $g: \widehat{M}^3 ! S^1$. It is left as an exercise for the reader to show that $P_{g;n}$ is equivalent to P: X ! B.

It remains to show that in both cases \widehat{M}^3 is homeomorphic to the Poincare associate $P(M^3)$ of M^3 . This follows from the fact that the di eomorphism between S^1 \widehat{M}^3 and $X = S^1$ M^3 induces a homotopy equivalence \widehat{M}^3 ! M^3 , which, by a theorem of Stallings [11], implies $\widehat{M}^3 = P(M^3)$.

When genus(F) = 0, X is di eomorphic to F B (since X is spin) with $P = pr_2$ the projection onto the second factor. The Poincare associate $P(M^3)$ is homeomorphic to S^1 S^2 .

Case 2 The image P(u) = 1 in $_{1}(B; b_{0})$.

Thus *u* lies in $_1(F_{b_0}; x_0)$ and generates a direct summand in $_1(F_{b_0}; x_0)$. Hence the ber *F* must be a torus, and *u* is primitive in $_1(F_{b_0}; x_0)$.

Identify F with $S^1 = S^1$ such that the loop $q = S^1 = fptg$ represents the class u in $_1(F_{b_0}; x_0)$ and the loop $r = fptg = S^1$ represents a class in $_1(M^3)$, which we denote by [r]. Then we have a reduced exact sequence:

$$1 ! \mathbb{Z}h[r]i ! 1(M^{3}) \stackrel{P_{j_{1}(M^{3})}}{-!} 1(B;b_{0}) ! 1$$

Let $f: P(M^3) ! M^3$ be a homotopy equivalence between the Poincare associate $P(M^3)$ and M^3 . It is easily seen that there is a commutative diagram

By Theorem 11.10 in [7], the Poincare associate $P(M^3)$ is an S^1 { bration over the Riemann surface B, $S^1 \not P(M^3) ! B$, from which the exact sequence

$$1 ! \mathbb{Z} ! _{1}(P(M^{3})) ! _{1}(B; b_{0}) ! 1$$

is induced. We claim that $P(M^3)$ must be the trivial bration S^1 *B*. Suppose it is not a trivial bration, then the homology class of the ber j [S^1] is torsion in $H_1(P(M^3);\mathbb{Z})$, so is the ber of the associated T^2 { bration of S^1 $P(M^3)$ obtained by taking the product with S^1 , S^1 S^1 S^1 $P(M^3)$! *B*. But ((Id f) (Id j)) [S^1 S^1] is homologous to the ber [*F*] in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, which is not torsion since $F \downarrow X \stackrel{P}{\cdot} B$ is a symplectic Lefschetz bration. Hence $P(M^3)$ is the trivial bration S^1 *B*.

We then have the following commutative diagram

from which it follows that *P* has trivial monodromy. Let B_0 be a surface with boundary obtained by removing from *B* a small disc D_0 disjoint from the base point $b_0 2 @B_0$. The restrictions Pj_{B_0} and Pj_{D_0} are trivial brations, and *P* is determined by the homotopy class [] of a gluing map : $S^1 \ F_{b_0} \ I \ S^1 \ F_{b_0}$ viewed as an element in $Map(S^1; Di \ _0(F_{b_0}))$. By proposition 3.2, we have $_1(Di \ _0(F_{b_0}); Id) = \mathbb{Z} \ \mathbb{Z}$. So *P* is equivalent to a bration which is the product of an S^1 { bration over *B*, say , with S^1 , where the rst Chern number of is the divisibility of [] in $_1(Di \ _0(F_{b_0}); Id) = \mathbb{Z} \ \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $[F] \neq 0$ in $H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$, so we must have $c_1() = 0$ so that Id. Thus we have proved that *X* is di eomorphic to *F B* with $P = pr_2$ the projection onto the second factor.

We nish the proof of the theorem by showing the uniqueness of the symplectic structure: The existence of di eomorphism $h: S^1 P(M^3) ! X$ is clear from the above classi cation of the Lefschetz bration P. Moreover, the canonical symplectic form on $S^1 P(M^3)$ is positive on each ber of the pull-back bration P h, hence by lemma 2.1, it is deformation equivalent to h!. \Box

Geometry and Topology, Volume 4 (2000)

References

- [1] J Amoros, F Bogomolov, L Katzarkov, T Pantev, Symplectic Lefschetz brations with arbitrary fundamental groups, with an appendix by Ivan Smith, to appear in J. Di . Geom.
- [2] L Bers, *Quasiconformal mappings and Teichmüller's theorem*, from: \Analytic functions", Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1960) 89{119
- [3] **S Donaldson**, *Lefschetz brations in symplectic geometry*, Proceedings of ICM, Berlin (1998) II, 309{314
- [4] C J Earle, J Eells, The di eomorphism group of a compact Riemann surface, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 557{559
- [5] R Gompf, A Stipsicz, 4{manifolds and Kirby Calculus, Grad. Studies in Math. Vol. 20, AMS, Providence RI (1999)
- [6] D Gabai, Foliations and the topology of 3{manifolds, J. Di. Geom. 18 (1983) 445{503
- [7] J Hempel, 3{manifolds, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 86 (1976)
- [8] SP Kerckho, The Nielsen realization problem, Annals of Math. 117 (1983) 235{265.
- P B Kronheimer, Embedded surfaces and gauge theory in three and four dimensions, from: \Surveys in di erential geometry", Vol. III, Int. Press (1998) 243{298
- [10] C T McMullen, C H Taubes, 4{manifolds with inequivalent symplectic forms and 3{manifolds with inequivalent brations, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999) 681{696
- J Stallings, On bering certain 3{manifolds, from: \Topology of 3{manifolds", Prentice{Hall (1962) 95{100
- [12] C H Taubes, The geometry of the Seiberg{Witten invariants, Proceedings of ICM, Berlin (1998) II, 493{504
- [13] **O Teichmüller**, *Extremale quasikonforme Abbildungen und quadratische Differentiale*, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Nat. Kl. 1939, no. 22 (1940)
- [14] O Teichmüller, Bestimmung der extremalen quasikonformen Abbildungen bei geschlossenen orientierten Riemannschen Flächen, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Nat. Kl. 1943, no. 4 (1943)