ISSN 1472-2739 (on-line) 1472-2747 (printed)

Algebraic & Geometric Topology Volume 4 (2004) 829–839 Published: 7 October 2004



Implications of the Ganea Condition

Norio Iwase Donald Stanley Jeffrey Strom

Abstract Suppose the spaces X and $X \times A$ have the same Lusternik-Schnirelmann category: $\operatorname{cat}(X \times A) = \operatorname{cat}(X)$. Then there is a *strict* inequality $\operatorname{cat}(X \times (A \rtimes B)) < \operatorname{cat}(X) + \operatorname{cat}(A \rtimes B)$ for every space B, provided the connectivity of A is large enough (depending only on X). This is applied to give a partial verification of a conjecture of Iwase on the category of products of spaces with spheres.

AMS Classification 55M30

Keywords Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, Ganea conjecture, product formula, cone length

Introduction

The product formula $\operatorname{cat}(X \times Y) \leq \operatorname{cat}(X) + \operatorname{cat}(Y)$ [1] is one of the most basic relations of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. Taking $Y = S^r$, it implies that $\operatorname{cat}(X \times S^r) \leq \operatorname{cat}(X) + 1$ for any r > 0. In [5], Ganea asked whether the inequality can ever be strict in this special case. The study of the 'Ganea condition' $\operatorname{cat}(X \times S^r) = \operatorname{cat}(X) + 1$ has been, and remains, a formidable challenge to all techniques for the calculation of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. In fact, it was only recently that techniques were developed which were powerful enough to identify a space which does not satisfy the Ganea condition [8] (see also [9, 12]). It is still not well understood exactly which spaces X do not satisfy the Ganea condition, although it has been conjectured that they are precisely those spaces for which $\operatorname{cat}(X)$ is not equal to the related invariant $\operatorname{Qcat}(X)$ (see [14, 17]).

Since the failure of the Ganea condition appears to be a strange property for a space to have, it is reasonable to expect that such failure would have useful and interesting implications. In this paper we explore some of the implications of the equation $\operatorname{cat}(X \times A) = \operatorname{cat}(X)$ for general spaces A, and for $A = S^r$ in particular. A brief look at the method of the paper [8] will help to put our results into proper perspective. The new techniques begin with the following question: if $Y = X \cup_f e^{t+1}$, the cone on $f: S^t \to X$, then how can we tell if $\operatorname{cat}(Y) >$ $\operatorname{cat}(X)$? It is shown (see [9, Thm. 5.2] and [12, Thm. 3.6]) that, if $t \ge \dim(X)$, then $\operatorname{cat}(Y) = \operatorname{cat}(X) + 1$ if and only if a certain Hopf invariant $\mathcal{H}_s(f)$ (which is a set of homotopy classes) does not contain the trivial map *. It is also shown [9, Thm. 3.8] that if $* \in \Sigma^r \mathcal{H}_s(f)$, then $\operatorname{cat}(Y \times S^r) \le \operatorname{cat}(X) + 1$. Thus Y does not satisfy Ganea's condition if $* \notin \mathcal{H}_s(f)$, but there is at least one $h \in \mathcal{H}_s(f)$ such that $\Sigma^r h \simeq *$.

Of course, if $\Sigma^r h \simeq *$, then $\Sigma^{r+1} h \simeq *$ as well, and this suggests the following conjecture (formulated in [8, Conj. 1.4]):

Conjecture If $cat(X \times S^r) = cat(X)$, then $cat(X \times S^{r+1}) = cat(X)$.

In this paper we prove that this conjecture is true, provided r is large enough.

Theorem 1 Suppose X is a (c-1)-connected space and let $r > \dim(X) - c \cdot \operatorname{cat}(X) + 2$. If $\operatorname{cat}(X \times S^r) = \operatorname{cat}(X)$, then

$$\operatorname{cat}(X \times S^t) = \operatorname{cat}(X)$$

for all $t \geq r$.

The conjecture remains open for small values of r.

Our main result is much more general: it shows how the equation $cat(X \times A) = cat(X)$ governs the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of products of X with a vast collection of other spaces.

Theorem 2 Let X be a (c-1)-connected space and let A be (r-1)-connected with $r > \dim(X) - c \cdot \operatorname{cat}(X) + 2$. If $\operatorname{cat}(X \times A) = \operatorname{cat}(X)$ then

$$\operatorname{cat}(X \times (A \rtimes B)) < \operatorname{cat}(X) + \operatorname{cat}(A \rtimes B)$$

for every space B.

Here $A \rtimes B = (A \times B)/B$ is the half-smash product of A with B. When A is a suspension, the half-smash product decomposes as $A \rtimes B \simeq A \lor (A \land B)$ (see, for example, [12, Lem. 5.9]), so we obtain the following.

Corollary Under the conditions of Theorem 2, if A is a suspension, then

 $\operatorname{cat}(X \times (A \wedge B)) = \operatorname{cat}(X)$

for every space B.

Our partial verification of the conjecture is an immediate consequence of this corollary: it the special case $A = S^r$ and $B = S^{t-r}$.


Organization of the paper In Section 1 we recall the necessary background information on homotopy pushouts, cone length and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. We introduce an auxiliary space and establish its important properties in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 2 is presented in Section 3.

1 Preliminaries

In this paper all spaces are based and have the pointed homotopy type of CW complexes; maps and homotopies are also pointed. We denote by * the one point space and any nullhomotopic map. Much of our exposition uses the language of homotopy pushouts; we refer to [11] for the definitions and basic properties.

1.1 Homotopy Pushouts

We begin by recalling some basic facts about homotopy pushout squares. We call a sequence $A \to B \to C$ a *cofiber sequence* if the associated square



is a homotopy pushout square. The space C is called the *cofiber* of the map f. One special case that we use frequently is the *half-smash product* $A \rtimes B$, which is the cofiber of the inclusion $B \to A \times B$.

Finally, we recall the following result on products and homotopy pushouts.

Proposition 3 Let X be any space. Consider the squares

If the first square is a homotopy pushout, then so is the second.

Proof This follows from Theorem 6.2 in [15].

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 4 (2004)

1.2 Cone Length and Category

A cone decomposition of a space Y is a diagram of the form



in which $Y_0 = *$, each sequence $L_i \to Y_i \to Y_{i+1}$ is a cofiber sequence, and $Y_k \simeq Y$; the displayed cone decomposition has *length* k. The *cone length* of Y, denoted cl(Y), is defined by

$$cl(Y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Y \simeq * \\ \infty & \text{if } Y \text{ has no cone decomposition, and} \\ k & \text{if the shortest cone decomposition of } Y \text{ has length } k. \end{cases}$$

The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of X may be defined in terms of the cone length of X by the formula

$$cat(X) = inf\{cl(Y) \mid X \text{ is a homotopy retract of } Y\}.$$

Berstein and Ganea proved this formula in [3, Prop. 1.7] with cl(Y) replaced by the strong category of Y; the formula above follows from another result of Ganea — strong category is equal to cone length [7]. It follows directly from this definition that if X is a homotopy retract of Y, then $cat(X) \leq cat(Y)$. The reader may refer to [10] for a survey of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category.

The category of X can be defined in another way that is essential to our work. Begin by defining the 0th Ganea fibration sequence $F_0(X) \xrightarrow{p_0} X$ to be the familiar path-loop fibration sequence $\Omega(X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}(X)} X$. Given the n^{th} Ganea fibration sequence

$$F_n(X) \longrightarrow G_n(X) \xrightarrow{p_n} X,$$

let $\overline{G}_{n+1}(X) = G_n(X) \cup CF_n(X)$ be the cofiber of p_n and define $\overline{p}_{n+1} : \overline{G}_{n+1}(X) \to X$ by sending the cone to the base point of X. The $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ Ganea fibration $p_{n+1} : G_{n+1}(X) \to X$ results from converting the map \overline{p}_{n+1} to a fibration. The following result is due to Ganea (cf. Svarc).

Theorem 4 For any space X,


- (a) $\operatorname{cl}(G_n(X)) \leq n$,
- (b) the map $p_n: G_n(X) \to X$ has a section if and only if $cat(X) \le n$, and

(c) $F_n(X) \simeq (\Omega(X))^{*(n+1)}$, the (n+1)-fold join of ΩX with itself.

Proof Assertion (a) follows immediately from the construction. For parts (b) and (c), see [6]; these results also appear, from a different point of view, in [16].

2 An Auxilliary Space

Let G_n denote the homotopy pushout in the square



The maps $p_n : G_n(X) \to X$ and $1_A : A \to A$ piece together to give a map $\tilde{p}_n : \tilde{G}_n \to X \times A$. The space \tilde{G}_n and the map \tilde{p}_n play key roles in the forthcoming constructions; this section is devoted to establishing some of their properties.

2.1 Category Properties of \widetilde{G}_n

We begin by estimating the category of \widetilde{G}_n .

Proposition 5 For any noncontractible A and n > 0, $cat(\widetilde{G}_n) < n + cat(A)$.

Proof For simplicity in this proof, we write F_i for $F_i(X)$ and G_i for $G_i(X)$. Let cat(A) = k, so A is a retract of another space A' with cl(A') = k. Let $\widetilde{G}'_n = G_n \cup G_{n-1} \times A'$; clearly \widetilde{G}_n is a homotopy retract of \widetilde{G}'_n and so it suffices to show that $cl(\widetilde{G}'_n) < n + k$. Let



be a cone decomposition of A'. We will also use the cone decomposition of G_n given by the cofiber sequences $F_{i-1} \to G_{i-1} \to G_i$. According to a result of Baues [2] (see also [13, Prop. 2.9]), for each *i* and *j* there is a cofiber sequence

$$F_{i-1} * L_{j-1} \longrightarrow G_i \times A'_{j-1} \cup G_{i-1} \times A'_j \longrightarrow G_i \times A'_j.$$

Now define subspaces $W_s \subseteq \widetilde{G}'_n$ by the formula

$$W_s = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{i+j=s} G_i \times A'_j & \text{if } s \le n \\ G_n \times A'_0 \cup \left(\bigcup_{i+j=s \ i < n} G_i \times A'_j\right) & \text{if } s > n \end{cases}$$

with the understanding that $A'_j = A'_k$ for all $j \ge k$. The cofiber sequences guaranteed by Baues' theorem can be pieced together with the given cone decompositions of A' and G_n to give the cofiber sequences

$$F_s \lor L_s \lor \left(\bigvee_{\substack{i+j=s-1\\i< n-1}} F_i * L_j\right) \longrightarrow W_s \longrightarrow W_{s+1}$$

for each $s < \min\{n, k\}$; when $s \ge n$ we alter the cobase of the cofiber sequence by removing the F_s summand, and when $s \ge k$ we must remove the summand L_s . Since $\widetilde{G}'_n = W_{n+k-1}$, we have the result.

Next, we show that the map $\widetilde{p}_n : \widetilde{G}_n \to X \times A$ has one of the category-detecting properties of $p_n : G_n(X \times A) \to X \times A$.

Proposition 6 If $cat(X \times A) = cat(X) = n$, then \tilde{p}_n has a homotopy section.

Proof We follow [4] (see also [8, Thm. 2.7]) and define

$$\widehat{G}'_n(X \times A) = \bigcup_{i+j=n} G_i(X) \times G_j(A).$$

There is a natural map $h: \widehat{G}'_n(X \times A) \to X \times A$ induced by the Ganea fibrations over X and A. According to [4, Thm. 2.3], $\operatorname{cat}(X \times A) = n$ if and only if h has a homotopy section.

Each map $G_i(X) \times G_j(A) \to X \times A$ (with j > 0) factors through $G_i(X) \times A$ and these factorizations are compatible because p_{i+1} extends p_i . So h factors as $\widehat{G}'_n(X \times A) \to \widetilde{G}_n \to X \times A$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{cat}(X \times A) = n$, then h, and hence \widetilde{p}_n , has a section.

2.2 Comparison of \widetilde{G}_n with $G_n(X) \times A$

Let $j: \widetilde{G}_n \to G_n(X) \times A$ denote the natural inclusion map.

Proposition 7 Assume that X is (c-1)-connected and that A is (r-1)-connected. Then the homotopy fiber F of the map j is (nc+r-2)-connected.

Implications of the Ganea Condition

Proof There is a cofiber sequence

$$\widetilde{G}_n \xrightarrow{j} G_n(X) \times A \longrightarrow \Sigma F_{n-1}(X) \wedge A.$$


Therefore the homotopy fiber of j has the same connectivity as the space $\Omega(\Sigma F_{n-1}(X) \wedge A) \simeq \Omega(\Omega(X)^{*n} * A)$, namely nc + r - 2.

Corollary 8 Assume dim(Z) < nc+r-2 and let $f, g: Z \to \widetilde{G}_n$. Then $f \simeq g$ if and only if $jf \simeq jg$.

The proof is standard, and we omit it.

2.3 New Sections from Old Ones


Suppose that $\operatorname{cat}(X) = \operatorname{cat}(X \times A) = n$. By Proposition 6 there is a section $\sigma: X \times A \to \widetilde{G}_n$ of the map $\widetilde{p}_n: \widetilde{G}_n \to X \times A$. Define a new map $\sigma': X \to G_n(X)$ by the diagram



We need the following basic properties of σ' .


Proposition 9 If $cat(X \times A) = cat(X) = n$, then

- (a) σ' is a homotopy section of the projection $p_n: G_n(X) \to X$, and
- (b) if X is (c-1)-connected and A is (r-1)-connected with $r > \dim(X) nc + 2$, then the diagram



commutes up to homotopy.

Proof First consider the diagram




The diagram of solid arrows is evidently commutative. Therefore, we have $p_n \circ \sigma' \simeq \operatorname{pr}_1 \circ 1_{X \times A} \circ i_1 \simeq 1_X$, proving (a).

To prove (b) we have to show that two maps $X \to \widetilde{G}_n$ are homotopic. Since $\dim(X) < nc + r - 2$, it suffices by Corollary 8 to show that $j \circ (\sigma \circ i_1) \simeq j \circ (k \circ \sigma')$. Since $\operatorname{pr}_2 \circ j \circ (\sigma \circ i_1) \simeq * \simeq \operatorname{pr}_2 \circ j \circ (k \circ \sigma')$, it remains to show that $\operatorname{pr}_1 \circ j \circ (\sigma \circ i_1) \simeq \operatorname{pr}_1 \circ j \circ (k \circ \sigma')$. But both of these maps are homotopic to σ' .

3 Proof of the Main Theorem

Proof of Theorem 2 We have $n = \operatorname{cat}(X) = \operatorname{cat}(X \times A)$ by hypothesis. It follows from Proposition 6 that there is a section $\sigma : X \times A \to \widetilde{G}_n$ of the map $\widetilde{p}_n : \widetilde{G}_n \to X \times A$. We then get the section $\sigma' : X \to G_n(X)$ that was constructed and studied in Section 2.3.

Consider the following diagram and the induced sequence of maps on the homotopy pushouts of the rows



Proposition 9 implies that the upper left square commutes up to homotopy. Since $i_1 \times 1_B$ is a cofibration, we can apply homotopy extension and replace the map $\sigma \times 1_B : (X \times A) \times B \to \widetilde{G}_n \times B$ with a homotopic map s which makes


Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 4 (2004)

836

that square strictly commute. All other squares are strictly commutative as they stand.

Since the composites $(\tilde{p}_n \times 1_B) \circ (\sigma' \times 1_B)$ and $p_n \circ \sigma'$ are the identity maps and $(\tilde{p}_n \times 1_B) \circ s$ is a homotopy equivalence, each vertical composite in the modified diagram is a homotopy equivalence. Thus Y is a homotopy retract of P, and consequently $\operatorname{cat}(Y) \leq \operatorname{cat}(P)$.

The space Y is the homotopy pushout of the top row in the diagram, which is the product of the homotopy pushout diagram




with the space X. Therefore $Y \simeq X \times (A \rtimes B)$ by Proposition 3. Since Y is a homotopy retract of P, it follows that

$$\operatorname{cat}(X \times (A \rtimes B)) \le \operatorname{cat}(P),$$


the proof will be complete once we establish that $cat(P) < cat(X) + cat(A \rtimes B)$. This is accomplished in Lemma 10, which is proved below.

Lemma 10 The space P constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 satisfies $\operatorname{cat}(P) \leq \operatorname{cl}(P) < \operatorname{cat}(X) + \operatorname{cat}(A \rtimes B).$


Proof The space \widetilde{G}_n is defined by the homotopy pushout square



Take the product of this square with the space B and adjoin the homotopy pushout square that defines P to obtain the diagram



By [11, Lem. 13], the outer square




is also a homotopy pushout square. The top map is the composite


$$G_{n-1}(X) \times B \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_1} G_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{} G_n(X),$$

and so we have a new factorization into homotopy pushout squares:

To identify the space L, observe that the left square is simply the product of the space $G_{n-1}(X)$ with the homotopy pushout square



By Proposition 3, $L \simeq G_{n-1}(X) \times (A \rtimes B)$. Hence the right-hand square is the homotopy pushout square



Therefore $cl(P) \leq cat(X) + cat(A \rtimes B)$ by Proposition 5.

References

- A. Bassi, Su alcuni nuovi invarianti della varietá topoligische, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. 16 (1935), 275–297. MathReview
- [2] H. Baues, Iterierte Join-Konstruktion, Math. Zeit. 131 (1973), 77–84. MathReview
- [3] I. Berstein and T. Ganea, The category of a map and a cohomology class, Fund. Math. 50 (1961/1962), 265–279. MathReview
- [4] O. Cornea, G. Lupton, J. Oprea and D. Tanré, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 103, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2003). MathReview
- T. Ganea, Some problems on numerical homotopy invariants, Symposium on Algebraic Topology (Battelle Seattle Res. Center, Seattle Wash., 1971), 23–30. Lecture Notes in Math., 249, Springer, Berlin, (1971). MathReview

- T. Ganea, A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension, Comm. Math. Helv. 39 (1965) 295–322. MathReview
- T. Ganea, Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and strong category, Ill. J. Math. 11 (1967) 417–427. MathReview
- [8] N. Iwase, Ganea's conjecture on Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, Bull. London Math. Soc. 30 (1998), 623–634. MathReview
- [9] N. Iwase A_{∞} method in Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, Topology 41 (2002) 695–723. MathReview
- [10] I. M. James, On category, in the sense of Lusternik and Schnirelmann, Topology 17 (1977), 331–348. MathReview
- M. Mather, Pull-backs in homotopy theory, Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976), 225–263. MathReview
- [12] D. Stanley, Spaces of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category n and cone length n+1, Topology **39** (2000), 985–1019. MathReview
- [13] D. Stanley, On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of maps, Canad. J. Math 54 (2002), 608–633. MathReview
- [14] H. Scheerer, D. Stanley and D. Tanré, Fiberwise construction applied to Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, Israel J. Math. 131 (2002), 333–359. MathReview
- [15] N. Steenrod, A convenient category of topological spaces, Mich. Math. J. 14 (1967), 133–152. MathReview
- [16] A. Švarc, The genus of a fibered space, Translations of the AMS, 55 (1966), 49
 140. MathReview
- [17] L. Vandembroucq, Fibrewise suspension and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, Topology 41 (2002), 1239–1258. MathReview

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu 4-2-1 Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, College West 307.14 Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada

Department of Mathematics, Western Michigan University, 1903 W. Michigan Ave Kalamazoo, MI 49008, USA

Email: iwase@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp, stanley@math.uregina.ca, jeffrey.strom@wmich.edu

Received: 6 March 2004