Algebraic & Geometric Topology
Volume 3 (2003) 1277{1289
Published: 31 December 2003



Cell-like resolutions preserving cohomological dimensions

Michael Levin

Abstract We prove that for every compactum X with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ n 2 there is a cell-like resolution $r: Z \to Y$ from a compactum Z onto X such that $\dim_G X$ K and for every integer K and every abelian group K such that $\dim_G X$ K K we also have e-dim K K.

AMS Classi cation 55M10, 54F45

Keywords Cohomological dimension, cell-like resolution

1 Introduction

A space X is always assumed to be separable metrizable. The cohomological dimension $\dim_G X$ of X with respect to an abelian group G is the least number n such that $H^{n+1}(X;A;G)=0$ for every closed subset A of X. It was known long ago that $\dim X=\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X$ if X is nite dimensional. The rst example of an in nite dimensional compactum (= compact metric space) with nite integral cohomological dimension was constructed by Dranishnikov [2] in 1987. In 1978 Edwards [10, 16] discovered his celebrated resolution theorem revealing a close relation between $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}$ The Edwards resolution theorem says that a compactum of $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}$ are can be obtained as the image of a cell-like map de ned on a compactum of $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}$ n can be obtained as the image of a cell-like if any map $f: X \to K$ from X to a CW-complex K is null-homotopic. A map is cell-like if its bers are cell-like. The reduced Cech cohomology groups of a cell-like compactum are trivial with respect to any group G.

The Edwards resolution theorem addresses only the case of integral cohomological dimension. It seems natural to look for generalizations of this theorem taking into consideration other abelian groups. Indeed, such an investigation has been of considerable interest in cohomological dimension theory. It mainly went in two directions.

The rst one is to adjust resolutions for a given group G replacing cell-like maps by G-acyclic maps. A map is G-acyclic if the reduced Cech cohomology groups modulo G of the bers are trivial. By the Vietoris-Begle theorem a G-acyclic map cannot raise the cohomological dimension \dim_G . Let us give two examples of results of this type.

Theorem 1.1 [3] Let p be a prime number and let X be a compactum with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X$ n. Then there are a compactum Z with $\dim Z$ n and a \mathbb{Z}_p -acyclic map $r: Z \to P$ X from Z onto X.

Theorem 1.2 [15] Let G be an abelian group and let X be a compactum with $\dim_G X$ n, n 2. Then there are a compactum Z with $\dim_G Z$ n and $\dim Z$ n+1 and a G-acyclic map r:Z-! X from Z onto X.

The other direction of investigation is to construct cell-like resolutions preserving cohomological dimensions with respect to several abelian groups. Below are some results of this type.

Theorem 1.3 [4] Let p be a prime number and let a compactum X be such that $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p} X$ n and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}[1=p]} X$ n, n 2. Then there are a compactum Z with $\dim Z$ n+1, $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p} Z$ n and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}[1=p]} Z$ n and a cell-like map r: Z - ! X from Z onto X.

Theorem 1.4 [6] Let L be a subset of the set of primes and let X be a compactum such that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}}X$ n and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p}X$ k, n < 2k - 1 for every $p \ge L$. Then there are a compactum Z with $\dim Z$ n and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p}Z$ k for every $p \ge L$ and a cell-like map r : Z - ! X from Z onto X.

Theorem 1.5 [13] Let p;q be distinct prime numbers and let n be an integer > 1. Then for a compactum X with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X$ n, $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}} X$ n and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ n+1 there exist an (n+1)-dimensional compactum Z with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Z$ n, $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}} Z$ n and a cell-like map r: Z - ! X from Z onto X.

This paper goes along the line of investigation represented by Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5. These theorems can be regarded as particular cases of the following general problem: Let X be a compactum with $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X$ n. Do there exist an n-dimensional compactum Z and a cell-like map from Z onto X such that $\dim_G Z$ $\dim_G X$ for every abelian group G? The goal of this paper is to answer this problem a rmatively in cohomological dimensions larger than 1. Namely we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 Let X be a compactum with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ n 2. Then there exist a compactum Z with $\dim Z$ n and a cell-like map $r: Z \to Y$ from Z onto X such that for every integer k 2 and every group G such that $\dim_G X$ k we have $\dim_G Z$ k.

Theorem 1.6 can be reformulated in terms of extensional dimension [7, 8]. The extensional dimension of X is said not to exceed a CW-complex K, written e-dimX K, if for every closed subset A of X and every map f:A-! K there is an extension of f over X. It is well-known that dim X n is equivalent to e-dimX S^n and dim $_GX$ n is equivalent to e-dimX K(G,n) where K(G,n) is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex of type (G,n). The following theorem shows a close connection between cohomological and extensional dimensions.

Theorem 1.7 [5] Let X be a compactum and let K be a simply connected CW-complex. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) e-dimX K;
- (2) $\dim_{H_i(K)} X$ *i* for every i > 1;
- (3) dim $_{i}(K)$ X i for every i > 1.

Then (2) and (3) are equivalent and (1) implies both (2) and (3). If X is nite dimensional then all the conditions are equivalent.

Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 imply the following:

Theorem 1.8 Let X be a compactum with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ n 2. Then there exist a compactum Z with $\dim Z$ n and a cell-like map r: Z -! X from Z onto X such that for every simply connected CW-complex K such that $\operatorname{e-dim} X$ K we have $\operatorname{e-dim} Z$ K.

Proof Let Z and $\Gamma: Z - !$ X be as in Theorem 1.6. Let a simply connected CW-complex K be such that e-dimX K. Then by Theorem 1.7, $\dim_{H_i(K)} X$ i for every i > 1 and hence by Theorem 1.6, $\dim_{H_i(K)} Z$ i for every i > 1. Then since Z is nite dimensional it follows from Theorem 1.7 that e-dimZ K.

Note that the restriction k-2 in Theorem 1.6 cannot be omitted. Indeed, take an in nite dimensional compactum X with $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} X = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X = 2$ (such a compactum was constructed by Dydak and Walsh [12]) and let $r: Z \to X$ be a cell-like map of a 2-dimensional compactum X onto X. Then $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} X = 2$

since otherwise by a result of Daverman [1] we would have $\dim X$ 2. This observation also shows that Theorem 1.8 does not hold for non-simply connected complexes K.

The author would like to thank the referee for the careful reading of the paper and valuable suggestions.

2 Preliminaries

A map between CW-complexes is said to be combinatorial if the preimage of every subcomplex of the range is a subcomplex of the domain.

Let M be a simplicial complex and let $M^{[k]}$ be the k-skeleton of M (=the union of all simplexes of M of dim k). By a resolution EW(M;k) of M we mean a CW-complex EW(M;k) and a combinatorial map !:EW(M;k) -! M such that ! is 1-to-1 over $M^{[k]}$. Let f:N-! K be a map of a subcomplex N of M into a CW-complex K. The resolution is said to be suitable for f if the map $f: f_{[N]}^{[N]} = f_$

Let M be a nite simplicial complex. Let f: N-! K be a cellular map from a subcomplex N of M to a CW-complex K such that $M^{[k]}$ N. Following [14, 15] we will construct a resolution !: EW(M;k)-! M of M which is suitable for f. In the sequel we will refer to this resolution as the standard resolution for f. We will associate with the standard resolution a cellular resolving map $f^0: EW(M;k)-!$ K which will be called the standard resolving map. The standard resolution is constructed by induction on $n = \dim(MnN)$.

For M = N set EW(M;k) = M and let ! : EW(M;k) -! M be the identity map with the standard resolving map $f^{\emptyset} = f$. Let n > k. Denote $M^{\emptyset} = N [M^{[n-1]}]$ and assume that $!^{\emptyset} : EW(M^{\emptyset};k) -! M^{\emptyset}$ is the standard resolution of M^{\emptyset} for f with the standard resolving map $f^{\emptyset} : EW(M^{\emptyset};k) -! K$. The standard resolution ! : EW(M;k) -! M is constructed as follows.

The CW-complex EW(M;k) is obtained from $EW(M^{\ell};k)$ by attaching the mapping cylinder of $f^{\ell}j_{l^{\ell-1}(\mathscr{Q}_{-})}$ to $l^{\ell-1}(\mathscr{Q}_{-})$ for every n-simplex of M which is not contained in M^{ℓ} . Let l:EW(M;k) -l M be the projection which

extends $!^{\,\theta}$ by sending each mapping cylinder to the corresponding n-simplex such that the K-part of the cylinder is sent to the barycenter of and each interval connecting a point of $!^{\,\theta-1}(@)$ with the corresponding point of the K-part of the cylinder is sent linearly to the interval connecting the corresponding point of @ with the barycenter of . We can naturally de ne the extension of $f^{\,\theta}j_{!^{\,\theta-1}(@)}$ over its mapping cylinder by sending each interval of the cylinder to the corresponding point of K. Thus we de ne the standard resolving map which extends $f^{\,\theta}$ over EW(M;k). The CW-structure of EW(M;k) is induced by the CW-structure of EW(M;k) and the natural CW-structures of the mapping cylinders in EW(M;k). Then with respect to this CW-structure the standard resolving map is cellular and ! is combinatorial.

From the construction of the standard resolution it follows that for each simplex of M, $!^{-1}()$ is either contractible or homotopy equivalent to K and for every $X \supseteq M$, $!^{-1}(X)$ is either a singleton or homeomorphic to K. It is easy to check that if M and K are (k-1)-connected then so is EW(M;k). Also note that for every subcomplex T of M, $!j_{!^{-1}(T)}: EW(T;k) = !^{-1}(T) -! T$ is the standard resolution of T for $fj_{N\setminus T}$.

All groups are assumed to be abelian and functions between groups are homomorphisms. P stands for the set of primes. For a non-empty subset A of P let $S(A) = fp_1^{n_1}p_2^{n_2}:::p_k^{n_k}: p_i \ 2 \ A; n_i \ 0g$ be the set of positive integers with prime factors from A and for the empty set de ne $S(\cdot) = f1g$. Let G be a group and $g \ 2 \ G$. We say that g is A-torsion if there is $n \ 2 \ S(A)$ such that ng = 0 and g is A-divisible if for every $n \ 2 \ S(A)$ there is $n \ 2 \ G$ such that nh = g. $Tor_A G$ is the subgroup of the A-torsion elements of G. G is A-torsion if $G = Tor_A G$, G is A-torsion free if $Tor_A G = 0$ and G is A-divisible if every element of G is A-divisible.

G is A-local if G is $(P \ n \ A)$ -divisible and $(P \ n \ A)$ -torsion free. The A-localization of G is the homomorphism $G - P G Z_{(A)}$ de ned by g - P G I where $Z_{(A)} = f n - m : n \ 2 Z : m \ 2 S (P \ n \ A) g$. G is A-local if and only if the A-localization of G is an isomorphism. A map between two simply connected G-complexes is an G-localization if the induced homomorphisms of the homotopy and (reduced integral) homology groups are G-localizations.

Let G be a group, let : L - ! M be a surjective combinatorial map of a CW-complex L and a nite simplicial complex M and let n be a positive integer such that $\mathcal{H}_i(^{-1}();G)=0$ for every i< n and every simplex of M. One can show by induction on the number of simplexes of M using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and the Five Lemma that $:\mathcal{H}_i(L;G)-!$ $\mathcal{H}_i(M;G)$ is an isomorphism for i< n. We will refer to this fact as the combinatorial Vietoris-Begle theorem.

Proposition 2.1 Let m + k + 2, k + 2 and let M be an (m - 1)-connected nite simplicial complex. Let ! : EW(M;k) - ! M be the standard resolution for a cellular map f : N - ! K(G;k) from a subcomplex N of M containing $M^{[k]}$. Then EW(M;k) is (k-1)-connected and for every 1 = i = m-2, $i \in W(M;k)$ is

- (i) ρ -torsion if $G = \mathbf{Z}_{\rho}$;
- (ii) *p*-torsion and $_k(EW(M;k))$ is *p*-divisible if $G = \mathbf{Z}_{p^1}$;
- (iii) p-local if $G = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ and f-local if $G = \mathbf{Q}$.

Proof Since M and K(G;k) are (k-1)-connected then so is EW(M;k). Recall that ! is a surjective combinatorial map and for every simplex of M, ! $^{-1}($) is either contractible or homotopy equivalent to K(G;k).

- (i) By the generalized Hurewicz theorem the groups $H_i(K(\mathbf{Z}_p;k))$, i=1 are p-torsion. Then $H_i(K(\mathbf{Z}_p;k))$, i=1 is p-local and $H_i(K(\mathbf{Z}_p;k);\mathbf{Q}) = H_i(K(\mathbf{Z}_p;k))$ $\mathbf{Q}=0$, i=1. Let $q \neq P$ and $q \neq p$. The p-locality of $H_i(K(\mathbf{Z}_p;k))$, i=1 implies that $H_i(K(\mathbf{Z}_p;k);\mathbf{Z}_q)=0$, i=1. Then, since M is (m-1)-connected, by the combinatorial Vietoris-Begle theorem we get that $H_i(EW(M;k);\mathbf{Z}_q)=0$ and $H_i(EW(M;k);\mathbf{Q})=0$, i=m-1. From the universal coe-cient theorem it follows that the last conditions imply that $H_i(EW(M;k))$ $\mathbf{Q}=0$ for 1=i=m-1 and $H_i(EW(M;k))$ $\mathbf{Z}_q=0$ for 1=i=m-2. Hence $H_i(EW(M;k))$ is torsion and q-torsion free for 1=i=m-2 and every $q \neq P$, $q \neq p$. Therefore $H_i(EW(M;k))$, 1=i=m-2 is p-torsion.
- (ii) Note that the proof of (i) applies not only for $G = \mathbf{Z}_p$ but also for $G = \mathbf{Z}_{p^1}$. Therefore we can conclude that $_i(EW(M;k))$ is p-torsion for $1 \quad i \quad m-2$.

By the Hurewicz theorem $_k(EW(M;k)) = H_k(EW(M;k))$. To show that $H_k(EW(M;k))$ is p-divisible rst observe that $H_k(K(\mathbf{Z}_{p^1};k)) = \mathbf{Z}_{p^1}$ and by the universal coe cient theorem $H_k(K(\mathbf{Z}_{p^1};k);\mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathbf{Z}_{p^1} = \mathbf{Z}_p = 0$. Then since M is k-connected the combinatorial Vietoris-Begle theorem implies that $H_k(EM(M;k);\mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$. Once again by the universal coe cient theorem $H_k(EW(M;k)) = 0$ and therefore $H_k(EW(M;k))$ is p-divisible.

(iii) We will prove the case $G = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. The case $G = \mathbf{Q}$ is similar to $G = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. The proof applies well-known results of Rational Homotopy Theory [11].

The p-locality of ${}_{i}(K(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)};k))$ implies that $H_{i}(K(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)};k))$, i=1 are p-local. Then by the reasoning based on the combinatorial Vietoris-Begle and universal coe cient theorems that we used in the proof of (\mathbf{i}) one can show that $H_{i}(EW(M;k))$, 1=i=m-2 are p-local and $H_{m-1}(EW(M;k))$ is q-divisible for every prime $q \not\in p$. Let a map $: EW(M;k) \rightarrow !$ L be a p-localization of EW(M;k). Then induces an isomorphism of $H_{i}(EW(M;k))$ and $H_{i}(L)$ for 1=i=m-2 and an epimorphism of $H_{m-1}(EW(M;k))$ and $H_{m-1}(L)$. Hence by the Whitehead theorem the groups ${}_{i}(EW(M;k))$ and ${}_{i}(L)$ are isomorphic for 1=i=m-2. Thus ${}_{i}(EW(M;k))$, 1=i=m-2 is p-local.

The following proposition is an in nite dimensional version of the implication (3) (1) of Theorem 1.7.

Proposition 2.2 Let K be a simply connected CW-complex such that K has only nitely many non-trivial homotopy groups. Let X be a compactum such that $\dim_{i(K)} X$ i for i > 1. Then $\operatorname{e-dim} X$ K.

Proof Let n be such that $_{i}(K) = 0$ for i n and let : A - ! K be a map from a closed subset A of X into K. Represent X as the inverse limit $X = \lim_{K_{j}} K_{j}$ of nite simplicial complexes K_{j} with combinatorial bonding maps $h_{i}^{j}: K_{i} - !$ $K_{j}: l > j$ and the projections $p_{j}: X - !$ K_{j} such that diam $p_{j}^{-1}()$ 1 = j for every simplex of K_{j} . Let j be so large that there is a map f: N - ! K from a subcomplex N of K_{j} such that $A p_{j}^{-1}(N)$ and $f p_{j}j_{A}$ is homotopic to C. Then, since $H^{j+1}(X; p_{j}^{-1}(N); {}_{i}(K)) = 0$ for every i, by Obstruction Theory there is a su-ciently large l > j such that $f h_{i}^{j}j_{(h_{i}^{j})^{-1}(N)}$ extends over the n-skeleton of K_{l} and since ${}_{i}(K) = 0$ for i n the map $f h_{i}^{j}j_{(h_{i}^{j})^{-1}(N)}$ will also extend over K_{l} to $f^{\emptyset}: K_{l} - !$ K. Then f^{\emptyset} $p_{l}j_{A}$ is homotopic to and hence extends over X. Thus e-dim X K.

Let K^{ℓ} be a simplicial complex. We say that maps $h: K -! K^{\ell}$, $g: L -! L^{\ell}$, : L -! K and $f: L^{\ell} -! K^{\ell}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
L & \longrightarrow ! & K \\
?? & & ? \\
?? & & h \\
\downarrow & & \swarrow & K^{\theta}
\end{array}$$

combinatorially commute if for every simplex of K^{ℓ} we have that $({\ell}^{\ell} g)((h-1)^{-1}(1))$. (The direction in which we want the maps $h_{\ell}^{*}g_{\ell}^{*}$ and ${\ell}^{\ell}$ to combinatorially commute is indicated by the rst map in the list.

Thus saying that ${}^{\ell}$; h; g and combinatorially commute we would mean that $(h)(({}^{\ell}g)^{-1}())$ for every simplex of K^{ℓ} .) A map $h^{\ell}: K-!$ L^{ℓ} is said to be a combinatorial lifting of h to L^{ℓ} if for every simplex of K^{ℓ} we have that $({}^{\ell}h^{\ell})(h^{-1}())$.

For a simplicial complex K and a 2 K, st(a) denotes the union of all the simplexes of K containing a. The following proposition whose proof is left to the reader is a collection of simple combinatorial properties of maps.

Proposition 2.3

- (i) Let a compactum X be represented as the inverse limit $X = \lim_{K_i} K_j$ of nite simplicial complexes K_i with bonding maps $h_j^i : K_j ! K_i$. Fix i and let $! : EW(K_i; k) ! K_i$ be a resolution of K_i which is suitable for X. Then there is a su ciently large j such that h_j^i admits a combinatorial lifting to $EW(K_i; k)$.
- (ii) Let $h: K -! K^{\emptyset}$, $h^{\emptyset}: K -! L^{\emptyset}$ and $l: L^{\emptyset} -! K^{\emptyset}$ be maps of a simplicial complex K^{\emptyset} and CW-complexes K and L^{\emptyset} such that h and l are combinatorial and h^{\emptyset} is a combinatorial lifting of h. Then there is a cellular approximation of h^{\emptyset} which is also a combinatorial lifting of h.
- (iii) Let K and K^{ℓ} be simplicial complexes, let maps $h: K ! K^{\ell}$, $g: L ! L^{\ell}$, : L ! K and $^{\ell}: L^{\ell} ! K^{\ell}$ combinatorially commute and let h be combinatorial. Then

$$g(^{-1}(st(x)))$$
 $^{\ell-1}(st(h(x)))$ and $h(st(^{\ell}(z)))$ $st((^{\ell}(g)(z)))$ for every $x \ge K$ and $z \ge L$.

We end this section with recalling basic facts of Bockstein Theory. The Bockstein basis is the following collection of groups $= f\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$; $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}: p\ 2\ Pg$. For an abelian group G the Bockstein basis (G) of G is a subcollection of de ned as follows:

 $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ 2 (*G*) if *G*=Tor *G* is not divisible by *p*;

 \mathbf{Z}_{p} 2 (*G*) if $\operatorname{Tor}_{p}G$ is not divisible by p;

 \mathbf{Z}_{p^1} 2 (*G*) if $\operatorname{Tor}_p G \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_p G$ is divisible by p;

Q 2 (G) if $G=\operatorname{Tor} G \neq 0$ and $G=\operatorname{Tor} G$ is divisible by every $p \geq P$.

Let X be a compactum. The Bockstein theorem says that

 $\dim_G X = \sup f \dim_E X : E 2$ (G) g.

The Bockstein inequalities relate the cohomological dimensions for di erent groups of Bockstein basis. We will use the following inequalities:

```
\dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p^{\mathcal{T}}} X = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p} X = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_{p^{\mathcal{T}}}} X + 1;

\dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p} X = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} X \text{ and } \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} X = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} X.

Finally recall that \dim_G X = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X for every abelian group G.
```

3 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Let m = n + 2. Represent X as the inverse limit $X = \lim_{i \to \infty} (K_i; h_i)$ of nite simplicial complexes K_i with combinatorial bonding maps $h_{i+1} : K_{i+1} - ! K_i$ and the projections $p_i : X - ! K_i$ such that for every simplex of K_i , diam $(p_i^{-1}(\))$ 1=i. We will construct by induction nite simplicial complexes L_i and maps $g_{i+1} : L_{i+1} - ! L_i$, $i : L_i - ! K_i$ such that

- (a) $L_i = \mathcal{K}_i^{[m]}$ and $i: L_i !$ \mathcal{K}_i is the inclusion. The simplicial structure of L_1 is induced from $\mathcal{K}_1^{[m]}$ and the simplicial structure of L_i , i > 1 is defined as a sunciently small barycentric subdivision of $\mathcal{K}_i^{[m]}$. We will refer to this simplicial structure while constructing standard resolutions of L_i . It is clear that i is always a combinatorial map;
- (b) the maps h_{i+1} , g_{i+1} , i+1 and i combinatorially commute. Recall that this means that for every simplex of K_i , $(i, g_{i+1})((h_{i+1}, i+1)^{-1}(i))$.

We will construct L_i in such a way that $Z = \lim_{i \to \infty} (L_i; g_i)$ will be of dim n and Z will admit a cell-like map onto X satisfying the conclusions of the theorem. Assume that the construction is completed for i. We proceed to i+1 as follows.

Let E 2 be such that $\dim_E X$ k, 2 k n and let f: N - ! K(E; k) be a cellular map from a subcomplex N of L_i , $L_i^{[k]}$ N. Let ! L: $EW(L_i; k) - !$ L_i be the standard resolution of L_i for f. We are going to construct from ! L: $EW(L_i; k)$ - ! L_i a resolution !: $EW(K_i; k)$ - ! K_i of K_i suitable for X. If $\dim K_i$ k set ! = i ! L: $EW(K_i; k)$ = $EW(L_i; k)$ - ! K_i .

If dim $K_i > k$ set $!_k = i$, $!_L : EW_k(K_i; k) = EW(L_i; k)$ -!, K_i and we will construct by induction resolutions $!_j : EW_j(K_i; k)$ -!, K_i , k+1, j dim K_i such that $EW_j(K_i; k)$ is a subcomplex of $EW_{j+1}(K_i; k)$ and $!_{j+1}$ extends $!_j$ for every k, $j < \dim K_i$. The construction is carried out as follows.

Assume that $!_j : EW_j(K_i;k) - ! K_i, k j < \dim K_i$ is constructed. For every simplex of K_i of dim = j + 1 consider the subcomplex $!_j^{-1}()$ of

 $EW_j(K_i;k)$. Enlarge $!_j^{-1}()$ by attaching cells of dim m+1 in order to get a subcomplex with trivial homotopy groups in dim m. Let $EW_{j+1}(K_i;k)$ be $EW_j(K_i;k)$ with all the cells attached for all (j+1)-dimensional simplexes of K_i and let $!_{j+1}: EW_{j+1}(K_i;k)$ -! K_i be an extension of $!_j$ sending the interior points of the attached cells to the interior of the corresponding .

Finally denote $EW(K_i;k) = EW_j(K_i;k)$ and $! = !_j : EW_j(K_i;k) - !_j = K_i$ for $j = \dim K_i$. Note that since we attach cells only of dim > m, the m-skeleton of $EW(K_i;k)$ coincides with the m-skeleton of $EW(L_i;k)$.

Let us show that $EW(K_i;k)$ is suitable for X. Fix a simplex of K_i and denote $M=\binom{-1}{i}$ (). First note that M is (m-1)-connected, $\binom{-1}{i}$ () is (k-1)-connected, $\binom{-1}{i}$ ()) = 0 for j m and $\binom{-1}{i}$ ()) = $\binom{-1}{i}$ $\binom{-1}{i}$ for k j n. Also note that since $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}X$ n, $\dim_{\binom{-1}{i}}X$ $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}}X$ n for n j. In order to show that e-dimX $\binom{-1}{i}$ () consider separately the following cases.

- **Case 1** $E = \mathbf{Z}_p$. By (i) of Proposition 2.1, $j(!^{-1}()) = j(!^{-1}(M))$, $k \in J$ n is p-torsion. Hence by Bockstein Theory $\dim_{J(!^{-1}())} X \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p} X = k$ for $k \in J$ n. Therefore by Proposition 2.2, e-dim $X = !^{-1}()$.
- **Case 2** $E = \mathbf{Z}_{p^7}$. By (ii) of Proposition 2.1, $_j(!^{-1}(\)) = _j(!^{-1}(M))$, $k \ j \ n$ is p-torsion and $_k(!^{-1}(\)) = _k(!^{-1}(M))$ is p-divisible. Hence by the Bockstein theorem and inequalities $\dim_{_k(!^{-1}(\))}X \ \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_{p^7}}X \ k$ and $\dim_{_j(!^{-1}(\))}X \ \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p}X \ \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_{p^7}}X + 1 \ k + 1$ for $k+1 \ j \ n$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2, e-dim $X \ !^{-1}(\)$.
- **Case 3** $E = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. By (iii) of Proposition 2.1, $j(!^{-1}()) = j(!^{-1}(M))$, $k \neq j$ n is p-local. Then $(j(!^{-1}()))$ may possibly contain only the groups \mathbf{Z}_p , \mathbf{Z}_{p^1} , $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ and \mathbf{Q} . Hence by the Bockstein theorem and inequalities $\dim_{j(!^{-1}())} X = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} X = k$ for every $k \neq j = n$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2, e-dim $X = (!^{-1}())$.
- **Case 4** $E = \mathbf{Q}$. By (iii) of Proposition 2.1, $j(!^{-1}()) = j(!^{-1}(M))$, $k \neq j = n$ is j-local. Then $(j(!^{-1}()))$ may possibly contain only \mathbf{Q} and hence $\dim_{j(!^{-1}())} X = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} X = k$ for every $k \neq j = n$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2, e-dim $X = !^{-1}()$.

Thus we have shown that $EW(K_i;k)$ is suitable for X. Replacing K_{i+1} by K_j with a su-ciently large j we may assume by (i) of Proposition 2.3 that there is a combinatorial lifting of h_{i+1} to $h_{i+1}^{\emptyset}: K_{i+1} - ! EW(K_i;k)$. By (ii) of Proposition 2.3 we replace h_{i+1}^{\emptyset} by its cellular approximation preserving the property of h_{i+1}^{\emptyset} of being a combinatorial lifting of h_{i+1} .

Then h_{i+1}^{\emptyset} sends the m-skeleton of K_{i+1} to the m-skeleton of $EW(K_i;k)$. Recall that the m-skeleton of $EW(K_i;k)$ is contained in $EW(L_i;k)$ and hence one can de ne $g_{i+1} = !_L \quad h_{i+1}^{\emptyset} j_{K_{i+1}^{[m]}} : L_{i+1} = K_{i+1}^{[m]} -!_L \quad L_i$. Finally de ne a simplicial structure on L_{i+1} to be a su-ciently small barycentric subdivision of $K_{i+1}^{[m]}$ such that

(c) diam $g_{i+1}^j($) 1=i for every simplex in L_{i+1} and j i where $g_i^j=g_{j+1}$ g_{j+2} \cdots $g_i:L_i-!$ L_j .

It is easy to check that the properties (a) and (b) are satis ed.

Let E 2 be such that $\dim_E X$ k, 2 k n and let : F -! K(E;k) be a map of a closed subset F of L_j . Then by (c) for a su-ciently large i > j the map $g_i^j j_{(g_i^j)^{-1}(F)}$ extends over a subcomplex N of L_i to a map f: N -! K(E;k). Extending f over $L_i^{[k]}$ we may assume that $L_i^{[k]}$ N. Replacing f by its cellular approximation we also assume that f is cellular. Now suppose that we use this map f for constructing L_{i+1} .

By (iii) of Proposition 2.3, the properties (a) and (b) imply that for every $x \ 2 \ X$ and $z \ 2 \ Z$ the following holds:

(d1)
$$g_{i+1}(\int_{i+1}^{-1} (st(p_{i+1}(x)))) \int_{i}^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$$
 and

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 3 (2003)

(d2) $h_{i+1}(st((i+1) r_{i+1})(z))) st((i+r_i)(z)).$

De ne a map r: Z - ! X by $r(z) = \sqrt{r}p_i^{-1}(st((i r_i)(z))) : i = 1;2; ...g$. Then (d2) implies that r is indeed well-de ned and continuous.

The properties (d1) and (d2) also imply that for every $x \ 2 \ X$

$$r^{-1}(x) = \lim_{i \to \infty} (st(p_i(x))) g_i j_{i-1}(st(p_i(x)))$$

where the map g_{ij} :: is considered as a map to $_{i-1}^{-1}(st(p_{i-1}(x)))$.

Since $r^{-1}(x)$ is not empty for every $x \ 2 \ X$, r is a map onto and let us show that $r^{-1}(x)$ is cell-like. Let $: r^{-1}(x) - !$ K be a map to a CW-complex K. Then since $r^{-1}(x) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \binom{-1}{i} (st(p_i(x))) \cdot g_i j_{i::}$ there is a su-ciently large i such that the map—can be factored up to homotopy through the map— $r_i j_{r^{-1}(x)} : r^{-1}(x) - !$ $T = \binom{-1}{i} (st(p_i(x)))$, that is there is a map— $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$ is contractible and $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$ is contractible and $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$ is of dim— $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$. Hence $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$ is of dim— $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$. Hence $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$ is also null-homotopic and hence $T = r^{-1} (st(p_i(x)))$ is a cell-like map. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] R. J. Daverman, *Hereditarily aspherical compacta and cell-like maps.* Topology Appl. 41 (1991), no. 3, 247{254.
- [2] A. N. Dranishnikov, On a problem of P. S. Aleksandrov. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 135(177) (1988), no. 4, 551{557, 560; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 63 (1989), no. 2, 539{545.
- [3] A. N. Dranishnikov, On homological dimension modulo p. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 132(174) (1987), no. 3, 420{433, 446; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 60 (1988), no. 2, 413{425.
- [4] A. N. Dranishnikov, *K-theory of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces and cell-like mapping problem.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335 (1993), no. 1, 91{103.
- [5] A. N. Dranishnikov, Extension of mappings into CW-complexes. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 182 (1991), no. 9, 1300{1310; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 74 (1993), no. 1, 47{56
- [6] A. Dranishnikov, *Cohomological dimension theory of compact metric spaces*. Topology Atlas Invited Contributions, http://at.yorku.ca/topology/taic.htm
- [7] A. Dranishnikov, J. Dydak, *Extension dimension and extension types*. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 212 (1996), Otobrazh. i Razmer., 61{94; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 1996, no. 1 (212), 55{88

- [8] Alexander Dranishnikov, Jerzy Dydak, Extension theory of separable metrizable spaces with applications to dimension theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), no. 1, 133{156.
- [9] Alexander N. Dranishnikov, Dusan Repovs, *On Alexandro theorem for general abelian groups.* Topology Appl. 111 (2001), no. 3, 343{353.
- [10] R. D. Edwards, A theorem and a question related to cohomological dimension and cell-like maps. Notices of the AMS, 25(1978), A-259.
- [11] Yves Felix, Stephen Halperin, Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory.* Graduate texts in mathematics no. 205, New York, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [12] Jerzy Dydak, John J. Walsh, In nite-dimensional compacta having cohomological dimension two: an application of the Sullivan conjecture. Topology 32 (1993), no. 1, 93{104.
- [13] Akira Koyama, Katsuya Yokoi, *On Dranishnikov's cell-like resolution*. Geometric topology: Dubrovnik 1998. Topology Appl. 113 (2001), no. 1-3, 87{106.
- [14] Michael Levin, Constructing compacta of di erent extensional dimensions. Canad. Math. Bull. 44(2001), no. 1, 80{86.
- [15] Michael Levin, Acyclic resolutions for arbitrary groups. Israel J. Math. 135 (2003), 193{203.
- [16] John J. Walsh, *Dimension, cohomological dimension, and cell-like mappings.* Shape theory and geometric topology (Dubrovnik, 1981), pp. 105{118, Lecture Notes in Math., 870, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.

Department of Mathematics Ben Gurion University of the Negev P.O.B. 653 Be'er Sheva 84105, ISRAEL

Email: mlevine@math.bgu.ac.il

Received: 7 April 2003 Revised: 1 December 2003