## DISCRIMINANT AND THE ŁOJASIEWICZ EXPONENT

## by Arkadiusz Płoski

**Abstract.** An effective formula for the Lojasiewicz exponent of a plane curve singularity is given.

**1. Introduction.** Suppose that a polynomial f = f(x, y) in two complex variables defines an isolated singularity at the origin  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$ , i.e.,  $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$  and the gradient  $\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)$  has an isolated zero at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . The Lojasiewicz exponent  $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$  of f at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$  is by definition, the smallest  $\theta > 0$  such that there exist a neighborhood U of  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$  and a constant c > 0 such that

$$|\nabla f(z)| \ge c|z|^{\theta}$$
 for each  $z \in U$ .

For the basic properties of the Lojasiewicz exponent we refer to Teissier's paper [11] (see also [8]). In [3] Chądzyński and Krasiński gave a formula for the Lojasiewicz exponent (in a more general setting of polynomial mappings of  $\mathbf{C}^2$ ), which allows to calculate  $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$  by using the resultant  $R(x, u, v) = \operatorname{res}_y(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - u, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - v)$  depending on two parameters u, v. The aim of this note is to calculate  $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$  in terms of the discriminant  $\Delta(x,t) = \operatorname{disc}_y(f(x,y) - t)$  which depends on one parameter t. Our proof is based on the fact discovered independently by Bogusławska [1] and Kuo and Parusiński [7] that the Lojasiewicz exponent  $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$  is attained along the polar curve  $\alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \beta \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ , provided that the line  $\beta x - \alpha y = 0$  is not tangent to the curve f = 0.

**2.** Preliminaries. In the sequel, we need some basic properties of plane curve singularities. Our main reference is [4] (Chapter I, Section 3). By an algebraic curve we mean a nonconstant polynomial f up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar. If f = 0 is an algebraic curve passing through  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$ , then by  $\mu_0(f)$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_0 f$  we denote the Milnor number and the order (the multiplicity) of f = 0 at the origin, respectively. If f = 0 and g = 0 are two algebraic curves

then  $i_0(f,g)$  is the intersection number of f = 0 and g = 0 at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Recall that  $\mu_0(f) = i_0(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y})$  and  $i_0(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) = \mu_0(f) + i_0(f, x) - 1$  (Teissier's formula).

Let  $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$  be the ring of convergent power series. We extend it to the ring of convergent fractional power series  $\mathbb{C}\{x\}^* = \bigcup_{p\geq 1} \mathbb{C}\{x^{1/p}\}$ . For any  $y(x) \in \mathbb{C}\{x\}^*$ , ord y(x) and y(0) are defined. There is ord y(x) > 0 if and only if y(0) = 0. Now let  $f(x, y) = a_0(x)y^N + a_1(x)y^{N-1} + \cdots + a_N(x), N > 0$ , be a polynomial such that  $a_0(0) \neq 0$ , so that  $\frac{1}{a_0(x)}$  exists in  $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ . Then  $f(x, y) = a_0(x)\prod_{i=1}^N(y-y_i(x))$ , where  $y_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}\{x\}^*$  (Puiseux Theorem). Let  $I = \{i : \operatorname{ord} y_i(x) > 0\}$ . Then for any polynomial g = g(x, y), Zeuthen's rule holds:  $i_0(f, g) = \sum_{i \in I} \operatorname{ord} g(x, y_i(x))$ .

**3. Main result.** Let f = f(x, y) be a polynomial defining an isolated singularity at the origin. We say that the line x = 0 is in general position with respect to the curve f = 0 if the following conditions are satisfied

- (i)  $\deg_{y} f = \deg f(0, y),$
- (ii)  $\operatorname{ord}_0^\circ f = \operatorname{ord} f(0, y),$
- (iii) all nonzero roots of the equation f(0, y) = 0 are simple.

Assumptions (i)–(iii) have simple geometrical interpretation. Let  $\mathbf{x}_{\infty}$  be the point at infinity of the line x = 0. Then condition (i) means that the line x = 0 is not tangent at  $\mathbf{x}_{\infty}$  to the projective closure of the curve f(x, y) = 0, while (ii) means that x = 0 is not tangent to f(x, y) = 0 at **0**. Finally, (iii) means that the line x = 0 and the curve f(x, y) = 0 are transverse at points of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  different from **0**. We can always obtain conditions (i)–(iii) by using a linear automorphism of  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , provided that f has no multiple factor.

Let t be a new variable and let  $\Delta(x,t) = \operatorname{disc}_y(f(x,y)-t)$  be the discriminant of the polynomial  $f(x,y) - t \in \mathbf{C}[x,t][y]$ . The main result of this note is

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that the line x = 0 is in general position with respect to the curve f = 0 and let  $\Delta(x, t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \Delta_i(t) x^i$ . Then  $p = \operatorname{ord} \Delta(x, 0)$  is finite and

$$\mathcal{L}_0(f) = \left(\min_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord} \Delta_i}{p-i} \right\} \right)^{-1} - 1.$$

Moreover,  $p = \mu_0(f) + \operatorname{ord}_0 f - 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is given in Section 6 of this note. Now let us compare our theorem with the main result of Chądzyński and Krasiński paper [**3**]. Let u, v be two new variables and let  $R(x, u, v) = \operatorname{res}_y \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - u, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - v\right)$  be the y-resultant of the polynomials  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - u, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - v \in \mathbf{C}[x, u, v][y]$ . Using Theorem 3.1 of [**3**], we get THEOREM 3.2. (cf. [3]) Let f be a polynomial with a finite number of critical points. Suppose that the axes are in general position with respect to the curve f = 0 and that the line x = 0 intersects the set of critical points of f at the origin only. Let  $R(x, u, v) = \sum_{i \ge 0} R_i(u, v) x^i$ . Then  $m = \operatorname{ord} R(x, 0, 0)$  is finite and

$$\mathcal{L}_0(f) = \left(\min_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord}_0 R_i}{m-i} \right\} \right)^{-1}$$

Moreover,  $m = \mu_0(f)$ .

4. Discriminant. Let f = f(x, y) be a polynomial defining an isolated singularity at the origin. We assume that the line x = 0 is in general position with respect to the curve f = 0 and set  $\Delta(x,t) = \text{disc}_y(f(x,y) - t) =$  $a_0(x)^{-1} \text{res}_y(f(x,y) - t, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y))$ . Let  $N = \text{deg}_y f$  and  $n = \text{ord}_0 f$ . We may write

$$f(x,y) = a_0(x)y^N + a_1(x)y^{N-1} + \dots + a_N(x),$$

where  $a_0(0)a_{N-n}(0) \neq 0$  and  $a_j(0) = 0$  for j > N - n.

LEMMA 4.1. ord  $\Delta(x, 0) = \mu_0(f) + n - 1$ , ord  $\Delta(0, t) = n - 1$ .

PROOF. By Puiseux' Theorem, we get  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = Na_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (y - z_i(x))$ with  $z_i(x) \in \mathbf{C}\{x\}^*$  for i = 1, ..., N-1.

Since ord  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, y) = \text{ord } f(0, y) - 1 = n - 1$ , we may assume that  $z_1(0) = \cdots = z_{n-1}(0) = 0$  and  $z_j(0) \neq 0$  for  $j \geq n$ . Moreover,  $f(0, z_j(0)) \neq 0$  for  $j \geq n$ , because the nonzero roots of the equation f(0, y) = 0 are simple.

By the classical formula for the resultant, we get

$$\Delta(x,t) = N^n a_0(x)^{N-1} (f(x,z_1(x)) - t) \cdots (f(x,z_{N-1}(x)) - t).$$

Thus we get  $\Delta(0,t) = t^{n-1}\epsilon(t)$ , where  $\epsilon(0) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{ord} \Delta(0,t) = n-1$ . On the other hand,  $\Delta(x,0) = f(x,z_1(x))\cdots f(x,z_{n-1}(x))\eta(x)$ ,  $\eta(0) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}\{x\}^*$  and  $\operatorname{ord} \Delta(x,0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{ord} f(x,z_j(x)) = i_0(f,\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) = \mu_0(f) + i_0(f,x) - 1 = \mu_0(f) + n - 1$  by Zeuthen's rule and Teissier's formula.

REMARK 4.2. Usually, Lemma 4.1 is stated for the local case, i.e., when  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of a distinguished polynomial f.

5. Newton polygon. Let f = f(x, y) be a polynomial (a convergent power series) such that f(0, 0) = 0. We call f convenient if  $f(x, 0)f(0, y) \neq 0$ . For any convenient power series f, by  $\mathcal{N}(f)$  we denote the set of all compact segments of the Newton polygon of f (see [12], p. 16 for the detailed description of the Newton polygon). For any  $S \in \mathcal{N}(f)$ , by i(S) we denote the inclination of S defined to be the negative of the reciprocal of its slope.

PROPOSITION 5.1 (Newton-Puiseux Theorem). Let  $m = \operatorname{ord} f(x, 0)$  and  $n = \operatorname{ord} f(0, y)$ .

- I. If  $y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)$  are Puiseux roots of order > 0 of the equation f(x, y) = 0 with unknown y then  $\{ \operatorname{ord} y_1(x), \ldots, \operatorname{ord} y_n(x) \} = \{ i(S) : S \in \mathcal{N}(f) \}.$
- II. If  $x_1(y), \ldots, x_m(y)$  are Puiseux roots of order > 0 of the equation f(x, y) = 0 with unknown x then  $\{ \operatorname{ord} x_1(y), \ldots, \operatorname{ord} x_m(y) \} = \{ i(S)^{-1} : S \in \mathcal{N}(f) \}.$

PROOF. Part I follows from [12], Lemma 2.4.4. Since the Newton polygons of the polynomials (power series) f(x, y) and f(y, x) are symmetrical with respect to the diagonal of the first quarter, Part II follows from Part I.

6. Proof of the Main Theorem. To prove Theorem 3.1 we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 6.1. Let  $\Delta(x,t)$  be a polynomial such that  $p = \operatorname{ord} \Delta(x,0)$  and  $q = \operatorname{ord} \Delta(0,t)$  are positive and finite. Let  $t_1(x), \ldots, t_q(x)$  be the Puiseux roots of order > 0 of the equation  $\Delta(x,t) = 0$  with unknown t. Let  $\Delta(x,t) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \Delta_i(t)x^i$ . Then

$$\max_{k=1}^{q} \{ \operatorname{ord} t_k(x) \} = \left( \min_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord} \Delta_i}{p-i} \right\} \right)^{-1}.$$

PROOF. Set  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ . If  $x_1(t), \ldots, x_p(t)$  are the Puiseux roots of positive order of the equation  $\Delta(x, t) = 0$  with unknown x, then by Proposition 5.1

$$\max_{k=1}^{q} \{ \operatorname{ord} t_k(x) \} = \left( \min_{j=1}^{p} \{ \operatorname{ord} x_i(t) \} \right)^{-1}.$$

Let  $F \in \mathcal{N}$  be the first segment (with a vertex on the vertical axis) of the Newton polygon of  $\Delta$ . Then again by Proposition 5.1, we get

$$\min_{j=1}^{p} \{ \operatorname{ord} x_i(t) \} = i(F).$$

It suffices to observe that

$$i(F) = \min_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord} \Delta_i}{p-i} \right\}$$

and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 6.2. Suppose that the polynomial f defines an isolated singularity at the origin and that the line x = 0 is not tangent to the curve f = 0 at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Put  $n = \operatorname{ord}_0 f$  and let  $z_1(x), \ldots, z_{n-1}(x)$  be the Puiseux roots of order > 0 of the equation  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_0(f) = \max_{j=1}^{n-1} \{ \operatorname{ord} f(x, z_j(x)) \} - 1.$$

90

PROOF. According to [1] and [7] (see also [10]), the Lojasiewicz exponent is attained along the curve  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ , that is  $\mathcal{L}_0(f)$  is the smallest  $\theta > 0$  such that there exist a neighborhood U of  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$  and a constant c > 0 such that  $|\nabla f(z)| \ge c|z|^{\theta}$  for each  $z \in U$  lying on the curve  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ .

Let  $r_j \ge 1$  be integers such that  $z_j(u^{r_j}) \in \mathbf{C}\{u\}$  and let  $p_j(u) = (u^{r_j}, z_j(u^{r_j}))$ for  $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Then  $p_j$  are parameterizations of the branches of the curve  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$  centered at  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{C}^2$  and it is easy to check (see [10], Lemma 2.1) that

$$\mathcal{L}_0(f) = \max_{j=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord}(\nabla f \circ p_j)}{\operatorname{ord} p_j} \right\}$$

where  $\operatorname{ord}(\nabla f \circ p) = \inf \left\{ \operatorname{ord} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \circ p, \operatorname{ord} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \circ p \right\}$ . Differentiating and taking orders show that  $\operatorname{ord}(\nabla f \circ p_j) = \operatorname{ord}(f \circ p_j) - \operatorname{ord} p_j$  and we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}(f) = \max_{j=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord}(f \circ p_{j})}{\operatorname{ord} p_{j}} - 1 \right\} = \max_{j=1}^{n-1} \{ \operatorname{ord} f(x, z_{j}(x)) \} - 1.$$

Now we can give

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Let  $t_j(x) = f(x, z_j(x))$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Then  $t_1(x), \ldots, t_{n-1}(x)$  is the sequence of Puiseux roots of order > 0 of the equation  $\Delta(x,t) = 0$  (cf. proof of Lemma 4.1) and by Lemmas 6.2 and 6.1, we get  $\mathcal{L}_0(f) = \max_{j=1}^{n-1} \{ \operatorname{ord} f(x, z_j(x)) \} - 1 = \max_{j=1}^{n-1} \{ \operatorname{ord} t_j(x) \} - 1 = \left( \min_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{ord} \Delta_i}{p-i} \right\} \right)^{-1} - 1.$ 

REMARK 6.3. We could prove Lemma 6.2 by using properties of polar invariants (see [11] and [9]).

7. Discriminant and the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity. Let  $f : \mathbf{C}^2 \to \mathbf{C}$  be a polynomial with a finite number of critical points. By the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f)$ , we mean the largest  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  such that there exist a neighborhood V of infinity (i.e., an open subset V of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  with compact complement  $\mathbf{C}^2 \setminus V$ ) and a constant C > 0 such that

$$|\nabla f(z)| \ge C|z|^{\theta}$$
 for all  $z \in V$ .

By a result of Hà [5] (see also [6]),  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f) > -1$  if and only if f has no critical points at infinity.

Suppose that  $\deg_y f = \deg f$  (this condition means that the line x = 0 does not intersect the curve f = 0 at infinity) and consider  $\Delta(x, t) = \operatorname{disc}_y(f(x, y) - t) = \Delta_P(t)x^P + \Delta_{P-1}(t)x^{P-1} + \cdots + \Delta_0(t), \qquad \Delta_P(t) \neq 0.$ 

Then  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f) > -1$  if and only if  $\Delta_P(t)$  is a constant (see [2, 6] and [10]). The following global counterpart of our main result holds. THEOREM 7.1. Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f) > -1$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f) = \left(\max_{i=0}^{P-1} \left\{\frac{\deg \Delta_i}{P-i}\right\}\right)^{-1} - 1$$

and  $P = \mu(f) + \deg f - 1$ , where  $\mu(f)$  is the total Milnor number (the sum of all Milnor numbers of all algebraic curves  $f(x, y) - t = 0, t \in \mathbf{C}$ ).

We could prove Theorem 7.1 by modifying the proof of Theorem 3.1. The formula  $P = \mu(f) + \deg f - 1$  follows from [6], Theorem 3.3(ii). Note also that Theorem 7.1 (as well as the formula for  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f)$  in the case of  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f) < -1$ ) can easily be obtained from [10], Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 3.4. The formulas for  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f)$  in terms of resultant, analogous to Theorem 3.2, are given in [2], Theorem 9.2.

An application of Theorems 3.1 and 7.1 follows.

THEOREM 7.2. Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  be a polynomial with a finite number of critical points. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_0(f) + 1 \ge (\mu(f) - \mu_0(f) + \deg f - \operatorname{ord}_0 f + 1)^{-1} (\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) + 1).$$

PROOF. We may assume that  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f)+1 > 0$  and that Theorems 3.1 and 7.1 apply to the polynomial f. By Theorem 3.1,  $(\mathcal{L}_0(f)+1)^{-1} = \frac{\operatorname{ord} \Delta_{i_0}}{p-i_0}$  for some  $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and we get  $(\mathcal{L}_0(f)+1)^{-1} \leq \frac{\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{i_0}}{p-i_0} = \frac{P-i_0}{p-i_0} \frac{\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{i_0}}{P-i_0} \leq (P-p+1)(\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(f)+1)^{-1}$  by Theorem 7.1. This finishes the proof, since  $P-p = \mu(f) - \mu_0(f) + \operatorname{deg} f - \operatorname{ord}_0 f$ .  $\Box$ 

## References

- Bogusławska M., On the Lojasiewicz exponent of the gradient of holomorphic function, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., 47 (1999), 337–343.
- Chądzyński J., Krasiński T., On the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity for polynomial mappings of C<sup>2</sup> into C<sup>2</sup>, Ann. Polon. Math., 57 (1992), 291–302.
- Chądzyński J., Krasiński T., Resultant and the Lojasiewicz exponent, Ann. Polon. Math., 61 (1995), 95–100.
- 4. Greuel G-M., Lossen C., Shustin E., Introduction to Singularities and Deformations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heildelberg, 2007.
- Hà Huy Vui, Nombres de Lojasiewicz et singularités à l'infini des polynômes de deux variables complexes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I, **311** (1990), 429–432.
- Gwoździewicz J., Płoski A., Formulae for the singularities at infinity of plane algebraic curves, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math., 39 (2001), 109–133.
- Kuo T. C., Parusiński A., Newton polygon relative to an arc, in: J. W. Bruce and F. Tari (eds.), Real and Complex Singularities, São Carlos, 1998, 76–93.
- Płoski A., Newton polygons and the Lojasiewicz exponent of a holomorphic mapping of C<sup>2</sup>, Ann. Polon. Math., 51 (1990), 275–281.
- Płoski A., On the maximal polar quotient of an analytic plane curve, Kodai Math. J., 24 (2001), 120–133.

92

- 10. Płoski A., Polar quotients and singularities at infinity of polynomials in two complex variables, Ann. Polon. Math., **78.1** (2002), 49–58.
- 11. Teissier B., Varietés polaires I. Invariants polaires des singularités des hypersurfaces, Invent. Math., **40** (1977), 267–292.
- 12. Wall C. T. C., *Singular Points of Plane Curves*, London Mathematical Society, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

Received November 21, 2008

Department of Mathematics Technical University Al. 1000 L PP 7 25-314 Kielce, Poland *e-mail*: matap@tu.kielce.pl