

# Euler's $q$ -difference table for $C_\ell \wr S_n$

Jiang Zeng\*

University of Lyon I

\*Joint work with Hilarion L. M. Faliharimalala (Madagascar)

Euler

$$g_n^n = n!, \quad g_n^m = g_n^{m+1} - g_{n-1}^m \quad (0 \leq m \leq n-1).$$

$n \setminus m$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0!					
1	0	1!				
2	1	1	2!			
3	2	3	4	3!		
4	9	11	14	18	4!	
5	44	53	64	78	96	5!

$(g_n^m)$

Combinatorial interpretation. Let  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$  .

J. Riordan, G. Kreweras, D. Dumont and A. Randrianarivony,

$$g_n^m = \#\{\sigma \in S_n \mid \text{FIX } \sigma \subset \{n - m + 1, n - m, \dots, n - 1, n\}\}.$$

In particular,

$$g_n^0 = \#\mathcal{D}_n \quad \text{and} \quad g_n^n = \#S_n.$$

The first column gives the derangement numbers:

$$g_n^0 = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}.$$

A **derangement** is a fixed-point free permutation.

Let  $\sigma = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  be a permutation of  $[n]$ .

$$\text{maj } \sigma = \sum_{x_i > x_{i+1}} i.$$

MacMahon

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{\text{maj } \sigma} = n!_q,$$

where  $n!_q := 1 \cdot (1 + q) \cdot (1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1})$ .

In 1989 Gessel, Wachs, ...

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_n} q^{\text{maj } \sigma} = n!_q \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{k!_q}.$$

In 1997 **Clarke-Han-Z**: For  $\sigma \in S_n$  let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $[n] \setminus \text{FIX}(\sigma)$ . If  $\sigma \in S_n$  with  $\text{FIX}(\sigma) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ , then the statistic  $\text{maf}$  is defined by

$$\text{maf } \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^k (i_j - j) + \text{maj } \tilde{\sigma}.$$

For example, let  $\sigma = 321659487$ . Then  $\text{FIX}(\sigma) = \{2, 5, 8\}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} = 316947$ . Hence

$$\text{maj } \tilde{\sigma} = 1 + 4 = 5,$$

$$\text{maf } \sigma = (2 - 1) + (5 - 2) + (8 - 3) + 5 = 14.$$

Note that  $\text{maf } \sigma = \text{maj } \sigma$  if  $\sigma$  is a derangement.

**A key lemma:** There is a bijection  $\Psi : S_n \rightarrow S_n$  such that  $\text{maf } \sigma = \text{maj } \Psi(\sigma)$ .

Theorem (Clarke-Han-Z). Define the  $q$ -Euler table

$$\begin{cases} g_{l,n}^n(q) = n!_q & (m = n); \\ g_{l,n}^m = g_{l,n}^{m+1} - q^{n-m-1} g_{l,n-1}^m & (0 \leq m \leq n-1). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Then

$$g_{l,n}^m(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^m} q^{\text{maf } \sigma},$$

where  $S_n^m$  is the set of permutations in  $S_n$  such that the fixed points are greater than  $n - m$ . In particular,

$$g_{l,n}^n(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{\text{maf } \sigma} = n!_q,$$

$$g_{l,n}^0(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_n} q^{\text{maj } \sigma} = n!_q \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{k!_q}.$$

R. M. Adin and Y. Roichman, **The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings**, *European J. Combin.* **22** (2001).

J. Haglund, N. Loehr and J. B. Remmel, **Statistics on wreath products, perfect matchings and signed words**, *European J. Combin.* **26** (2005).

Chak-On Chow: **On derangemen polynomials of type B**, SLC, 55 (2006).

D. Foata and G.N. Han, **A series of papers**, 2006-2008.

Fanja Rakotondrajo, **On Euler's difference table**, FPSAC'07.

W. Y. C. Chen and J. C. Y. Zhang, **The skew and relative derangements of type B**, *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, 14 (2007).

**Euler's difference table for  $C_\ell \wr S_n$**  For a fixed integer  $\ell \geq 1$ , Euler's difference table associated to the sequence  $\{\ell^n n!\}_{n \geq 0}$  is the array  $(g_{\ell,n}^m)_{n, m \geq 0}$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} g_{\ell,n}^n = \ell^n n! & (m = n); \\ g_{\ell,n}^m = g_{\ell,n}^{m+1} - g_{\ell,n-1}^m & (0 \leq m \leq n-1). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

**A  $q$ -analogue** Consider the array  $\{g_{\ell,n}^m(q)\}$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} g_{\ell,n}^n(q) = [\ell]_q [2\ell]_q \cdots [n\ell]_q; \\ g_{\ell,n}^m(q) = g_{\ell,n}^{m+1}(q) - q^{\ell(n-m-1)} g_{\ell,n-1}^m(q) & (0 \leq m \leq n-1), \end{cases}$$

where  $[n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ .

## Euler's difference table of type $B$

$n \backslash m$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1					
1	1	$2^1 1!$				
2	5	6	$2^2 2!$			
3	29	34	40	$2^3 3!$		
4	233	262	296	336	$2^4 4!$	
5	2329	2562	2824	3120	3456	$2^5 5!$

$$(g_{2,n}^m)$$

The  $\ell = 1$  case corresponds to Euler's difference table.

## Some explicit formulas

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(a_{n,m})_{0 \leq m \leq n}$  be an array defined by

$$\begin{cases} a_{0,m} = x_m & (m = n); \\ a_{n,m} = z_m a_{n-1,m+1} + y_n a_{n-1,m} & (0 \leq m \leq n-1). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Then

$$a_{n,m} = \sum_{k=0}^n x_{m+k} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} z_{m+j} \right) e_{n-k}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), \quad (4)$$

where  $e_i(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , i.e.,

$$(1 + y_1 t)(1 + y_2 t) \cdots (1 + y_n t) = \sum_{i=0}^n e_i(y_1, \dots, y_n) t^i.$$

**Theorem 2.** *We have*

$$g_{l, n+m}^m(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{l \binom{n-k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+k} [il]_q. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* Recall the following  $q$ -binomial formula:

$$(1+t)(1+qt) \cdots (1+q^{n-1}t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{k}{2}} t^k. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$e_k(1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{n-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{k}{2}}. \quad (7)$$

The result follows from (4) and (7) with  $y_k = q^{lk}$ . ■

Recall that the two  $q$ -exponential functions defined by

$$e(u; q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{u^n}{(q; q)_n}, \quad E(u; q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} u^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

satisfy  $E(-u; q)e(u; q) = 1$ , where

$$(u; q)_n := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (1 - u)(1 - uq) \cdots (1 - uq^{n-1}) & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.** For  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$(i) \quad g_{\ell,n}^0(q) = [\ell]_q [2\ell]_q \cdots [n\ell]_q \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k q^{\ell \binom{k}{2}}}{[\ell]_q [2\ell]_q \cdots [k\ell]_q},$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} g_{\ell,n}^0(q) \frac{u^n}{[\ell]_q [2\ell]_q \cdots [n\ell]_q} = \frac{E(-u(1-q); q^\ell)}{1-u},$$

$$(iii) \quad g_{\ell,n+1}^0(q) = [ln + \ell]_q g_{\ell,n+1}^0(q) + (-1)^{n+1} q^{\ell \binom{n+1}{2}}.$$

## Combinatorial interpretation.

The wreath product of cyclic group  $C_\ell$  with  $S_n$ ,  $C_\ell \wr S_n$ , reduces to the symmetric group  $S_n$  when  $\ell = 1$  and the hyperoctahedral group  $B_n$  when  $\ell = 2$ .

We can think of the group  $C_\ell \wr S_n$  as the group of “colored” permutations where the colors are in the set of  $\ell$ -th roots of unity  $\{1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{\ell-1}\}$ , where  $\zeta = e^{2i\pi/\ell}$ .

By definition, the multiplication in  $G_{\ell,n} = C_\ell \wr S_n = C_\ell^n \rtimes S_n$ , consisting of pairs  $(\epsilon, \sigma) \in C_\ell^n \times S_n$ , is given by the following rule: for all  $\pi = (\epsilon, \sigma)$  and  $\pi' = (\epsilon', \sigma')$  in  $G_{\ell,n}$ ,

$$(\epsilon, \sigma) \cdot (\epsilon', \sigma') = ((\epsilon_1 \epsilon'_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \epsilon_2 \epsilon'_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \dots, \epsilon_n \epsilon'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}), \sigma \circ \sigma').$$

One can identify  $G_{\ell,n}$  with a permutation group of the colored set:

$$\Sigma_{\ell,n} := \{\zeta^j i \mid i \in [n], 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1\}$$

via the morphism  $(\epsilon, \sigma) \mapsto \pi$  where

$$\pi(i) = \epsilon_{\sigma(i)} \sigma(i) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(\zeta^j i) = \zeta^j \pi(i)$$

for any  $i \in [n]$  and  $0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ .

Clearly the cardinality of  $G_{\ell,n}$  equals  $\ell^n n!$ .

We will write an element  $\pi \in G_{\ell,n}$  in two-line notation. For example, if  $\pi = (\epsilon, \sigma) \in G_{4,11}$ , where  $\epsilon = (\zeta^2, 1, 1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta, 1, \zeta, \zeta^3)$  and  $\sigma = 3519627411810$ , we write

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 3 & \zeta^2 5 & \zeta^2 1 & 9 & \zeta 6 & 2 & \zeta 7 & \zeta 4 & \zeta^3 11 & \zeta 8 & \zeta 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For small  $j$ , we shall write  $j$  bars over  $i$  instead of  $\zeta^j i$ . Thus, the above permutation can be written in one-line form as

$$\pi = 3 \overline{\overline{5}} \overline{1} 9 \overline{6} 2 \overline{7} \overline{4} \overline{\overline{\overline{11}}} \overline{8} \overline{10},$$

or in cyclic notation as

$$\pi = (\overline{\overline{1}}, 3) (2, \overline{\overline{5}}, \overline{6}) (\overline{4}, 9, \overline{\overline{\overline{11}}}, \overline{10}, \overline{8}) (\overline{7}).$$

Note that when using cyclic notation to determine the image of a number, one ignores the sign on that number and then considers only the sign on the next number in the cycle. Thus, in this example, we ignore the sign  $\zeta^2$  on the 5 and note that then 5 maps to  $\zeta 6$  since the sign on 6 is  $\zeta$ . Furthermore, throughout this paper we shall use the following total order on  $G_{\ell,n}$ : for  $i, j \in [\ell]$  and  $a, b \in [n]$ ,

$$\zeta^i a < \zeta^j b \iff [i > j] \quad \text{or} \quad [i = j \text{ and } a < b].$$

**Example** For  $n = 4$  and  $\ell = 3$  we have:

$$\bar{\bar{1}} < \bar{\bar{2}} < \bar{\bar{3}} < \bar{\bar{4}} < \bar{1} < \bar{2} < \bar{3} < \bar{4} < 1 < 2 < 3 < 4.$$

**Defintion 4** (*k-circular succession*). Given a permutation  $\pi \in G_{\ell,n}$  and a nonnegative integer  $k$ , the value  $\pi(i)$  is a  $k$ -circular succession at position  $i \in [n]$  if  $\pi(i) \in [n]$  and  $\pi(i) = i + k$ . In particular a *0-circular succession* is also called *fixed point*.

Denote by  $C^k(\pi)$  the set of  $k$ -circular successions of  $\pi$  and let  $c^k(\pi) = \# C^k(\pi)$ . In particular  $FIX(\pi)$  denotes the set of fixed points of  $\pi$ . For example, for the permutation

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \bar{1} & 5 & \bar{9} & \bar{6} & 8 & \bar{7} & \bar{\bar{3}} & \bar{4} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \in G_{4,9}$$

the values 5 and 8 are the two 3-circular successions at positions 2 and 5. Thus  $C^3(\pi) = \{5, 8\}$ .

**Theorem 5.** For any integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , the entry  $g_{\ell,n}^m$  equals the number of permutations in  $G_{\ell,n}$  whose  $k$ -circular successions are included in  $[m]$ . In particular, by taking  $k = 0$  and  $k = m$ , respectively, either of the following holds.

- (i) The entry  $g_{\ell,n}^m$  is the number of permutations in  $G_{\ell,n}$  whose fixed points are included in  $[m]$ .
- (ii) The entry  $g_{\ell,n}^m$  is the number of permutations in  $G_{\ell,n}$  without  $m$ -circular succession.

For example, the permutations in  $G_{2,2}$  whose fixed points are included in [1] are:

$$21, \quad 1\bar{2}, \quad \bar{2}1, \quad 2\bar{1}, \quad \bar{1}\bar{2}, \quad \bar{2}\bar{1};$$

while those without 1-circular succession are:

$$12, \quad \bar{1}2, \quad 1\bar{2}, \quad \bar{1}\bar{2}, \quad \bar{2}1, \quad \bar{2}\bar{1}.$$

Note that Dumont and Randrianarivony proved the  $\ell = 1$  case of (i), while Rakotondrajao proved the  $\ell = 1$  case of (ii).

For  $\sigma \in G_{\ell, n}$ , let  $\text{Der}(\sigma)$  be the derangement part of  $\sigma$ , i.e., if  $y_1 y_2 \cdots y_m$  is obtained by deleting each fixed point of sigma where  $y_i = \varepsilon_i |y_i|$  then  $\text{Der}(\sigma) = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_m$  where  $z_i = \varepsilon_i \text{red}(|y_i|)$ , red (reduction) is the increasing bijection from  $\{|y_1|, |y_2|, \dots, |y_m|\}$  to  $[m]$ .

**Exemple** If  $\sigma = 1 \bar{8} 3 4 6 \bar{2} 7 \bar{5} 9 \in G_{4,9}$  then  $\text{FIX } \sigma = \{1, 3, 4, 7, 9\}$  and  $\text{Der}(\sigma) = \bar{4} 3 \bar{1} \bar{2}$ .

**Defintion 6.** If  $\sigma \in G_{\ell,n}$  then the statistic  $\omega$  is defined by

$$\omega(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j \cdot \text{sgn}_j(\sigma),$$

where  $\text{SIGN}_j(\sigma) = \{i \in [n] : \frac{\sigma_i}{|\sigma_i|} = \zeta^j\}$  and  $\text{sgn}_j(\sigma) = |\text{SIGN}_j(\sigma)|$ .

**Example:**  $\sigma = \bar{2} \bar{\bar{6}} 1\bar{7} \bar{\bar{5}} \bar{\bar{\bar{4}}} 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SIGN}_0(\sigma) &= \{3, 7\}, & \text{SIGN}_1(\sigma) &= \{1, 4\}, \\ \text{SIGN}_2(\sigma) &= \{2, 5\}, & \text{SIGN}_3(\sigma) &= \{6\}; \end{aligned}$$

then  $\omega(\sigma) = 0 \times 2 + 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 2 + 3 \times 1 = 9$ .

**Defintion 7.** If  $\sigma \in G_{\ell, n}$  then

- the flag-maj statistic  $\text{fmaj}$  is defined by

$$\text{fmaj } \sigma = \ell \cdot \text{maj} \sigma + \omega(\sigma).$$

- Let  $\text{FIX}(\sigma) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ , the flag-maf statistic  $\text{fmaf}$  is defined by

$$\text{fmaf}(\sigma) = \ell \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k (i_j - j) + \text{fmaj Der}(\sigma).$$

Theorem (Adin-Roichman, Haglund-Loehr-Remmel ) The statistic  $fmaj$  is mahonian on  $G_{l,n}$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G_{l,n}} q^{fmaj(\sigma)} = \prod_{i=1}^n [li]_q. \quad (8)$$

### Remark

- $fmaf$  equal to  $fmaj$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{l,n}$  the set of derangements of  $C_l \wr S_n$ .
- For any  $m$  such that  $0 < m < n$   $fmaf$  and  $fmaj$  are not equidistributed on the set  $\{\sigma \in G_{l,n} : \text{FIX}(\sigma) \subset \{n - m + 1, \dots, n\}\}$

**Theorem 8.** *There is a bijection  $\widetilde{\Psi} : G_{l,n} \rightarrow G_{l,n}$  such that*

$$(\text{fix}, \text{fmaj}, \text{Der})_{\sigma} = (\text{fix}, \text{fmaf}, \text{Der})_{\widetilde{\Psi}(\sigma)} \quad (9)$$

Our bijection  $\widetilde{\Psi}$  is a generalization of the bijection  $\Psi$  given by **Clarke-Han-Z** on the symmetric group.

Therefore, the statistics  $\text{fmaf}$  and  $\text{fmaj}$  are equidistributed on  $G_{l,n}$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in G_{l,n}} q^{\text{fmaf}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in G_{l,n}} q^{\text{fmaj}(\sigma)} = \prod_{i=1}^n [li]_q.$$

The statistique  $\text{fmaf}$  is a new mahonian statistic on  $G_{l,n}$ .

Let  $G_{\ell,n}^m$  be the set of permutations  $\sigma$  in  $G_{\ell,n}$  such that  $\text{FIX}(\sigma) \subset \{n - m + 1, \dots, n - 1, n\}$ .

**Theorem 9.** *For  $k \geq 0$  we have*

$$g_{\ell,n}^m(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in G_{\ell,n}^m} q^{\text{fmaf}(\sigma)}. \quad (10)$$

For  $\ell = 1$  and  $m = 0$  we recover the result of Wachs.

For  $\ell = 2$  and  $m = 0$  we recover the result of Chow.

Foata and Han have recently constructed “another” bijection  $F$  which has the same property as that of  $\Psi$  on the symmetric group.

**Theorem 10.** *The two bijections  $\Psi$  and  $F$  are identical.*