

# DISCRETIZATION OF POSITIVE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS AND MARTIN BOUNDARY

Werner BALLMANN

François LEDRAPPIER

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn  
Berlingstr. 1  
D-53115 Bonn (Germany)

École Polytechnique  
Centre de Mathématique  
F-91128 Palaiseau Cedex (France)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a separated subset in a connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  with bounded geometry such that the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $X$  is recurrent w.r.t. Brownian motion on  $M$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The main result of this paper says that the data in the discretization procedure of Lyons and Sullivan can be chosen such that the Green function of  $M$  and the resulting Markov chain on  $X$  coincide up to a constant on pairs  $(y, z)$ , where  $y \neq z$  are points in  $X$ .

**Résumé.** Soit  $X$  un sous-ensemble séparé d'une variété riemannienne  $M$  à géométrie bornée tel que le voisinage d'épaisseur  $\varepsilon$  de  $X$  est récurrent pour le mouvement brownien sur  $M$  pour au moins un  $\varepsilon$  positif. Le principal résultat de cet article dit que les données du procédé des discrétisations de Lyons et Sullivan peuvent être choisies de telle sorte que la fonction de Green de  $M$  et la chaîne de Markov sur  $X$  qui s'en déduit coïncident à une constante près sur les paires de points  $(y, z)$  avec  $y \neq z$ .

**M.S.C. Subject Classification Index (1991) :** 53C20, 31C12, 60J50.

**Acknowledgements.** The second author was supported by SFB 256 (Bonn) and CNRS (Paris). The first author was partly supported by the EC-program GADGET.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	79
1. HARMONIC FUNCTIONS	81
2. MARTIN BOUNDARIES	85
3. EXAMPLES	89
BIBLIOGRAPHY	92

## INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the connection between potential theory of the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds and the potential theory of Markov chains on discrete subsets. Such a connection has been established by Furstenberg [F] in the case of discrete subgroups of  $Sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ . We investigate the discretization procedure of Lyons and Sullivan [LS], which associates to a so-called *\*-recurrent* (respectively *cocompact*) discrete subset  $X$  of a connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  a family of probability measures  $\mu_y$ ,  $y \in M$ , on  $X$  such that

$$H(y) = \mu_y(H) := \sum_{x \in X} H(x) \mu_y(x)$$

for any bounded (respectively positive) harmonic function  $H$  on  $M$ . In particular, the restriction of  $H$  to  $X$  is a  $\mu$ -harmonic function with respect to the Markov chain on  $X$  defined by the measures  $\mu_x$ ,  $x \in X$  (that is,  $\mu_x(H) = H(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ). Under some extra assumptions on the data involved in the construction, one obtains in this way all bounded (respectively positive)  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $X$  (see [A], [K]) and, if  $X$  is cocompact, that Brownian motion on  $M$  is transient iff the Markov chain on  $X$  is transient [LS].

A more precise information about behaviour at infinity of harmonic functions is given by the Martin compactification  $cl_\Delta M$  and the Martin boundary  $\partial_\Delta M$  of  $M$ . By definition,  $cl_\Delta M = M \dot{\cup} \partial_\Delta M$  is the closure of  $M$  in the space of positive superharmonic functions via the embedding  $y \mapsto K(\cdot, y)$ , where

$$K(\cdot, y) = G(\cdot, y)/G(x_0, y)$$

is the Martin kernel,  $G$  is the Green function of  $M$  and  $x_0 \in M$  is a chosen origin. For convenience, we choose  $x_0 \in X$ . The Martin compactification  $cl_\mu X$  and Martin boundary  $\partial_\mu X$  of  $X$  with respect to a Markov chain on  $X$  are defined in the same way by using the Martin kernel  $k$  and the Green function  $g$  of the Markov chain. The definition of the Martin boundary requires that Brownian motion on  $M$  (respectively the Markov chain on  $X$ ) has a Green function, i.e., that it is transient.

As a consequence of Theorems 1.11, 2.7, 2.8, 3.1 and Corollary 2.9 below we obtain the theorem

**Main theorem.** — *Assume that the geometry of  $M$  is bounded and that  $X$  is a discrete subset of  $M$  such that, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

- (i)  *$\text{dist}(x, z) \geq 2\varepsilon$  for all  $x \neq z$  in  $X$ ; (ii)  $B_\varepsilon(X)$  is recurrent.*

*Then, for some appropriate choice of data, the measures  $\mu_y, y \in M$ , of Lyons and Sullivan satisfy*

- (a) *for some positive constant  $\kappa$  we have  $g(x, z) = \kappa G(x, z)$  for all  $x \neq z$  in  $X$ . In particular, the Markov chain on  $X$  is transient iff Brownian motion on  $M$  is.*

*If Brownian motion on  $M$  is transient, then  $\mu_x(z) = \mu_z(x)$  for all  $x, z$  in  $X$  and*

- (b) *the inclusion  $X \subset M$  extends to a homeomorphism of  $cl_\mu X$  and  $\overline{X}$ , where  $\overline{X}$  is the closure of  $X$  in  $cl_\Delta M$ ;*
- (c) *restriction defines an isomorphism between the simplex of positive harmonic functions on  $M$  spanned by  $\overline{X} \cap \partial_\Delta M$  and the space of positive  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $X$  which are 1 at  $x_0$ .*

The Harnack inequality implies that  $\overline{X} \cap \partial_\Delta M$  contains all extremal positive harmonic functions of  $M$  which are 1 at  $x_0$  if  $X$  is a net, that is, if  $B_R(X) = M$  for some  $R > 0$ . Thus (c) implies in this case that the space of positive harmonic functions on  $M$  and the space of positive  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $X$  are isomorphic, a result due to Ancona [A].

If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group of isometries of  $M$  and  $X$  is the orbit of a point  $x_0$  on which  $\Gamma$  acts freely, then  $X$  satisfies (i). Property (ii) holds if  $\text{vol}(M/\Gamma) < \infty$  or, more generally, if the Brownian motion on  $M/\Gamma$  is recurrent. If this is the case, then the Markov chain on  $X$  corresponds to a (left-invariant) symmetric random walk on  $\Gamma$  (via the natural identification of  $\Gamma$  and  $X = \Gamma(x_0)$ ).

**Corollary.** — *There exists a symmetric random walk on the free group  $F_q$  with  $q \geq 2$  generators with Martin boundary equal to a circle.*

As for the proof, recall that the Martin boundary of the hyperbolic plane  $H^2$  is the circle (at infinity) and that  $F_q$  acts as a discrete group of isometries on  $H^2$  with  $\text{vol}(H^2/F_q) < \infty$ .

It follows from Theorem 3.2 below that the measure defining the random walk on  $F_q$  has finite logarithmic moment with respect to the word norm on  $F_q$  and finite entropy. This has to be contrasted with the case of probabilities on  $F_q$  with finite support, for which the Martin boundary is known to be a Cantor set [D].

We would like to thank Martine Babillot to whom we owe the assertion and the proof of the symmetry of the measures  $\mu_x$  in the above theorem. The second author gratefully acknowledges the support by the SFB 256 at the University of Bonn.

## 1. HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

Let  $M$  denote a connected Riemannian manifold. A *Brownian path* on  $M$  is a continuous curve

$$\omega : [0, \zeta(\omega)) \rightarrow M, \text{ where } \zeta(\omega) \in (0, \infty] .$$

For  $x$  in  $M$ , let  $P_x$  denote the measure on the space of Brownian paths on  $M$  with  $\omega(0) = x$  defining the Brownian motion on  $M$  starting from  $x$ . For a Borel measure  $\lambda$  on  $M$  let  $P_\lambda$  be defined by  $P_\lambda = \int P_x \lambda(dx)$ . The measure  $P_\lambda$  describes the Brownian motion on  $M$  with initial distribution  $\lambda$ .

For a closed subset  $F$  of  $M$  and a Brownian path  $\omega$  set

$$R^F(\omega) = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \omega(t) \in F\} .$$

The *balayage*  $\beta_\lambda^F = \beta(\lambda, F)$  of a measure  $\lambda$  onto  $F$  is the distribution of  $P_\lambda$  at the time  $R^F$ , i.e., for  $A$  a Borel subset of  $M$ ,

$$\beta_\lambda^F(A) = P_\lambda\{\omega \mid R^F(\omega) < \zeta(\omega) \text{ and } \omega(R^F(\omega)) \in A\} .$$

For short we set  $\beta_x^F = \beta(x, F) = \beta(\delta_x, F)$ ; then  $\beta(\lambda, F) = \int \beta(x, F)\lambda(dx)$ . For  $x$  in  $F$ , we have  $\beta(x, F) = \delta_x$ . We say that  $F$  is *recurrent* if  $\beta_x^F(F) = 1$  for all  $x$  in  $M$ .

For an open subset  $V$  of  $M$  and a Brownian path  $\omega$  set

$$S^V(\omega) = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \omega(t) \in M \setminus V\} .$$

We call  $S^V(\omega)$  the *exit time* from  $V$  of the path  $\omega$ . The distribution of  $P_\lambda$  at the time  $S^V$  will be denoted  $\varepsilon_\lambda^V = \varepsilon(\lambda, V)$  and we set  $\varepsilon_x^V = \varepsilon(x, V) = \varepsilon(\delta_x, V)$ . For  $x$  in  $M \setminus V$ , we have  $\varepsilon(x, V) = \delta_x$ . For  $x$  in  $V$ ,  $\varepsilon(x, V)$  is supported on  $\partial V$  and is called the *harmonic measure* of  $x$ . By construction  $\varepsilon(\lambda, V) = \beta(\lambda, M \setminus V)$ .

Now let  $X$  be a discrete subset of  $M$ . A family of closed sets  $(F_x)_{x \in X}$  and relatively compact open sets  $(V_x)_{x \in X}$  will be called *Lyons-Sullivan data* or LS-data if

$$(D1) \quad x \in \overset{\circ}{F}_x \text{ and } F_x \subset V_x \text{ fo } x \in X,$$

$$(D2) \quad F_x \cap V_z = \emptyset \text{ for all } x \neq z \text{ in } X,$$

$$(D3) \quad F = \cup_{x \in X} F_x \text{ is recurrent;}$$

$$(D4) \quad \text{there is a constant } C > 1 \text{ such that}$$

$$\frac{1}{C} < \frac{d\varepsilon(z, V_x)}{d\varepsilon(x, V_x)} < C$$

for all  $x$  in  $X$  and  $z$  in  $F_x$ .

We say that  $X$  is *\*-recurrent* if  $X$  admits LS-data. Note that our notion is more restrictive than the one of Lyons and Sullivan.

Let  $X$  be \*-recurrent and let  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  be a choice of LS-data. Consider the following modification, applied to a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $M$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \mu' = \sum_{x \in X} \left( \int_{F_x} (\varepsilon(z, V_x) - \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon(x, V_x)) \beta_\mu^F(dz) \right) \text{ and } \mu'' = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{x \in X} \beta_\mu^F(F_x) \delta_x .$$

Now start with the measure

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_0 = \delta_y \text{ for } y \notin X, \quad \mu_0 = \varepsilon(y, V_y) \text{ for } y \in X,$$

and define recursively, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \mu_n = (\mu_{n-1})' \text{ and } \tau_n = (\mu_{n-1})'' .$$

Then the LS-measure  $\mu_y, y \in M$  is the probability measure on  $X$  given by

$$(1.4) \quad \mu_y = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau_n .$$

Note that  $\mu_y$  depend on the LS-data. The family of LS-measures has the following properties:

$$(1.5) \quad \mu_y(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } X \text{ and } y \text{ in } M;$$

$$(1.6) \quad \text{for any isometry } \gamma \text{ of } M \text{ leaving } X \text{ and the LS-data invariant we have} \\ \mu_{\gamma y}(\gamma x) = \mu_y(x) \text{ for all } y \text{ in } M \text{ and } x \text{ in } X;$$

$$(1.7) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } X, \quad \mu_x = \int_{\partial V_x} \mu_u \varepsilon(x, V_x)(du);$$

$$(1.8) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } X \text{ and } y \text{ in } F_x, \quad y \neq x,$$

$$\mu_y = \frac{1}{C} \delta_x + \int_{\partial V_x} \left( \frac{d\varepsilon(y, V_x)}{d\varepsilon(x, V_x)} - \frac{1}{C} \right) \mu_u \varepsilon(x, V_x)(du);$$

$$(1.9) \quad \text{for any } y \text{ in } M \setminus F \text{ and any stopping time } T \leq R^F,$$

$$\mu_y = \int \mu_u \pi_y^T(du),$$

where  $\pi_y^T$  is the distribution of  $P_y$  at time  $T$ .

These properties readily follow from the definition. Use the strong Markov property for (1.9).

Let  $H$  be a positive harmonic function on  $M$ . Then  $\beta_y^F(H) \leq H(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $M$ . We say that  $F$  *sweeps*  $H$  if  $\beta_y^F(H) = H(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $M$ . Since  $F$  is recurrent, if  $H$  is bounded, then  $F$  sweeps  $H$  by the martingale convergence theorem. With these notations the discussion in [LS], page 317, gives the following.

**1.10. Theorem.** — *For any positive harmonic function  $H$  on  $M$ , we have  $\mu_y(H) \leq \beta_y^F(H)$  for all  $y$  in  $M$ ; if  $\beta_y^F(H) < H(y)$  for some  $y$  in  $M$ , then  $\mu_y(H) < H(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $M$ ; if  $F$  sweeps  $H$ , then  $\mu_y(H) = H(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $M$ .*

We say that a function  $h$  on  $X$  is  $\mu$ -harmonic if  $\mu_x(h) = h(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ . Theorem 1.10 implies that the restriction of a positive harmonic function  $H$  on  $M$  to  $X$  is  $\mu$ -harmonic if and only if  $H$  is swept by  $F$ . Now denote by  $\mathcal{H}_F^+(M)$  the space of positive harmonic functions swept by  $F$  and by  $\mathcal{H}^+(X, \mu)$  the space of positive  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $X$ .

**1.11. Theorem.** — *The restriction map  $\mathcal{H}_F^+(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+(X, \mu)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.10 it remains to show that a  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $X$  is the restriction of a positive harmonic function  $H$  on  $M$ . We define  $H(y) = \mu_y(h)$  and then need to show that  $H$  is harmonic. On  $M \setminus F$  this is immediate since there

$$\mu_y(h) = \int \mu_u(h) \beta_y^F(du) .$$

Let  $x$  be in  $X$ . We shall establish that for  $y$  in  $V_x$

$$(*) \quad \mu_y(h) = \int \mu_u(h) \varepsilon(y, V_x)(du)$$

and this implies that  $H$  is harmonic on  $M$ . First for  $x$  itself  $(*)$  is (1.7). Then from (1.8) we get for  $y$  in  $F_x, y \neq x$ .

$$\mu_y(h) = \frac{1}{C} h(x) + \int_{\partial V_x} \mu_u(h) \varepsilon(y, V_x)(du) - \frac{1}{C} \int_{\partial V_x} \mu_u(h) \varepsilon(x, \partial V_x)(du)$$

which is  $(*)$  again by (1.7). Now let  $y$  be in  $V_x \setminus F_x$  and let  $T$  be the exit time from  $V_x \setminus F_x$ . By (D2),  $T \leq R^F$  for Brownian paths starting from  $y$  and hence by (1.9)

$$\mu_y(h) = \int \mu_u(h) \pi_y^T(du) .$$

Decompose  $\pi_y^T = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ , where  $\varepsilon_1$  is supported on  $\partial V_x$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  on  $F_x$ . Using  $(*)$  on  $F_x$  we have

$$\mu_y(h) = \int_{\partial V_x} \mu_u(h) [\varepsilon_1 + \int_{F_x} \varepsilon(z, V_x) \varepsilon_2(dz)](du) .$$

Relation  $(*)$  follows since by the strong Markov property of the Brownian motion

$$\varepsilon(y, V_x) = \varepsilon_1 + \int_{F_x} \varepsilon(z, V_x) \varepsilon_2(dz) . \quad \square$$



**1.12. Remark.** — By analogous arguments we can prove Theorem 1.11 also under the more general uniform core condition of Kaimanovich [K].

## 2. MARTIN BOUNDARIES

Throughout this section,  $X$  is a  $*$ -recurrent subset of  $M$  and  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  is a fixed choice of LS-data. We now give a more detailed description of the construction of the measures  $\mu_y, y \in M$ .

Let  $W$  be the space of all Brownian paths on  $M$ . For  $\omega$  in  $W$  starting from a point  $y$  in  $F$ , define  $S(\omega)$  to be the exit time from  $V_{\varphi(y)}$ , where  $\varphi(y)$  is the unique point in  $X$  such that  $y \in F_{\varphi(y)}$ . Recursively we define the stopping times  $R_n, n \geq 1$ , and  $S_n, n \geq 0$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(\omega) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega(0) \notin X \\ S(\omega) & \text{if } \omega(0) \in X, \end{cases} \\ R_n(\omega) &= \inf\{t \geq S_{n-1}(\omega) \mid \omega(t) \in F\}, \\ S_n(\omega) &= \inf\{t \geq R_n(\omega) \mid \omega(t) \notin V_{X(n,\omega)}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X(n, \omega) = \varphi(\omega(R_n(\omega)))$ . On  $\tilde{W} = W \times [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  we define recursively for  $k \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} N_0(\omega, \alpha) &= 0, \\ N_k(\omega, \alpha) &= \inf\{n > N_{k-1}(\omega, \alpha) \mid \alpha_n < \kappa_n(\omega)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad \kappa_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{C} \frac{d\varepsilon(X(n, \omega), V_{X(n, \omega)})}{d\varepsilon(\omega(R_n(\omega)), V_{X(n, \omega)})}(\omega(S_n(\omega))).$$

For  $y$  in  $M$  we denote by  $\tilde{P}_y$  the product measure  $P_y \otimes \lambda^{\mathbb{N}}$  on  $\tilde{W}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $F = \cup_{x \in X} F_x$  is recurrent, the stopping times  $R_n, S_n$  and  $N_k$  are finite almost surely. Now the LS-measures  $\mu_y, y \in M$ , are by definition given by

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_y(x) = \tilde{P}_y[X_{N_1} = x], \quad x \in X.$$

The second main result of Lyons and Sullivan about the measures  $\mu_y$  is as follows.

**2.3. Theorem** (see [LS], p 321). — *The process  $(X_{N_k})_{k \geq 1}$  is a Markov process with time homogeneous transition probabilities  $p(x, z) = \mu_x(z)$  for  $x, z$  in  $X$ . In fact, for  $y$  in  $M$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  in  $X$  we have*

$$\tilde{P}_y(X_{N_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{N_k} = x_k) = \mu_y(x_1)\mu_{x_1}(x_2) \cdots \mu_{x_{k-1}}(x_k) .$$

**Remark.** — In [LS] this result is only stated in the so-called cocompact case. It is observed in [K] that it is also valid in this general set-up. Observe that here, by (D2),  $\partial V_x$  is assumed to be disjoint from  $X$ .

Fix  $y$  in  $M$  and define the Green function  $g$  of the Markov chain on  $X$  by

$$(2.4) \quad g(y, x) = \delta_y(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_y(X_{N_k} = x), \quad x \in X .$$

We want to compare the Green function  $G$  of the manifold  $M$  with  $g$ . We have

$$(2.5) \quad g(y, x) = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{n \geq 1} \nu_n(F_x) \quad \text{for } y \neq x ,$$

where  $\nu_n$  denotes the distribution of  $\omega(R_n)$  that is, for  $A$  a Borel subset of  $M$ ,

$$\nu_n(A) = P_y(\omega(R_n(\omega)) \in A) .$$

*Proof of (2.5).* Since  $y \neq x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(y, x) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \tilde{P}_y(X_{N_k} = x) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_y(\omega(R_n) \in F_x \text{ and } N_k(\omega, \alpha) = n) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{P}_y(\omega(R_n) \in F_x \text{ and } N_k(\omega, \alpha) = n) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{P}_y(\omega(R_n) \in F_x \text{ and } \alpha_n < \kappa_n(\omega)) \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{F_x} \left( \int_{\partial V_x} \frac{d\varepsilon(x, V_x)}{d\varepsilon(z, V_x)}(\zeta) \varepsilon(z)(d\zeta) \right) \nu_n(dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \sum_{n \geq 1} \nu_n(F_x) , \end{aligned}$$

where we use the strong Markov property of the Brownian motion to express  $\tilde{P}_y$  by an integral on  $M$ .  $\square$

For an open subset  $V$  of  $M$  denote by  $G_V$  the Green function of  $V$ . For  $y$  not in  $V_x$  we have

$$(2.6) \quad G(y, x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{F_x} G_{V_x}(z, x) \nu_n(dz) .$$

*Proof of (2.6).* Let  $B \subset F_x$  be a neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then

$$\int_B G(y, u) du = E_y \left( \int_0^\infty \chi_B(\omega(t)) dt \right) .$$

Since  $\omega(t)$  is not in  $F$  for  $S_n(\omega) < t < R_{n+1}(\omega)$  and since  $B \subset F$ , the right hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty E_y \left( \int_{R_n(\omega)}^{S_n(\omega)} \chi_B(\omega(t)) dt \right) .$$

Now  $S_n(\omega) = R_n(\omega) + S(\omega(R_n(\omega)))$  and hence we get from the strong Markov property of Brownian motion that the above expression is equal to

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{F_x} E_z \left( \int_0^{S(\omega)} \chi_B(\omega(t)) dt \right) \nu_n(dz) .$$

Since  $S$  is the exit time from  $V_x$  we get

$$\int_B G(y, u) du = \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{F_x} \left( \int_B G_{V_x}(z, u) du \right) \nu_n(dz) .$$

The measures  $\nu_n$  are supported on  $\partial F$  (and  $y$  if  $y \in X$ ), and  $G(y, \cdot)$  and  $G_{V_x}(z, \cdot)$ ,  $z \in \partial F_x$ , are uniformly bounded and continuous on a small neighbourhood  $\bar{B}(x, \delta) \subset \overset{\circ}{F}_x$  of  $x$ . Taking  $B = B(x, \varepsilon)$  in the above formula, dividing by  $vol(B)$  and letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0, we obtain formula (2.6) as the limit.  $\square$

Say that LS-data  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  are *balanced* if

$$(D5) \quad \text{there is a constant } D \text{ such that } G_{V_x}(z, x) = D \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } z \in \partial F_x .$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we get the first part of our main theorem.

**2.7. Theorem.** — *If  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  are balanced LS-data for  $X$ , then*

$$G(y, x) = CDg(y, x)$$

for all  $x$  in  $X$  and all  $y$  not in  $V_x$ . In particular, the Brownian motion on  $M$  is transient if and only if the Markov process on  $X$  is transient. In the transient case we have  $\mu_x(z) = \mu_z(x)$  for all  $x, z$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Except for the last assertion, all claims follow immediately from what is said above. As for the last claim, recall that

$$g(y, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mu_y^{(k)}(x) .$$

For a positive function  $f$  on  $X$  we set

$$Pf(x) = \sum_z \mu_x(z)f(z), \quad Uf(x) = \sum_z g(x, z)f(z) .$$

If  $f$  has finite support we obtain

$$U(I - P)f = f .$$

Now  $U$  is symmetric with respect to

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \sum_{x \in X} \langle f(x), h(x) \rangle$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I - P)f, h \rangle &= \langle (I - P)f, U(I - P)h \rangle \\ &= \langle U(I - P)f, (I - P)h \rangle = \langle f, (I - P)h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all positive functions  $f, h$  on  $X$  with finite support. The assertion follows.  $\square$

**2.8. Theorem.** — *Assume the Brownian motion on  $M$  is transient. If  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  are balanced LS-data for a  $*$ -recurrent set  $X$ , then the inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow M$  extends to a convex homeomorphism between  $\partial_\mu X$  and  $\partial_\Delta M \cap \overline{X}$ , where  $\overline{X}$  is the closure of  $X$  in the Martin compactification  $cl_\Delta M$  of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Choose an origin  $x_0$  in  $X$  and define for  $x \neq x_0$  in  $X$ ,  $y$  in  $M$

$$k(y, x) = \frac{g(y, x)}{g(x_0, x)} \text{ and } K(y, x) = \frac{G(y, x)}{G(x_0, x)} .$$

From (2.7) we have  $k(y, x) = K(y, x)$  for all  $x \neq x_0$  in  $X$  and  $y$  in  $M$  not in  $V_x$ . Consider a convergent sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in the Martin compactification of  $(X, \mu)$ . Then for any fixed  $y$ ,  $k(y, x_n) = K(y, x_n)$  for  $n$  large enough and any Martin limit point  $H$  of the sequence  $(K(\cdot, x_n))_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies  $H|_X = h$ . By Theorem 1.11 we have  $H(y) = \mu_y(h)$  and  $H$  is unique. This shows that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  converges in  $cl_\Delta M$  and that the correspondence is convex and continuous. The converse is clear.  $\square$

It follows from Theorem 2.8 and its proof that the restriction map defines an isomorphism between the linear cone generated by  $\overline{X}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^+(M)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^+(X, \mu)$ . Comparing with Theorem 1.11 we get the following

**2.9. Corollary.** — *Let  $X$  be a discrete subset of  $M$  admitting balanced LS-data  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$ . Then a positive harmonic function  $H$  is swept by  $F = \cup_{x \in X} F_x$  if and only if it can be written as an average of minimal harmonic functions in  $\overline{X}$ .*

*Proof.* We identified the cone generated by  $\overline{X}$  with  $\mathcal{H}_F^+(M)$ . But by definition extremal directions in  $\mathcal{H}_F^+(M)$  correspond to minimal harmonic functions. The same is therefore true for the cone generated by  $\overline{X}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^+(M)$ .  $\square$

Corollary 2.9 can also be read the other way around : a family of neighbourhoods  $(F_x)_{x \in X}$  has the same potential theory as  $X$  if  $F = \cup_{x \in X} F_x$  is recurrent and if one can find open relatively compact  $(V_x)_{x \in X}$ ,  $V_x \supset F_x$ , satisfying (D2), (D4) and (D5).

### 3. EXAMPLES

We say that the *geometry* of  $M$  is *bounded* in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood  $B_\varepsilon(X)$  of a subset  $X$  of  $M$  if the injectivity radius in  $B_\varepsilon(X)$  is positive and if the sectional

curvature is bounded in  $B_\varepsilon(X)$ . For example, if  $X$  is the orbit of a point  $x_0$  under a group of isometries, then the geometry of  $M$  is bounded in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $X$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\varepsilon(x_0)$  is relatively compact.

**3.1. Theorem.** — *If  $X \subset M$  satisfies for some  $\varepsilon > 0$*

(C1) *the geometry of  $M$  is bounded in  $B_\varepsilon(X)$  ;*

(C2)  *$\text{dist}(x, z) \geq 2\varepsilon$  for all  $x \neq z$  in  $X$  ;*

(C3)  *$\overline{B_\varepsilon(X)} = \cup_{x \in X} \overline{B_\varepsilon(x)}$  is recurrent,*

*then  $X$  admits a choice of balanced LS-data  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  such that any isometry of  $M$ , which leaves  $X$  invariant, permutes the sets  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$ .*

**Remark.** — If  $N$  is a recurrent Riemannian manifold,  $M \rightarrow N$  a Riemannian covering and  $X$  the preimage in  $M$  of a point in  $N$ , then  $X$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Note that  $N$  is recurrent if  $N$  is complete, of finite volume and with Ricci curvature bounded from below.

*Proof.* For  $x$  in  $X$  let  $V_x = B(x, \varepsilon)$ . Since the geometry of  $V_x$  is uniformly bounded,  $\cup_{x \in X} \overline{B_\delta(x)}$  is recurrent for any  $\delta > 0$  and the Green functions  $G_{V_x}$  admit uniform estimates. In particular, if  $D > 0$  is any given constant, there is a  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$  such that  $G_{V_x}(\cdot, x) \geq D$  on  $\overline{B_\delta(x)}$ . Hence

$$F_x = \{z \in V_x \mid G_{V_x}(z, x) \geq D\}$$

is a closed neighbourhood of  $x$  such that  $G_{V_x}(z, x) = D$  on  $\partial F_x$ . Moreover,  $F = \cup_{x \in X} F_x$  is recurrent since  $\overline{B_\delta(x)} \subset F_x$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ . There is also a positive  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  such that  $F_x \subset B(x, \varepsilon')$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ , hence (D4) is satisfied.  $\square$

**3.2. Theorem.** — *If  $M$  is simply connected, complete and with sectional curvature satisfying  $-b^2 \leq K \leq -a^2 < 0$ , and if  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group of isometries such that  $\text{vol}(M/\Gamma) < \infty$ , then  $\Gamma$  admits a symmetric probability  $\mu$  such that*

(a) *the Martin boundary of the random walk directed by  $\mu$  is equal to the geometric boundary of  $M$ ;*

(b)  *$\mu$  has a finite moment with respect to the geometric norm on  $\Gamma$  and finite entropy.*

*Proof.* The Martin compactification  $cl_{\Delta}M$  of  $M$  is equal to the geometric compactification, see [AS]. Now choose  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $x_0$  and identify  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma(x_0)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is  $*$ -recurrent in  $M$  since  $vol(M/\Gamma) < \infty$ . Hence  $X = \Gamma(x_0)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Choose balanced LS-data  $(F_x, V_x)_{x \in X}$  and let

$$\mu(\gamma) = \mu_{x_0}(\gamma x_0) .$$

Now Assertion (a) follows from Theorem 2.8 since the limit set of  $\Gamma$  is equal to the geometric boundary of  $M$ .

As for the proof of (b), we follow the construction of Lyons and Sullivan as described in section 2. We need that the functions

$$A_1(z) = E_z[S(\omega)], \quad z \in F_x$$

$$A_2(y) = E_y[R_1(\omega)], \quad y \in \partial V_x$$

are uniformly bounded. We will show this for  $A_2$ , the proof for  $A_1$  is similar. If  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\Gamma$  is the projection, then  $\pi(F) = \pi(F_x) =: C$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $\pi|_{F_x}$  is a homeomorphism. We have for  $y$  in  $\partial V_x$

$$A_2(y) = T(\pi(y)) ,$$

where  $T(z)$  is the average of the hitting time of  $C$  for Brownian motion starting in  $z$ . Since  $T$  is either identically  $+\infty$  on  $(M/\Gamma) \setminus C$  or smooth and solving  $\Delta T = -1$ , it suffices to show that  $T$  is finite on  $(M/\Gamma) \setminus C$ . Observe that

$$T(z) \leq R(z)$$

where  $R(z)$  is the average of the first time in  $\mathbb{N}$  when Brownian motion starting in  $z$  hits  $C$ . By Kač formula [Ka] we have

$$\frac{|M/\Gamma|}{|C|} = \int_C R(z) dz \geq \int_C \int_{(M/\Gamma) \setminus C} p_1(x, y) T(y) dy dx .$$

Hence  $T$  is finite and  $A_2$  is uniformly bounded on  $\partial V_x$ . Let  $A$  be a common bound for  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . We have for all  $x$  in  $M$

$$E_x(R_n(\omega)) \leq 2nA$$

$$\tilde{E}_x(R_{N_1}(\omega)) \leq 2AE(N_1) \leq 2AC^2 .$$

Since the average distance of the Brownian path to  $x_0$  grows at most linearly with speed  $(\dim M - 1)b$ , cf. for example [P], we get that the first moment is finite,

$$\sum_{\Gamma} \text{dist}(x_0, \gamma x_0) \mu(\gamma) = \tilde{E}_{x_0}(\text{dist}(x_0, X_{N_1}(\omega))) < +\infty .$$

The estimate on the entropy follows (see e.g. [BL], Lemma 2.1). □

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [A] A. ANCONA, *Théorie du potentiel sur les graphes et les variétés*, in *Ecole d'été de Probabilités de Saint Flour XVIII*, P.L. Hennequin éditeur, Springer Lecture Notes Maths. **1427** (1990), 5–112.
- [AS] M. ANDERSON, R. SCHOEN, *Positive harmonic functions on complete manifolds of negative curvature*, Ann. Math. **121** (1985), 429–461.
- [BL] W. BALLMANN, F. LEDRAPPIER, *The Poisson boundary for rank one manifolds and their cocompact lattices*, Forum Math. **6** (1994), 301–313.
- [D] Y. DERRIENNIC, *Marche aléatoire sur le groupe libre et frontière de Martin*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. **32** (1975), 251–276.
- [F] H. FURSTENBERG, *Random walks and discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, in *Advances in Probability and Related Topics I*, P. Ney editor, Dekker, New York (1971), 1–63.
- [K] V.A. KAIMANOVICH, *Discretization of bounded harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds and entropy*, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Potential Theory*, Nagoya, M. Kishi editor, De Gruyter, Berlin (1992), 212–223.
- [Ka] M. KAČIČ, *On the notion of recurrence in discrete stochastic processes*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1947), 1002–1010.
- [LS] T. LYONS, D. SULLIVAN, *Function theory, random paths and covering spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 299–323.
- [P] M. PINSKY, *Stochastic Riemannian geometry*, in *Probabilistic Analysis and Related Topics I*, A.T. Bharucha-Reid editor. Academic Press, New York (1978), 199–236.