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#### Abstract

We provide a semilocal convergence analysis for a cubically convergent two-step Newton method (2) recently introduced by H. Homeier [8], [9], and also studied by A. Özban [13]. In contrast to the above works we examine the semilocal convergence of the method in a Banach space setting, instead of the local in the real or complex number case. A comparison is given with a two step Newton-like method using the same information.
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Resumen. Proporcionamos un análisis de convergencia semilocal para un método de Newton de dos pasos, cúbicamente convergente, recientemente introducido por H. Homeier [8], [9], también estudiado por A. Özban [13]. En contraste con esto, examinamos la convergencia local del método en espacios de Banach en lugar del local, en el caso real y complejo. Damos una comparación con el método de Newton de dos pasos usando la misma información.
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## 1. Introduction

In this study we are concerned with the problem of approximating a locally unique solution $x^{*}$ of the nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a Fréchet-differentiable operator defined on the closure $\bar{U}\left(x_{0}, R\right)$ $(R>0)$ of a ball $U\left(x_{0}, R\right)=\left\{x|x \in X|\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<R\right\}$ in a Banach space $X$ with values in a Banach space $Y$.

Many problems in applied mathematics, and also in engineering, can be formulated as in equation (1) for a suitable choice of the operator $F[4]$, [10], [12].

Recently H. Homeier [8], [9] and A. Özban [13] studied the local convergence of the cubically convergent two-step Newton method

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right) \quad(n \geq 0), \quad\left(x_{0} \in D\right), \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right), \quad z_{n}=\frac{x_{n}+y_{n}}{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$ in the special case when $X=Y=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. In [7], [10] it was already demonstrated experimentally that method (2) can compete in efficiency with other methods using the same information.

Method (2) was originally studied in [11], [5], where the cubic convergence was established under hypotheses on the second Fréchet-derivative of operator $F$.

Semilocal and local convergence theorems on Newton-like methods under various conditions can be found in [1], [14], and the references there. Therefore one can immediately obtain sufficient convergence conditions for the local as well as the semilocal case by simply referring to those results (see, in particular [3], [4]).

Results on other fast methods can be found in [1], [6], [7]. However here we decided to study the semilocal convergence of method (2) on a Banach space setting motivated by the efficiency of the method when $X=Y=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ using a direct approach and precise majorizing sequences along the lines of our works in [3], [4].

We assume that for some $x_{0} \in D, F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ and for all $x, y \in$ $U\left(x_{0}, R\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\right\| & \leq w_{0}\left(\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|\right)  \tag{3}\\
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right]\right\| & \leq w(\|x-y\|) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for some monotonically increasing functions $w_{0}$, $w$ defined on $[0, R]$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} w_{0}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} w(r)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions of the form (3)-(5) were inaugurated in the elegant work in [2] (see also [3], [4]) in connection with the study of Newton's method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right) \quad(n \geq 0), \quad\left(x_{0} \in D\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the special case when $w_{0}(r)=w(r)$ for all $r \in[0, R]$.
The advantages of introducing function $w_{0}$ in the study of Newton-like methods have been explained in [3], [4]. In fact this way under the same or even weaker hypotheses finer error bounds on the distances $\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|,\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|$,
$\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|,\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|(n \geq 0)$ can be obtained and an at least as precise information on the location of the solution $x^{*}$.

A comparison with the two-step Newton-like method

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right) \quad(n \geq 0), \quad\left(x_{0} \in D\right)  \tag{7}\\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

is given. Note that both methods (2) and (7) use two inverses and one function evaluation at every step. Numerical examples can also be found in [8], [13].
2. Semilocal convergence analysis of Newton-like method

Let $\eta \geq 0$. It is convenient for us to define scalar sequences $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}(n \geq 0)$ for $t_{0}=0, s_{0}=\eta, t_{1}=s_{0}+\frac{s_{0}}{1-w_{0}\left(\frac{s_{0}+t_{0}}{2}\right)}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n+1}=t_{n+1}+\frac{\int_{0}^{1} w\left(t\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right)\right)\left(s_{n}-t_{n}\right) d t+\left[1+w_{0}\left(t_{n}\right)\right]\left(t_{n+1}-s_{n}\right)}{1-w_{0}\left(t_{n+1}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+2}=t_{n+1}+\frac{\int_{0}^{1} w\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{n}-t_{n}\right)+t\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right)\right]\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right) d t}{1-w_{0}\left(\frac{t_{n+1}+s_{n+1}}{2}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$.
It follows by the definition of sequences $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ that if there exists $\alpha \in$ $[0, R]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n} \leq t_{n+1} \leq \alpha<w_{0}^{-1}(1) \text { for all } n \geq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then both sequences are monotonically increasing, bounded above by $\alpha$, and as such they converge to a common limit $t^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n} \leq s_{n} \leq t_{n+1} \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*} \leq \alpha \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can show the following semilocal convergence theorem for Newton-like method (2) using majorizing sequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.1. Under conditions (3), (4) and (8) for $\left|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq \eta$, $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq t_{1}$ sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}(n \geq 0)$ generated by Newton-like method (2) is well defined, remains in $\bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and converges to a unique solution $x^{*}$ of equation $F(x)=0$ in $\bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$.

Moreover the following estimates hold for all $n \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| & \leq s_{n}-t_{n}  \tag{13}\\
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| & \leq t_{n+1}-t_{n}  \tag{14}\\
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & \leq t^{*}-s_{n} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq t^{*}-t_{n} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore if there exists $R_{0} \in\left(t^{*}, R\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} w\left[t t^{*}+(1-t) R_{0}\right] d t<1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution $x^{*}$ is unique in $U\left(x_{0}, R_{0}\right)$.
Proof. We shall show:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{k}-x_{k}\right\| & \leq s_{k}-t_{k}  \tag{18}\\
\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| & \leq t_{k+1}-t_{k}  \tag{19}\\
\bar{U}\left(y_{k}, t^{*}-s_{k}\right) & \subseteq \bar{U}\left(x_{k}, t^{*}-t_{k}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}\left(x_{k+1}, t^{*}-t_{k+1}\right) \subseteq \bar{U}\left(x_{k}, t^{*}-t_{k}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $z \in \bar{U}\left(y_{0}, t^{*}-s_{0}\right)$,

$$
\left\|z-y_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|z-y_{0}\right\|+\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\| \leq t^{*}-s_{0}+s_{0}=t^{*}=t^{*}-t_{0}
$$

implies $z \in \bar{U}\left(y_{0}, t^{*}-t_{0}\right)$. Similarly, for every $w \in \bar{U}\left(x_{1}, t^{*}-t_{1}\right)$

$$
\left\|w-x_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|w-x_{1}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leq t^{*}-t_{1}+t_{1}=t^{*}
$$

implies $w \in \bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}-t_{0}\right)$.
Estimates (16) and (17) hold true for $k=0$ by the initial conditions. Let us assume estimates (16) - (19) hold for $n=0,1, \ldots, k$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{k}-x_{0}\right\| & \leq\left\|y_{k}-x_{k}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right\| \\
& \leq s_{k}-t_{k}+t_{k}-t_{0}=s_{k}-t_{0} \leq t^{*} \\
\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{0}\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left\|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right) \\
& =t_{k+1}-t_{0} \leq t^{*} \\
\left\|\frac{y_{k}+x_{k}}{2}-x_{0}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|y_{k}-x_{0}\right\|+\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\|\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{k}+t_{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{*}+t^{*}\right)=t^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|x_{k}+t\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)-x_{0}\right\| \leq t_{k}+t\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right) \leq t^{*} \text { for all } t \in[0,1]
$$

Let $u \in \bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$, then using (3) and the induction hypotheses we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}(u)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\right\| \leq w_{0}\left(\left\|u-x_{0}\right\|\right) \leq w_{0}\left(t^{*}\right)<1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (20) and the Banach Lemma on invertible operators [10] that $F^{\prime}(u)^{-1}$ exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(u)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq\left[1-w_{0}\left(\left\|u-x_{0}\right\|\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2) we obtain the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(x_{k+1}\right)= & F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(y_{k}-x_{k}\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}+t\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right) d t \\
& +\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\left(x_{k+1}-y_{k}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x_{k+1}-y_{k}\right), \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and by composing by $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}$ we get using (4)

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right\| \\
&=\left\|\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}+t\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right) d t\right\| \\
&+\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\left(x_{k+1}-y_{k}\right)\right\|+\left\|x_{k+1}-y_{k}\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} w\left(\left\|t\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)\right\|\right)\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| d t \\
&+w_{0}\left(\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{k+1}-y_{k}\right\|+\left\|x_{k+1}-y_{k}\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} w\left(t\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)\right)\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right) d t+w_{0}\left(t_{k}\right)\left(t_{k+1}-s_{k}\right) \\
&+\left(t_{k+1}-s_{k}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly from (2) we obtain the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(x_{k+1}\right) & =F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{k}+y_{k}}{2}\right)\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}+t\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{k}+y_{k}}{2}\right)\right]\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore again by (24) and (4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} w\left[\left\|x_{k}+t\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)-\frac{x_{k}+y_{k}}{2}\right\|\right]\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} w\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{k}-x_{k}\right\|+t\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\|\right]\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} w\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{k}-t_{k}\right)+t\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)\right]\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right) d t . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2), (21) (for $u=x_{k+1}$, and $u=\frac{x_{k+1}+y_{k+1}}{2}$ respectively), (23) and (25), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{k+1}-x_{k+1}\right\| \leq\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{k+1}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right\| \leq s_{k+1}-t_{k+1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{k+2}-x_{k+1}\right\| \leq t_{k+2}-t_{k+1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show (16) and (17) for all $n \geq 0$.

Thus for every $w \in \bar{U}\left(x_{k+2}, t^{*}-t_{k+2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w-x_{k+1}\right\| & \leq\left\|w-x_{k+2}\right\|+\left\|x_{k+2}-x_{k+1}\right\| \leq t^{*}-t_{k+2}+t_{k+2}-t_{k+1} \\
& =t^{*}-t_{k+1} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in \bar{U}\left(x_{k+1}, t^{*}-t_{k+1}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for every $z \in \bar{U}\left(y_{k+1}, t^{*}-s_{k+1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in \bar{U}\left(y_{k}, t^{*}-s_{k}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The induction for estimates (16) - (19) is now complete.
In view of (8), (9), and (16) - (19), sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are Cauchy in a Banach space $X$ and as such they converge to a common limit $x^{*} \in \bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ (since $\bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ is a closed set). By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (26) we get $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. Estimates (13) and (14) follow from (11) and (12) by using standard majorization techniques [4], [10], [12].

To show uniqueness of $x^{*}$ first in $\bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$, let $y^{*}$ be a solution of equation $F(x)=0$ in $\bar{U}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$. In view of (3) and (8), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{*}+t\left(x^{*}-y^{*}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d t\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} w_{0}\left[t\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|+(1-t)\left\|y^{*}-x_{0}\right\|\right] d t \leq w_{0}\left(t^{*}\right)<1 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (30) and the Banach Lemma on invertible operators that linear operator $L$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(y^{*}+t\left(x^{*}-y^{*}\right)\right) d t \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invertible.
Using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=F\left(x^{*}\right)-F\left(y^{*}\right)=L\left(x^{*}-y^{*}\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce $x^{*}=y^{*}$.
Finally to show uniqueness in $U\left(x_{0}, R_{0}\right)$, again as in (30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(L-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{1} w_{0}\left(t t^{*}+(1-t) R_{0}\right) d t<1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which again together with (33) yields to $x^{*}=y^{*}$. That completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.1. Although stronger but easier to verify conditions implying crucial hypothesis (8) have already been given in [2], when $w_{0}(r)=w(r)$ for all $r \in$ $[0, R]$, and us [3], [4], when functions $w_{0}$ and $w$ are not necessarily the same, we decided to leave condition (8) as uncluttered as possible. In order for us
to find conditions other than (8), let us assume there exists a monotonically increasing function $\tilde{w}$ satisfying (5) and for all $t \geq s$, with $s, t \in[0, R]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t-s} w(t) d t \leq \int_{s}^{t}[\tilde{w}(t)-w(s)] d t \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an estimate can follow e.g. from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}(r)=\sup \{w(u)+w(v): u+v=r\} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function may be calculated explicitly in some cases. For example, in the Hölder case

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r)=\ell r^{\lambda} \quad(0<\lambda \leq 1) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}(r)=2^{1-\lambda} \ell r^{\lambda} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, if $w$ is a concave function on $[0, R]$, we have $\tilde{w}(r)=2 w\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)$. Clearly $\tilde{w}$ is always increasing, concave, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r) \leq \bar{w}(r) \text { for all } r \in[0, R] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions of the form (35) - (36) were first given in [2]. More information on the motivation for the introduction of function $\tilde{w}$ can be found in [2] - [4].

It is convenient for us to define scalar functions $f, g$ on $[0, R]$, and sequences $\left\{\bar{s}_{n}\right\},\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\},\left\{\overline{\bar{s}}_{n}\right\},\left\{\overline{\bar{t}}_{n}\right\}(n \geq 0)$ for all $n \geq 0$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(r)=\eta-r+\int_{0}^{r} \tilde{w}(t) d t,  \tag{42}\\
g(r)=  \tag{43}\\
\bar{t}_{0}= \\
=0, \quad \bar{s}_{0}=\eta, \quad \bar{t}_{1}=\bar{s}_{0}+\frac{\bar{s}_{0}}{1-w\left(\frac{\bar{s}_{0}+\bar{t}_{0}}{2}\right)},  \tag{44}\\
\bar{s}_{n+1}=  \tag{45}\\
\bar{t}_{n+2}=\bar{t}_{n+1}+\frac{\int_{0}^{1} w\left(t\left(\bar{t}_{n+1}-\bar{t}_{n}\right)\right)\left(\bar{s}_{n}-\bar{t}_{n}\right) d t}{1-w\left(\bar{t}_{n+1}\right)}+\frac{\int_{0}^{1} w\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{s}_{n}-\bar{t}_{n}\right)+t\left(\bar{t}_{n+1}-\bar{t}_{n}\right)\right]\left(\bar{t}_{n+1}-\bar{t}_{n}\right) d t}{1-w\left(\frac{\bar{t}_{n+1}+\bar{s}_{n+1}}{2}\right)} \\
\overline{\bar{t}}_{0}=\bar{t}_{0}, \overline{\bar{s}}_{0}=\bar{s}_{0}, \overline{\bar{t}}_{1}=\bar{t}_{1},  \tag{46}\\
\overline{\bar{s}}_{n+1}=\overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1}-\frac{f_{1}\left(\overline{\bar{t}}_{n}, \overline{\bar{s}}_{n}, \overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(\overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1}\right)},  \tag{47}\\
\overline{\bar{t}}_{n+2}=\overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1}-\frac{f_{2}\left(\overline{\bar{t}}_{n}, \overline{\bar{s}}_{n}, \overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(\frac{\bar{t}_{n+1}+\overline{\bar{s}}_{n+1}}{2}\right)},
\end{gather*}
$$

where,

$$
f_{1}(a, b, c)=\int_{0}^{1} \bar{w}[a+t(c-a)](b-a) d t-w(a)(b-a)
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(a, b, c)=\int_{0}^{1} \bar{w}[b+t(c-a)](c-a) d t-w\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)(c-a)
$$

In view of (3) and (4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}(r) \leq w(r) \text { for all } r \in[0, R], \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\frac{w(r)}{w_{0}(r)}$ can be arbitrarily large [3], [4]. By comparing sequences $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ with $\left\{\bar{s}_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\}$ and using induction on $n \geq 0$ we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{n} & \leq \bar{s}_{n}  \tag{49}\\
t_{n} & \leq \bar{t}_{n}  \tag{50}\\
s_{n}-t_{n} & \leq \bar{s}_{n}-\bar{t}_{n}  \tag{51}\\
t_{n+1}-t_{n} & \leq \bar{t}_{n+1}-\bar{t}_{n}  \tag{52}\\
t^{*}-s_{n} & \leq \bar{t}^{*}-\bar{s}_{n}, \quad \bar{t}^{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{t}_{n}  \tag{53}\\
t^{*}-t_{n+1} & \leq \bar{t}^{*}-\bar{t}_{n+1} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*} \leq \bar{t}^{*} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that strict inequality holds in (47) - (50) if (44) also holds as a strict inequality.

Moreover if (35) or (36) hold then

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{s}_{n} & \leq \overline{\bar{s}}_{n}  \tag{56}\\
\bar{t}_{n} & \leq \overline{\bar{t}}_{n}  \tag{57}\\
\bar{s}_{n}-\bar{t}_{n} & \leq \overline{\bar{s}}_{n}-\overline{\bar{t}}_{n}  \tag{58}\\
\bar{t}_{n+1}-\bar{t}_{n} & \leq \overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1}-\overline{\bar{t}}_{n}  \tag{59}\\
\bar{t}^{*}-\bar{s}_{n} & \leq \overline{\bar{t}}^{*}-\overline{\bar{s}}_{n}, \quad \overline{\bar{t}}^{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\bar{t}}_{n}  \tag{60}\\
\bar{t}^{*}-\bar{t}_{n+1} & \leq \bar{t}^{*}-\overline{\bar{t}}_{n+1} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}^{*} \leq \bar{t}^{*} . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, if conditions for the convergence of sequences $\left\{\overline{\bar{s}}_{n}\right\},\left\{\overline{\bar{t}}_{n}\right\}$ are imposed, the same conditions will imply the convergence of the finer sequences $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{\bar{s}_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\}(n \geq 0)$. Such a condition is:
(C) Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $\delta \in[0, R]$.

Note that in this case

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\bar{s}}_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\bar{t}}_{n} \leq \delta
$$

The proof is omitted since it has essentially been given in Theorem 2 in [2, p. 5].

Remark 2.2. Concerning related method (7), let us consider the corresponding scalar majorizing sequences $\left\{p_{n}\right\},\left\{q_{n}\right\},\left\{\bar{p}_{n}\right\},\left\{\bar{q}_{n}\right\},\left\{\overline{\bar{p}}_{n}\right\},\left\{\overline{\bar{q}}_{n}\right\},(n \geq 0)$ defined as the $s$-t-sequences, respectively.

For example, sequences $\left\{p_{n}\right\},\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ as defined as $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ given in (6) and (7) but $s_{n}, t_{n}, t_{n+1}, \frac{t_{n}+s_{n}}{2}$ are now $p_{n}, q_{n}, p_{n+1}, p_{n}$, respectively, etc.

Clearly, method (7) also converges under condition (C).
Note that a similar proof as in Theorem 2.1 can be given for method (7). We do not known if the $s-t$-sequences are finer than the $p-q$-sequences. In practice, we will use both to see which ones provide the more precise estimates on the distances $\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|,\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|,\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|(n \geq 0)$.

Finally note that the results obtained here can be extended to the more general method (2) where $z_{n}=(1-\lambda) x_{n}+\lambda y_{n}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. However here we decided to examine (2) only in the case $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$ which although seems to be the most popular [7], [8], [13] we do not know yet if it is always the best choice.
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