

On a class of variational-hemivariational inequalities

NIKOLAOS HALIDIAS¹

University of the Aegean, Samos, GREECE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider a class of variational-hemivariational inequalities. We use the critical point theory for nonsmooth functionals due to Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos [9]. We derive nontrivial solutions using the mountain-pass theorem.

Keywords and phrases. Variational-Hemivariational inequalities, discontinuous nonlinearities, critical point theory, mountain pass theorem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35A15. Secondary: 35J85, 35R45.

1. Introduction

Our starting point is the paper of Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos [8] for hemivariational inequalities. Namely, the authors there want to answer the following question:

Find $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the inequality

$$a(u, v) + \int_Z j^o(u, v) dx \geq \lambda(u, v) \text{ for all } v \in X$$

where $j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lipschitz function and $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ a continuous symmetric bilinear form.

¹This work was supported partially by a postdoctoral scholarship from the State Scholarship Foundation (I.K.Y.) of Greece.

Our goal here is to have some existence results for such problems with the solution being at a closed, convex subset K of $W^{1,p}(Z)$ and in our case the differential operator is the p -Laplacian. Moreover, we seek for nontrivial solutions and for that purpose we use the mountain-pass theorem.

The problem under consideration is the following:

Let $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with a C^1 -boundary Γ . Find $x \in W^{1,p}(Z)$ such that

$$\int_Z (\|Dx(z)\|^{p-2} (Dx(z), Dy(z))_{\mathbb{R}^N} dz + \int_Z F^o(z, x(z); y(z)) dz \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

for all $y \in K$. Here $K = \{x \in W^{1,p}(Z) : x(z) \geq 0\}$. Clearly, K is closed and convex on $W^{1,p}(Z)$ and finally $F : Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the potential of some $f : Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a real Banach space and Y be a subset of X . A function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy a Lipschitz condition (on Y) provided that, for some nonnegative scalar K , one has

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq K \|y - x\|$$

for all points $x, y \in Y$. Let f be Lipschitz near a given point x , and let v be any other vector in X . The generalized directional derivative of f at x in the direction v , denoted by $f^o(x; v)$ is defined as follows:

$$f^o(x; v) = \limsup_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ t \downarrow 0}} \frac{f(y + tv) - f(y)}{t}$$

where y is a vector in X and t a positive scalar. If f is Lipschitz of rank K near x then the function $v \rightarrow f^o(x; v)$ is finite, positively homogeneous, subadditive and satisfies $|f^o(x; v)| \leq K \|v\|$. In addition $f^o(x; -v) = (-f)^o(x; v)$. Now we are ready to introduce the generalized gradient which denoted by $\partial f(x)$ as follows:

$$\partial f(x) = \{w \in X^* : f^o(x; v) \geq \langle w, v \rangle \text{ for all } v \in X\}$$

Some basic properties of the generalized gradient of locally Lipschitz functionals are the following:

- (a) $\partial f(x)$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact subset of X^* and $\|w\|_* \leq K$ for every w in $\partial f(x)$.
- (b) For every v in X , one has

$$f^o(x; v) = \max\{\langle w, v \rangle : w \in \partial f(x)\}.$$

If f_1, f_2 are locally Lipschitz functions then

$$\partial(f_1 + f_2) \subseteq \partial f_1 + \partial f_2.$$

Moreover, $(x, v) \rightarrow f^o(x; v)$ is upper semicontinuous and as function of v alone, is Lipschitz of rank K on X .

Let us mention the mean-value theorem of Lebourg.

Theorem 1 (Lebourg). *Let x and y be points in X , and suppose that f is Lipschitz on an open set containing the line segment $[x, y]$. Then there exists a point $u \in (x, y)$ such that*

$$f(y) - f(x) \in \langle \partial f(u), y - x \rangle. \tag{2}$$

Let $R : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that $R = \Phi + \psi$ where $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz functional while $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a lower semicontinuous, convex but not defined everywhere functional.

A point x in X is said to be a critical point of R if $x \in D(\psi)$ and if it satisfies the inequality

$$\Phi^o(x; y - x) + \psi(y) - \psi(x) \geq 0 \text{ for every } y \in X. \tag{3}$$

Definition 1. We say that $R : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $R = \Phi + \psi$ satisfies H_1 if Φ is locally Lipschitz and ψ proper, convex and lower semicontinuous.

Let us now state the formulation of our (PS) condition.

(PS) If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $R(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and

$$\Phi^o(x_n; y - x_n) + \psi(y) - \psi(x_n) \geq -\varepsilon_n \|y - x_n\| \text{ for every } y \in X. \tag{4}$$

where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

The following theorem is a mountain-pass theorem for functionals which satisfies condition H_1 and (PS) (see Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos [9], Cor. 3.2).

Theorem 2. *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies H_1 and (PS) on the reflexive Banach space X and the hypotheses*

(i) *there exist positive constants ρ and a such that*

$$f(u) \geq a \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ with } \|x\| = \rho;$$

(ii) *$f(0) = 0$ and there a point $e \in X$ such that*

$$\|e\| > \rho \text{ and } f(e) \leq 0,$$

then there exists a critical value $c \geq a$ of f determined by

$$c = \inf_{g \in G} \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(g(t))$$

where

$$G = \{g \in C([0, 1], X) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\}$$

In what follows we will use the well-known inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (a_j(\eta) - a_j(\eta'))(\eta_j - \eta'_j) \geq C|\eta - \eta'|^p, \quad (5)$$

for $\eta, \eta' \in \mathbb{R}^N$, with $a_j(\eta) = |\eta|^{p-2}\eta_j$.

3. Hemivariational inequalities with constraints

Let $f : Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then we introduce the following functions:

$$f_1(z, x) = \liminf_{x' \rightarrow x} f(z, x'), \quad f_2(z, x) = \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} f(z, x').$$

In this section we state and prove an existence result for a variational-hemivariational inequality. So our hypotheses on the data are:

H(f) : $f_1, f_2 : Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is N -measurable (i.e. if $x(z)$ is measurable then so is $f_{1,2}(z, x(z))$);

- (i) for almost all $z \in Z$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|f(z, x)| \leq a(z) + c|x|^{\theta-1}$ with $a \in L^\infty(Z)$, $c > 0$, $1 \leq \theta < p$;
- (ii) uniformly for almost all $z \in Z$ we have that $\frac{f_{1,2}(z, x)}{|x|^{\theta-2}x} \rightarrow f_+(z)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ where $f_+ \in L^1(Z)$, $f_+ \geq 0$ with strict inequality on a set of positive Lebesgue measure.
- (iii) Uniformly for almost all $z \in Z$ we have that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{pF(z, x)}{|x|^p} \leq h(z),$$

with $h \in L^\infty(Z)$ and $h(z) \leq 0$ with strict inequality on a set of positive measure. Here, by $F(z, x)$ we denote the integral of f , that is $F(z, x) = \int_0^x f(z, r)dr$.

Theorem 3. *If **H(f)** holds then problem (1) has a nontrivial solution $x \in K$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : W^{1,p}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : W^{1,p}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be defined such that

$$\Phi(x) = - \int_Z F(z, x(z))dz \text{ and } \psi(x) = \frac{1}{p} \|Dx\|_p^p + I_K(x).$$

In the definition of $\Phi(\cdot)$, $F(z, x) = \int_0^x f(z, r)dr$ and I_K is the indicator function of $K = \{x \in W^{1,p}(Z) : x(z) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } Z\}$. It is easy to see that K is closed, convex and thus I_K is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Set $R = \Phi + \psi$. Recall that Φ is locally Lipschitz and ψ is lower semicontinuous, proper and convex.

Claim 1 $R(\cdot)$ satisfies the (PS)-condition.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq W^{1,p}(Z)$ such that $R(x_n) \rightarrow c$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\Phi^o(x_n; x - x_n) + \psi(x) - \psi(x_n) \geq -\varepsilon_n \|x - x_n\|$$

with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\{x_n\} \in K$ because $|R(x_n)| \leq M$. In the above inequality choose $x = x_n + \delta x_n$ and then divide with δ . Also,

$$\frac{1}{p} \|Dx_n\|_p^p - \frac{1}{p} \|Dx_n + \delta Dx_n\| = \frac{1}{p} \|Dx_n\|_p^p (1 - (1 + \delta)^p).$$

So if we divide this with δ and let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we have that is equal with $-\|Dx_n\|_p^p$. Finally there exists $v_n(z) \in [-f_1(z, x_n(z)), -f_2(z, x_n(z))]$ such that $\langle v_n, x_n \rangle = \Phi^o(x_n; x_n)$. So, it follows that

$$\int_Z -v_n x_n(z) dz - \|Dx_n\|_p^p \geq -\varepsilon_n \|x_n\|.$$

Suppose that $\{x_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(Z)$ was unbounded. Then (at least for a subsequence), we may assume that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $y_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$, $n \geq 1$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$y_n \xrightarrow{w} y \text{ in } W^{1,p}(Z), y_n \rightarrow y \text{ in } L^p(Z), y_n(z) \rightarrow y(z) \text{ a.e. on } Z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and $|y_n(z)| \leq k(z)$ a.e. on Z with $k \in L^p(Z)$.

Recall that from the choice of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ we have $|R(x_n)| \leq M_1$ for some $M_1 > 0$ and all $n \geq 1$, thus

$$\frac{1}{p} \|Dx_n\|_p^p - \int_Z F(z, x_n(z)) dz \leq M_1,$$

(since $I_K \geq 0$). Dividing by $\|x_n\|^p$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} \|Dy_n\|_p^p - \int_Z \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{\|x_n\|^p} dz \leq \frac{M_1}{\|x_n\|^p}. \quad (6)$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Z \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{\|x_n\|^p} dz \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^p} \int_Z \int_0^{|x_n(z)|} |f(z, r)| dr dz \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^p} (\|\alpha\|_\infty \|x_n\| + \frac{c}{\theta} \|x_n\|^\theta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So by passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \|Dy_n\|_p^p = 0$$

from which it follows $\|Dy\|_p = 0$ (recall that $Dy_n \xrightarrow{w} Dy$ in $L^p(Z, \mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$) and consequently, $y = \xi \in R$.

Note that $y_n \rightarrow \xi$ in $W^{1,p}(Z)$ and since $\|y_n\| = 1, n \geq 1$ we infer that $\xi \neq 0$. We deduce that $|x_n(z)| \rightarrow +\infty$ a.e. on Z as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From the choice of the sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(Z)$, we have

$$\int_Z -v_n(z) x_n(z) dz - \|Dx_n\|_p^p \geq -\varepsilon_n \|x_n\| \quad (7)$$

and

$$\|Dx_n\|_p^p - p \int_Z F(z, x_n(z)) dz \geq -pM_1. \quad (8)$$

Adding (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\int_Z (-v_n(z))x_n(z) - pF(z, x_n(z)) dz \geq -pM_1 - \varepsilon_n \|x_n\|.$$

Dividing this inequality by $\|x_n\|^\theta$ we have

$$\int_Z \frac{-v_n(z)}{\|x_n\|^{\theta-1}} y_n(z) dz - \int_Z \frac{pF(z, x_n(z))}{\|x_n\|^\theta} dz \geq -\frac{1}{\|x_n\|^\theta} pM_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|x_n\|^{\theta-1}} \quad (9)$$

Note that

$$\int_Z \frac{-v_n(z)}{\|x_n\|^{\theta-1}} y_n(z) dz = \int_Z \frac{-v_n(z)}{|x_n(z)|^{\theta-2} x_n(z)} |y_n(z)|^\theta dz \rightarrow |\xi|^\theta \int_Z f_+(z) dz$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Also by virtue of hypothesis **H(f)** (ii), given $z \in Z \setminus N, |N| = 0$ ($|C|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $C \subseteq Z$) and $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $M_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $|r| \geq M_\varepsilon$ we have $|f_+(z) - \frac{f_{1,2}(z,r)}{|r|^{\theta-2}r}| \leq \varepsilon$. Then, if $x_n(z) \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} F(z, x_n(z)) dz &\geq \frac{1}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} F(z, M_\varepsilon) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} \int_{M_\varepsilon}^{x_n(z)} (f_+(z)|r|^{\theta-2}r - \varepsilon|r|^{\theta-2}r) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} \eta(z) + \frac{|x_n(z)|^\theta - M_\varepsilon^\theta}{\theta|x_n(z)|^\theta} (f_+(z) - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta \in L^1(Z)$. It follows that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} \geq \frac{1}{\theta} (f_+(z) - \varepsilon) \quad (10)$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} \leq \frac{1}{\theta} (f_+(z) + \varepsilon) \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11) and since $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in Z \setminus N$ were arbitrary, we infer that

$$\frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta} f_+(z) \text{ a.e. on } Z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Z \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{\|x_n\|^\theta} dz &= \int_Z \frac{F(z, x_n(z)) |x_n(z)|^\theta}{|x_n(z)|^\theta \|x_n\|^\theta} dz \\ &= \int_Z \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{|x_n(z)|^\theta} |y_n(z)|^\theta dz \rightarrow \xi^\theta \int_Z \frac{1}{\theta} f_+(z) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus by passing to the limit in (9), we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{p}{\theta}\right)\xi^\theta \int_Z f_+(z) \geq 0,$$

a contradiction to hypothesis **H(f)** (ii) (recall $p > \theta$). If $x_n(z) \rightarrow -\infty$, with similar arguments as above we show that

$$\int_Z \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{\|x_n\|^\theta} dz \rightarrow \xi^\theta \int_Z \frac{1}{\theta} f_+(z) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, it follows that $\{x_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(Z)$ is bounded. Hence we may assume that $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ in $W^{1,p}(Z)$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^p(Z)$, $x_n(z) \rightarrow x(z)$ a.e. on Z as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|x_n(z)| \leq k(z)$ a.e. on Z with $k \in L^p(Z)$. Note that K is closed and convex so it is weakly closed; thus $x \in K$.

So we have

$$-\varepsilon_n \|x - x_n\| \leq \langle Ax_n, x - x_n \rangle - \int_Z v_n(z)(x - x_n(z)) dz$$

with $v_n(z) \in [f_1(z, x_n(z)), f_2(z, x_n(z))]$ and $A : W^{1,p}(Z) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(Z)^*$ such that $\langle Ax, y \rangle = \int_Z (\|Dx(z)\|^{p-2} (Dx(z), Dy(z)))_{\mathbb{R}^N} dz$. But $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ in $W^{1,p}(Z)$, so $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^p(Z)$ and $x_n(z) \rightarrow x(z)$ a.e. on Z by virtue of the compact embedding $W^{1,p}(Z) \subseteq L^p(Z)$. Then we have that $\limsup \langle Ax_n, x_n - x \rangle = 0$ (note that v_n is bounded). By virtue of the inequality (5) we have that $Dx_n \rightarrow Dx$ in $L^p(Z)$. So we have $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $W^{1,p}(Z)$. The claim is proved.

Now let $W^{1,p}(Z) = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $X_1 = \mathbb{R}$ and $X_2 = \{y \in W^{1,p}(Z) : \int_Z y(z) dz = 0\}$. For every $\xi \geq 0$ we have

$$R(\xi) = \Phi(\xi) + I_K(\xi) = - \int_Z F(z, \xi) dz.$$

By virtue of hypothesis **H(f)**₂ (ii) we conclude that $R(\xi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand for $y \in X_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(y) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|Dy\|_p^p - \int_Z F(z, y(z)) dz \quad (\text{since } I_K(y) \geq 0) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|Dy\|_p^p - c_2 \|y\|_p - c_3 \|y\|_p^\theta \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_2, c_3 > 0$ (since $\theta < p$, see **H(f)**₃ (i))

From the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality we know that $\|Dy\|_p$ is an equivalent norm on X_2 . So we have

$$R(y) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|Dy\|_p^p - c_4 \|Dy\|_p - c_5 \|Dy\|_p^\theta$$

for some $c_4, c_5 > 0$. So, $R(\cdot)$ is coercive on X_2 (recall $\theta < p$) hence, bounded below on X_2 .

In order to use the mountain-pass theorem it remains to show that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for $\|x\| = \rho$ we have that $R(x) \geq a > 0$. In fact, we will

show that for every sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(Z)$ with $\|x_n\| = \rho_n \downarrow 0$ we have that $R(x_n) > 0$. Indeed, suppose not. Then there exists some sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $R(x_n) \leq 0$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \|Dx_n\|_p^p \leq \int_Z F(z, x_n(z)) dz.$$

Recall that $I_K \geq 0$. Divide this inequality with $\|x_n\|^p$. Let $y_n(z) = \frac{x_n(z)}{\|x_n\|}$. Then we have

$$\|Dy_n\|_p^p \leq \int_Z p \frac{F(z, x_n(z))}{\|x_n\|^p} dz.$$

From **H(f)** (iii) we have that for almost all $z \in Z$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for $|x| \leq \delta$ we have

$$pF(z, x) \leq (h(z) + \varepsilon)|x|^p.$$

On the other hand, for almost all $z \in Z$ and all $|x| \geq \delta$ we have

$$p|F(z, x)| \leq c_1|x| + c_2|x|^\theta + c_3 \leq c_1|x|^p + c_2|x|^{p^*} + c_4.$$

Thus we can always find $\gamma > 0$ such that $p|F(z, x)| \leq (h(z) + \varepsilon)|x|^p + \gamma|x|^{p^*}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, choose $\gamma \geq c_2 + \frac{c_4}{|\delta|^{p^*}} + |h(z) + \varepsilon - c_1| |\delta|^{p-p^*}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dy_n\|_p^p &\leq \int_Z (h(z) + \varepsilon)|y_n(z)|^p dz + \gamma \int_Z \frac{|x_n(z)|^{p^*}}{\|x_n\|^p} dz \\ &\leq \int_Z (h(z) + \varepsilon)|y_n(z)|^p dz + \gamma_1 \|x_n\|^{p^*-p}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here we have used the fact that $W^{1,p}(Z)$ embeds continuously in $L^{p^*}(Z)$. So we obtain

$$0 \leq \|Dy_n\|_p^p \leq \varepsilon \|y_n\|_p^p + \gamma_1 \|x_n\|^{p^*-p} \text{ recall that } h(z) \leq 0.$$

Therefore in the limit we have that $\|Dy_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$. Recall that $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(Z)$. So $\|Dy\|_p \leq \liminf \|Dy_n\|_p \leq \limsup \|Dy_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$. So $\|Dy\|_p = 0$, thus $y = \xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $Dy_n \rightarrow Dy$ weakly in $L^p(Z)$ and $\|Dy_n\|_p \rightarrow \|Dy\|_p$ so $y_n \rightarrow y$ in $W^{1,p}(Z)$. Since $\|y_n\| = 1$ we have that $\|y\| = 1$ so $\xi \neq 0$. Suppose that $\xi > 0$. Going back to (13) we have

$$0 \leq \int_Z (h(z) + \varepsilon)y_n^p(z) dz + \gamma_1 \|x_n\|^{p^*-p}.$$

In the limit we have

$$0 \leq \int_Z (h(z) + \varepsilon)\xi^p dz \leq \varepsilon \xi^p |Z| \text{ recall that } h(z) \leq 0.$$

Thus we obtain that $\int_Z h(z)\xi^p dz = 0$. But this is a contradiction. The same holds when $\xi < 0$. So the claim is proved. Now, by mountain pass theorem we

have that there exists $x \in W^{1,p}(Z)$ such that

$$\Phi^o(x; y - x) + \psi(y) - \psi(x) \geq 0$$

for all $y \in W^{1,p}(Z)$. Choose $y = x + tv$ with $v \in K$. Dividing by $t > 0$ we have in the limit

$$\int_Z F^o(z, x(z); v(z)) dz + \langle Ax, v \rangle \geq \Phi^o(x; v) + \langle Ax, v \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $v \in K$. ✓

Remark 1. Note that if $K = W^{1,p}(Z)$ then from above we have that $-Ax \in \partial\Phi(x)$ and the subdifferential is in the sense of Clarke.

References

- [1] K. C. CHANG, *Variational methods for non-differentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **80** (1981), 102–129.
- [2] F. CLARKE, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [3] D. G. DE FIGUEIREDO, *Lectures on the Ekeland Variational Principle with Applications and Detours*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Springer, Bombay, 1989.
- [4] D. G. COSTA-J. V. GONCALVES, *Critical point theory for nondifferentiable functionals and applications*, Journal of Math. Anal. Appl. **153** (1990), 470–485.
- [5] S. HU & N.S. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis Volume I: Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [6] S. HU & N.S. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis Volume II: Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [7] N. KENMUCHI, *Pseudomonotone operators and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), No. 1, 121–149.
- [8] D. MOTREANU & P. D. PANAGIOTOPOULOS, *A Minimax Approach to the eigenvalue Problem of Hemivariational Inequalities and Applications*, Applicable Analysis, **58** (1995), 53–76.
- [9] D. Motreanu & P. D. Panagiotopoulos: *Minimax Theorems and Qualitative Properties of Hemivariational Inequalities*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [10] R. SHOWALTER, *Monotone Operators in Banach Space and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations* Math. Surveys, vol. 49, AMS, Providence, R. I., 1997.
- [11] A. SZULKIN, *Minimax principles for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Annales Inst. H. Poincaré-Analyse Nonlineaire **3** (1986), 77–109.

(Recibido en febrero de 2002)

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND ACTUARIAL SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF THE AEGEAN
KARLOVASSI, 83200 SAMOS, GREECE
e-mail: nick@aegean.gr

