

A remark on exponential dichotomies

RAÚL NAULIN*

Universidad de Oriente, Cumaná, Venezuela

ABSTRACT. A proof of the existence of an exponential dichotomy for the linear system $x'(t) = A(t)x(t)$ is given, based on the admissibility of the pair $(\mathcal{B}(\infty), \mathcal{B}_A(\infty))$, where $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ is the space of continuous functions on the semi-axis $J = [0, \infty)$, values in \mathbb{C}^n and having a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $\mathcal{B}_A(\infty)$ is the space of bounded functions f on J such that $A^{-1}f \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Keywords and phrases. Exponential dichotomies, admissibility.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34A30.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the system of differential equations

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t), \quad t \in J := [0, \infty), \quad (1)$$

where $A(t)$ is a continuous matrix function with complex entries. The function $f(t)$ belongs to a functional space we will define in the course of the paper.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be function spaces. We say that the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is admissible for equation (1) if for each f in the space \mathcal{D} there exists a solution of (1) belonging to \mathcal{C} .

*Supported by Proyecto CI-5-025-00730/95

Admissible pairs are important in the theory of differential equations (see [1], [2]), as they define the dichotomic behavior of the linear system

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t). \quad (2)$$

Definition 2. We say that equation (2) has an exponential dichotomy on J , if there exist a fundamental matrix Φ of (2), a projection matrix P (i.e., $PP = P$) and positive constants K, α such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(t)P\Phi^{-1}(s)| &\leq Ke^{\alpha(s-t)}, \quad t \geq s \geq 0, \\ |\Phi(t)(I-P)\Phi^{-1}(s)| &\leq Ke^{\alpha(t-s)}, \quad s \geq t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we are concerned with the following classical result [1]:

Theorem A. Equation (2) has an exponential dichotomy on J if for any bounded and continuous function $f(t)$ on J , equation (1) has at least one bounded solution.

The aim of this paper is the characterization of exponential dichotomy by means of the admissibility of a pair of spaces of functions with limit at infinity.

2. Preliminaries

We will make use of the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &:= \{f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : f \text{ is bounded and continuous}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\infty) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{B} : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) \text{ exists} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ the space of functions with limit at infinity. These spaces, endowed with the norm $|f|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in J\}$, become Banach spaces. Furthermore, if $F : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $F(t)$ is invertible for each $t \in J$, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_F(\infty) := \{f \in \mathcal{B} : F^{-1}f \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)\}.$$

To this space we give the norm $|f|_F = |F^{-1}f|_\infty$. Provided that F is bounded on J , also $\mathcal{B}_F(\infty)$ is a Banach space. If equation (2) has an exponential dichotomy, then for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$, equation (1) has the following bounded solution:

$$x_f(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(t)P\Phi(s)f(s) ds - \int_t^\infty \Phi(t)(I-P)\Phi^{-1}(s)f(s) ds.$$

Let us introduce the following Green function:

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t)P\Phi(s), & t \geq s, \\ -\Phi(t)(I-P)\Phi^{-1}(s), & s > t. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

By means of this function we can write the solution x_f in the form:

$$x_f(t) = \int_J G(t, s) f(s) ds. \quad (5)$$

If $A(t)$ is a bounded function, we will use the following identity:

$$\Phi(t)P\Phi^{-1}(0) - I = \int_J G(t, s)A(s)ds. \quad (6)$$

3. The main result

Theorem 1. *If the function $A(t)$ is bounded on J and the matrix $A(t)$ is invertible for each $t \in J$, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (A) *The pair $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is admissible.*
- (B) *The pair $(\mathcal{B}(\infty), \mathcal{B}_A(\infty))$ is admissible.*
- (C) *Equation (2) has an exponential dichotomy on J .*

Proof.

(A) \Leftrightarrow (C). This follows from Theorem A. We observe that this equivalence holds without the requirements of invertibility of the matrices $A(t)$ or the boundedness of the function $A(t)$.

(A) \Rightarrow (B). Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_A(\infty)$. Since $f \in \mathcal{B}_A$, formula (5) makes sense. Therefore x_f defines a solution of (1) belonging to $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$. We have to prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_f$ exists. Using (6) we may write

$$x_f(t) = -A^{-1}(t)f(t) + \Phi(t)P\Phi^{-1}(0)A^{-1}(t)f(t) + I_1(t) + I_2(t), \quad (7)$$

where

$$I_1(t) := \int_0^t G(t, s)A(s) [A^{-1}(s)f(s) - A^{-1}(t)f(t)] ds,$$

$$I_2(t) := \int_t^\infty G(t, s)A(s) [A^{-1}(s)f(s) - A^{-1}(t)f(t)] ds.$$

Taking into account (3), we can estimate I_i , $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |\Phi(t)P\Phi^{-1}(s)||A(s)||A^{-1}(s)f(s) - A^{-1}(t)f(t)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \dots + \int_{t/2}^t \dots \\ &\leq 2K|A|_\infty \alpha^{-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}t} |A^{-1}f|_\infty \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-1}K \sup_{s \in [t/2, t]} |A^{-1}(s)f(s) - A^{-1}(t)f(t)| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(t)| &\leq |A|_\infty \int_t^\infty e^{\alpha(t-s)} |A^{-1}(s)f(s) - A^{-1}(t)f(t)| ds \\ &\leq 2\alpha^{-1}|A|_\infty K \sup_{s \in [t, \infty)} |A^{-1}(s)f(s) - A^{-1}(t)f(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since $f \in B_A(\infty)$, it is clear from (8) and (9) that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_i(t) = 0$. From (7) we obtain that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_f(t) = -(A^{-1}f)(\infty)$. Therefore, the function pair $(\mathcal{B}(\infty), \mathcal{B}_A(\infty))$ is admissible.

(B) \Rightarrow (A). Let S be the subspace of \mathbb{C}^n of values of initial conditions of solutions of equation (2) belonging to $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, and let U be a supplementary subspace of S . We have the direct sum $\mathbb{C}^n = S \oplus U$. Then it is easy to prove that equation (1) has, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_A(\infty)$, a unique solution, which we denote by $T(f)$, that belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ and is such that the initial condition satisfies $T(f)(0) \in U$. It is also easy to verify that this correspondence is linear. Thus, we define this way a linear map $T : \mathcal{B}_A(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ such that $T(f)$ satisfies (1) and $T(f)(0) \in U$. This map has a closed graph (the proof of this assertion is exactly the same as that of Proposition 3.4 in [1]). Therefore, it is bounded, i.e., there exists a constant M , such that

$$|T(f)| \leq M|f|_A. \quad (10)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let $\theta_n(t)$ be a continuous function such that $|\theta_n|_\infty = 1$, $\theta_n(t) = 1$ if $t \in [0, n]$ and $\theta_n(t) = 0$ if $t \geq n + 1$. Let $\{f_n\}$ be the sequence in \mathcal{B}_A defined by

$$f_n(t) = \theta_n(t)f(t). \quad (11)$$

For each function f_n , we consider the solution $x_n = T(f_n)$ of the equation

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f_n(t). \quad (12)$$

According to (10), for any index n we have

$$|x_n|_\infty \leq M|f_n|_A \leq M|f|_A. \quad (13)$$

From (12) and (13) we obtain that the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x'_n\}$ are bounded on any compact subinterval of J . From the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, there exists then a subsequence $\{x_n^1\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ uniformly convergent on $[0, 1]$ to a continuous function u_1 on the interval $[0, 1]$. By the same argument, there exists a subsequence $\{x_n^2\}$ of $\{x_n^1\}$ converging uniformly on the interval $[0, 2]$ to a continuous function u_2 such that $u_1 = u_2$ on $[0, 1]$. Carrying out this process iteratively, we obtain, for any natural number N , a subsequence $\{x_n^N\}$ of $\{x_n^{N-1}\}$, converging uniformly to a continuous function u_N on the interval $[0, N]$, and such that $u_N = u_{N-1}$ on $[0, N-1]$. Defining $u(t) = u_N(t)$ if $t \in [0, N]$, we obtain that the diagonal sequence $\{x_n^n\}$ converges uniformly to u on each compact subinterval

of J . From (13), we obtain that $u \in \mathcal{B}$. From (11) and (12) it follows that u satisfies $u' = A(t)u + f$. This means that u is a solution of (1) in the space \mathcal{B} . \square

References

- [1] W. A. Coppel, *Dichotomies in Stability Theory*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 629, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] J. L. Massera, J. J. Schäffer, *Linear Differential Equations and Function Spaces*, Academic Press, 1966.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
UNIVERSIDAD DE ORIENTE
CUMANÁ 6101 A-285, VENEZUELA