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#### Abstract

We study the existence of solutions for some nonlinear ordinary differential equations under a nonlinear boundary condition which arise on beam theory. Assuming suitable conditions we prove the existence of at least one solution applying topological methods.


## 1 - Introduction

This work is devoted to the study of the existence of solutions for some nonlinear ordinary differential equations under a nonlinear boundary condition. In 1995 Rebelo and Sanchez [9] have considered the second order problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+g(t, u)=0 \quad 0<t<T  \tag{1}\\
u^{\prime}(0)=-f(u(0)) \\
u^{\prime}(T)=f(u(T))
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $g:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $g$ satisfying a sign condition or either nondecreasing with respect to $u$, and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ continuous and strictly nondecreasing. This equation may be regarded as a mathematical model for the axial deformation of a nonlinear elastic beam, with two nonlinear elastic springs acting at the extremities according to the law $u^{\prime}(0)=-f(u(0)), u^{\prime}(\pi)=f(u(\pi))$, and the total force exerted by the nonlinear spring undergoing the displacement $u$ given by $g(t, u)$.

[^0]On the other hand, the following fourth order problem for the deflection of a beam resting on elastic bearings was considered, among other authors, by Grossinho and Ma (see [3], [6], and also [4] for asymmetric boundary conditions):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}+g(t, u)=0 \quad 0<t<T  \tag{2}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(T)=0 \\
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-f(u(0)) \\
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(T)=f(u(T))
\end{array}\right.
$$

In section 2 we study (1) for $g=g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)$. We remark that in this more general situation the problem is no longer variational; for this reason we shall apply instead topological methods. On the other hand, in order to find a priori bounds for the derivative we shall assume as in [2] the following Nagumo type condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(t, u, v)| \leq \psi(|v|) \quad \forall(t, u, v) \in \mathcal{E} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{E}$ is a subset of $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ to be specified, and $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is a continuous function satisfying the inequality

$$
\int_{r}^{M} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s>T
$$

for some constants $M$ and $r$ to be specified. Under these assumptions we shall prove the existence of solutions by the method of upper and lower solutions.

Moreover, in section 3 we obtain an existence result under Landesman-Lazer type conditions (see e.g. [8]) applying topological degree methods [7].

Finally, in section 4 we consider the fourth order problem (2) for $g=g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. More precisely, we prove the existence of symmetric solutions, i.e. such that $u(t)=u(T-t)$, under appropriate Landesman-Lazer and Nagumo type conditions.

## 2 - The second order case. Upper and lower solutions

In this section we prove an existence result for the following second order problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad 0<t<T  \tag{4}\\
u^{\prime}(0)=-f(u(0)) \\
u^{\prime}(T)=f(u(T))
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall assume the existence of an ordered couple of a lower and an upper solution. Namely, we shall assume there exist $\alpha, \beta:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t) \leq$ $\beta(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)+g\left(t, \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime \prime}(t)+g\left(t, \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right) \leq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\alpha^{\prime}(0) \geq-f(\alpha(0)), & \alpha^{\prime}(T) \leq f(\alpha(T))  \tag{7}\\ \beta^{\prime}(0) \leq-f(\beta(0)), & \beta^{\prime}(T) \geq f(\beta(T))\end{cases}
$$

In this context, set

$$
r=\min \left\{\max \left\{\frac{|\alpha(0)-\beta(T)|}{T}, \frac{|\alpha(T)-\beta(0)|}{T}\right\}, \max _{\alpha(0), \alpha(T) \leq s \leq \beta(0), \beta(T)}|f(s)|\right\}
$$

fix a constant $M>r$ such that

$$
M \geq \max \left\{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|_{C([0, T]},\left\|\beta^{\prime}\right\|_{C([0, T]}\right\}
$$

and define

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{(t, u, v) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha(t) \leq u \leq \beta(t),|v| \leq M\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.1. With the previous notations, assume there exists an ordered couple of a lower and an upper solution of (4). Furthermore, assume that $g$ satisfies the Nagumo condition (3). Then the boundary value problem (4) admits at least one solution $u$, with

$$
\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|<M \quad \forall t \in[0, T]
$$

Proof: Set $\lambda>0$ and consider the functions $P:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(t, x) & = \begin{cases}x & \alpha(t) \leq x \leq \beta(t) \\
\beta(t) & x>\beta(t) \\
\alpha(t) & x<\alpha(t)\end{cases} \\
Q(x) & =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x & -M \leq x \leq M \\
M & x>M \\
-M & x<-M .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We define a compact fixed point operator $\phi: C^{1}([0, T]) \rightarrow C^{1}([0, T])$ in the following way: for each $v \in C^{1}([0, T])$, let $u=\phi(v)$ be the unique solution of the linear Neumann problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\lambda u=g\left(t, P(t, v), Q\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)-\lambda P(t, v), \\
u^{\prime}(0)=-f(P(0, v(0))), \quad u^{\prime}(T)=f(P(T, v(T))) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By standard results, $\phi$ is well defined and compact. Moreover, multiplying the previous equation by $u$ it follows that

$$
-\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\lambda u\right) u \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}}
$$

for some constant $C$. Hence

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\lambda\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}}+f(P(T, v(T))) u(T)+f(P(0, v(0))) u(0),
$$

and it follows that $\|u\|_{H^{1}} \leq C$ for some constant $C$. We conclude that $\|u\|_{C^{1}} \leq C$ for some constant $C$, and by a straightforward application of Schauder Theorem it follows that $\phi$ has a fixed point $u$. We claim that

$$
\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|<M \quad \forall t \in[0, T],
$$

and hence $u$ is a solution of the problem. Indeed, if for example $(u-\beta)\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ for some $t_{0} \in(0, T)$ maximum, then $P\left(t_{0}, u\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\beta\left(t_{0}\right), u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(u-\beta)^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\lambda(u-\beta)\left(t_{0}\right) \geq g\left(t_{0}, P\left(t_{0}, u\left(t_{0}\right)\right), Q\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right)-\lambda P\left(t_{0}, u\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \\
&-\left[g\left(t_{0}, \beta\left(t_{0}\right), \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)-\lambda \beta\left(t_{0}\right)\right]=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Now, if $u-\beta$ attains an absolute positive maximum for example at $t=0$, then $(u-\beta)^{\prime}(0) \leq 0$. Moreover, as $P(0, u(0))=\beta(0)$ we deduce that $(u-\beta)^{\prime}(0)=-f(P(0, u(0)))-\beta^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$, and hence $(u-\beta)^{\prime}(0)=0$. On the other hand, in a neighborhood of 0 we have that $u(t)>\beta(t)$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u-\beta)^{\prime \prime}-\lambda(u-\beta) & \geq g\left(t, P(t, u), Q\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)-\lambda P(t, u)-\left[g\left(t, \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)-\lambda \beta\right] \\
& =g\left(t, \beta, Q\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)-g\left(t, \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $u^{\prime}(0)=\beta^{\prime}(0) \in[-M, M]$, the right-hand term vanishes at $t=0$, meanwhile $u(0)-\beta(0)>0$. It follows that $(u-\beta)^{\prime \prime} \geq \lambda(u-\beta)+g\left(t, \beta, Q\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)-g\left(t, \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)>0$ in $(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta>0$, which contradicts the fact that 0 is an absolute maximum
of $u-\beta$. In the same way, it follows that $u-\beta$ cannot attain a positive absolute maximum at $T$. We deduce in a similar way that $u(t) \geq \alpha(t)$ for every $t \in[0, T]$.

Next, assume for example that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=M$ for some $t_{0}$.
If $r=\max _{\alpha(0), \alpha(T) \leq s \leq \beta(0), \beta(T)}|f(s)|$, then $\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right|,\left|u^{\prime}(T)\right| \leq r$; otherwise there exists $\tilde{t}$ such that

$$
u^{\prime}(\tilde{t})=\frac{u(T)-u(0)}{T} \leq \frac{\beta(T)-\alpha(0)}{T} \leq r
$$

In both cases, we deduce the existence of $t_{1}$ such that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=r$. We may assume that $r<u^{\prime}(t)<M$ for any $t$ between $t_{1}$ and $t_{0}$, and hence

$$
T<\int_{r}^{M} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\psi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)} d t \leq\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} \frac{g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)}{\psi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)} d t\right| \leq\left|t_{0}-t_{1}\right|
$$

a contradiction. The proof is analogous if $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=-M$.

Remark 2.2. In particular, the conditions of the previous theorem hold if there exist two constants $\alpha<\beta$ such that

$$
g(t, \alpha, 0) \geq 0 \geq g(t, \beta, 0)
$$

and

$$
f(\alpha) \geq 0 \geq f(\beta)
$$

provided that $g$ satisfies $|g(t, u, v)| \leq \psi(|v|)$ for $\alpha \leq u \leq \beta, \quad|v|<M$ and $\int_{0}^{M} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s>T$.

Remark 2.3. When $f$ is nondecreasing, a more general result is proved in [1]. ㅁ

## 3 - Landesman-Lazer type conditions

In this section we prove the existence of solutions of (4) under LandesmanLazer type conditions. We shall assume that $f$ is one-side globally bounded, i.e. $f \leq r$ or $f \geq-r$ for some positive constant $r$, and that $g$ satisfies the Nagumo condition (3) over the set

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{(t, u, v) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}:|v| \leq M\right\}
$$

for some $M>r$.

Moreover, we shall assume that the limits

$$
\limsup _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty} g(t, u, v):=g_{s}^{ \pm}(t)
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty} g(t, u, v):=g_{i}^{ \pm}(t)
$$

exist, and that they are uniform for $|v|<M$. We also define the (possibly infinite) quantities

$$
\limsup _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty} f(u):=f_{s}^{ \pm}
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty} f(u):=f_{i}^{ \pm} .
$$

Then we have:

Theorem 3.1. Under the previous assumptions, problem (4) admits at least one solution, provided that one of the following conditions holds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 f_{s}^{+}+\int_{0}^{T} g_{s}^{+}(t) d t<0<2 f_{i}^{-}+\int_{0}^{T} g_{i}^{-}(t) d t  \tag{8}\\
2 f_{s}^{-}+\int_{0}^{T} g_{s}^{-}(t) d t<0<2 f_{i}^{+}+\int_{0}^{T} g_{i}^{+}(t) d t . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Conditions of this kind are known in the literature as Landes-man-Lazer type conditions after the pioneering paper of E. Landesman and A. Lazer [5]. In particular, taking $f=0$ in Theorem 3.1 we obtain standard Landesman-Lazer conditions for the Neumann problem. $\square$

For the sake of completeness, we summarize the main aspects of coincidence degree theory. Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be real normed spaces, $L: \operatorname{Dom}(L) \subset \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ a linear Fredholm mapping of index 0 , and $N: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ continuous. Moreover, set two continuous projectors $\pi_{\mathbb{V}}: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{W}}: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ such that $\mathrm{R}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{V}}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(L)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{W}}\right)=\mathrm{R}(L)$, and an isomorphism $J: \mathrm{R}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{W}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(L)$. It is readily seen that

$$
L_{\pi_{\mathrm{V}}}:=\left.L\right|_{\operatorname{Dom}_{(L)}()_{\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{V}}\right)}: \operatorname{Dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{V}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}(L)}
$$

is one-to-one; denote its inverse by $K_{\pi_{\mathrm{V}}}$. If $\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{V}$, $N$ is called $L$-compact on $\Omega$ if $\pi_{\mathbb{W}} N(\Omega)$ is bounded and $K_{\pi_{\mathbb{V}}}\left(I-\pi_{\mathbb{W}}\right) N: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is compact.

The following continuation theorem is due to Mawhin [7]:
Theorem 3.3. Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $N$ be $L$-compact on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{V}$. Suppose that:

1. $L x \neq \lambda N x$ for each $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and each $x \in \partial \Omega$.
2. $\pi_{\mathbb{W}} N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(L) \cap \partial \Omega$.
3. $d\left(J \pi_{\mathbb{W}} N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker}(L), 0\right) \neq 0$, where $d$ denotes the Brouwer degree.

Then the equation $L x=N x$ has at least one solution in $\operatorname{Dom}(L) \cap \Omega$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Set $\mathbb{V}=C^{1}([0, T])$, $\mathbb{W}=L^{2}(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and the operators $L: H^{2}(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}, N: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ given by

$$
L u=\left(u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime}(0), u^{\prime}(T)\right), \quad N u=\left(-g\left(\cdot, u, u^{\prime}\right),-f(u(0)), f(u(T))\right) .
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(L)=\mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{R}(L)=\left\{(\varphi, A, B) \in \mathbb{W}: \bar{\varphi}=\frac{B-A}{T}\right\}
$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ denotes the usual average given by $\bar{\varphi}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) d t$. Then, we may define $\pi_{\mathbb{V}}(X)=\bar{u}, \pi_{\mathbb{W}}(\varphi, A, B)=\left(\bar{\varphi}-\frac{B-A}{T}, 0,0\right)$, and $J: \mathrm{R}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{W}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $J(C, 0,0)=C$. In this case, for $(\varphi, A, B) \in \mathrm{R}(L)$, the function $U=K_{\pi \mathrm{v}}(\varphi, A, B)$ is defined as the unique solution of the problem

$$
U^{\prime \prime}=\varphi, \quad U^{\prime}(0)=A, \quad U^{\prime}(T)=B
$$

that satisfies $\bar{U}=0$. Writing $U^{\prime}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi$ and using Wirtinger inequality, $L$-compactness of $N$ follows.

We claim there exists a constant $R$ such that if $L u=\lambda N u$ with $0<\lambda \leq 1$ then $\|u\|_{C^{1}} \leq R$. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that $L u_{n}=\lambda_{n} N u_{n}$, with $0<\lambda_{n} \leq 1$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C^{1}} \rightarrow \infty$. As $u_{n}^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda_{n} g\left(t, u_{n}, u_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $u_{n}^{\prime}(0)=-\lambda_{n} f\left(u_{n}(0)\right)$, $u_{n}^{\prime}(T)=\lambda_{n} f\left(u_{n}(T)\right)$, by the Nagumo condition and using the fact that

$$
\min \left\{u_{n}^{\prime}(0), u_{n}^{\prime}(T)\right\} \leq r \quad \text { and } \quad \max \left\{u_{n}^{\prime}(0), u_{n}^{\prime}(T)\right\} \geq-r
$$

it follows as in the previous section that $\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C([0, T])}<M$ for every $n$. Hence $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C([0, T])} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}_{n}\right\|_{C([0, T])} \leq C$ for some constant $C$. Taking
a subsequence, assume for example that $\bar{u}_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and that (8) holds; then integrating the equation we obtain the equality

$$
f\left(u_{n}(T)\right)+f\left(u_{n}(0)\right)=-\int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, u_{n}, u_{n}^{\prime}\right) d t
$$

and thus

$$
0 \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(u_{n}(T)\right)+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(u_{n}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{T} g_{s}^{+}(t) d t<0
$$

a contradiction. The proof is similar for the other cases; hence, taking $\Omega=B_{R}(0)$ for $R$ large enough, the first condition in Theorem 3.3 is fulfilled.

Further, the function $\left.J \pi_{\mathbb{W}} N\right|_{\Omega_{\cap}} \operatorname{Ker}(L)=[-R, R]$ is given by

$$
J \pi_{\mathbb{W}} N(s)=-\frac{1}{T}\left(\int_{0}^{T} g(t, s, 0) d t+2 f(s)\right),
$$

and in the same way as before it follows that for $R$ large enough

$$
J \pi_{\mathbb{W}} N(R) J \pi_{\mathbb{W}} N(-R)<0
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{deg}\left(J \pi_{\mathbb{W}} N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker}(L), 0\right)= \pm 1$, and the proof is complete.

## 4 - Symmetric solutions for the general fourth order case

In this section we study the existence of symmetric solutions for the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=0 \quad 0<t<T  \tag{10}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(T)=0 \\
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-f(u(0)) \\
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(T)=f(u(T))
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall assume that $g$ is symmetric with respect to $t$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t, u, v, w, x)=g(T-t, u, v, w, x) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our Nagumo condition for this problem reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(t, u, v, w, x)| \leq \psi(|x|) \quad \forall(t, u, v, w, x) \in \mathcal{E} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathcal{E}=[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times[-M, M]$, and $\psi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ continuous, with

$$
\int_{0}^{M} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s>T
$$

Moreover, assume that the limits

$$
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} g(t, s, v, w, x):=g_{s}^{ \pm}(t)
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} g(t, s, v, w, x):=g_{i}^{ \pm}(t)
$$

exist, and that they are uniform over the set

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{(v, w, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|v|<\frac{T^{2}}{4} M,|w|<\frac{T}{2} M \text { and }|x|<M\right\}
$$

The quantities $f_{s}^{ \pm}$and $f_{i}^{ \pm}$are defined as before. Then we have:
Theorem 4.1. Under the previous assumptions, problem (10) admits at least one symmetric solution, provided that one of the conditions (8) or (9) holds.

Proof: We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{V}=\left\{u \in C^{3}([0, T]): u(t)=u(T-t), u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0\right\} \\
& \mathbb{W}=\left\{u \in L^{2}(0, T): u(t)=u(T-t)\right\} \times \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

and define the operators $L: H^{4}(0, T) \cap \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}, N: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ by

$$
L u=\left(u^{(4)}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\right), \quad N u=-\left(g\left(\cdot, u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), f(u(0))\right)
$$

Again, it is easy to verify that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(L)=\mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{R}(L)=\left\{(\varphi, c) \in \mathbb{W}: \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) d t+2 c=0\right\}
$$

Then, we may define $\pi_{\mathbb{V}}(u)=\bar{u}, \pi_{\mathbb{W}}(\varphi, c)=(\bar{\varphi}+2 c, 0)$, and $J: \mathrm{R}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{W}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $J(C, 0)=C$. For $(\varphi, c) \in \mathrm{R}(L)$, the function $U=K_{\pi \sqrt{ }}(\varphi, c)$ is defined as the unique solution of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U^{(4)}=\varphi \\
U^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad U^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=c \\
U(t)=U(T-t) \\
\bar{U}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

As before, it is easy to prove that $N$ is $L$-compact. Next, if $L u_{n}=\lambda_{n} N u_{n}$, with $0<\lambda_{n} \leq 1$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C^{3}} \rightarrow \infty$, by the Nagumo condition and using the fact that $u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{T}{2}\right)=0$, it follows that $\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{C([0, T])}<M$ for every $n$. Moreover, for $t \leq \frac{T}{2}$ we have:

$$
\left|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|<\frac{T}{2} M
$$

and

$$
\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|\int_{t}^{\frac{T}{2}} u_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\frac{T^{2}}{4} M
$$

As $u_{n}$ is symmetric, we conclude that $\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t), u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t), u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ for every $t \in[0, T]$. Then $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C([0, T])} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}_{n}\right\|_{C^{3}([0, T])} \leq C$ for some constant $C$. The rest of the proof follows as in the second order case.

## Some examples and remarks

Example 4.2. As an example of Theorem 4.1 we may consider a symmetric function $g$ such that

$$
g(t, u, v, w, x)=g_{0}(t, u)+\gamma(u) g_{1}(t, u, v, w, x),
$$

where $g_{0}$ is bounded, $\left|g_{1}(t, u, v, w, x)\right| \leq A+B|x|$ and $\gamma(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$.
Then $|g(t, u, v, w, x)| \leq C+D|x|$ for some positive constants $C$ and $D$ and the Nagumo condition is satisfied taking $\psi(x)=C+D x$ and $M$ large enough. Moreover,

$$
\limsup _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty} g_{0}(t, u)=g_{s}^{ \pm}(t), \quad \liminf _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty} g_{0}(t, u)=g_{i}^{ \pm}(t)
$$

and the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled if (8) or (9) holds. For example, it suffices to assume that

$$
\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} f(u) \operatorname{sgn}(u)=+\infty \quad \text { or } \quad \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} f(u) \operatorname{sgn}(u)=-\infty .
$$

Remark 4.3. In the situation of Theorem 4.1, if $g_{s}^{ \pm}=g_{i}^{ \pm}:=g^{ \pm}$and $f_{s}^{ \pm}=f_{i}^{ \pm}:=f^{ \pm}$, integrating the equation it follows that if for example

$$
g^{+}(t) \leq g \leq g^{-}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{+}<f<f^{-}
$$

or

$$
g^{-}(t) \leq g \leq g^{+}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{-}<f<f^{+}
$$

then the respective conditions (8) and (9) are also necessary.

Remark 4.4. The Nagumo condition (12) can be dropped if we assume that $g$ has a linear growth of the type

$$
|g(t, u, v, w, x)| \leq A+B|u|+C|v|+D|w|+E|x|
$$

(with $B, C, D$ and $E$ small enough), and that the limits $g_{i}^{ \pm}$and $g_{s}^{ \pm}$are uniform on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Indeed, in this case if $L u_{n}=\lambda_{n} N u_{n}$, with $0<\lambda_{n} \leq 1$, then using the fact that $u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda_{n} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{t} g\left(s, u_{n}, u_{n}^{\prime}, u_{n}^{\prime \prime}, u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) d s$, we deduce:
$\left(1-\frac{T E}{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{C([0, T])} \leq \frac{T}{2}\left(A+B\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C([0, T])}+C\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C([0, T])}+D\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{C([0, T])}\right)$.
Integrating twice, as $E, D$ and $C$ are small, we obtain:

$$
\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C([0, T])} \leq \delta\left(A+B\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C([0, T])}\right)
$$

for some constant $\delta$. By the mean value theorem, for $B<\delta$ we conclude that if for example $\bar{u}_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ then $\inf _{t \in[0, T]} u_{n}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$, and the rest of the proof follows as before. In particular, for $g=g(t, u)$ it suffices to take $B<\frac{16}{T^{4}}$. $\square$

Remark 4.5. In [3], Theorem 2, it is proved by variational methods that if $g=g(t, u)$ is symmetric on $t$, and $f, g(t, \cdot)$ are nondecreasing, then problem (10) admits a symmetric solution if and only if

$$
2 f(a)+\int_{0}^{T} g(t, a) d t=0 \quad \text { for some } a \in \mathbb{R}
$$

By monotonicity, this condition is equivalent to (9), unless $f(u) \equiv f(a)$ and $g(t, u) \equiv g(t, a)$ for all $u \geq a$ or for all $u \leq a$. Note that, in this last case, existence of solutions can be easily proved; thus, taking into account the previous remarks 4.3 and 4.4 , when $|g(t, u)| \leq A+B|u|$ (with $B<\frac{16}{T^{4}}$ ) we may conclude that Theorem 4.1 is essentially equivalent to Theorem 2 in [3].

Moreover, without the monotonicity condition the authors prove (see [3], Theorem 5) the existence of a symmetric solution of (10) for $g$ and $f$ sublinear, i.e.

$$
\frac{g(t, u)}{u} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|u| \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly in $t$, and

$$
\frac{f(u)}{u} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|u| \rightarrow \infty
$$

assuming a growth condition for $f$ and $g$, and that one of the following hypotheses holds:
i) $g(t, u) \rightarrow \pm \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \pm \infty$ uniformly in $t$ and $f$ bounded by below.
ii) $f(u) \rightarrow \pm \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and $g$ bounded by below.

It is clear that the sublinearity condition implies that $|g(t, u)| \leq A+B|u|$ for some $B<\frac{16}{T^{4}}$ and some $A$, and that if i) or ii) holds then the second inequality in condition (9) is fulfilled. Thus, some cases of Theorem 5 in [3] are covered by Theorem 4.1; in particular, if $f$ is bounded by above for $u<0$ in i) or if $g$ is bounded by above for $u<0$ in ii).

However, the first inequality in (9) does not necessarily hold under assumptions i) or ii): one may consider for instance the (sublinear) functions $f(u)=$ $|u|^{1 / 2}$ and $g(t, u)=u^{1 / 3}$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS - The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the original manuscript and his/her fruitful corrections and remarks.

## REFERENCES

[1] Fabry, Ch. and Habets, P. - Upper and lower solutions for second-order boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions, Nonlinear Analysis, 10 (1986), 985-1007.
[2] Franco, D. and O'Regan, D. - Existence of solutions to second order problems with nonlinear boundary conditions, Proc. of the Fourth Int. Conf. on Dynamical Systems and Diff. Equations, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 2003, 273-280.
[3] Grossinho, M. and Ma, T.F. - Symmetric equilibria for a beam with a nonlinear elastic foundation, Portugaliae Mathematica, 51 (1994), 375-393.
[4] Grossinho, M. and Tersian, S. - The dual variational principle and equilibria for a beam resting on a discontinuous nonlinear elastic foundation, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods, and Applications, 41 (2000), 417-431.
[5] Landesman, E. and Lazer, A. - Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, J. Math. Mech., 19 (1970), 609-623.
[6] MA, T.F. - Existence results for a model of nonlinear beam on elastic bearings, Applied Mathematical Letters, 13 (2000), 11-15.
[7] Mawhin, J. - Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems, NSF-CBMS Regional Conference in Mathematics no. 40, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1979.
[8] Mawhin, J. - Landesman-Lazer conditions for boundary value problems: A nonlinear version of resonance, Bol. de la Sociedad Española de Mat. Aplicada, 16 (2000), 45-65.
[9] Rebelo, C. and Sanchez, L. - Existence and multiplicity for an O.D.E. with nonlinear boundary conditions, Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems, 3(4) (1995), 383-396.

Pablo Amster,
FCEyN, Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I, (1428) Buenos Aires - ARGENTINA
E-mail: pamster@dm.uba.ar
and
CONICET
and
Pedro Pablo Cárdenas Alzate,
Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira, Pereira - COLOMBIA
E-mail: ppablo@utp.edu.co


[^0]:    Received: February 28, 2005; Revised: June 8, 2005.

    * This work was partially supported by Fundación Antorchas.

