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#### Abstract

Introducing a new simple energy estimate, we prove the global solvability of the classical Kirchhoff equation for initial data $u(0, x), u_{t}(0, x)$ in a suitable subset of the Sobolev class $H^{2} \times H^{1}$.


## Introduction

In this paper we investigate the question of the global solvability of the Kirchhoff equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-m\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times[0, \infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(s)$ is a $C^{2}$-function such that $m(s) \geq \delta_{0}>0, \forall s \geq 0$. We shall consider the following cases:
(1) the Cauchy problem, when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(2) the periodic initial value problem, when $\Omega=] 0,2 \pi\left[{ }^{n}\right.$;
(3) the initial-boundary value problem, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a bounded domain with $C^{2}$ boundary.
Our results will be proved introducing a particular class of initial data in which we are able to show that the derivative of the nonlinear term $s(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x$ is a a-priori bounded in every bounded interval $[0, T)$.

[^0]
## 1 - Formulation of the problem and main results

(1) In the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we consider the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-m\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)
\end{array} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)\right.
$$

and we say that $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \in C^{k}\left([0, T) ; H^{2-k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(analogously we define the strong periodic solutions if $\Omega=] 0,2 \pi\left[{ }^{n}\right)$. Besides, we introduce the following class of functions:

Definition 1.1. Let $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ be a given sequence of positive numbers such that $\rho_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$. We say that a $L^{2}$-function $f(x)$ belongs to $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if there exists $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|\xi|>\rho_{j}}|\xi|^{2 k}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \exp \left(\eta \rho_{j}^{2} /|\xi|\right) d \xi<+\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have:
Theorem 1. Let us suppose that the initial data satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad u_{1}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.2) has a unique global strong solution in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)$.
(2) By the same arguments, we may consider the periodic initial value problem. Namely, we assume that $\Omega=] 0,2 \pi\left[^{n}\right.$ and that the unknown $u(x, t)$ is $2 \pi$-periodic in the space variables.

Denoting with $c_{\xi}(f)$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, the Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$, with respect to the orthonormal system $e_{\xi}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \exp \{i \xi x\}$, we say that:

Definition 1.2. Let $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ be a given sequence of positive numbers such that $\rho_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$. A $L^{2}$ locally integrable $2 \pi$-periodic function $f(x)$ belongs to $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(] 0,2 \pi\left[^{n}\right)$ if there exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|\xi|>\rho_{j}}|\xi|^{2 k}\left|c_{\xi}(f)\right|^{2} \exp \left(\eta \rho_{j}^{2} /|\xi|\right)<+\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have the following:
Corollary 2. Let $\Omega=] 0,2 \pi\left[^{n}\right.$ and suppose that the initial data are $2 \pi$-periodic functions such that $u_{0}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}(] 0,2 \pi\left[{ }^{n}\right), u_{1}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}(] 0,2 \pi\left[^{n}\right)$. Then the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-m\left(\int_{] 0,2 \pi[n}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=0,  \tag{1.7}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique global strong periodic solution in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)$.
(3) Finally, in the case of a bounded $C^{2}$ domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we consider the initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-m\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=0,  \tag{1.8}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{1}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega \times[0, \infty), \\
u(x, t)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \times[0, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and we say that $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of (1.8) in $\Omega \times[0, T)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \in C^{0}\left([0, T) ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is well known, we can find an orthonormal basis $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
-\Delta v_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{2} v_{i}, \quad v_{i} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

where $\lambda_{i}>0, \lambda_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$. Following the same lines, we say that $f(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(\Omega)$ if for some constant $\eta>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{\lambda_{i}>\rho_{j}}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 k}\left|c_{i}(f)\right|^{2} \exp \left(\eta \rho_{j}^{2} / \lambda_{i}\right)<+\infty \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}(f)$ are the Fourier coefficients with respect to the basis $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$. Then, we have:

Corollary 3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded $C^{2}$ domain and assume that $u_{0}(x) \in$ $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then the initial-boundary value problem (1.8) has a unique global strong solution $u(x, t)$ in $\Omega \times[0, \infty)$.

Remark 1.3. If the sequence $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ does not increase too fast, then $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{L^{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(] 0,2 \pi\left[{ }^{n}\right)$ coincide with the space $\mathcal{A}_{2 \pi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of the analytic, $2 \pi$-periodic functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. On the other hand, if $\rho_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$ and satisfies (for example) a condition like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{j+1} \geq 2 \rho_{j}^{2} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_{L^{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \varsubsetneqq B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathcal{A}_{2 \pi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \varsubsetneqq B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(] 0,2 \pi\left[{ }^{n}\right)$ and that the spaces $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(] 0,2 \pi\left[{ }^{n}\right)$ contain non-smooth functions. Unfortunately, it is also possible to prove that $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ does not contain compactly supported functions (see the appendix). This means that the class $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is (in some sense) relatively small.

In the case of a bounded $C^{2}$-domain $\Omega$, if the sequence $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ increase sufficiently fast, we have $\mathcal{A}_{0}(\Omega) \varsubsetneqq B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(\Omega)$ where $\mathcal{A}_{0}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all analytic functions in some neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $\Delta^{l} u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ for all $l \geq 0$.

In conclusion Theorem 1 and the Corollaries 1 and 2 extend the known global existence results in the space of real analytic functions.

In this paper we shall give in details only the proof of the global solvability of the Cauchy problem (1.2), that is Theorem 1, because the Corollaries 2 and 3 can be proved following the same arguments.

Moreover, since the local solvability of the Kirchhoff equation (1.1) is well known (see [1], [5], [6], [12], [17], [18]), we shall only prove the basic a-priori estimates.

Finally we mention about the related works. The global solvability of the classical Kirchhoff equation in the space of analytic functions was originally proved by Bernstein [3], in one space dimension, and then extended by Pohožaev [15] to several space dimensions. Later, the result of [15] has been generalized in [2], [4], [9] considering the weakly hyperbolic case and more general second order elliptic operators. For quasi-analytic initial data the first result of global solvability was proved by Nishihara [10] and then generalized in [8], [20].

## 2 - The Linearized Equation

In this section we study the linearized equation derived from (1.1) setting $m\left(\int|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right)=a(t)$ and $v(\xi, t)=\mathcal{F}_{x} u(\xi, t)$, i.e. applying the Fourier transform in the space variables. We use a technique introduced by Pohožaev [16] to
obtain a second order conservation law for the Kirchhoff equation. See also [13], [14]. Let us consider the infinite system of linear oscillating equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t t}+a(t)|\xi|^{2} v=0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<T<\infty, v=v(\xi, t) ; a(t)$ is a real valued function satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \in C^{2}([0, T)), \quad a(t) \geq \delta_{0}>0 \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.1) by the factor $a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{2} \bar{v}_{t}$, we easily obtain that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+a(t)\right. & \left.a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l} 
 \tag{2.3}\\
\quad=a_{1}^{\prime}(t)|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+\left[a(t) a_{1}(t)\right]^{\prime}|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

While, multiplying by the term $a_{2}(t)|\xi|^{2} \bar{v}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(a_{2}(t)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\}\right)=  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad=-a(t) a_{2}(t)|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2}+a_{2}(t)|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+a_{2}^{\prime}(t)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Re\{z\}$ denotes the real part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, introducing the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\xi, t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{2} a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a(t) a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2}+a_{2}(t)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}(\xi, t)= & {\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(a(t) a_{1}(t)\right)^{\prime}-a(t) a_{2}(t)\right]|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2} } \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{1}(t)^{\prime}+a_{2}(t)\right]|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
& +a_{2}(t)^{\prime}|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let us choose the coefficients $a_{1}(t), a_{2}(t)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}\left(a(t) a_{1}(t)\right)^{\prime}-a(t) a_{2}(t)=0  \tag{2.7}\\
\frac{1}{2} a_{1}(t)^{\prime}+a_{2}(t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(t)=\frac{C}{\sqrt{a(t)}}, \quad a_{2}(t)=\frac{C}{4} \frac{a(t)^{\prime}}{a(t)^{3 / 2}} \quad \text { with } C \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we fix $C=1$. Then, taking $a_{1}(t), a_{2}(t)$ as in (2.8) we finally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}(\xi, t)=a_{2}(t)^{\prime}|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{2} a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a(t) a_{1}(t)|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can state the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1. Let us suppose that $0<T<\infty$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\rho_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t) \leq 4 E(\xi, 0) \quad \text { in } \quad[0, T-\varepsilon] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $|\xi| \geq \rho_{\varepsilon}$.
Proof: Let us fix $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}(t)\right|,\left|a_{2}(t)^{\prime}\right| \leq K \quad \text { in } \quad[0, T-\varepsilon] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, having

$$
|\xi|^{3}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a(t)}}|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{a(t)}}{2}|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2} \equiv E(\xi, t)
$$

for $|\xi|>0$ the equations (2.5)-(2.9) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[E(\xi, t)+a_{2}(t)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\}\right] \leq K|\xi|^{2}\left|\bar{v} v_{t}\right| \leq K \frac{E(\xi, t)}{|\xi|} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can choose $\rho_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi| \geq\left.\rho_{1} \Longrightarrow\left|a_{2}(t)\right| \xi\right|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\} \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{E(\xi, t)}{2} \quad\right. \text { for } \quad 0 \leq t \leq T-\varepsilon \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, we set $\rho_{1}=2 K$. Then, for $|\xi| \geq \rho_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(\xi, t) & \leq E(\xi, 0)-\left[a_{2}(\tau)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\}\right]_{0}^{t}+\frac{K}{|\xi|} \int_{0}^{t} E(\xi, \tau) d \tau  \tag{2.15}\\
& \leq \frac{3}{2} E(\xi, 0)+\frac{1}{2} E(\xi, t)+\frac{K}{|\xi|} \int_{0}^{t} E(\xi, \tau) d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by Gronwall's Lemma, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t) \leq 3 E(\xi, 0) \exp \left(\frac{2 K}{|\xi|} t\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it is sufficient to take $\rho_{\varepsilon} \geq \rho_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi| \geq \rho_{\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow \frac{2 K(T-\varepsilon)}{|\xi|} \leq \ln \left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. A similar conclusion holds true if $T=+\infty$. Precisely, the estimate (2.11) holds in the interval $[0,1 / \varepsilon]$, provided $|\xi| \geq \rho_{\varepsilon}$ for a suitable $\rho_{\varepsilon}>0$.

Moreover, we easily have:
Corollary 2.3. Let $T<\infty$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\rho_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E(\xi, 0)}{4} \leq E(\xi, t) \leq 4 E(\xi, 0) \quad \text { in }[0, T-\varepsilon] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|\xi| \geq \rho_{\varepsilon}$.

3 - A-priori estimates for $0 \leq t \leq T-\varepsilon$

Let us consider the infinite system of nonlinear oscillating equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{t t}+m\left(\int|\xi|^{2}|v(\xi, t)|^{2} d \xi\right)|\xi|^{2} v=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n} \times[0, \infty)  \tag{3.1}\\
v(\xi, 0)=v_{0}(\xi), \quad v_{t}(\xi, 0)=v_{1}(\xi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m(s) \in C^{2}([0, \infty))$ with $m(s) \geq \delta_{0}>0$. From now on we assume that the initial data $v_{0}(\xi), v_{1}(\xi)$ are $L^{2}$ functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(1+|\xi|^{4}\right)\left|v_{0}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi<\infty, \quad \int\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left|v_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $v(\xi, t)$ is the (unique) local strong solution of (3.1) in $\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n} \times[0, T)$. Namely:
Definition 3.1. We say that $v(\xi, t)$ is a strong solution of $(3.1)$ in $\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n} \times[0, T)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+|\xi|^{2-j}\right) \partial_{t}^{j} v(\xi, t) \in C^{0}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq j \leq 2$ and $t \mapsto v(\cdot, t)$ satisfies (3.1) in $[0, T)$.

Remark 3.2. Condition (3.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \longmapsto s(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int|\xi|^{2}|v(\xi, t)|^{2} d \xi \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a well defined $C^{2}$-function on $[0, T)$. Setting then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=m(s(t)) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $a(t) \in C^{2}([0, T))$ with $a(t) \geq \delta_{0}$ and defining (with $C=1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m(s(t))}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} m_{1}(s(t)) \\
& a_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{m^{\prime}(s(t))}{\sqrt{m(s(t))^{3}}} s^{\prime}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} m_{2}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

we can apply the energy estimates of the previous section. $\square$
To continue, let us recall that:
First order conservation law. Assuming $v(\xi, t)$ a local strong solution of (3.1) and multiplying by the factor $\bar{v}_{t}$, we find the well known identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}}\left|v_{t}(\xi, t)\right|^{2} d \xi+\Phi\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}}|\xi|^{2}|v(\xi, t)|^{2} d \xi\right) \equiv \mathcal{H}(0) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{H}_{0} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(s) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{0}^{s} m(z) d z$ satisfies $\Phi(s) \geq \delta_{0} s$ for all $s \geq 0$. $\square$
Thus, we have the a-priori estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|v_{t}(\xi, t)\right|^{2} d \xi+\delta_{0} \int|\xi|^{2}|v(\xi, t)|^{2} d \xi \leq \mathcal{H}_{0} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $0 \leq t<T$. In particular, we have $0 \leq s(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_{0} / \delta_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\xi| \leq \rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi \leq \rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{2 \sqrt{\delta_{0}}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\rho \geq 0$. Note also that

$$
\delta_{0} \leq m(s(t)) \leq M \quad \text { and } \quad\left|m_{2}(s(t))\right|,\left|m_{2}^{\prime}(s(t))\right| \leq M / 2
$$

for a suitable constant $M>0$ because $m(s) \in C^{2}([0, \infty))$.
Applying Lemma 2.1 we can state the following:

Corollary 3.3. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\rho_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+8 \int_{|\xi|>\rho} \frac{E(0, \xi)}{|\xi|} d \xi \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\rho \geq \rho_{\varepsilon}$ and $t \in[0, T-\varepsilon]$.
Proof: Deriving with respect to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|s^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq 2 \int_{|\xi| \leq \rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi+2 \int_{|\xi|>\rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi \\
& \leq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}} \int_{|\xi| \leq \rho}\left[\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}+\delta_{0}|\xi|^{2}|v|^{2}\right] d \xi+2 \int_{|\xi|>\rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+2 \int_{|\xi|>\rho} \frac{E(\xi, t)}{|\xi|} d \xi .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, taking $\rho_{\varepsilon}$ according to Lemma 2.1, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\varepsilon}=2 K \max \left\{1, \frac{T-\varepsilon}{\ln (4 / 3)}\right\} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m_{2} s^{\prime}(t)\right|,\left|m_{2}^{\prime} s^{\prime}(t)^{2}+m_{2} s^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq K \quad \text { in } \quad[0, T-\varepsilon], \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can apply the inequality (2.11), that is $E(\xi, t) \leq 4 E(\xi, 0)$ for $|\xi| \geq \rho_{\varepsilon}$. Clearly, this immediately implies the estimate (3.10).

## 4 - A-priori estimates for $T-\varepsilon \leq t<T$

Now, assuming suitable conditions on the initial data $v_{0}(\xi)$ and $v_{1}(\xi)$ of problem (3.1), we shall prove that the energy $E(\xi, t)$ is uniformly bounded in the interval $[0, T)$, if $T<+\infty$.

To begin with, for $\rho \geq 1$, let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\rho}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{|\xi|>\rho} \frac{E(\xi, t)}{|\xi|} d \xi . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting the expression of the energy $E(\xi, t)$ with the positions (3.5)-(3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t)=\frac{|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2}}{2 \sqrt{m(s(t))}}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m(s(t))}|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, taking into account of (3.8) and (3.11), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|s^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq \rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+2 \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(t)  \tag{4.3a}\\
\left|s^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| & \leq 2 \int|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{t}\right|^{2} d \xi+2 m(s(t)) \int|\xi|^{4}|v|^{2} d \xi \\
& \leq 2 \rho^{2}\left[1+M / \delta_{0}\right] \mathcal{H}_{0}+4 \sqrt{M} \int_{|\xi|>\rho} E(\xi, t) d \xi
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (2.4)-(2.9), we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(\xi, t)+\frac{d}{d t} & \left(m_{2}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\}\right) \leq  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq\left|m_{2}^{\prime}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)^{2}+m_{2}(s(t)) s^{\prime \prime}(t)\right||\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| \\
& \leq C_{1}\left[\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0}^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+\mathcal{E}_{\rho}(t)^{2}+\int_{|\xi|>\rho} E(\xi, t) d \xi\right] \frac{E(\xi, t)}{|\xi|},
\end{align*}
$$

for a suitable constant $C_{1}>0$ depending only on $M, \delta_{0}$. Now, let $K_{1} \geq 1$ be a given constant (we will fix $K_{1}$ and $\varepsilon$ in the following). Then, as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\rho}(t)^{2}+\int_{|\xi|>\rho} E(\xi, t) d \xi \leq K_{1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(\xi, t) \leq & E(\xi, T-\varepsilon)-\left[m_{2}(s(\tau)) s^{\prime}(\tau)|\xi|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\}\right]_{T-\varepsilon}^{t} \\
& +C_{1} \frac{\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0}^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+K_{1}}{|\xi|} \int_{T-\varepsilon}^{t} E(\xi, \tau) d \tau \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|m_{2}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)\right| \xi\right|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\} \mid & \leq\left|m_{2}\right|\left[\rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+2 \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(t)\right] \frac{E(\xi, t)}{|\xi|} \\
& \leq \frac{M}{|\xi|}\left[\rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\sqrt{K_{1}}\right] E(\xi, t) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{0} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}{3 M} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left.\left|m_{2}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)\right| \xi\right|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\} \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{E(\xi, t)}{2} \quad\right. \text { provided } \quad|\xi| \geq \max \left\{\rho, 6 M \sqrt{K_{1}}\right\}
$$

This implies that, as long as (4.5) holds and $|\xi| \geq \max \left\{\rho, 6 M \sqrt{K_{1}}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t) \leq 3 E(\xi, T-\varepsilon)+2 C_{1} \frac{\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0}^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+K_{1}}{|\xi|} \int_{T-\varepsilon}^{t} E(\xi, \tau) d \tau \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, applying the classical Gronwall's lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t) \leq 3 E(\xi, T-\varepsilon) \exp \left\{2 C_{1} \frac{\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0}^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+K_{1}}{|\xi|}(t-T+\varepsilon)\right\} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, having $\rho, K_{1} \geq 1$, in order to verify the condition (4.5), it will be sufficient to require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\xi|>\rho} E(\xi, t) d \xi \leq \frac{\sqrt{K_{1}}}{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, recalling Lemma 2.1 and (3.12), (3.13) we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \geq \max \left\{1, \rho_{\varepsilon}, 6 M \sqrt{K_{1}}\right\} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, by the estimate (2.11), we know that $E(\xi, T-\varepsilon) \leq 4 E(\xi, 0)$ in (4.10). Then, condition (4.5) will be verified as long as

$$
\begin{align*}
I(t) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{|\xi|>\rho} E(\xi, 0) \exp \left\{2 C_{1} \frac{\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0}^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+K_{1}}{|\xi|}(t-T+\varepsilon)\right\} d \xi  \tag{4.13}\\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{K_{1}}}{24}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to extend the solution $v(\xi, t)$, we require now that (4.13) holds for $T-\varepsilon \leq t<T$. Clearly, this leads to a sufficient condition for the global solvability of the Cauchy problem (3.1). More precisely, we assume that the initial data $v_{0}(\xi), v_{1}(\xi)$ satisfy the following conditions:

Definition 4.1. Let $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ be a given sequence of positive numbers such that $\rho_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$. We say that a $L^{2}$-function $f(\xi)$ belong to $\tilde{B}_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if there exist $\eta>0$ and $\mathcal{C} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\xi|>\rho_{j}}|\xi|^{2 k}|f(\xi)|^{2} \exp \left\{\eta \rho_{j}^{2} /|\xi|\right\} d \xi \leq \mathcal{C} \quad \forall j \geq 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, if $v_{0}(\xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left\{\mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}, v_{1}(\xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left\{\mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$, it is easy to see that (4.13) holds true in $[T-\varepsilon, T)$ provided we choose $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $\rho=\rho_{j} \geq 1$ and
$K_{1} \geq 1$ large enough. In fact, since $|\xi|^{2} v_{0}(\xi),|\xi| v_{1}(\xi) \in L^{2}$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{0}<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, 0) \leq C_{2}\left(|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2}+|\xi|^{4}\left|v_{0}(\xi)\right|^{2}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\frac{\sqrt{M}}{2}$. Hence, for $T-\varepsilon \leq t<T$, we have

$$
I(t) \leq C_{2} \int_{\rho^{2} \geq|\xi|>\rho}\left(|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2}+|\xi|^{4}\left|v_{0}(\xi)\right|^{2}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{C_{3} \rho^{2}+2 C_{1} K_{1}}{|\xi|} \varepsilon\right\} d \xi
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+C_{2} \int_{|\xi|>\rho^{2}}\left(|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2}+|\xi|^{4}\left|v_{0}(\xi)\right|^{2}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{C_{3} \rho^{2}+2 C_{1} K_{1}}{|\xi|} \varepsilon\right\} d \xi \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}=2 C_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0}^{2}\right)$. Then, since $v_{0}(\xi), v_{1}(\xi)$ satisfy the inequality (4.14) (with $k=2$ and $k=1$ respectively) for suitable constants $\eta_{i}>0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{i} \geq 0$, we choose $K_{1}$ large such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{K_{1}}}{48}-1 \geq C_{2} \mathcal{C}_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=0,1 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varepsilon>0$ small such that, for $\rho \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{3} \rho^{2}+2 C_{1} K_{1}}{|\xi|} \varepsilon<\eta_{i} \frac{\rho^{2}}{|\xi|} \quad \text { in } \rho \leq|\xi| \leq \rho^{2} \text { for } i=0,1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, for all $\rho=\rho_{j}$ the value of the first integral in (4.16) is less than $\frac{\sqrt{K_{1}}}{24}-2$.

Finally, noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|\xi|>\rho^{2}}\left(|\xi|^{2}\left|v_{1}(\xi)\right|^{2}+|\xi|^{4}\left|v_{0}(\xi)\right|^{2}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{C_{3} \rho^{2}+2 C_{1} K_{1}}{|\xi|} \varepsilon\right\} d \xi=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we take $j \geq 0$ large, such that the value of the second integral in (4.16) is less than 2.

Summarizing up, we have proved the following:
Lemma 4.2. Let $v(\xi, t)$ be a local strong solution of the problem (3.1) in the stripe $\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n} \times[0, T)$ with $0<T<+\infty$. Assume that (4.8) holds and that

$$
v_{0}(\xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}\right), \quad v_{1}(\xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}\right)
$$

Then the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} E(\xi, t) d \xi$ is uniformly bounded in $[0, T)$.

Proof: By the previous arguments, see (4.11), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\xi|>\rho_{j}} E(\xi, t) d \xi \leq \frac{\sqrt{K_{1}}}{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable constants $K_{1}$ satisfying (4.17) and $\rho_{j} \geq \max \left\{1, \rho_{\varepsilon}, 6 M \sqrt{K_{1}}\right\}$ such that the value of the second integral in (4.16) is less than 2 . On other hand for $|\xi| \leq \rho_{j}$, by (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\xi| \leq \rho_{j}} E(\xi, t) d \xi \leq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\delta_{0}}}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}\right) \rho_{j}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{0} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T)$.

## 5 - Global solvability for small data

From the estimates of the previous sections, it is now straightforward to prove the global solvability provided the initial data $\left(u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x)\right) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times$ $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is sufficiently small. Namely, we assume that (4.8) holds true with

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\Phi\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{0}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)
$$

In fact, let us consider again problem (1.2)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-m\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}(x),
\end{array} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)\right.
$$

with $u_{0}(x) \in B_{\left\{q_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u_{1}(x) \in B_{\left\{q_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since we know that the Cauchy problem for the Kirchhoff equation (in the space of strong solutions, with $u_{0}(x) \in$ $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $u_{1}(x) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, see [12], [17], [18]) is well posed, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup \left\{T>0 \mid \exists!u(x, t) \text { strong solution in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, having $u_{0}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $u_{1}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, it easily follows that $v_{0}(\xi)=\hat{u}_{0}(\xi)$ and $v_{1}(\xi)=\hat{u}_{1}(\xi)$ satisfy the conditions of Definition 4.1, for a suitable subsequence $\left\{\tilde{\rho}_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$. More precisely, we have

$$
v_{0}(\xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\left\{\tilde{\rho}_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}\right), \quad v_{1}(\xi) \in \tilde{B}_{\left\{\tilde{\rho}_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}\right)
$$

Assume, by contradiction, that $\mathcal{T}<+\infty$. From Lemma 4.2, if (4.8) holds, it follows that the norms

$$
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{2}}, \quad\left\|u_{t}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{H^{1}}
$$

are uniformly bounded in the interval $[0, \mathcal{T})$. Thus, by (4.3), the coefficient $m(s(t))$ is uniformly bounded in the $C^{2}$-norm and there exists $a(t) \in C^{1}([0, \mathcal{T}])$ such that $a(t)=m(s(t))$ in $[0, \mathcal{T})$. Hence, we may consider the linear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{t t}-a(t) \Delta w=0 \quad \text { with } \quad w(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad w_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, this problem has a global strong solution $w(x, t)$ in $[0, \mathcal{T}] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and by the uniqueness property we have $u(x, t)=w(x, t)$ in $[0, \mathcal{T}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. This means that there exist the limits

$$
\begin{cases}\lim _{t \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{-}} u(x, t)=w(x, \mathcal{T}) & \text { in } H^{2}  \tag{5.3}\\ \lim _{t \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{-}} u_{t}(x, t)=w_{t}(x, \mathcal{T}) & \text { in } H^{1}\end{cases}
$$

Now, using again the local existence theorem for the Kirchhoff equation, with initial data for $t=\mathcal{T}$ given by $u(x, \mathcal{T})=w(x, \mathcal{T})$ and $u_{t}(x, \mathcal{T})=w_{t}(x, \mathcal{T})$, we can extend $u(x, t)$ (as strong solution of (1.2)) to a larger stripe $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \mathcal{T}_{1}\right)$ with $\mathcal{T}_{1}>\mathcal{T}$. Clearly, this contradicts the definition of $\mathcal{T}$ and concludes the proof of Theorem 1 in the case of small initial data.

Remark 5.1. Observe that for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, \cdot) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \partial_{t} u(t, \cdot) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 - Proof of Theorem 1

We shall only see how to perform the energy estimates of Section 4, for $t \in[T-\varepsilon, T)$, in the case the condition (4.8) does not hold. We use the fact that the initial data $\left(u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x)\right)$ belongs to $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, but we don't require that $u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x)$ are small. First of all, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=3 \frac{M \mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+1 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\rho \geq 1$ we can write:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|s^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq & 2 \int_{|\xi| \leq \rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi+2 \int_{\rho<|\xi| \leq \lambda \rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi \\
& +2 \int_{|\xi|<\lambda \rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi  \tag{6.2}\\
\leq & \rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+2 \int_{\rho<|\xi| \leq \lambda \rho}|\xi|^{2}|v|\left|v_{t}\right| d \xi+2 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda \rho}(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we use the trivial inequality $E(\xi, t)^{\prime} \leq M^{3 / 2}\left|s^{\prime}(t)\right| E(\xi, t)$ (with $M>0$ the constant introduced after (3.9)) to estimate the second term in right hand side of (6.2). Namely, by (2.11), for $T-\varepsilon \leq t<T$ and $\rho \geq \rho_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\xi, t) \leq 4 E(\xi, 0) \exp \left\{M^{3 / 2} \int_{T-\varepsilon}^{t}\left|s^{\prime}(\tau)\right| d \tau\right\} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To continue, let $\tilde{K}_{1}, \tilde{K}_{2} \geq 1$ and let us suppose that $\rho \geq \max \left\{1, \rho_{\varepsilon}\right\}$. Then, following the argument developed in (4.5)-(4.19) of Section 4, as long as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{E}_{\lambda \rho}(t)^{2}+\int_{|\xi|>\lambda \rho} E(\xi, t) d \xi \leq \tilde{K}_{1} \\
\int_{\rho<|\xi| \leq \lambda \rho} E(\xi, t) d \xi \leq \tilde{K}_{2} \tag{6.4b}
\end{array}
$$

in the interval $[T-\varepsilon, T)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T-\varepsilon}^{t}\left|s^{\prime}(\tau)\right| d \tau \leq \varepsilon\left(\rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\frac{2 \tilde{K}_{2}}{\rho}+2 \sqrt{\tilde{K}_{1}}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.3), this means that the condition (6.4b) holds true if

$$
\begin{align*}
J(\rho, \varepsilon) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{\rho<|\xi| \leq \lambda \rho} E(\xi, 0) \exp \left\{\varepsilon M^{3 / 2}\left[\rho \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\frac{2 \tilde{K}_{2}}{\rho}+2 \sqrt{\tilde{K}_{1}}\right]\right\} d \xi  \tag{6.6}\\
& \leq \frac{\tilde{K}_{2}}{4}
\end{align*}
$$

To verify (6.6) we use the fact that $u_{0}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u_{1}(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. More precisely, we know that there exist a sequence $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}, \rho_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$, and two constants $\eta>0, C \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\xi|>\rho_{j}} E(\xi, 0) \exp \left\{\eta \rho_{j}^{2} /|\xi|\right\} d \xi \leq C \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \geq 0$ sufficiently large. Then, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, namely

$$
0<\varepsilon \leq \frac{\eta \delta_{0}^{1 / 2}}{3 \lambda M^{3 / 2} \mathcal{H}_{0}}
$$

and $\rho=\rho_{j} \geq \max \left\{1, \rho_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon M^{3 / 2}\left[\rho_{j} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\frac{2 \tilde{K}_{2}}{\rho_{j}}+2 \sqrt{\tilde{K}_{1}}\right] \leq \frac{\eta \rho_{j}}{2 \lambda} \leq \frac{\eta \rho_{j}^{2}}{2|\xi|} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_{\xi}^{n}$ such that $\rho_{j} \leq|\xi| \leq \lambda \rho_{j}$. By (6.7) this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\rho_{j}, \varepsilon\right) \leq C \exp \left\{-\eta \rho_{j} / 2 \lambda\right\} \leq \frac{\tilde{K}_{2}}{4} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $j \geq 0$ is sufficiently large. Summarizing up, for $\varepsilon>0$ small and $\rho=\rho_{j}$ with $j \geq 0$ large enough, the condition (6.4b) is verified and the estimate (6.5) holds true in the interval $[T-\varepsilon, T)$ as long as $(6.4 a)$ holds. Thus, taking in the following $\rho=\rho_{j}$, we can substitute (4.3a), (4.3b) with
$(6.10 a) \quad\left|s^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \rho_{j} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\frac{2 \tilde{K}_{2}}{\rho_{j}}+2 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda \rho_{j}}(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq 2 \lambda^{2} \rho_{j}^{2}\left[1+M / \delta_{0}\right] \mathcal{H}_{0}+4 \sqrt{M} \int_{|\xi|>\lambda \rho_{j}} E(\xi, t) d \xi \tag{6.10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as long as $(6.4 a)$ holds in $[T-\varepsilon, T)$, we can estimate $E(\xi, t)$, for $|\xi|>\lambda \rho_{j}$, using exactly the same arguments of Section 4 . In fact, for $\rho_{j} \geq \max \left\{1, \rho_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ large enough, instead of (4.7) we now have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|m_{2}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)\right| \xi\right|^{2} \Re\left\{\bar{v} v_{t}\right\} \mid & \leq \frac{M}{|\xi|}\left[\rho_{j} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}}{\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}+\frac{\tilde{K}_{2}}{\rho_{j}}+\sqrt{\tilde{K}_{1}}\right] E(\xi, t)  \tag{6.11}\\
& \leq \frac{E(\xi, t)}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

because $|\xi|>\lambda \rho_{j}$ and $\lambda$ satisfies (6.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

## Appendix

Here we sketch the proof of the fact that $B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ does not contain nontrivial compactly supported functions. To begin with, let us recall the following result (see [11], Theorem XII):

Theorem (Paley-Wiener). Let $\phi(\xi) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a real non-negative function not equivalent to zero. A necessary and sufficient condition that there should exist a complex-valued function $f(x)$ vanishing for $x \geq x_{o}$ for some $x_{o} \in \mathbb{R}$, and such that $|\hat{f}(\xi)|=\phi(\xi)$, is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\ln \phi(\xi)|}{1+\xi^{2}} d \xi<\infty \tag{a.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall see that, if $f(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash\{0\}$ has compact support, then the above integral cannot converge.

First of all, observe that $\hat{f}(\xi)$ is a bounded continuous function such that $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \rightarrow 0$ when $\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Thus $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq 1$ for $\xi$ large. Then, it is easy to see that, for $\rho=\rho_{j}$ large enough,
(a.2) $\quad \int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} \frac{|\ln | \hat{f}(\xi)| |}{1+|\xi|^{2}} d \xi \geq$

$$
\geq \inf \left\{\left.J(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-\int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} \frac{\ln y(t)}{1+t^{2}} d t \right\rvert\, 0<y(t) \leq 1, \int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} t^{2 k} y(t) e^{\eta \rho^{2} / t} d t=\mathcal{C}\right\}
$$

where $\eta>0$ is the constant in Definition 1.1 and $\mathcal{C}>0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|\xi|>\rho_{j}}|\xi|^{2 k}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \exp \left(\eta \rho_{j}^{2} /|\xi|\right) d \xi<\mathcal{C} \tag{a.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us evaluate the infimum of the functional $J(y)$ for $y(t) \in \mathcal{D} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} C^{0}\left(\left[\rho, \rho^{2}\right]\right) \cap$ $\{0<y(t) \leq 1\}$ and constrained by $\int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} t^{2 k} y(t) e^{\eta \rho^{2} / t} d t=\mathcal{C}$.

Since $J(y)$ is strictly convex in $\mathcal{D}$ and the constrain is a linear functional, by elementary variational calculus (see [19]) we know that $J(y)$ has an absolute minimum if there exists $y_{0}(t) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \tilde{J}\left(y_{0}\right)=0, \quad \int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} t^{2 k} y_{0}(t) e^{\eta \rho^{2} / t} d t=\mathcal{C} \tag{a.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{J}(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J(y)+\lambda \int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} t^{2 k} y(t) e^{\eta \rho^{2} / t} d t$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. But the conditions in (a.4) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{e^{-\eta \rho^{2} / t}}{t^{2 k}\left(1+t^{2}\right)} \quad \text { with } \lambda \approx \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\rho} \quad \text { as } \rho \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{a.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, at least for $k>-1 / 2$ (if $k \leq-1 / 2$ we must change a little our argument), $y_{0}(t) \in \mathcal{D}$ and satisfies $-\ln y_{0}(t) \geq \eta \rho^{2} / t+2(k+1) \ln t-\ln \rho+O(1)$, provided
$t \in\left[\rho, \rho^{2}\right]$ and $\rho>0$ is large enough. Finally, the last inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\rho}^{\rho^{2}} \frac{\ln y_{0}(t)}{1+t^{2}} d t \geq \frac{\eta}{4} \quad \text { as } \quad \rho \rightarrow+\infty \tag{a.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (a.6) implies that the integral (a.1) cannot converge if $f(x) \in B_{\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}}^{k}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash\{0\}$.
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