PORTUGALIAE MATHEMATICA Vol. 54 Fasc. 3 – 1997

COMPARISON RESULTS FOR IMPULSIVE DELAY DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES AND EQUATIONS *

JURANG YAN

Abstract: In this paper, we are dealing with first order impulsive delay differential equations and inequalities and establish comparison theorems of existence of positive solutions of impulsive delay differential equations and inequalities.

1 – Introduction

The theory of impulsive differential equations is emerging as an important area of investigation, since it is a lot richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulse effects. Moreover, such equations may exhibit several real world phenomenon in physics, biology, engineering, etc. In the last few years the theory of the impulsive ordinary differential equations has been studied by many authors. For example, see [5]. However, not much has been developed in the direction of impulsive delay differential equations, see [1] and [2]. In this paper we are dealing with first order impulsive delay differential equations and inequalities and give some comparison results. The results relative to the delay differential equations have been obtained, see [3] and [6].

Let $0 \le t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$, with $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = \infty$. Consider the impulsive delay differential equation

(1)
$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) x(t - \tau_i) = 0, \quad t \neq t_k ,$$
$$x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) = b_k x(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots ,$$

Received: December 16, 1995.

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Subject Classifications: 34K15, 34C10.

^{*} This research was partially supported by the NNSF of China.

J. YAN

where

(2)
$$p_i(t) \ge 0 \ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) \text{ are continuous functions} \\ \text{on } [0, \infty), \ \tau_i \ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) \text{ are positive constants} \\ \text{and } b_k \ (k = 1, 2, ...) \text{ are nonpositive constants,} \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding inequalities

(3)
$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) x(t - \tau_i) \le 0, \quad t \ne t_k, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) \le b_k x(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Let $\tau = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \tau_i$ and $\sigma = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \tau_i$. Denote by $PC([\overline{t}, \infty), R)$ the set of functions $u(t): [\overline{t}, \infty) \to R$ which are continuous for $t \ge \overline{t}$ and $t \ne t_k$ (k=1, 2, ...) and may have discontinuities of the first kind at t_k (k = 1, 2, ...) at which they are continuous from the left.

By a solution of (1) (resp. (3)) we mean that a real valued function $x \in PC([t_0, \infty), R)$ satisfies (1) (resp. (3)), that is

- i) for any $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ and $t \neq t_k, t \neq t_k + \tau_i$ (k = 1, 2, ..., i = 1, 2, ..., n), x(t) is continuous differentiable and satisfies (1) (resp. (3));
- ii) for every k, $x(t_k^+)$ and $x(t_k^-)$ exist and $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)$ and $x(t_k^+) x(t_k) = b_k x(t_k)$ (resp. $x(t_k^+) x(t_k) \le b_k x(t_k)$);
- iii) if $t = t_k + \tau_i$, $t \neq t_k$ (k = 1, 2, ..., i = 1, 2, ..., n), x(t) is continuous and $x'(t_k^+)$ and $x'(t_k^-)$ exist.

Definition 1. A solution x(t) of (1) or (3) on $[t_0, \infty]$ is called positive (resp. negative) if x(t) > 0 (resp. x(t) < 0) for all $t \ge t_0 - \tau$.

Definition 2. A solution of (1) is called oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

Remark 1. From Definition 1, a solution x(t) of (1) is oscillatory if and only if it satisfies at least one of the following conditions:

- **a**) x(t) has arbitrarily large zeros, that is, for any sufficiently large $T \ge t_0$, there exists $t^* \ge t_0$ such that $x(t^*) = 0$;
- **b**) For any sufficiently large integer K, there exists an integer $k \ge K$ such that $x(t_k^+) x(t_k) = (1 + b_k) x^2(t_k) \le 0$, that is, $b_k \le -1$, k = 1, 2, ...

256

2 - Main results

In order to prove our main results, we need the following fixed point theorem of Knaster and Tarski. See [3] and [4].

Lemma 1 (Knaster–Tarski Fixed Point Theorem). Let E be a partially ordered set with ordering \leq . Assume that $\inf E \in E$ and that every nonempty subset of E has a supremum (which belongs to E). Let $S : E \to E$ be an increasing mapping (that is, $x \leq y$ implies $Sx \leq Sy$). Then S has a fixed point in E.

Lemma 2. Assume that (2) holds and x(t) is a positive solution on $[t_0, \infty]$ of (3). Then

- i) x(t) is nonincreasing on $[t_0 + \tau, \infty)$;
- ii) $-\sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} b_k x(t_k) \le x(t_{k_1}), \ k_1 \ge t_0 + \tau.$

Proof: i) As $x(t - \tau_i) > 0$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) on $[t_0, \infty]$, from (2)

$$x'(t) \le -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) x(t - \tau_i) \le 0$$
 for $t \ge t_0$ and $t \ne t_k$,

which implies that x(t) is nonincreasing on $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$, $t_k \ge t_0$. Also, we observe that $x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) \le b_k x(t_k) \le 0$. Thus x(t) is nonincreasing on $[t_0, \infty)$.

ii) From (2), $x'(t) \leq 0$, for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and $t_k \geq t_0$. This implies that $x(t_k^+) \geq x(t_{k+1}) > 0$, $t_k \geq t_0$. Thus, by (2) there exists a $k_1 \geq 1$ and for any integral $m \geq k_1$ such that

$$-\sum_{k=k_1}^m b_k x(t_k) \le \sum_{k=k_1}^m \left(x(t_k) - x(t_k^+) \right) \le \sum_{k=k_1}^m \left(x(t_k) - x(t_{k+1}) \right) \le x(t_{k_1})$$

which proves ii). \blacksquare

Theorem 1. Assume that

(4) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(T_0 + \tau) > 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) \text{ is not identically zero on any interval of the form } [\overline{t}, \overline{t} + \sigma], \overline{t} \ge T_0 + \tau, T_0 \ge 0.$

Let y(t) be a positive solution on an interval $[T_0, \infty)$, $T_0 \ge t_0$, of (3). Then there exists a nonincreasing positive solution x(t) on $[T_0 + \tau, \infty)$ of (1) with $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ and such that

$$0 \le x(t) \le y(t)$$
 for every $t \ge T_0$.

J. YAN

Proof: First, from Lemma 2, y(t) is nonincreasing on $[T_0, \infty)$ and we obtain for all \overline{t} , t with $\overline{t} \ge t \ge T_0$

$$y(t^+) \ge y(\bar{t}) + \int_t^{\bar{t}} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(s) \, y(s-\tau_i) \, ds - \sum_{t < t_k < \bar{t}} b_k \, y(t_k) \; .$$

Thus, letting $\overline{t} \to \infty$ and noting $y(t) \ge y(t^+)$ we obtain

(5)
$$y(t) \ge \int_t^\infty \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(s) y(s-\tau_i) ds - \sum_{t < t_k < \infty} b_k y(t_k) .$$

Let X be the set of all nonincreasing functions $x \in PC([T_0, \infty), R)$ with $0 \leq x(t) \leq y(t)$ for every $t \geq T_0$. The set X is considered to be endowed with the usual pointwise ordering \leq , that is, if x_1 and x_2 belong to X. We will say that $x_1 \leq x_2$ if and only if $x_1(t) \leq x_2(t)$ for $t \geq T_0$. Clearly X is a paritially ordered set. Set $T_1 = T_0 + \tau$ and define the mapping S on X as follows:

(6)
$$(Sx)(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}(s) x(s-\tau_{i}) ds - \sum_{t < t_{k} < \infty} b_{k} y(t_{k}), & \text{if } t \geq T_{1}, \\ \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}(s) x(s-\tau_{i}) ds - \sum_{T_{1} < t_{k} < \infty} b_{k} y(t_{k}) + \\ + \int_{t}^{T_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}(s) y(s-\tau_{i}) ds, & \text{if } T_{0} \leq t < T_{1}. \end{cases}$$

Then, by (5), we can easily verify that the formula (6) makes sense for any x in X and that this formula defines a mapping S on X into itself. If $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $x_1(t) \leq x_2(t)$ for $t \geq T_0$, then we also have $(Sx_1)(t) \leq (Sx_2)(t)$, for $t \geq T_0$, i.e. S is an increasing mapping.

Finally, $\inf X \in X$, and every nonempty subset of X has a supremum that belongs to X. Hence, all the hypotheses of Lemma 1 are satisfied, and so T has a fixed point $x \in X$. That is

(7)

$$(Sx)(t) = x(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}(t) x(t-\tau_{i}) ds - \sum_{t < t_{k} < \infty} b_{k} x(t_{k}), & \text{if } t \ge T_{1}, \\ \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}(s) x(s-\tau_{i}) ds - \sum_{T_{1} < t_{k} < \infty} b_{k} x(t_{k}) + \\ + \int_{t}^{T_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}(s) y(s-\tau_{i}) ds, & \text{if } T_{0} \le t < T_{1}. \end{cases}$$

258

From (7) it follows that

$$x'(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) x(t - \tau_i), \text{ for all } t \ge T_1 \text{ and } t \ne t_k ,$$

$$x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) = b_k x(t_k) \text{ for } t_k \ge T_1 ,$$

and hence x is a solution of (1) on $[T_1, \infty)$. Also, from (7), we have that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. Moreover, it is clear that $x(t) \leq y(t)$ for $t \geq T_0$. So, it remains to establish that the solution x is positive on the interval $[T_0, \infty)$. By taking into account the fact that y is positive on the interval $[T_0 - \tau, T_1 - \sigma]$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(T_1) > 0$, from (7) we have for each $t \in [T_0, T_1)$

$$x(t) \ge \int_t^{T_1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(s) \, y(s-\tau_i) \, ds \ge \left[\min_{1 \le i \le n} \min_{T_0 \le s \le T_1} y(s-\tau_i) \right] \int_t^{T_1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(s) \, ds > 0 \; .$$

So, x is positive on $[T_0, T_1]$. Next, we will show that x is also positive on $[T_1, \infty)$. Assume that $\hat{t} > T_1$ is the first point satisfying $x(\hat{t}) \leq 0$ of x to the right of T_0 . That is x(t) > 0 for $T_0 \leq t < \hat{t}$. Then (7) gives

$$0 \ge x(\hat{t}) = \int_{\hat{t}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(s) x(s-\tau_i) ds - \sum_{\hat{t} < t_k < \infty} b_k x(t_k)$$
$$\ge \int_{\hat{t}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(s) x(s-\tau_i) ds ,$$

which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(s) x(s - \tau_i) = 0$ for all $s \ge \hat{t}$. Since the function $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t)$ is not identically zero on $[\hat{t}, \hat{t} + \sigma]$, we can choose a point t^* with $\hat{t} < t^* < \hat{t} + \sigma$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t^*) > 0$. Hence, by taking into account the fact that x is positive on $[\hat{t} - \tau, \hat{t})$ we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t^*) x(t^* - \tau_i) \ge \left[\min_{1 \le i \le n} x(t^* - \tau_i) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t^*) > 0 .$$

But, this is impossible. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Consider the impulsive delay differential equation

(1')
$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p}_{i}(t) x(t - \overline{\tau}_{i}) = 0 ,$$
$$x(t_{k}^{+}) - x(t_{k}) = \overline{b}_{k} x(t_{k}) , \quad k = 1, 2, ... ,$$

J. YAN

where

(2')
$$\overline{p}_i \ge 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n) \text{ are continuous functions} \\ \text{on } [t_0, \infty), \ \overline{\tau}_i > 0 \ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) \text{ and } \overline{b}_k \le 0, \\ k = 1, 2, ..., \text{ are constants.}$$

We establish the following comparison result.

Theorem 2. Assume that (2) and (2') hold and

(4')
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p}_{i}(T_{0}+\tau) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p}_{i}(t) \text{ is not identically zero} \\ \text{on any interval of the form } [\widehat{t}, \widehat{t}+\sigma], \ \widehat{t} > T_{0}+\tau, \ T_{0} \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

and

(8)
$$\overline{p}_i \leq p_i \quad \text{on } [T_0, \infty) , \quad \overline{\tau}_i \leq \tau_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n , \\ \overline{b}_k \leq b_k , \quad k = 1, 2,$$

If (1) has a positive solution on $[T_0, \infty)$, then (1') has also a positive solution on $[T_0 + \tau, \infty)$.

Proof: Suppose that (1) has a positive solution x(t) on an interval $[T_0, \infty)$. Then by Lemma 2 x(t) is nonincreasing on $[T_0, \infty)$. It follows from (1) and (8) that

(3')
$$x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p}_{i}(t) x(t - \overline{\tau}_{i}) \leq 0, \quad t \neq t_{k},$$
$$x(t_{k}^{+}) - x(t_{k}) \leq \overline{b}_{k} x(t_{k}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

By using Theorem 1 we can conclude that (1') has also a positive solution on $[T_0 + \tau, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. Assume that (2), (2') and (8) hold and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{p}_i(t) > 0$, for $t \ge t_0$. If all solutions of (1') are oscillatory, then all solutions of (1) are also oscillatory.

If we compare (1) with the delay differential equation

(9)
$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) x(t - \tau_i) = 0 ,$$

260

from Theorem 2 the following result can easily be obtained.

Corollary 2. Assume that (2) and (4) hold and (9) has a positive solution on $[T_0, \infty)$, than (1) has a positive solution on $[T_0 + \tau, \infty)$.

Remark 2. In case $b_k > 0$ (k = 1, 2, ...), the related results of Corollary 2 have been established, see [1] and [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] MING-PO CHEN, YU, J.S. and SHEN, J.H. The persistence of nonoscillatory solutions of delay differential equations under impulsive perturbations, *Computers Math. Applic.*, 27 (1994), 1–4.
- [2] GOPALSAMY, K. and ZHANG, B.G. On the delay differential equations with impulses, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 139 (1989), 110–122.
- [3] GYORI, I. and LADAS, G. Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [4] KULENOUVIC, M.R.S. and LADAS, G. Oscillations of the sunflower equation, Quart. Appl. Math., 46 (1988), 23–28.
- [5] LAKSHMIKANTHAM, V., BAINOV, D.D. and SIMENOV, P.S. *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [6] PHILOS, CH.G. Oscillation of first order linear delay differential equations with variable coefficients, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 35 (1992), 307–319.

Jurang Yan, Department of Mathematics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006 – PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA