

ON PARA-KÄHLERIAN MANIFOLDS $M(J, g)$
AND ON SKEW SYMMETRIC KILLING
VECTOR FIELDS CARRIED BY M

I. MIHAI, L. NICOLESCU and R. ROSCA

Abstract: Para-complex manifolds and, in particular, para-Kählerian manifolds have been for the first time studied by Rashevski [Ra], Libermann [L] and Patterson [Pa]. In the last two decades, several authors have dealt with such type of manifolds, as for instance [R1], [R2], [Cr], [GM], [RMG], [CFG] and some others. A para-Kählerian manifold is a manifold endowed with an almost product structure (called also a para-complex structure) J and a pseudo-Riemannian metric g , which satisfy the conditions of compatibility $g \circ (J \times J) = -g$ and $\nabla J = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g .

In the present paper, adopting P. Libermann stand point, we study some properties of a para-Kählerian manifold M and emphasize the case when M carries a non null skew symmetric Killing vector field (in the sense of R. Rosca [R4], [R5]).

1 – Preliminaries

A *para-Kählerian* manifold is a manifold M endowed with an almost product structure J (i.e. an involutive endomorphism of TM) and a pseudo-Riemannian metric g , which satisfy the conditions of compatibility

$$(1.1) \quad g(JZ, Z') + g(Z, JZ') = 0, \quad \nabla J = 0,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g . From these conditions, it follows that $\dim M = 2m$, g is neutral and

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Tr } J = 0, \quad N_J = 0, \quad \nabla \Omega = 0, \quad d\Omega = 0,$$

where Ω is the symplectic form determined by J and g , i.e. $\Omega(Z, Z') = g(JZ, Z')$, $\forall Z, Z' \in \Gamma TM$ (ΓTM denotes the set of sections of the tangent bundle TM).

Following [P], we set:

$$A^q(M, TM) = \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^q TM, TM\right)$$

and notice that elements of $A^q(M, TM)$ are vector valued q -forms (called also TM -valued forms). Denote by $\flat: TM \rightarrow T^*M$ the g -musical isomorphism (i.e. the canonical isomorphism defined by g) and by $d^\nabla: A^q(M, TM) \rightarrow A^{q+1}(M, TM)$ the exterior covariant derivative operator with respect to ∇ . It should be noticed that generally $d^{\nabla^2} = d^\nabla \circ d^\nabla \neq 0$, unlike $d \circ d = 0$. If we denote by $p \in M$ the generic element of M , then the canonical TM -valued 1-form $dp \in A^1(M, TM)$ is also called the soldering form of M . Since ∇ is symmetric, one has $d^\nabla(dp) = 0$.

The operator $d^\omega = d + e(\omega)$ acting on $\bigwedge M$ is called the cohomology operator [GL]; $e(\omega)$ means the exterior product by the closed 1-form $\omega \in \bigwedge^1 M$, i.e. $d^\omega u = du + \omega \wedge u$, for any $u \in \bigwedge M$ ($d^\omega \circ d^\omega = 0$). If $d^\omega u = 0$, it is said that u is d^ω -closed and if ω is exact, then u is said to be a d^ω -exact form.

Any vector field $Z \in \Gamma TM$ such that

$$d^\nabla(\nabla Z) = \nabla^2 Z = \sigma \wedge dp \in A^2(M, TM) ,$$

for some 1-form σ is said to be an exterior concurrent vector field [PRV]. The 1-form σ is called the concurrence form and is expressed by $\sigma = f^\flat(X)$, $f \in C^\infty M$.

One may consider on M a field of adapted Witt vector frames

$$W = \text{vect}\{h_a, h_{a^*} \mid a \in \{1, \dots, m\}; a^* = a + m\} ,$$

where h are null real vector fields which satisfy $g(h_a, h_{a^*}) = 1$ and all the other products are 0. With respect to the operator J , the vector fields h satisfy

$$(1.3) \quad Jh_a = h_a, \quad Jh_{a^*} = -h_{a^*} ,$$

and the above relations define a J -null vector basis on M .

If $W^* = \text{covect}\{\omega^a, \omega^{a^*}\}$ is the associated cobasis of W , then the soldering form dp , the structure 2-form Ω and the metric tensor g are expressed by

$$(1.4) \quad dp = \omega^A \otimes h_A, \quad A \in \{a, a^*\} ,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \Omega = \sum \omega^a \wedge \omega^{a^*} ,$$

$$(1.6) \quad g = \langle dp, dp \rangle = \sum \omega^a \omega^{a^*} ,$$

which shows that the para-Hermitian metric g is exchangeable with Ω .

Let now $\theta_B^A \in \wedge^1 M$ (resp. $\Theta_B^A \in \wedge^2 M$) be the local connection forms in the tangent bundle TM (resp. the curvature 2-forms in TM). Then the structure equations (E. Cartan) may be written in indexless form as:

$$(1.7) \quad \nabla h = \theta \otimes h \in A^1(M, TM) ,$$

$$(1.8) \quad d\omega = -\theta \wedge \omega ,$$

$$(1.9) \quad d\theta = -\theta \wedge \theta + \Theta .$$

By (1.1), (1.2) and (1.7) the connection forms θ satisfy

$$(1.10) \quad \theta_b^a + \theta_{a^*}^{b^*} = 0, \quad \theta_b^{a^*} = 0, \quad \theta_{b^*}^a = 0 ,$$

which shows that the connection matrix \mathcal{M}_θ is the Chern–Libermann matrix

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{M}_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_b^a & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{b^*}^{a^*} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Further by (1.10) and (1.9) one has

$$(1.12) \quad \Theta_b^a + \Theta_{a^*}^{b^*} = 0, \quad \Theta_b^{a^*} = 0, \quad \Theta_{b^*}^a = 0 .$$

We also recall that

$$(1.13) \quad \theta_R = \Sigma \theta_a^a = -\Sigma \theta_{a^*}^{a^*} ,$$

$$(1.14) \quad \Theta_R = d\theta_R = \Sigma \Theta_a^a = -\Sigma \Theta_{a^*}^{a^*}$$

are called the Ricci 1-form and the Ricci 2-form of M , respectively.

Denote now by

$$(1.15) \quad S_p = \text{span}\{h_a\}_p, \quad S_p^* = \text{span}\{h_{a^*}\}_p, \quad \forall p \in M .$$

Then S and S^* defines two self orthogonal distributions associated with the J -null vector basis $W = \text{vect}\{h_A\}$.

If $T_p M$ is the tangent space of M at $\forall p \in M$, one has the standard decomposition [L], [R1]

$$(1.16) \quad T_p M = S_p \oplus S_p^*$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad JS_p = S_p, \quad JS_p^* = S_p^* .$$

Next denote by

$$(1.18) \quad \psi = \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m ,$$

$$(1.19) \quad \psi^* = \omega^{m+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{2m}$$

the simple unit m -forms which correspond to S and S^* respectively. By (1.5), (1.10) and (1.13) exterior differentiation of (1.18) and (1.19) gives

$$(1.20) \quad d\psi = -\theta_R \wedge \psi ,$$

$$(1.21) \quad d\psi^* = \theta_R \wedge \psi^* .$$

The above equations show that both m -forms ψ and ψ^* are exterior recurrent [D] and have $-\theta_R$ and θ_R respectively as recurrence 1-forms. Hence ψ and ψ^* are locally completely integrable.

Further since ψ annihilates S^* and ψ^* annihilates S , it follows from (1.20), (1.21) and by Frobenius theorem that both distributions S and S^* are *involutive*.

It is worth to notice that in this situation $-\theta_R$ (resp. θ_R) is an element of the first class of cohomology $H^1(S^*, \mathbf{R})$ (resp. of $H^1(S, \mathbf{R})$).

Moreover, since

$$(S_p)^\perp = S_p, \quad (S_p^*)^\perp = S_p^*, \quad \Omega|_{S_p} = 0, \quad \Omega|_{S_p^*} = 0 ,$$

it is seen that S and S^* are two Lagrangian *polarizations* of the symplectic structure defined by (W, Ω) . We recall that in [R2], S and S^* have been defined as the natural polarizations of $M(J, g)$.

We conclude this section with the following meaningful remark. Consider the TM -valued 1-form

$$(1.22) \quad Jdp = \omega^a \otimes h_a - \omega^{a^*} \otimes h_{a^*} .$$

Clearly by (1.3) we have $\langle dp, Jdp \rangle = 0$ and $\langle dp, dp \rangle = -\langle Jdp, Jdp \rangle = g$.

Therefore one has the following.

Proposition. *To any para-Kählerian manifold $M(J, g)$ of soldering form dp and metric tensor g corresponds by orthogonality of line elements a para-Kählerian manifold of soldering form Jdp and metric tensor $-g$.*

2 – Principal Lagrangian submanifolds

Let now $Y^* \in S^*$ be any vector field of the self orthogonal distribution S^* . Since $i_{Y^*}\psi = 0$, then by (1.20) it quickly follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{Y^*}\psi = -\theta_R(Y^*)\psi$$

(\mathcal{L} : Lie derivative), that is Y^* defines an *infinitesimal conformal transformation* of ψ .

In similar manner, for all $Y \in S$ one gets by (1.21)

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_Y\psi^* = \theta_R(Y)\psi^* .$$

Therefore one may say that the m -form ψ (resp. the m -form ψ^*) is S^* -conformal invariant (resp. S -conformal invariant).

Further denote by $X \in \Gamma TM$ any vector field which annihilates the Ricci 1-form θ_R . Making use of (1.14) one derives from (2.1) and (2.2)

$$(2.3) \quad d(\mathcal{L}_X\psi) = -\theta_R \wedge \mathcal{L}_X\psi + \Theta_R \wedge i_X\psi ,$$

$$(2.4) \quad d(\mathcal{L}_X\psi^*) = \theta_R \wedge \mathcal{L}_X\psi^* - \Theta_R \wedge i_X\psi^* .$$

By reference to [R3], [Pap], the above equations show that the Lie derivatives with respect to X of both m -forms ψ, ψ^* are *exterior quasi recurrent* and have $\Theta_R \wedge i_X\psi$ and $-\Theta_R \wedge i_X\psi^*$ as *exterior recurrence difference* respectively.

Now, in consequence of the splitting (1.16) and of (1.4), we set

$$(2.5) \quad dp_S = \omega^a \otimes h_a, \quad dp_{S^*} = \omega^{a^*} \otimes h_{a^*} ,$$

for the line elements of the principal Lagrangian foliations S and S^* on $M(J, g)$.

Operating on dp_S and dp_{S^*} by the covariant differential operator d^∇ , one easily gets

$$d^\nabla(dp_S) = 0, \quad d^\nabla(dp_{S^*}) = 0 .$$

This shows the significant fact that dp_S (resp. dp_{S^*}) is the soldering form of the leaf M_S of S through p (resp. the leaf M_{S^*} of S^* through p).

Next we denote like usual by $*$ the Hodge star operator and recall that on an m -dimensional oriented manifold M , $*$ maps scalar or TM -valued q -forms into scalar or TM -valued $(n - q)$ -forms.

Comming back to the case under discussion, one gets by (1.2) and (2.5)

$$(2.6) \quad *dp_S = \Sigma(-1)^a \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\omega^a} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m \otimes h_a$$

(the roof $\widehat{}$ indicates the missing term).

Operating on (2.6) by d^∇ , the above relation moves after some calculations to

$$(2.7) \quad d^\nabla(*dp_S) = -\theta_R \wedge *dp_S .$$

Hence $*dp_S$ is an exterior recurrent TM -valued form, having $-\theta_R$ as recurrence 1-form. Therefore by reference to [Pap] one may say that line element dp_S is *exterior co-recurrent*.

In similar manner, one finds that the same property holds good for the line element dp_{S^*} , but with θ_R as recurrence form.

Since dp_S and dp_{S^*} are dual TM -valued forms, it follows, according to a known theorem, that the necessary and sufficient condition in order that dp_S and dp_{S^*} be *harmonic* TM -valued forms on $M(J, g)$ is that the Ricci 1-form vanishes. In this case following [Cru], it is proved that $M(J, g)$ is equipped with a *spin Euclidean connection*.

Let denote by $T_{p_S}^\perp(M_S)$ (resp. $T_{p_{S^*}}^\perp(M_{S^*})$) the normal space of M_S at $p_S \in M_S$ (resp. of M_{S^*} at $p_{S^*} \in M_{S^*}$). By (1.17), one has

$$(2.8) \quad JT_{p_S}(M_S) = T_{p_S}^\perp(M_S), \quad JT_{p_{S^*}}(M_{S^*}) = T_{p_{S^*}}^\perp(M_{S^*}) .$$

The above prove that with respect to the para-complex operator J , M_S and M_{S^*} are *anti-invariant* submanifolds [YK] of M .

In addition, if M admits a spin Euclidean connection (i.e. $\theta_R = 0$), we have seen that the soldering forms of M_S and M_{S^*} are *harmonic*. Then according to the improper immersions theory, we agree to say that M_S and M_{S^*} are improper minimal submanifolds of $M(J, g)$.

Moreover, since in the actual discussion $\theta_R = 0$, it follows by (1.20) and (1.21) that both simple unit m -forms ψ and ψ^* are *harmonic*. Then since $M_S \cap M_{S^*} = \{0\}$, we are in the condition of Tachibana's theorem [T] in case of proper immersions. As a consequence of this fact, we may say that $M(J, g)$ is the local product

$$M = M_S \times M_{S^*} ,$$

where M_S and M_{S^*} are anti-invariant and improper minimal submanifolds of $M(J, g)$.

Summarizing, we proved the following.

Theorem. *Let M_S and M_{S^*} be the two principal Lagrangian submanifolds of a $2m$ -dimensional para-Kählerian manifold $M(J, g)$. Let θ_R be the Ricci 1-form on M , dp_S and dp_{S^*} the soldering forms of M_S and M_{S^*} and ψ (resp. ψ^*) the volume element of M_S (resp. M_{S^*}). One has the following properties:*

- i) ψ (resp. ψ^*) is S^* -conformal invariant (resp. S -conformal invariant);
- ii) if X is any vector field of M which annihilates θ_R , then the Lie derivatives of ψ and ψ^* with respect to X are quasi recurrent;
- iii) dp_S and dp_{S^*} are exterior co-recurrent with $-\theta_R$ and θ_R respectively as recurrence forms;
- iv) if M admits a spin Euclidean connection (i.e. $\theta_R = 0$), then M may be viewed as the local product

$$M = M_S \times M_{S^*} ,$$

such that M_S and M_{S^*} are both improper minimal and anti-invariant submanifolds of M .

3 – J -skew symmetric Killing vector fields

We assume in this Section that a para-Kählerian manifold $M(J, g)$ carries a J -skew symmetric Killing vector field X . Then, following R. Rosca [R4] (see also [DRV], [MMR]) such a vector field is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla X = X \wedge JX \Leftrightarrow \nabla X = \flat(JX) \otimes X - \flat(X) \otimes JX ,$$

where \wedge means the wedge product of vector fields ($\wedge(X, \cdot)$ is a linear operator which is skew symmetric with respect to $\langle , \rangle, \forall X \in \Gamma TM$).

In order to simplify, we write

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha = \flat(X) , \quad \beta = \flat(JX) , \quad 2l = \|X\|^2 .$$

Setting

$$(3.3) \quad X = \Sigma(X^a h_a + X^{a^*} h_{a^*}) ,$$

then since $W = \{h\}$ is a Witt vector basis, it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha = \Sigma(X^a \omega^{a^*} + X^{a^*} \omega^a) ,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \beta = \Sigma(X^a \omega^{a^*} - X^{a^*} \omega^a) .$$

In these conditions it quickly follows from (3.1)

$$(3.6) \quad dl = 2l\beta \Rightarrow d\beta = 0 ,$$

which shows that JX is a gradient vector field.

Next making use of the structure equations (1.7) and taking account of (3.3) one derives

$$(3.7) \quad d\alpha = 2\beta \wedge \alpha \Leftrightarrow d^{-2\beta}\alpha = 0 .$$

Now since β is an exact form, the above equation shows that in terms of d^ω -cohomology α is a $d^{-2\beta}$ -exact form. On the other hand, since $(\nabla J)Z = 0$ and $J^2 = Id$, one gets from (3.1)

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla JX = \beta \otimes JX - \alpha \otimes X .$$

But since by (1.1) one has $\alpha(JX) = 0$, it follows from (3.8)

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla_{JX}JX = -\|X\|^2 JX, \quad \beta(JX) = -\|X\|^2$$

and by (3.1) one finds at once $[X, JX] = 0$. Then we may say that JX is *affine geodesic* which commutes with X . One also checks the Ricci identity

$$\mathcal{L}_Z g(X, JX) = g(\nabla_Z X, JX) + g(X, \nabla_Z JX), \quad Z \in \Gamma TM .$$

Let now Σ be the exterior differential system which defines the skew symmetric Killing vector field X . By (3.6) and (3.7) it is seen that the *characteristic numbers* of Σ are $r = 3$, $s_0 = 1$, $s_1 = 2$. Since $r = s_0 + s_1$, Σ is in involution in the sense of E. Cartan [C] and we may say that the existence of X depends on 2 arbitrary functions of 1 argument.

We denote by $D_X = \{X, JX\}$ the holomorphic distribution [GM] defined by X and JX . Then on behalf of (3.1) and (3.3), if X', X'' are any vector fields of D_X one has $\nabla_{X'}X'' \in D_X$. This as is known proves that D_X is an *autoparallel foliation* and that its leaves M_X are totally geodesic surfaces of M . Then by Frobenius' theorem put M_X^\perp the 2-codimensional submanifold orthogonal to M_X .

Since M_X^\perp is defined by $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$, it is seen by (3.1), (3.8) that X and JX are geodesic normal sections of M_X^\perp . Therefore we conclude by the following significative fact: any para-Kählerian manifold M which carries a J -skew symmetric Killing vector field X may be viewed as the local Riemannian product

$$M = M_X \times M_X^\perp ,$$

such that:

- i) M_X is a para-holomorphic totally geodesic surface tangent to X and JX ;
- ii) M_X^\perp is a 2-codimensional totally geodesic submanifold of M .

By (3.1) and (3.8) one has

$$\nabla_{JX}X + \nabla_X JX = -2\|X\|^2 X$$

and by (3.9) it is easily seen that the conditions:

- i) X is a null vector field (see [DRV]);
- ii) JX is a geodesic;
- iii) X and JX are left invariant;

are mutually equivalent.

Now following [KN], if we set

$$(3.10) \quad A_X X = -\nabla_X X = \|X\|^2 JX ,$$

one checks the general formula

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_Z \|X\|^2 = g(Z, A_X X), \quad Z \in \Gamma TM .$$

Next since $\operatorname{div} JX = \operatorname{tr}[\nabla JX]$ (Hermitian trace understood) one gets by an easy calculation $\operatorname{div} JX = -2\|X\|^2$, and taking account of (3.6) one gets

$$(3.11) \quad \operatorname{div} A_X X = -8l\|X\|^2 = -4\|X\|^2 .$$

Next by (3.6) one may write

$$\nabla 2l = \nabla \|X\|^2 = 2\|X\|^2 JX$$

(∇ denotes the gradient of a scalar) and by (3.11) one finds

$$(3.12) \quad \operatorname{div} \nabla \|X\|^2 = -8\|X\|^4 .$$

Since one has $\|\nabla \|X\|^2\|^2 = -4\|X\|^6$, it is seen by (3.12) that $\|\nabla \|X\|^2\|^2$ and $\operatorname{div} \nabla \|X\|^2$ are functions of $\|X\|^2$. Hence following a known definition (see also [W]), $\|X\|^2$ is an *isoparametric function*.

Further with respect to the Witt basis one has

$$(3.13) \quad \|\nabla X\|^2 = 2g(\nabla_{h_a} X, \nabla_{h_{a^*}} X) = 2\|X\|^4 .$$

But if $Z \in \Gamma TM$ one has as is known [P], $\Delta \|Z\|^2 = -\operatorname{div} \nabla \|Z\|^2$ and in consequence of (3.13) one gets

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta \|X\|^2 = 8\|X\|^4 .$$

Now making use of the general Bochner formula

$$2\langle \text{tr } \nabla^2 X, X \rangle + 2\|\nabla X\|^2 + \Delta\|X\|^2 = 0$$

(for any vector field X), one finds by (3.13) and (3.14)

$$(3.15) \quad \langle \text{tr } \nabla^2 X, \cdot \rangle + 6\|X\|^4 = 0 .$$

Recalling now that for any Killing vector field X one has

$$\langle \text{tr } \nabla^2 X, \cdot \rangle + \mathcal{R}(X, \cdot) = 0$$

(\mathcal{R} denotes the Ricci tensor field of ∇), one derives at once from (3.15), that in the case under discussion one has

$$\mathcal{R}(X, X) = 6\|X\|^4 \Rightarrow \text{Ric}(X) = 6\|X\|^2$$

($\text{Ric}(X)$ is the Ricci curvature of M with respect to X).

We associate to X and JX the following two null vector fields:

$$(3.16) \quad Y = X + JX \in S, \quad Y^* = X - JX \in S^* .$$

By (3.1) and (3.3) one gets at once

$$(3.17) \quad \nabla Y = (\beta - \alpha) \otimes Y, \quad \nabla Y^* = (\beta + \alpha) \otimes Y^* ,$$

which show that Y and Y^* are recurrent vector fields. It should be noticed, since Y and Y^* are null vector fields, that they are also defined as geodesic vectors.

Moreover operating on (3.17) by d^∇ a short calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} d^\nabla(\nabla Y) &= \nabla^2 Y = 2(\alpha \wedge \beta) Y , \\ d^\nabla(\nabla Y^*) &= \nabla^2 Y^* = -2(\alpha \wedge \beta) Y^* , \end{aligned}$$

which defines Y and Y^* also as *2-recurrent vector fields* [EM].

We remark that the wedging of Y and Y^* is (up to 2) the covariant derivative of JX , i.e.

$$\nabla JX = \frac{1}{2}(Y \wedge Y^*) .$$

Next operating on (3.1) and (3.8) by d^∇ , one derives

$$(3.18) \quad \nabla^2 X = 2(\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes JX, \quad \nabla^2 JX = 2(\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes X .$$

So, if we set $F = \nabla X$, we get

$$d^{\nabla^2} F = \nabla^2 X \wedge \beta - \nabla^2 JX \wedge \alpha = 0 .$$

Hence the d^{∇^2} -differential of F is closed; it follows that $\nabla^3 X$ is a 0-element of $A^3(M, TM)$.

Since $g(X, JX) = 0$, the para-holomorphic sectional curvature $K_{X \wedge JX}$ defined by X is expressed by

$$K_{X \wedge JX} = \frac{g(R(X, JX) JX, X)}{\|X\|^2 \|JX\|^2} ,$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor. But

$$R(X, JX) JX = \nabla^2 JX(X, JX)$$

and making use of (3.18) one finds

$$K_{X \wedge JX} = \|X\|^2 = \frac{1}{6} \text{Ric}(X) ,$$

which relates the para-holomorphic sectional curvature defined by X to $\text{Ric}(X)$.

We will investigate some infinitesimal transformations of the Lie algebra $\wedge M$, induced by the vector fields X and JX . By (2.7) and (3.7) one gets

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{L}_X \alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{JX} \beta = -2\|X\|^2 \beta ,$$

$$(3.20) \quad \mathcal{L}_X \beta = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{JX} \alpha = -2\|X\|^2 \alpha .$$

From above it is seen that α and β are invariant by X and that JX defines infinitesimal conformal transformations of α and β . In particular, X is a self-invariant vector field whilst JX is a self-conformal vector field.

We recall now that the bracket $[,]$ of two T^*M -valued forms $F = Z_i \omega^i$, $F' = Z'_i \omega'^i$ is defined by

$$[F, F'] = [Z_i, Z'_j] \omega^i \wedge \omega'^j$$

and the Lie derivative of $[,]$ with respect to a vector field U is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_U [F, F'] = [F, \mathcal{L}_U F'] + [\mathcal{L}_U F, F'] .$$

Comming back to the case under discussion and setting $F = \nabla X$, $F' = \nabla JX$ one gets by (3.19), (3.20)

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{L}_X [\nabla X, \nabla JX] = 0 ,$$

$$(3.22) \quad \mathcal{L}_{JX} [\nabla X, \nabla JX] = -4\|X\|^2 [\nabla X, \nabla JX] .$$

Hence the bracket $[\nabla X, \nabla JX]$ is invariant by X and JX defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of $[\nabla X, \nabla JX]$ having $-4\|X\|^2$ as conformal scalar.

Next following [LM] we denote by

$$Z \mapsto -i_Z \Omega = \Omega^b(Z) = {}^b Z$$

the symplectic isomorphism defined by the structure form of $M(J, g)$.

Set \mathcal{V} (resp. \mathcal{V}^*) for the symplectic vector space (resp. its dual). Then if ω is any form, its dual with respect to Ω is expressed by $\omega^\sharp: \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

Comming back to the case under discussion one quickly gets by (1.1) and (3.3)

$$(3.23) \quad \beta = b(JX) = -{}^b X \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_X \Omega = 0 .$$

But since β is an exact form, it follows according to a known definition that X is a global *Hamiltonian* vector field of Ω .

Further by (3.6) is proved the salient fact that $\frac{1}{2} \lg \frac{\|X\|^2}{2}$ is a *Hamiltonian function* of the symplectic form Ω . One may also say that X is a gradient of $\frac{1}{2} \lg \frac{\|X\|^2}{2}$.

Moreover consider the vector field

$$X_\nu = c JX + \nu X, \quad c = \text{const.}, \quad \nu \in C^\infty M .$$

One has

$${}^b X_\nu = -c\beta - \nu \alpha$$

and if ν satisfies

$$d\nu + 2\nu\beta = 0 \Rightarrow l\nu = \text{const.} ,$$

then $\mathcal{L}_{X_\nu} \Omega = 0$. Hence we may say that X_ν is a local Hamiltonian of Ω .

It should be noticed that if $\mathcal{E}_\Omega^*(M) = \{df^\sharp; f \in \Lambda^0 M\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\Omega(M) = \{\omega^\sharp; \omega \in \Lambda^1 M\}$ denote the space of globally Hamiltonian vector fields and the space of local Hamiltonian vector fields, then as is known [G] one has $\mathcal{E}_\Omega^*(M) \subset \mathcal{E}_\Omega(M)$.

Therefore the following theorem is proved.

Theorem. *Let $M(J, g)$ be a para-Kählerian manifold. The existence on M of a J -skew symmetric Killing vector field X is determined by an exterior differential system in involution.*

Any M which carries such an X may be viewed as the local Riemannian product $M = M_X \times M_X^\perp$, such that:

- 1) M_X is a totally geodesic para-holomorphic surface tangent to X and JX ;
- 2) M_X^\perp is a 2-codimensional totally geodesic submanifold of M .

The following properties are induced by X :

- i) JX is an affine geodesic which commutes with X ;
- ii) $\|X\|^2$ is an isoparametric function and the Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(X)$ of M with respect to X and the para-holomorphic sectional curvature $K_{X \wedge JX}$ defined by X are related by

$$\text{Ric}(X) = 6\|X\|^2 = 6K_{X \wedge JX} ;$$
- iii) with X are associated two null (real) vector fields $Y = X + JX$, $Y^* = X - JX$ which enjoy the property to be 1-recurrent and 2-recurrent;
- iv) the dual form $\flat(X) = \alpha$ (resp. $\flat(JX) = \beta$) of X (resp. JX) are invariant by X and JX defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of both α and β , having $-2\|X\|^2$ as conformal scalar;
- v) X is a global Hamiltonian vector field of Ω and any vector field $X_\nu = cJX + \nu X$ ($c = \text{const.}$, $\nu \in C^\infty M$) such that $\nu\|X\|^2 = \text{const.}$ is a local Hamiltonian;
- vi) the Lie bracket $[\nabla X, \nabla JX]$ is invariant by X , that is $\mathcal{L}_X[\nabla X, \nabla JX] = 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT – The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [C] CARTAN, E. – *Systèmes Différentiels Extérieurs; Applications Géométriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1945.
- [Cr] CRUCEANU, V. – Une structure para-Kählerienne sur le fibré tangent, *Tensor N.S.*, 34 (1982), 81–84.
- [CFG] CRUCEANU, V., FORTUNY, P. and GADEA, P.M. – *Para-Hermitian and para-Kähler manifolds*, preprint.
- [Cru] CRUMEYROLLE, A. – Derivations, formes et opérateurs sur les champs spinoriels des variétés différentiables de dimension paire, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, 16 (1972), 182–190.
- [D] DATTA, D.K. – Exterior recurrent forms on a manifold, *Tensor N.S.*, 36 (1982), 115–120.
- [DRV] DEFEVER, F., ROSCA, R. and VERSTRAELEN, L. – On null Killing vector fields, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, 1(5) (1992), 239–253.
- [EM] ENGHIS, P. and MARGINEANU, S. – Relations between Riemann spaces with birecurrent tensors, *Babes-Bolyai Univ. Math. Res. Sem.*, 2 (1988), 65–68.
- [GM] GADEA, P.M. and MONTESINOS AMILIBIA, A. – Some geometric properties of para-Kählerian space forms, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Cagliari*, 59 (1989), 131–145.
- [G] GRABOWSKI, J. – *Derivations of the Lie algebras associated with a symplectic structure*, Differential Geometry and Its Applications; Proceedings, Brno, 1986.
- [GL] GUEDIRA, F. and LICHNEROWICZ, A. – Géométrie des algèbres de Lie locales de Kirilov, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 63 (1984), 407–484.

- [KN] KOBAYASHI, S. and NOMIZU, K. – *Foundations of Differential Geometry II*, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [L] LIBERMANN, P. – Sur le problème d'équivalence de certaines structures infinitésimales, *Ann. di Mat.*, 36 (1954), 27–120.
- [LM] LIBERMANN, P. and MARLE, C.M. – *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht/Boston, 1987.
- [MMR] MATSUMOTO, K., MIHAI, I. and ROSCA, R. – A certain locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold and its submanifolds, *Tensor N.S.*, 51 (1992), 91–102.
- [Pap] PAPADOPOULOS, A. – Exterior recurrent and co-recurrent forms on a manifold, *Tensor N.S.*, 40 (1983), 103–106.
- [Pa] PATTERSON, F.M. – Riemannian extensions which have Kähler metrics, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 64 (1954), 113–126.
- [PRV] PETROVIC, M., ROSCA, R. and VERSTRAELEN, L. – Exterior concurrent vector fields on a Riemannian manifold, *Soochow J. Math.*, 15 (1989), 179–187.
- [P] POOR, W.A. – *Differential Geometric Structures*, Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [Ra] RASHEVSKY, K. – The scalar field in a stratified space, *Trudy Sem. Vekt. Tens. Anal.*, 6 (1968), 225–248.
- [RMG] REYES, E., MONTESINOS AMILIBIA, A. and GADEA, P.M. – Connections partially adapted to a metric ($J^4 = 1$)-structure, *Colloq. Math.*, 54 (1987), 216–229.
- [R1] ROSCA, R. – Para-Kählerian manifolds carrying a pair of concurrent self-orthogonal vector fields, *Abh. Math. Hamburg*, 46 (1977), 205–215.
- [R2] ROSCA, R. – CR -sous-variétés coisotropes d'une variété para-Kählerienne, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 298 (1984), 149–151.
- [R3] ROSCA, R. – Variétés neutres \widetilde{M} admettant une structure conforme symplectique et feuilletage co-isotrope, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 300 (1985), 631–634.
- [R4] ROSCA, R. – Skew symmetric Killing vector fields on a Kenmotsu manifold, *Atti Acad. Peloritana dei Pericolanti*, 66 (1988).
- [R5] ROSCA, R. – ϕ -skew symmetric Killing vector fields on a Sasakian manifold, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Messina*, to appear.
- [T] TACHIBANA, S. – On harmonic simple unit forms, *Tensor N.S.*, 27 (1973), 123–130.
- [W] WEST, A. – *Isoparametric systems*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, 222–230, World Scientific, Singapore, 1981.
- [YK] YANO, K. and KON, M. – *Anti Invariant Submanifolds*, M. Dekker, New York, 1970.

I. Mihai and L. Nicolescu,
Faculty of Mathematics,
Str. Academiei 14, 70109 Bucharest – ROMANIA

and

R. Rosca,
59 Avenue Emile Zola,
75015 Paris – FRANCE