

ON SUMS INVOLVING RECIPROCAL OF CERTAIN LARGE ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS (II)

Tizuo Xuan

Abstract. Let $\beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p$ and $B(n) = \sum_{p^\alpha || n} \alpha p$. Let $p(n)$ denote the largest prime factor of an integer $n \geq 2$. In the present paper we sharpen the asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B(n)/\beta(n)$ and we derive an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} (B(n) - \beta(n))/p(n)$.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let $\beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p$ and $B(n) = \sum_{p^\alpha || n} \alpha p$.

In [2] it was proved that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B(n)/\beta(n) = x + O(x \exp(-c_1(\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2})), \quad c_1 > 0,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \beta(n)/B(n) = x + O(x \exp(-c_2(\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2})), \quad c_2 > 0.$$

The above results were slightly sharpened in [6]. Let us define $p(n)$ as the largest prime factor of $n \geq 2$, and $p(1) = 1$. In [3] it was proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} 1/p(n) = x\delta(x) \left(1 + O((\log_2 x / \log x)^{1/2}) \right),$$

where

$$\delta(x) = \int_2^\infty \rho\left(\frac{\log x}{\log t}\right) t^{-2} dt.$$

Here $\rho(u)$ is the so-called "Dickman function", which is the solution of the differential-difference equation $u\rho'(u) + \rho(u-1) = 0$, ($u > 1$), with the initial

condition $\rho(u) = 1$, ($0 \leq u \leq 1$), $\rho(u)$ continuous at $u = 1$. An approximation to $\rho(u)$ in terms of elementary functions is

$$(1.3) \quad \rho(u) = \exp\{-u(\log u + \log_2 u - 1 + \log_2 u / \log u + O(1/\log u))\},$$

where $\log_2 u = \log \log u$. The asymptotic formula (1.3) was established by Hua [5] and de Bruijn [1], independently.

In [8], we proved that

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\beta(n) = (D + O(\log_3^2 x / \log_2 x)) \sum_{n \leq x} 1/p(n),$$

where $1/2 < D < 1$ is an absolute constant.

One of the aims of the present paper is to provide sharpenings of (1.1) and (1.2). The results are contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{B(n)}{\beta(n)} = x + \frac{1}{2} D \log x \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \right) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\beta(n)}{B(n)} = x - \frac{1}{2} D \log x \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \right) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)},$$

where D is the same as in (1.4).

Moreover, in [9] we proved that

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{p^r(n)} = x \exp\left\{- (2r \log x \log_2 x)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{r}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 x}\right) \right)\right\},$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{B(n) - \beta(n)}{p(n)} = x \exp\left\{- (2r \log x \log_2 x)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{r}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 x}\right) \right)\right\},$$

where $r > 0$ is arbitrary but fixed.

Another aim of the present paper is to provide sharpenings of (1.8). The result is:

THEOREM 2.

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{B(n) - \beta(n)}{p(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log x \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \right) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.$$

By Theorem 1 and 2, we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{B(n) - \beta(n)}{\beta(n)} \sim D \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{B(n) - \beta(n)}{p(n)}.$$

It seems interesting to compare the sums involving reciprocals of $\beta(n)$ with the sums involving reciprocals of $p(n)$ [8]:

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} \sim D \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)}, \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\omega(n)}{\beta(n)} \sim D \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\omega(n)}{p(n)},$$

and

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n) - \omega(n)}{\beta(n)} \sim D \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n) - \omega(n)}{p(n)},$$

where $\Omega(n)$ and $\omega(n)$ denote respectively the number of prime factors of n counted with and without multiplicities.

2. The necessary lemmas

LEMMA 1 [7]. *Let*

$$L_1 = \exp \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \log x \log_2 x \right)^{1/2} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x} \right) \right], \quad \text{and}$$

$$L_2 = \exp \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \log x \log_2 x \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x} \right) \right].$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)} = \sum_{L_1 < p \leq L_2} \frac{1}{p} \Psi \left(\frac{x}{p}, p \right) (1 + O(\log^{-A} x)),$$

where $A > 0$ is arbitrary but fixed, and $\Psi(x, y)$ denotes the number of positive integers not exceeding x , all of whose prime factors do not exceed y .

LEMMA 2 [4]. *For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \geq 3$, $\exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\} \leq y \leq x$, we have uniformly*

$$\Psi(x, y) = x \rho(u) \left(1 + O \left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y} \right) \right), \quad u = \frac{\log x}{\log y}.$$

LEMMA 3 [8]. *For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $1 \leq d \leq y$, $\exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\} \leq y \leq x^{1/2}$ we have uniformly*

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(x/d, y) = \Psi(x, y) d^{-\beta} \left(1 + O(1/u) + \left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y} \right) \right),$$

where

$$\beta = \beta(x, y) = 1 - \frac{\xi(\log x / \log y)}{\log y};$$

here $\xi(u)$ denotes the positive solution of the equation

$$(2.2) \quad e^\xi = u\xi + 1, \quad (u > 1),$$

and satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \xi(u) = \log u + O(\log_2(u + 2)), \quad u \rightarrow \infty.$$

LEMMA 4 [8]. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$1 \leq d \leq y, \quad \exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\} \leq y \leq x,$$

we have uniformly

$$\Psi(x/d, y) \ll \Psi(x, y)d^{-\beta}.$$

3. Proofs of the Theorems

We shall only give a detailed proof of Theorem 1, since Theorem 2 may be obtained in a similar and simpler way.

By the definition of $B(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ we have (p, q denote primes):

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} W(x) &:= \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{B(n) - \beta(n)}{\beta(n)} = \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x} (\alpha - 1)q \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x, q^\alpha || n} \frac{1}{\beta(n)} \\ &= \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x} (\alpha - 1)q \sum_{q < p_1 \leq x/q^\alpha} \sum_{m_1 \leq x/q^\alpha p_1, p(m_1) \leq p_1, (q, m_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q + \beta(m_1 p_1)} \\ &\quad + O\left(\sum_{q^\alpha \leq x} (\alpha - 1)\Psi(xq^{-\alpha}, q)\right) = W_1 + O(W_2), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that

$$W_1 = \sum_{p_1 \leq x} \sum_{\substack{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1, \\ q < p_1}} (\alpha - 1)q \sum_{\substack{m_1 \leq x/q^\alpha p_1 \\ p(m_1) \leq p_1, (q, m_1) = 1}} \frac{1}{q + \beta(m_1 p_1)}.$$

We may write

$$(3.2) \quad W_1 = \sum_{p_1 \leq z_1} + \sum_{z_1 < p_1 \leq L_1} + \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2} + \sum_{L_2 < p_1 \leq x} = W_3 + W_4 + W_5 + W_6,$$

where $z_1 = \exp\{(1/10)(\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2}\}$, and L_1 and L_2 are defined in Lemma 1. Let $R = (\log x \log_3 x / \log_2 x) \sum_{n \leq x} 1/p(n)$; we have

$$W_3 \leq \sum_{p_1 \leq z_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1, q < p_1} (\alpha - 1)q\Psi(xq^{-\alpha}p_1^{-1}, p_1)$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\ll \log^2 x \left(\sum_{p_1 \leq z_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \sum_{q \leq z_1} q \Psi(xq^{-2}, z_1) \\ &\ll x \exp\{-4(\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2}\} \ll R, \end{aligned}$$

since by Lemma 2 and (1.3) we have $\Psi(xq^{-2}, z_1) \ll xq^{-2} \exp\{-4.5(\log x \log_2 x)^{1/2}\}$. Using Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_4 &\leq \sum_{z_1 < p_1 \leq L_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1, q < p_1} (\alpha - 1)q \Psi(xq^{-\alpha} p_1^{-1}, p_1) \\ &\ll \sum_{z_1 < p_1 \leq L_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \Psi(x/p_1, p_1) \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1, q < p_1} (\alpha - 1)q^{1-\alpha(1-\delta')} \\ &\ll \sum_{z_1 < p_1 \leq L_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \Psi(x/p_1, p_1) \sum_{q < p_1} q^{-1+2\delta'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta' = (\log p_1)^{-1} \xi(\log(x/p_1)/\log p_1)$. By (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$q^{2\delta'} \leq \exp\left(2\xi\left(\frac{\log(x/p_1)}{\log p_1}\right)\right) \ll \log x \log_2 x,$$

for $z_1 < p_1 \leq L_1$. Therefore using Lemma 1 we obtain:

$$(3.4) \quad W_4 \ll \log^2 x \sum_{z_1 < p_1 \leq L_1} \frac{1}{p_1} \Psi(x/p_1, p_1) \ll R.$$

Similarly we have $W_6 \ll R$.

Now we come to the estimation of W_5 in (3.2). We consider separately the cases $p(m_1) < p_1$ and $p(m_1) = p_1$ and obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} W_5 &= \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1, q < p_1} (\alpha - 1)q \\ &\times \sum_{m_1 \leq x/q^\alpha p_1, p(m_1) < p_1, (q, m_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q + p_1 + \beta(m_1)} \\ &+ O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha - 1)q}{p_1} \Psi(xq^{-\alpha} p_1^{-2}, p_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by W'_5 the main term on the right-hand side of (3.5) we may write

$$W'_5 = \sum_{L_1 < p(m_1) < p_1, p(m_1) \parallel m_1} + \sum_{L_1 < p(m_1) < p_1, p^2(m_1) \parallel m_1} + \sum_{p(m_1) \leq L_1}.$$

Then we have

$$W'_5 = \sum_{L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \sum_{\substack{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 p_2 \\ q < p_1, q \neq p_2}} (\alpha - 1)q \sum_{\substack{m_2 \leq x/q^\alpha p_1 p_2 \\ p(m_2) < p_2, (q, m_2) = 1}} \frac{1}{q + p_1 + p_2 + \beta(m_2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2} \sum_{L_1 < p_2 < p_1} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 p_2, q < p_1} (\alpha - 1) q p_1^{-1} \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 p_2^2, p_2)\right) \\
& + O\left(\sum_{L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2} \sum_{p_2 \leq L_1} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 p_2, q < p_1} (\alpha - 1) q p_1^{-1} \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 p_2, p_2)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as before, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad W_5 = W_5'' + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^s W_{7j}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=2}^s W_{8j}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} W_{9j}\right),$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad W_5'' = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{\substack{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s \\ q < p_1}} (\alpha - 1) q \sum_{\substack{m_s \leq x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s \\ p(m_s) < p_s, (q, m_s) = 1}} \frac{1}{q + p_1 + \dots + p_s + \beta(m_s)},$$

where the ranges of summation in the above sums p_1, \dots, p_s are $L_1 < p_1 \leq L_2$, $L_1 < p_2 < p_1, \dots, L_1 < p_s < p_{s-1}$, and $s \leq \log_3 x$ is a large number which will be chosen later and

$$(3.8) \quad W_{7j} = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_j} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_j, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha - 1) q}{p_1} \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_{j-1} p_j^2, p_j),$$

$$(3.9) \quad W_{8j} = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_{j-1}} \sum_{p_j \leq L_1} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_j, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha - 1) q}{p_1} \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_j, p_j),$$

$$(3.10) \quad W_{9j} = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_j} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_j, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha - 1) q}{p_1} \Psi(x/q^{\alpha+1} p_1 \dots p_j, q).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{q + p_1 + \dots + p_s + \beta(m_s)} = \frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} + O(q p_1^{-2}) + O(p_1^{-2} \beta(m_s)),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{m_s \leq x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s \\ p(m_s) < p_s, (q, m_s) = 1}} 1 = \Psi\left(\frac{x}{q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s}, p_s\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{x}{q^{\alpha+1} p_1 \dots p_s}, p_s\right) + O(W_{7s}),$$

we have further

$$W_5'' = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{\substack{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s \\ q < p_1, \alpha \geq 2}} \frac{q}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s, p_s)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + O\left(\sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha-1)q^2}{p_1^2} \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s, p_s)\right) \\
& + O\left(\sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha-1)q}{p_1^2} \sum_{\substack{m_s \leq x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s \\ p(m_s) < p_s, (q, m_s)=1}} \beta(m_s)\right) \\
& + O(W_{7s}) = W_{10} + O(W_{11}) + O(W_{12}) + O(W_{7s}), \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate first W_{10} . We consider separately the cases $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha \geq 3$ and by using Lemmas 3 and 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{10} &= \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \frac{\Psi(x/p_1 \dots p_s, p_s)}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} \sum_{q < p_1} q^{-1+2\delta_1} (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x)) \\
&+ O\left(\sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \frac{\Psi(x/p_1 \dots p_s, p_s)}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} \sum_{\substack{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s \\ q < p_1, \alpha \geq 3}} q^{1-\alpha(1-\delta_1)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_i = \frac{1}{\log p_s} \xi\left(\frac{\log(x/p_i \dots p_s)}{\log p_s}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Using partial summation and the prime number theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q < p_1} q^{-1+2\delta_1} &= \int_{e^{1/\delta_1}}^{p_1} z^{-1+2\delta_1} \log^{-1} z \, dz (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x)) \\
&= (\log_2 x)^{-1} p_1^{2\delta_1} (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s, q < p_1, \alpha \geq 3} q^{1-\alpha(1-\delta_1)} \ll 1.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$W_{10} = \frac{1}{\log_2 x} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \frac{\Psi(x/p_1 \dots p_s, p_s)}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} p_1^{2\delta_1} (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x)).$$

By (4.13) of [8] we have $p^{\delta_i} = p^\delta (1 + O(\log_3 x / \log_2 x))$ for $L_1 < p \leq L_2$, where $\delta = \delta_s$. Moreover by (4.6), (4.16), (4.18) and (4.31) of [8], we have

$$\sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \frac{\Psi(x/p_1 \dots p_s, p_s)}{p_1 + \dots + p_s} = \left(D + O\left(\frac{\log_3^2 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right) \sum_{L_1 < p \leq L_2} \frac{\Psi(x/p, p)}{p}.$$

Similarly, in a way analogous to the above we have for W_{10}

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad W_{10} &= D(\log_2 x)^{-1} (1 + O(\log_3^2 x / \log_2 x)) \sum_{L_1 < p \leq L_2} p^{-1+2\delta} \Psi(xp^{-1}, p) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} D \log x (1 + O(\log_3^2 x / \log_2 x)) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we come to the estimation of W_{12} in (3.11). By the definition of $\beta(m)$ and Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{12} &\leq \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{q^\alpha \leq x/p_1 \dots p_s, q < p_1} \frac{(\alpha-1)q}{p_1^2} \sum_{p < p_s} p \Psi(x/q^\alpha p_1 \dots p_s p, p_s) \\ &\ll \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{p < p_s} \frac{p}{p_1^2} \Psi(x/p_1 \dots p_s p, p_s) p_1^{2\delta_1} (\log_2 x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.19) of [8]

$$\sum_{p_1, \dots, p_s} \sum_{p < p_s} \frac{p}{p_1^2} \Psi(x/p_1 \dots p_s p, p_s) \ll \frac{1}{\log_2 x} \sum_{L_1 < p \leq L_2} \frac{\Psi(x/p, p)}{p} \ll R.$$

Similarly

$$(3.13) \quad W_{12} \ll R.$$

Similarly we have also

$$(3.14) \quad W_{11}, W_{7j}, W_{8j}, W_{9j} \ll R.$$

By putting (3.12)–(3.14) into (3.11) and (3.11), (3.14) into (3.6) and finally (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) into (3.2) we get

$$W_1 = \frac{1}{2} D \log x (1 + O(\log_3^2 x / \log_2 x)) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to prove that

$$W_2 \ll R,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. G. de Bruijn, *The asymptotic behaviour of a function occurring in the theory of primes*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **15** (1951), 25–32.
- [2] J.-M. De Koninck, P. Erdős and A. Ivić, *Reciprocals of large additive functions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** (1981), 225–231.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. Ivić and C. Pomerance, *On sums involving reciprocals of the largest prime factor of an integer*, Glasnik Matematički **21** (41) (1986), 283–300.
- [4] A. Hildebrand, *On the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors $> y$* , J. Number Theory **22** (1986), 289–307.
- [5] L. G. Hua, *Estimation of an integral*, Scientia Sinica **2** (1951), 393–402, (in Chinese).
- [6] A. Ivić and C. Pomerance, *Estimates of certain sums involving the largest prime factor of an integer*, in: Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **34**, *Topics in Classical Number Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 769–789.
- [7] A. Ivić, *On some estimates involving the number of prime divisors of an integer*, Acta Arith. **49** (1987), 21–33.
- [8] T. Z. Xuan, *On sums involving reciprocals of certain large additive functions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade) **41** (55) (1989), 41–55.
- [9] T. Z. Xuan, *Sums of certain large additive functions*, J. Beijing Normal Univ. (N.S.) 1984, No **2**, 11–18, (in Chinese).

Department of Mathematics
Beijing Normal University
100088 Beijing, China

(Received 18 01 1989)