## ON THE QUOTIENT $(\Omega, m)$ -RINGOIDS

## Sin-Min Lee

**Abstract.** Universal algebras considered in this paper are generalizations of rings, distributive lattices, semirings and composite rings. We consider the quotient ring construction of  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid and extend a result of Crombez and Timm about (n, m)-rings.

1. Introduction. An algebra A of a variety V is said to be *simple* if its congruence lattice Con(A) is isomorphic to the two-element chain. A variety V of algebras is said to have the *simple extension property* if any algebra A in V can be embedded into a simple algebra B in V. For each integer  $m \geq 2$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{G}(m)$  the variety of all m-groupoids, i.e. algebras with m-ary operation. It was shown in [5] that  $\mathcal{G}(m)$  has the simple extension property.

However, there exist many varieties of algebras which do not have the simple extension property for example, the variety of semilattices and the variety of associative  $p^k$ -rings. If V is such a variety then it is natural to investigate what kind of algebras in V can be embedded in simple algebras. For the variety of commutative rings, Grell [4] introduced the construction of quotient rings of commutative rings in 1927. The classical result in ring theory states that the quotient ring of an integral domain is a simple ring.

In [2], Crombez introduced a variety of algebras of type  $\langle n, m \rangle$  which he called the (n, m)-rings. If n = m = 2, the (2, 2)-rings are the ordinary associative rings. In [3], Crombez and Timm generalized the usual concept of integral domain and field in ring theory to (n, m)-rings and they showed that any commutative (n, m)-integral domain can be embedded into an (n, m)-field.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a variety of algebras which we call  $(\Omega,m)$ -ringoids. The  $(\Omega,m)$ -ringoids are a generalization of (n,m)-rings, distributive lattices, semirings and commutative composite rings of Irving Adler [1], etc. We show that the result of Crombez and Timm can be generalized to  $(\Omega,m)$ -ringoids. However, an example is shown that the  $(\Omega,m)$ -field need not be simple.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 08 A 05

Key-words and phrases: ringoid, m-semigroups, congruence, integral domain, simple, lattices and composite ring.

**2. Definitions and Examples.** Let m > 1 be an integer. We recall the following concept (see [6]).

Definition 2.1. An m-groupoid  $A = \langle A, [ ] \rangle$  is called an m-semigroup if it satisfies the following generalized associative law:

$$[[x_1 x_2 \dots x_n] x_{n+1} \dots x_{2n-1}] = [x_1 [x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n+1}] x_{n+2} \dots x_{2n-1}]$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= [x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} [x_n x_{n+1} \dots x_{2n-1}]].$$

For simplicity, we shall denote the element  $[x_1 \dots x_m]$  simply by  $x_1 \dots x_n$ .

Definition 2.2. A universal aglebra  $\langle A, \Omega, [ ] \rangle$  is called an  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid if

- (1)  $\langle A, [] \rangle$  is an *m*-semigroup,
- (2)  $\Omega$  is a set of operations such that each of them has arity greater than one,
- (3) [] is distributive with resect to every w in  $\Omega$  i.e. if w is an n-ary operation then for any  $a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$  of elements of A, we have

$$a_{2}a_{3} \dots a_{i} w (b_{1}, \dots, b_{n}) a_{i+1} \dots a_{m}$$

$$= w(a_{2} \dots a_{i}b_{1}a_{i+1} \dots a_{m}, a_{2} \dots a_{i}b_{2}a_{i+1} \dots a_{m}, \dots, a_{2} \dots a_{i}b_{n}a_{i+1} \dots a_{m})$$

for each i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Definition 2.3. An  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid is said to be commutative if for each  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in A$  and any permutation  $\sigma \in S(m)$  of  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$  we have  $x_1 \ldots x_m = x_{\sigma(1)} \ldots x_{\sigma(m)}$ , i.e.  $\langle A; [\ ] \rangle$  is a commutative m-semigroup.

Definition 2.4. Adler [1] called the following algebra  $\langle R, +, \circ \rangle$  a composition ring: (1)  $\langle R, +, \circ \rangle$  is a commutative ring; (2)  $\langle R, \circ \rangle$  is a semigroup such that  $(f+g) \circ h = f \circ h + g \circ h$ ,  $(f \times g) \circ h = f \circ h \times g \circ h$ , for all f, g, h in R.

If  $(R, \circ)$  is commutative then we have a commutative  $(\{+, \times\}, 2)$ -ringoid.

Example 2.5. The (n, m)-ring  $\langle A; w, [\;] \rangle$  of Crombez [2] is an  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid where  $\Omega = \{w\}$  and

- (1)  $\langle A; w \rangle$  is commutative *n*-semigroup;
- (2) for each  $g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n, h \in A$ , a unique solution in the indeterminate  $x_i$  exists for the equation  $w(g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, x_i, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n) = h$ , for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

For a more general theory of (n, m)-rings see [7] and [8].

Example 2.6. Any semiring  $\langle A, +, \circ \rangle$  is an  $(\Omega, 2)$ -ringoid where  $\Omega = \{+\}$ . In particular, the distributive lattice  $\langle L; \vee, \wedge \rangle$  is a  $(\{\vee\}, 2)$ -ringoid.

Example 2.7. Let  $\langle A; + \rangle$  be a group. Define a ternary operation w on A as follows: w(x, y, z) = x - y + z; then  $\langle A; w, + \rangle$  is an  $(\Omega, 2)$ -ringoid where  $\Omega = \{w\}$ . For we have

$$w(x+a,y+a,z+a) = (x+a) - (y+a) + (z+a) = x - y + z + a = w(x,y,z) + a$$

22

Lee

and also w(a + x, a + y, a + z) = a + w(x, y, z) for any  $x, y, z, a \in A$ .

Definition 2.8. A zero of  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid is an element z such that  $zx_1 \ldots x_{m-1} = x_1 z \ldots x_{m-1} = \ldots = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_{m-1} z = z$  for all  $x_i$  in A.

If a zero exists, it is unique and denoted by 0. Put  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$  if 0 exists and  $R^* = R$  otherwise.

Example 2.9. If  $\langle R, +, \circ \rangle$  is a (2, 2)-ring we define w(x, y, z) = x + y + z; then  $\langle R; w, +, \circ \rangle$  is an  $(\Omega, 2)$ -ring with a zero.

Example 2.10. Let R be a set of all odd integers. We define a ternary operation w(x,y,z)=x+y+z and a binary operation  $x\circ y=x\times y$ ; then  $\langle R;w,\circ\rangle$  is a  $(\{w\},2)$ -ringoid without a zero.

Example 2.11. An m-semigroup  $\langle A; [\ ] \rangle$  is called right (resp. left) cancellative with respect to  $M \subseteq A$ , if for  $b_i \in M$  and  $a_i, c_i$  in A, we have

(1) 
$$a_1b_2 \dots b_m = c_1b_2 \dots b_m$$
 implies  $a_1 = c_1$ , resp.

$$(2) b_1 \dots b_{m-1} a_m = b_1 \dots b_{m-1} c_m implies a_m = c_m.$$

Crombez and Timm [3] showed that a right and left cancellative semigroup (with respect to M) is cancellative (with respect to M) i.e. for  $i=1,\ldots,m$ , we have

$$b_1 \dots b_{i-1} a_i b_{i+1} \dots b_m = b_1 \dots b_{i-1} c_i b_{i+1} \dots b_m$$
 implies  $a_i = c_i$ .

**3.** A construction of quotient  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoids. Let  $\langle A, \Omega, [\;] \rangle$  be a commutative  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid such that  $\langle A; [\;] \rangle$  is cancellative with respect to a (non-empty) m-subsemigroup S. We define a relation  $\equiv$  on  $A \times S^{m-1}$  as follows:

$$(a, s_2, \dots, s_m) \equiv (b, s'_2, \dots, s'_m)$$
 if  $as'_2 \dots s'_m = bs_2 \dots s_m$ .

Lemma 3.12. The relation  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Clearly  $\equiv$  is reflexive and symmetric. To see that  $\equiv$  is transitive, we let  $(a,s_2,\ldots,s_m)\equiv(b,s_2',\ldots,s_m')$  and  $(b,s_2',\ldots,s_m')\equiv(c,s_2'',\ldots,s_m')$ ; then we have  $as_2'\ldots s_m'=bs_2\ldots s_m$  and  $bs_2''\ldots s_m''=cs_2'\ldots s_m'$ .

Hence  $as_2'' \dots s_m'' s_2' \dots s_m' = as_2' \dots s_m' s_2'' \dots s_m'' = bs_2 \dots s_m s_2'' \dots s_m''$ 

Therefore we have  $as_2'' \dots s_m'' = cs_2 \dots s_m$ , which shows that  $(a, s_2, \dots, s_m) = (c, s_2'', \dots, s_m'')$ .

We shall denote the equivalence class of  $A_S=A\times S^{m-1}/\equiv$  which contains  $(a,s_2,\ldots,s_m)$  by  $\frac{a}{s_2\ldots s_m}$ .

For each n-ary  $w \in \Omega$  we define

$$w\left(\frac{a_1}{s_{12}\dots s_{1m}},\dots,\frac{a_n}{s_{n2}\dots s_{nm}}\right)$$

$$=\frac{w(a_1s_{22}\dots s_{nm},\dots,a_ns_{12}\dots s_{1m}\dots s_{(n-1)2}\dots s_{(n-1)m})}{s_{12}\dots s_{1m}\dots s_{n2}\dots s_{nm}},$$

and

$$\left[\frac{a_1}{s_{12} \dots s_{1m}}, \dots, \frac{a_m}{s_{m_2} \dots s_{mm}}\right] = \frac{a_1 \dots a_m}{s_{12} \dots s_{1m} \dots s_{m_2} \dots s_{mm}}.$$

We have

Theorem 3.13. The algebra  $\langle A_S; \Omega, [\;] \rangle$  is an  $(\Omega,m)$ -ringoid. If A has a zero then  $A_S$  has a zero and the map  $\mu: A \mapsto A_S$  defined by  $\mu(a) = \frac{as_2 \dots s_m}{s_2 \dots s_m}$  is an injective homomorphism.

We shall call  $\langle A_S; \Omega, [ ] \rangle$  the quotient  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid of A with respect to S.

A commutative  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid is called a generalized integral domain if it is cancellative. If for each  $w \in \Omega$  the n-groupoid  $\langle A; w \rangle$  is a commutative n-group and the  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid is a generalized integral domain then it is called an  $(\Omega, m)$ -integral domain.

Theorem 3.15. Let  $\langle A; \Omega, [\ ] \rangle$  be a commutative  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid such that  $\langle A; [\ ] \rangle$  is cancellative with respect to an m-subsemigroup S. Then  $\langle A_S; [\ ] \rangle$  is cancellative with respect to its m-subgroup  $S_S = \left\{ \frac{s_1}{s_2 \dots s_m} : s_i \in S \right\}$ .

Theorem 3.16. Let  $\langle A; \Omega, [\;] \rangle$  be an  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid with zero 0 such that some n-ary operation w in  $\Omega$  is a commutative n-group operation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\langle A; \Omega, [ ] \rangle$  is cancellative.
- (2)  $\langle A^*; [ ] \rangle$  is a cancellative m-semigroup.
- (3)  $\langle A^*; [ ] \rangle$  is an m-subsemigroup.

Definition 3.17. A commutative  $(\Omega, m)$ -ringoid  $\langle A; \Omega, [\ ] \rangle$  is called an  $(\Omega, m)$ -field if  $A^*$  is an m-group with respect to  $[\ ]$ .

Theorem 3.18. Let  $\langle A; \Omega, [\ ] \rangle$  be a generalized integral domain such that there exists an w in  $\Omega$  such that  $\langle A; w \rangle$  is a commutative n-group. Then  $\langle A; \Omega, [\ ] \rangle$  can be embedded into an  $(\Omega, m)$ -field and the quotient ringoid  $A_{A^*}$  is (up to isomorphism) the unique minimal  $(\Omega, m)$ -field with this property.

We omit the above proofs for they are similar to the case of (n, m)-rings (see [3]).

24 Lee

We shall give an example which shows that in general the  $(\Omega, m)$ -field need not be simple.

*Example 3.20.* Let  $A = \langle \{1, 2, 3, 4\}; w, \circ \rangle$  be the (3, 2)-field where the multiplication table of  $\circ$  is:

0	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	4	3
3	3	4	1	2
4	4	3	2	1

and the ternary operation  $w(x, y, z) = x \circ y \circ z$ .

Then the congruence lattice Con(A) is isomporphic to the five-element modular lattice  $N_5$ . Thus A is not simple.

Hence, we propose the following:

*Problem.* Characterize those  $(\Omega, m)$ -fields which are simple.

Leeson and Butson [7] gave a solution for the  $(\Omega, m)$ -rings, but we do not know much about the general case.

Acknowledgement. The comments from the referee were helpful and greatly appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Adler, Composition rings, Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 607-623.
- [2] G. Crombez, On (n, m)-rings, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 37 (1972), 180-199.
- [3] G. Crombez and J. Timm, On (n,m)-quotient rings, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 37 (1972), 200–203.
- [4] H. Grell, Beziehungen zwischen Idealen verschiedener Ringe, Math. Annalen 97 (1927), 490–523.
- [5] Sin-Min Lee, On a simple extension of n-groupoids, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 2 (1978), 117-119.
- [6] J. D. Monk and F. M. Sioson, On the general theory of m-groups, Fund. Math. 72 (1971), 233-244.
- [7] J. J. Leeson and A. T. Butson, On the general theory of (m, n)-rings, Algebra Universalis 11 (1980), 42-76.
- [8] J. J. Leeson and A. T. Butson, *Equationally complete* (m, n)-rings, Algebra Universalis 11 (1980), 28-41.

Dept. of Math. and Computer Science San Jose State University San Jose, California 95192, U.S.A. (Received 14 10 1988) (Revised 10 03 1989)