# New York Journal of Mathematics 

# A classification result for simple real approximate interval algebras 

P. J. Stacey


#### Abstract

A classification in terms of $K$-theory and tracial states is obtained for those real structures which are compatible with the inductive limit structure of a simple $C^{*}$-inductive limit of direct sums of algebras of continous matrix valued functions on an interval.
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## 1. Introduction

There has been remarkable progress in recent years in the classification of simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebras, following the program set down by George Elliott. See, for example, the surveys [7], [13], [16].

By contrast there has been little attention paid to real $C^{*}$-algebras other than the real AF-algebras considered in [9], [12], [19]. The purpose of the present paper is to show, by concentrating on the very basic example of real AI-algebras, that it can be expected that there will be appropriate real counterparts to all the complex results.

Many of the classification results for simple $C^{*}$-algebras have exploited an assumed inductive limit structure in the algebra. It is not clear that, if the complexification of a real $C^{*}$-algebra possesses such an inductive limit structure, then so does the algebra itself: this is open even for the CAR (or $2^{\infty}$ UHF) algebra. Therefore the present paper will restrict attention to the situation where the real algebra
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does have such an inductive limit structure, giving an AI-structure in its complexification. More precisely the real algebras will be assumed to be inductive limits, under real $*$-homomorphisms, of algebras $A_{n}$, where the complexification $A_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ of $A_{n}$ is a direct sum of algebras $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ of continuous $q \times q$ matrix valued functions on $[0,1]$, for varying $q \geq 1$. Equivalently, $A_{n}=\left\{a \in B: \Phi(a)=a^{*}\right\}$ where $\Phi$ is an involutory $*$-antiautomorphism of a direct sum $B$ of algebras of the form $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. If $e$ is a minimal central projection in $B$ then either $\Phi(e)=e$, in which case $\Phi$ restricts to an antiautomorphism of $e B \cong C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ for some $q$, or $\Phi(e) \neq e$, in which case $\Phi$ interchanges the two summands of $(e+\Phi(e)) B \cong C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right) \oplus C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. In the latter case, the associated real algebra $\left\{\left(e b, \Phi(e b)^{*}\right): b \in B\right\}$ is (real linearly) isomorphic to $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. In the former, the restriction of $\Phi$ to the centre $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ gives rise to a period 2 homeomorphism of $[0,1]$, which is conjugate either to the identity map id or the reflection 1 - id. It follows that $\Phi$ is conjugate to an antiautomorphism for which the restriction to the centre is either the identity or satisfies $(\Phi f)(t)=f(1-t)$ for each $f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and each $t \in[0,1]$.

When $\Phi$ restricts to the identity on $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$, the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [17], together with the remarks before that theorem, show that the real algebra associated with $\Phi$ is the cross-section algebra of a locally trivial bundle over $[0,1]$ with fibres either all isomorphic to $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or all isomorphic to $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$. All such bundles over $[0,1]$ are trivial and hence the associated real algebra is isomorphic either to $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right.$ or $C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$. Here $\mathbb{H}$ denotes the algebra of quaternions, which can be identified with the real subalgebra of $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}i & 0 \\ 0 & -i\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

When the restriction of $\Phi$ to $C([0,1])$ satisfies $(\Phi f)(t)=f(1-t)$ then for each $t \in$ $[0,1]$ there exists an antiautomorphism $\Phi_{t}$ of $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(\Phi f)(t)=\Phi_{t}(f(1-t))$ for each $f \in C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ and $\Phi_{t} \Phi_{1-t}=$ id for each $t \in[0,1]$. (One way of seeing this is to note that if $(\Psi f)(t)=f(1-t)^{\mathrm{tr}}$, where tr denotes the transpose, then $\Phi \Psi$ restricts to the identity on $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and hence is inner, by 1.6 of [15].) It follows that the restriction map onto $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ is an isomorphism on $e B e$, with image $\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)=f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{*}\right\}$. Furthermore, there exists an automorphism $\mathrm{Ad} u$ of $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\operatorname{Ad} u\left(\left\{A: \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}}(A)=A^{*}\right\}\right)$ is either $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$. Regarding $u$ as a constant function on $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \operatorname{Ad}(u)$ then gives an isomorphism from $\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)=f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{*}\right\}$ onto either $\{f \in$ $\left.C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$ or $\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right\}$.

So the basic building blocks to consider are $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right), C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, $C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ for $q$ even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(q, \mathbb{R}) & =\left\{f \in C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f(t)=\overline{f(1-t)} \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\} \\
& \cong\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(q / 2, \mathbb{H}) & =\left\{f \in C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f(t)=\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}(f(1-t))^{*} \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\} \\
& \cong\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $q$ even, where $\Phi_{\mathbb{H}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}d & -b \\ -c & a\end{array}\right)$. Note that, arising from the usual identification $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)=C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{q}(\mathbb{R}), A(q, \mathbb{R})=A(1, \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ and $A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})=A(1, \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ and that $A(1, \mathbb{R})$ is generated as a real $C^{*}$-algebra by the constant 1 and the skew-adjoint map $g: t \mapsto i\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)$. To see the latter claim, note that 1 and $g$ generate $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ as a complex algebra and the real algebra they generate is contained in (and hence is equal to)

$$
\{f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C}): f(t)=\overline{f(1-t)} \text { for all } t\}
$$

As with the complex case, considered in [6], the classification of simple real AI algebras uses tracial states and the pairing of traces with $K_{0}$. It is thus appropriate to recall that, as described in Chapter 14 of [11], a state $k$ on a unital real $C^{*}$ algebra $A$ is a positive linear map $k: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which, by definition, satisfies $k(1)=1$ and $k(a)=k\left(a^{*}\right)$ for each $a \in A$. Each such positive map extends uniquely to a complex linear state $k: A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $A^{\mathbb{C}}=A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is the complexification of $A$. Furthermore $\Phi_{A}^{*} k=k$, where $\Phi_{A}^{*} k=k \circ \Phi_{A}$ and where $\Phi_{A}(a+i b)=a^{*}+i b^{*}$ for $a, b \in A$, so $A=\left\{a \in A^{\mathbb{C}}: \Phi_{A}(a)=a^{*}\right\}$. Conversely, each complex state $k$ of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $\Phi_{A}^{*} k=k$ restricts to a real state of $A$ (but unless $\Phi_{A}^{*} k=k$ the restriction may not satisfy $\left.k(a)=k\left(a^{*}\right)\right)$. This correspondence produces a bijection between the real tracial states of $A$ and the tracial states $\tau$ of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $\Phi_{A}^{*} \tau=\tau$. The unique extension map from the real tracial state space $T(A)$ of $A$ to the tracial state space $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ produces a map $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ between the associated spaces of continuous real affine functions and the affine automorphism $\Phi_{A}^{*}$ of $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ produces an involution $\hat{\Phi}_{A}$ on $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ by $\hat{\Phi}_{A} a=$ $a \circ \Phi_{A}^{*}$. Furthermore the natural map $\theta: K_{0}\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ automatically gives rise to $\theta: K_{0}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ by means of the following diagram:


If a positive unital map $M$ from $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ to $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ obeys $\hat{\Phi}_{B} M=M \hat{\Phi}_{A}$ then it gives rise to a map from $\operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ to $\operatorname{Aff}(T(B))$ and if a map $\phi$ from $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ to $T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ satisfies $\phi \Phi_{A}^{*}=\Phi_{B}^{*} \phi$ then it gives rise to a map from $T(A)$ to $T(B)$. However an isomorphism from $T(A)$ to $T(B)$ does not necessarily extend to an isomorphism from $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ to $T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, for example if $A=\mathbb{R}$ and $B=\mathbb{C}$, and in the classification result 5.3 the tracial state space of the complexification is part of the invariant.

When $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R}), \Phi^{*}$ is the identity on the set $M_{1}^{+}[0,1]$ of Borel probability measures on $[0,1]$, so that $T(A)$ is identified with $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right) . \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ can be identified with $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{\Phi}_{A}=$ id. When $A=A(1, \mathbb{R})=\{f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C}): f(t)=$ $\overline{f(1-t)}\}$ then $\left(\Phi^{*} \mu\right)(E)=\mu(1-E)$ for each $\mu \in M_{1}^{+}[0,1]$ and for each Borel set $E$ in $[0,1]$, so that $T(A)$ is identified with $\{\mu: \mu(E)=\mu(1-E)$ for all $E\} \cong M_{1}^{+}\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ can be identified with $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ and $\left(\hat{\Phi}_{A} f\right)(t)=f(1-t)$ for each $f \in T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ and each $0 \leq t \leq 1$. When $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ then $\Phi^{*}(\mu, \nu)=(\nu, \mu)$ for $(\mu, \nu) \in M_{1}^{+}[0,1] \oplus M_{1}^{+}[0,1]$, so that $T(A)$ can be identified with $\{(\mu, \mu): \mu \in$ $\left.M_{1}^{+}[0,1]\right\} \cong M_{1}^{+}[0,1] . \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ can be identified with $C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \oplus C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$
and $\hat{\Phi}_{A}(f, g)=(g, f)$ for each $f, g \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. In each case, taking the tensor product with $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ does not change the tracial state space.

## 2. A uniqueness theorem

Let $A, B$ be finite direct sums of basic building blocks and let $\phi, \psi$ be unital homomorphisms from $A$ to $B$ with complexifications $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}, \psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ from $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ to $B^{\mathbb{C}}$. Theorem 6 of [6] gives sufficient conditions for there to exist a unitary $u \in B^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\operatorname{Ad} u) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ agree to within $\frac{3}{n}$ on the canonical generators of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$. In the present section a minor variation of this result is obtained, with slightly strengthened conditions, which enable the unitary $u$ to be chosen to belong to $B$. The first three lemmas enable reduction to the cases where $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A=A(1, \mathbb{R})=\{f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C}): f(t)=\overline{f(1-t)}\}$. The first lemma reduces to the case of a single block.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be finite direct sums of basic building blocks and let $\phi, \psi$ be unital homomorphisms from $A$ to $B$ giving rise to the same map from $K_{0}(A)$ to $K_{0}(B)$. Then there exists a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\phi(e)=u \psi(e) u^{*}$ for each minimal central projection $e \in A$.

Proof. From the $K_{0}$ equalities $[\phi(e)]=[\psi(e)]$ and $[1-\phi(e)]=[1-\psi(e)]$ it follows by Propositions 4.2.5 and 4.6.5 of [1], which also apply to real algebras, that there exists $u_{e} \in B$ with $\phi(e)=u_{e} \psi(e) u_{e}^{*}$. Then $u=\sum_{e} \phi(e) u_{e} \psi(e)$ is a unitary with $\phi(e)=u \psi(e) u^{*}$ for each minimal central projection $e \in A$.

The next lemma reduces to the case $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A=A(1, \mathbb{R})$, except when the centre of $A$ is isomorphic to $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $A$ be a basic building block with a unital subalgebra $C$ isomorphic to $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ for some $q$. If $\phi, \psi$ are homomorphisms from $A$ to a finite direct sum $B$ of basic building blocks with $\phi(1)=\psi(1)=e$ then there exists a unitary $v \in e B e$ with $\phi(c)=v \psi(c) v^{*}$ for each $c \in C$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $e B e$ has a single summand, which will be of the form $Z \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $Z \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ where $Z$, the centre of $e B e$, is either isomorphic to $C([0,1], \mathbb{R}), C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ or $A(1, \mathbb{R})$. In each case $\psi(C)$ and $\phi(C)$ induce tensor product decompositions of $e B e$ of the form $\psi(C) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C_{\psi} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ and $\phi(C) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C_{\phi} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ where $C_{\psi}$ and $C_{\phi}$ are subalgebras of $e B e$ isomorphic to the same full real or quaternionic matrix algebra. Thus there is an automorphism $\gamma$ of $e B e$, equal to the identity on $Z$, with $\gamma \psi(c)=\phi(c)$ for each $c \in C$. By Lemma 1.6 of [15] the complexification of $\gamma$ on $e B^{\mathbb{C}} e$, which is isomorphic to $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ or $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})^{2}\right)$, is inner. If $\gamma=\mathrm{Ad} u$ and $\Phi$ is the involutory antiautomorphism of $e B^{\mathbb{C}} e$ corresponding to $e B e$, then $\gamma \Phi=\Phi \gamma$ so $w=u^{*} \Phi\left(u^{*}\right) \in Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Phi(w)=w$. The centre $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $e B^{\mathbb{C}} e$ is isomorphic either to $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ or $C\left([0,1], \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. When $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ then $\Phi$ either satisfies $\Phi f=f$ or $(\Phi f)(t)=f(1-t)$ for all $f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$, so there exists a unitary square root $w^{1 / 2}$ of $w$ in $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\Phi\left(w^{1 / 2}\right)=w^{1 / 2}$. When $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to $C\left([0,1], \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ then $\Phi(f, g)=(g, f)$ for each $f, g \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, in this case as well, there exists a unitary square root $w^{1 / 2}$ of $w$ in $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\Phi\left(w^{1 / 2}\right)=w^{1 / 2}$. Then $\Phi\left(w^{1 / 2} u\right)=\Phi(u) w^{1 / 2}=$ $u^{*} w^{*} w^{1 / 2}=u^{*} w^{1 / 2 *}=\left(w^{1 / 2} u\right)^{*}$ and $\gamma=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w^{1 / 2} u\right)$, as required.

The remaining case is when the centre of $A$ is isomorphic to $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a basic building block $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ and let $\phi, \psi$ be reallinear homomorphisms from $A$ to a finite direct sum $B$ of basic building blocks, with $\phi(1)=\psi(1)=e$, giving rise to the same map from $K_{0}\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ to $K_{0}\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. Then there exists a unitary $v \in e B e$ with $\phi(c)=v \psi(c) v^{*}$ for each $c \in C$, the algebra of constant functions in $A$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $e B e$ has a single summand. If $D$ is a subalgebra of $C$ isomorphic to $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ then, by Lemma 2.2, there exists $u \in e B e$ with $\phi(d)=u \psi(d) u^{*}$ for $d \in D$. Replacing $\psi$ by $\operatorname{Ad}(u) \circ \psi$ and $e B e$ by the commutant of $\phi(D)$ in $e B e$, it therefore further suffices to consider the case where $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ so $C=\mathbb{C} 1$. Then $C^{\mathbb{C}}$ will be isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, with $C$ embedded as $\{(z, \bar{z}): z \in \mathbb{C}\}$. From the $K_{0}$ equalities $\left[\phi^{\mathbb{C}}(1,0)\right]=\left[\psi^{\mathbb{C}}(1,0)\right]$ and $\left[\phi^{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)\right]=\left[\psi^{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)\right]$ it follows that there is a unitary $u$ in $e B^{\mathbb{C}} e$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
u \phi(i) u^{*} & =u \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(i,-i) u^{*}=i u \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(1,0) u^{*}-i u \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(0,1) u^{*}=i \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(1,0)-i \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(0,1) \\
& =\psi^{\mathbb{C}}(i,-i)=\psi(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P=\phi^{\mathbb{C}}(1,0)$, so $\phi(i)=i P-i(e-P)$, and let $\Phi$ be the involutory antiautomorphism of $e B^{\mathbb{C}} e$ corresponding to $e B e$.

From $\Phi(\phi(i))=\phi(i)^{*}=-\phi(i)$ it follows that $\Phi(P)=e-P$; from $\Phi\left(u \phi(i) u^{*}\right)=$ $\Phi(\psi(i))=-\psi(i)=-u \phi(i) u^{*}$ it follows that $\Phi\left(u^{*}\right) \phi(i) \Phi(u)=u \phi(i) u^{*}$ and hence $\Phi\left(u^{*}\right) P \Phi(u)=u P u^{*}$. Let $v=u P+\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)(e-P)$. Then $\Phi(v)=(e-P) \Phi(u)+P u^{*}=$ $v^{*}, v v^{*}=u P u^{*}+\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)(e-P) \Phi(u)=u P u^{*}+u(e-P) u^{*}=e$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v \phi(i) v^{*} & =\left[u P+\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)(e-P)\right][i P-i(e-P)]\left[P u^{*}+(e-P) \Phi(u)\right] \\
& =i u P u^{*}-i \Phi\left(u^{*}\right)(e-P) \Phi(u) \\
& =i u P u^{*}-i u(e-P) u^{*} \\
& =u \phi(i) u^{*}=\psi(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $B$ is finite, $v^{*} v=e$, so $v$ is the required unitary.
The proof of the appropriate version of Theorem 6 of [6] is thus reduced to the cases $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A=A(1, \mathbb{R})$, both of which have $A^{\mathbb{C}}=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$, with $B$ a single building block. It is then required to find $u \in B$ such that $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\operatorname{Ad} u) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ agree to within $\frac{3}{n}$ on the generator $h(t)=t$ of $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$. This will be achieved by obtaining a diagonal (or other canonical) form for the images of $\phi(h)$ and $\psi(h)$ in the case $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ and for the images of $\phi(g)$ and $\psi(g)$ in the case $A=A(1, \mathbb{R})$, where $g(t)=i\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)$ is a skew-adjoint generator for $A(1, \mathbb{R})$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\epsilon>0$, let $B$ be a basic building block with $B^{\mathbb{C}}=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ or $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ and let $f \in B$ satisfy $f=k f^{*}$ where $k= \pm 1$.
(a) Unless $k=1$ and either $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ or $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$ then there exists $g \in B$ with $g=k g^{*}$ and $\|g-f\|<\epsilon$ such that, for each $0 \leq t \leq 1, g(t)$ has $q$ distinct complex eigenvalues.
(b) When $f=f^{*}$ and $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$ there exists $g \in B$ with $g=g^{*}$ and $\|g-f\|<\epsilon$ such that, for each $t \neq \frac{1}{2}, g(t)$ has $q$ distinct complex eigenvalues and $g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ has $q / 2$ distinct eigenvalues each of multiplicity 2. Furthermore, $g$ can be chosen to have continuous eigenprojections.
(c) When $f=f^{*}$ and $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ there exists $g \in B$ with $g=g^{*}$ and $\|g-f\|<\epsilon$ such that, for each $0 \leq t \leq 1, g(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{q / 2} \lambda_{j}(t) P_{j}(t)$ where $t \mapsto P_{j}(t)$ is a continuous family of two-dimensional projections and $t \mapsto \lambda_{j}(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function for each $1 \leq j \leq q / 2$.

Proof. The proof is identical to the relevant part of the proof of Theorem 4 of [3] except for the choices needed to ensure that $g$ belongs to $B$. Firstly note that any skew-adjoint element of $M_{q}(\mathbb{R}), M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ or $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ or any self-adjoint element of $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ can be given an arbitrarily small perturbation to produce a skew-adjoint or self-adjoint element with $q$ distinct complex eigenvalues. Any selfadjoint element of $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ (regarded as an element of $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ ) necessarily has each eigenvalue of even multiplicity, but it can be given an arbitrarily small perturbation to produce a self-adjoint element with $q / 2$ distinct eigenvalues, each of multiplicity 2.

Thus when $f$ is approximated arbitrarily closely by a piecewise linear element of $B$ then, except in case (c), the approximation can be taken to have $q$ distinct complex eigenvalues at one point and hence at all but finitely many points. In case (c) it can be arranged that there are $q / 2$ distinct eigenvalues, each of multiplicity two, except at finitely many points. As in [3] by passing to subintervals there can be assumed to be only one such point. In the self-adjoint case, for which the eigenvalues are real, small constant perturbations give a reduction to the case where just two eigenvalues coincide at each of the degenerate points. In the skew adjoint case, for which the eigenvalues are purely imaginary, at a point $t$ for which $\Phi(f(t))=f(t)^{*}$ for an antiautomorphism $\Phi$ of $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ the eigenvalues occur in complex conjugate pairs with orthogonal eigenprojections $P(t)$ and $\Phi(P(t))$. When $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ or $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ this holds for all $t$ and suitable perturbations are obtained by adding small imaginary constants $i \epsilon_{j},-i \epsilon_{j}$ to each pair $\lambda_{j}(t), \overline{\lambda_{j}(t)}$ of corresponding eigenvalues. The perturbation $\epsilon_{j}(t)=i \epsilon_{j} P_{j}(t)-$ $i \epsilon_{j} \Phi\left(P_{j}(t)\right)$ of $f(t)$ satisfies $\Phi\left(\epsilon_{j}(t)\right)=\epsilon_{j}(t)^{*}$ for each $t$, so belongs to $B$. When $B=A(q, \mathbb{R})$ or $A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$ the small imaginary constants $i \epsilon_{j},-i \epsilon_{j}$ are added to pairs of eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}(t), \lambda_{j}^{\prime}(t)$ for which $\lambda_{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\overline{\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$.

If at the remaining single point $t_{0}$ of pairwise degeneracy the corresponding eigenvalue functions $\lambda_{j}(t), \lambda_{k}(t)$ touch but do not cross at $t_{0}$, then in the skew adjoint case the corresponding complex conjugate functions also touch and the degeneracy (other than the forced double degeneracy when $f=f^{*}$ and $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right.$ ) or $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H}))$, can be entirely removed by either a small real perturbation to $\lambda_{j}(t)$ in the self-adjoint case or a pair of conjugate purely imaginary perturbations to $\lambda_{j}(t), \overline{\lambda_{j}(t)}$ in the skew-adjoint case.

If the eigenvalue functions $\lambda_{j}$ and $\lambda_{k}$ cross at $t_{0}$ and have eigenprojections $P_{j}$ and $P_{k}$ then, in the self-adjoint case, consider $\lambda_{j} P_{j}+\lambda_{k} P_{k}$ which belongs to $B$. Firstly pick an interval [ $a, b$ ] containing $t_{0}$ on which $\lambda_{j} P_{j}+\lambda_{k} P_{k}$ is sufficiently close to $\lambda_{j}\left(t_{0}\right) P_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)+\lambda_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) P_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)$, with $\lambda_{j}(a)<\lambda_{k}(a)$ and $\lambda_{j}(b)>\lambda_{k}(b)$. Then let $\{Q(t): a \leq t \leq b\}$ be a path of projections with $Q(t) \leq P_{j}(t)+P_{k}(t), Q(a)=P_{j}(a)$ and $Q(b)=P_{k}(b)$. The combination $\min \left(\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{k}\right) Q+\max \left(\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{k}\right)\left(P_{j}+P_{k}-Q\right)$ agrees with $\lambda_{j} P_{j}+\lambda_{k} P_{k}$ at $a$ and $b$, is close to $\lambda_{j} P_{j}+\lambda_{k} P_{k}$ on $[a, b]$ and has touching rather than crossing eigenvalue functions at $t_{0}$, which can be removed as before. In the skew adjoint case a slight modification of this approach is needed
when $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ or $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$. If $\Phi$ is the corresponding antiautomorphism of $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$ then consider $\lambda_{j}\left(P_{j}-\Phi P_{j}\right)+\lambda_{k}\left(P_{k}-\Phi P_{k}\right)$. The simultaneous crossings of $\lambda_{j}$ with $\lambda_{k}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{j}=-\lambda_{j}$ with $\bar{\lambda}_{k}=-\lambda_{k}$ can be removed simultaneously using a path $Q+\Phi(Q)$ of projections with $Q(t) \leq P_{j}(t)+P_{k}(t)$ and an appropriate combination of $Q+\Phi(Q)$ and $P_{j}+P_{k}+\Phi\left(P_{j}\right)+\Phi\left(P_{k}\right)-Q-\Phi(Q)$.

The resulting perturbation has $q$ distinct eigenvalues at each point except when $f=f^{*}$ and $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ or $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$, when it has only the forced double degeneracies. The construction produces continuous eigenvalues and continuous eigenprojections, which are of rank 2 when $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$.

Lemma 2.5. (a) Let $B$ be a basic building block with $B^{\mathbb{C}}=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ or $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$, let $f=f^{*} \in B$ and let $f(t)$ have $q$ distinct eigenvalues for $t \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $u \in B$ such that $\left(u f u^{*}\right)(t)$ is real and diagonal for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$.
(b) Let $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ and let $f=f^{*}=\sum \lambda_{j} P_{j} \in A$ where for each $1 \leq j \leq q / 2, \quad \lambda_{j} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}), P_{j} \in B$ and, for each $0 \leq t \leq 1, P_{j}(t)$ is a two-dimensional projection. Then there exists $u \in B$ such that $\left(u f u^{*}\right)(t)$ is real and diagonal for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$.
(c) Let $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right), B=C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ or $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$, let $f=$ $-f^{*} \in B$ and let $f(t)$ have $q$ distinct eigenvalues for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then there exists $u \in B$ such that $\left(u f u^{*}\right)(t)$ is purely imaginary and diagonal for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$.
(d) Let $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ or $B=A(q, \mathbb{R})$, let $f=-f^{*} \in B$ and let $f(t)$ have $q$ distinct eigenvalues for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then there exists $u \in B$ such that, for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $\left(\right.$ wuf $\left.u^{*} w^{*}\right)(t)$ is purely imaginary and diagonal, where $w$ consists of $2 \times 2$ diagonal blocks $\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } 2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -i \\ 1 & i\end{array}\right)$, together with a $1 \times 1$ block if $f(t)$ has a zero eigenvalue for all $t$.

Proof. Case (a) is standard linear algebra. In case (b) let $K$ be the antilinear unitary map on $\mathbb{C}^{q}$ with $K\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots\right)=\left(-\bar{x}_{2}, \bar{x}_{1},-\bar{x}_{4}, \bar{x}_{3}, \ldots\right)$ and let $\Phi(a)=K a^{*} K^{*}$ for each $a \in M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$. For each $1 \leq j \leq q / 2$ let $t \mapsto e_{j}(t)$ be a continuous choice of elements from $t \mapsto P_{j}(t) \mathbb{C}^{q}$. Then the transition map from the standard basis to $\left\{e_{j}, K e_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq q / 2\right\}$ belongs to $C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$, giving the required result.

In case (c) the result is immediate when $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. When $B=$ $C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$, first pick a continuous choice of eigenvectors $t \mapsto e_{j}(t)$ associated with $\lambda_{j}(t)$, then choose $t \mapsto K e_{j}(t)$ for the eigenvectors associated with $-\lambda_{j}(t)$. When $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$, first pick a choice of eigenvectors $t \mapsto e_{j}(t)$ associated with $\lambda_{j}(t)$ and then, if $\lambda_{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\lambda_{i}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$, let $e_{i}(t)=K e_{j}(1-t)$, so the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenprojections satisfy $\lambda_{i}(t)=-\lambda_{j}(1-t)$ and $P_{i}(t)=\Phi P_{j}(1-t)$. The result then follows as in case (b).

In case (d) when $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, a continuous choice of eigenvectors $t \mapsto$ $e_{j}(t)$ is first made for $\lambda_{j}(t)$ and then the choice $t \mapsto \overline{e_{j}(t)}$ is made for the eigenvalue associated with $\overline{\lambda_{j}(t)}$. When $B=A(q, \mathbb{R})$ the choice $t \mapsto e_{k}(t)$, where $e_{k}(t)=$ $\overline{e_{j}(1-t)}$, is made for the eigenvector associated with $\lambda_{k}$ where $\lambda_{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\overline{\lambda_{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$. After reordering so that $k=j+1$, the transition matrix from the standard basis to the basis of eigenvectors has adjacent columns of the form $\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{q}(t)\right)$ and
$\left(\overline{x_{1}(t)}, \ldots, \overline{x_{q}(t)}\right)$ or $\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{q}(t)\right)$ and $\left(\overline{x_{1}(1-t)}, \ldots, \overline{x_{q}(1-t)}\right)$. Multiplying on the right by $w$ then produces a matrix $u^{*} \in B$.

Following Theorem 6 of [6] let the $n$ real functions $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ in $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ be defined by

$$
h_{r}(t)= \begin{cases}0 & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{r-1}{n} \\ n\left(t-\frac{r-1}{n}\right) & \frac{r-1}{n} \leq t \leq \frac{r}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{r}{n} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

and let $k_{r}$ be the characteristic function of the interval $\left[\frac{r}{n}, 1\right]$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, so that $h_{r} k_{r}=k_{r}$ and $k_{r} h_{r+1}=h_{r+1}$ for each $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. The following minor variation of Theorem 6 of [6] can now be proved.

Proposition 2.6. Let $A, B$ be direct sums of basic building blocks and let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be unital homomorphisms from $A$ to $B$ giving rise to the same map from the pair $K_{0}(A) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)$ to the pair $K_{0}(B) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)$. Let $n>0$ be an integer and suppose that for some $\delta>0$ each primitve quotient in $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the image under each of $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the canonical self adjoint generator of the centre of each minimal direct summand of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ has at least the fraction $\delta$ of its eigenvalues in each of the $n$ consecutive subintervals of $(0,1]$ of length $\frac{1}{n}$. Suppose that the maps from $T B^{\mathbb{C}}$ to $T A^{\mathbb{C}}$ arising from $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ agree to strictly within $\delta$ on the $n$ central functions $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ of each minimal direct summand of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$.

It follows that there exists a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\operatorname{Ad} u) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ agree to within $\frac{3}{n}$ on the canonical generators of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. By Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 the proof is reduced to the case where $A$ is either $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A(1, \mathbb{R})=\{f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C}): f(t)=\overline{f(1-t)}\}$ and $B$ is a single building block. Let $h(t)=t$ be the self-adjoint generator of $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ and $g(t)=i\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)$ be the skew-adjoint generator of $A(1, \mathbb{R})$. In the latter case, the canonical self-adjoint generator of $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})=A^{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by $h(t)=\frac{1}{2}+i g(t)$.

By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 , when $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R}), \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ can be given arbitrarily small perturbations so that there exist $u_{\phi}, u_{\psi} \in B$ with $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\phi}\right) \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\psi}\right) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ diagonal with elements in increasing order. The proof of Theorem 6 of [6] then applies directly to give the required result.

When $A=A(1, \mathbb{R})$ then, by Lemma $2.4, \phi(g)$ and $\psi(g)$ can be given an arbitrary small perturbation to have $q$ distinct eigenvalues. When $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right), B=$ $C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ or $B=A(q / 2, \mathbb{H})$ there therefore exist $u_{\phi}, u_{\psi} \in B$ such that $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\phi}\right) \phi(g)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\psi}\right) \psi(g)$ are diagonal, with purely imaginary eigenvalues. In the last two cases $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\phi}\right) \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\psi}\right) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ are also diagonal, with real values which can be taken to be in increasing order. In the first case $\operatorname{Ad}\left(u_{\phi}, \bar{u}_{\phi}\right) \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\operatorname{Ad}\left(u_{\psi}, \bar{u}_{\psi}\right) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ are of the form $(\alpha, \alpha)$ where $\alpha$ is real and diagonal, where the elements can again be taken to be in increasing order. In all three cases the proof of Theorem 6 of [6] can therefore be applied directly to give the required result.

In the remaining case, when $B=C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ or $B=A(q, \mathbb{R})$ then, after perturbation, there exist $u_{\phi}, u_{\psi} \in B$ such that $\left(\operatorname{Ad} w u_{\phi}\right) \phi(g)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad} w u_{\phi}\right) \psi(g)$ are diagonal with purely imaginary eigenvalues, where $w$ consists of $2 \times 2$ diagonal blocks $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -i \\ 1 & i\end{array}\right)$, so $\left(\operatorname{Ad} w u_{\psi}\right) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad} w u_{\phi}\right) \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ consist of real diagonal blocks $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2}+\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}-\alpha\end{array}\right)$ where the elements $\alpha$ can be taken to be in increasing order.

Theorem 6 of $[6]$ then shows that $\operatorname{Ad}\left(w u_{\phi}\right) \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\operatorname{Ad}\left(w u_{\psi}\right) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ agree to within $\frac{3}{n}$ as therefore do $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\phi}\right) \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ad} u_{\psi}\right) \psi^{\mathbb{C}}(h)$.

## 3. Injective connecting maps and approximate divisibility

As in [14], an inductive limit of basic building blocks can be written as an inductive limit of these blocks with injective connecting maps. The proof follows [14] but is easier.

Lemma 3.1. If $A$ is a basic building block, $B$ is a unital real $C^{*}$-algebra, $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a unital *-homomorphism, $F$ is a finite subset of $\phi(A)$ and $\epsilon>0$, there exists a subalgebra $B_{1}$ of $\phi(A)$, isomorphic to a direct sum of basic building blocks and finite dimensional real $C^{*}$-algebras, such that $F$ is approximately contained in $B_{1}$ to within $\epsilon$.

Proof. If $A$ is either $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right), C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ or $C\left([0,1], M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ then $\phi(A)$ is isomorphic to either $C\left(X, M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right), C\left(X, M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ or $C\left(X, M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ for $X$ a closed subset of $[0,1]$. In either of the other two cases $\phi(A)$ is isomorphic to $C\left(X, M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ or $\left\{f \in C\left(X, M_{q}(\mathbb{C}): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in R\right\}\right.$ where $R$ is isomorphic to $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ and $X \subseteq\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.

Let $F=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$ and, regarding these as continuous matrix valued functions on $X$, pick $\delta$ such that $\left\|f_{i}(s)-f_{i}(t)\right\|<\epsilon / 2$ for each $i$ whenever $|s-t|<\delta$. By Lemma 1.3 of [14], there exists a finite union $Y$ of points and closed intervals with $Y \subseteq X$ and a retraction $\alpha$ from $X$ onto $Y$ such that $\sup _{t}|\alpha(t)-t|<\delta$ for each $t \in X . Y$ can be taken to include the connected component of $X$ containing $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha$ to be the identity on this connected component. Let $\theta: D \rightarrow C(X, M)$ be defined by $\theta(f)=f \circ \alpha$ for $M \in\left\{M_{q}(\mathbb{C}), M_{q}(\mathbb{R}), M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})\right\}$, where $D=C(Y, M)$ unless $A=\left\{f \in C\left(X, M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in R\right\}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \in X$, in which case $D=\{f \in$ $\left.C\left(Y, M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in R\right\}$.

Using the identification of $\phi(A)$ with either $C(X, M)$ or $\left\{f \in C(X, M): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in\right.$ $R\}, \theta$ is an injective unital $*$-homomorphism from $D$ to $\phi(A)$. $D$ is a sum of basic building blocks and finite-dimensional algebras. Furthermore $F$ is approximately contained in $B_{1}=\theta(D)$ to within $\epsilon$ : given an element of $F \subseteq \phi(A)$ let $f_{i}$ be the associated element of $C(X, M)$ and note that

$$
\left\|f_{i}-\theta\left(\left.f_{i}\right|_{Y}\right)\right\|=\sup _{t}\left\|f_{i}(t)-f_{i}(\alpha(t))\right\|<\epsilon
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $B$ be a simple unital real infinite-dimensional AF algebra. Then $B$ contains a self-adjoint element with spectrum $[0,1]$.

Proof. $K_{0}(B)$ is a simple dimension group other than $\mathbb{Z}$ and so, by Lemma A4.1 in [8], there are positive elements $1>a_{n, 1}>\cdots>a_{n, 2^{n}-1}>0$ in $K_{0}(B)$ with $a_{n, i}=$ $a_{n+1,2 i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n}-1$. There exist orthogonal projections $p_{n, 1}, \ldots, p_{n, 2^{n}}$ in $B$ corresponding to $1-a_{n, 1}, a_{n, 1}-a_{n, 2}, \ldots, a_{n, 2^{n}-2}-a_{n, 2^{n}-1}, a_{n, 2^{n}-1}$ with $p_{n, i}=p_{n+1,2 i-1}+p_{n+1,2 i}$ for each $i$. Let $a_{n}=\sum_{r=1}^{2^{2}} \frac{r}{2^{n}} p_{n, r}$ so $a_{n}-a_{n+1}=$ $\sum_{r=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{2 r}{2^{n+1}}\left(p_{n+1,2 r-1}+p_{n+1,2 r}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{r}{2^{n+1}} p_{n+1, r}=\sum_{r=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} p_{n+1,2 r-1}$ and therefore $\left\|a_{n+1}-a_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Then $a_{n}$ converges in $B$ to a self-adjoint element $a$, which has spectrum $[0,1]$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $B$ be a separable real $C^{*}$-algebra such that, for every finite subset $F \subseteq B$ and every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a direct sum of basic building blocks $C \subseteq B$ which contains $F$ to within $\epsilon$. Then $B$ is isomorphic to an inductive limit of a sequence of basic building blocks with injective unital connecting $*$-homomorphisms.

Proof. The proof follows the usual complex argument, outlined in Lemma 1.4 of [14], using the methods of Theorem 4.3 of [5], Theorem 2.2 of [2] and the earlier work in [10]. The most difficult extra ingredient in the real case involves the quaternionic cases, which are handled using the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Let $A, B$ be real $C^{*}$-algebras with $A \subseteq B$ and let $E, I, J \in B$ with $E^{2}=E=E^{*}, I^{*}=-I, I^{2}=-E, J^{*}=-J, J^{2}=-E$ and $I J=-J I$ (so that $I, J$ generate a copy of $\mathbb{H})$. If $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\beta>0$ such that whenever there exist $E^{\prime}, I^{\prime}, J^{\prime} \in A$ with $\left\|E-E^{\prime}\right\|<\beta,\left\|I-I^{\prime}\right\|<\beta,\left\|J-J^{\prime}\right\|<\beta$ then there exist $E^{\prime \prime}, I^{\prime \prime}, J^{\prime \prime} \in A$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{\prime \prime 2}=E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime \prime *}, \quad I^{\prime \prime}=-I^{\prime \prime *}, \quad J^{\prime \prime}=-J^{\prime \prime} * \\
I^{\prime \prime 2}=-E^{\prime \prime}, \quad J^{\prime \prime 2}=-E^{\prime \prime}, \quad J^{\prime \prime} I^{\prime \prime}=-J^{\prime \prime} I^{\prime \prime}, \\
\left\|E-E^{\prime \prime}\right\|<\epsilon, \quad\left\|I-I^{\prime \prime}\right\|<\epsilon \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|J-J^{\prime \prime}\right\|<\epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. In the complexification $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $B$ let $E_{12}=\frac{1}{2}(J-i I J), E_{11}=E_{12} E_{12}^{*}, E_{22}=$ $E_{12}^{*} E_{12}$ and $E_{21}=E_{12}^{*}$. Then (corresponding to $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ being the complexification of $\mathbb{H}$ ) $E_{i j}$ form a set of $2 \times 2$ matrix units in $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\Phi\left(E_{12}\right)=-E_{12}$, and hence $\Phi\left(E_{11}\right)=E_{22}$, where $\Phi$ is the antiautomorphism of $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated with $B . I$ and $J$ are given by $I=i E_{11}-i E_{22}$ and $J=E_{12}-E_{21}$.

For $\alpha>0$ let $\gamma(\alpha)$ and $\delta(\alpha)$ be the values defined in the statements of Lemmas 1.6 and 1.9 of [10]. Let $\delta_{1}=\min \left(\frac{1}{36}, \frac{\epsilon}{62}\right), \delta_{2}=\min \left(\delta\left(\delta_{1}\right), 1\right)$ and $\beta=$ $\min \left(\frac{1}{32}, \frac{1}{16} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{40} \delta_{2}\right), \frac{1}{640} \delta_{2}\right)$. Let $x=\frac{1}{2}\left(J^{\prime}-i I^{\prime} J^{\prime}\right)$, where $I^{\prime}, J^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ are as defined in the lemma. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x-E_{12}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|J^{\prime}-J\right\|+\frac{1}{2}\left\|I^{\prime} J^{\prime}-I^{\prime} J\right\|+\frac{1}{2}\left\|I^{\prime} J-I J\right\| \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \beta+\frac{1}{2}\left\|I^{\prime}\right\| \beta+\frac{1}{2} \beta \\
& <2 \beta<\delta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x x^{*}-E_{11}\right\| & \leq\left\|x x^{*}-E_{12} x^{*}\right\|+\left\|E_{12} x^{*}-E_{12} E_{12}^{*}\right\| \\
& <2\|x\| \beta+2 \beta \\
& <(1+2 \beta) 2 \beta+2 \beta<8 \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $\left\|x^{*} x-E_{22}\right\| \leq 8 \beta$ so, putting $r=\frac{1}{2}\left(x x^{*}+x^{*} x+\Phi\left(x x^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(x^{*} x\right)\right)$, $r=r^{*}=\Phi(r)$ and $\left\|r-\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)\right\|<16 \beta<\gamma\left(\frac{1}{40} \delta_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 1.6 of [10] and its proof there exists a projection $e$ in $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\left\|e-\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{40} \delta_{2}$ and $\Phi(e)=e$. Let $t=\frac{1}{2}\left(x x^{*}-x^{*} x-\Phi\left(x x^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(x^{*} x\right)\right)$ and $s=e t e$, so that $\Phi(s)=-s=-s^{*}$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| s-\left(E_{11}-E_{22}\right) \| \\
& \leq \| \text { ete }-\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right) t e\|+\|\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right) t e-\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right) t\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right) \| \\
& \quad+\left\|\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right) t\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)-\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)\left(E_{11}-E_{22}\right)\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)\right\| \\
&< \frac{1}{40}\|t\| \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{40}\|t\| \delta_{2}+16 \beta \\
&< \frac{1}{20}(1+16 \beta) \delta_{2}+16 \beta \leq \frac{3}{40} \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{40} \delta_{2}=\frac{1}{10} \delta_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|s^{2}-e\right\| \leq & \left\|s^{2}-s\left(E_{11}-E_{22}\right)\right\|+\left\|s\left(E_{11}-E_{22}\right)-\left(E_{11}-E_{22}\right)^{2}\right\| \\
& +\left\|E_{11}+E_{22}-e\right\| \\
< & \frac{1}{10}\|s\| \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{10} \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{40} \delta_{2} \leq \frac{1}{10}\left(1+\frac{1}{10} \delta_{2}\right) \delta_{2}+\frac{5}{40} \delta_{2} \\
< & \frac{3}{10} \delta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering the commutative $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $s$ and $e$ (for which $e$ is the identity), the spectrum of $s$ is contained in $\left[-1-\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2},-1+\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}\right] \cup\left[1-\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}, 1+\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}\right]$. Let $f$ be the odd continuous function on $\left[-1-\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}, 1+\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}\right]$ which is linear on $\left[0,1-\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}\right]$ and equal to 1 on $\left[1-\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}, 1+\frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}\right]$ and let $s^{\prime}=f(s)$. Then $s^{\prime 2}=e$, $\Phi\left(s^{\prime}\right)=-s^{\prime}=-s^{\prime *}$ and $\left\|s-s^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{3}{5} \delta_{2}$. Let $e_{11}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e+s^{\prime}\right)$ so

$$
e_{11}^{2}=e_{11}=e_{11}^{*}, \Phi\left(e_{11}\right) e_{11}=0
$$

and $e_{11}+\Phi\left(e_{11}\right)=e$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e_{11}-E_{11}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|s^{\prime}-s\right\|+\frac{1}{2}\left\|s-\left(E_{11}-E_{22}\right)\right\|+\frac{1}{2}\left\|e-\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)\right\| \\
& <\frac{3}{10} \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{20} \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{80} \delta_{2}<\delta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left\|\Phi\left(e_{11}\right)-E_{22}\right\|<\delta_{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 1.9 of [10], there exists a partial isometry $w$ in $A^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $w w^{*}=e_{11}, w^{*} w=\Phi\left(e_{11}\right)$ and $\left\|w-E_{12}\right\|<\delta_{1}$.

Next let $v=\frac{1}{2} e_{11}(w-\Phi(w)) e_{22}$ and note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e_{11}-E_{11}\right\| & =\left\|w w^{*}-E_{12} E_{12}^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|w w^{*}-w E_{12}^{*}\right\|+\left\|w E_{12}^{*}-E_{12} E_{12}^{*}\right\|<2 \delta_{1}, \\
\left\|e_{22}-E_{22}\right\|< & 2 \delta_{1},\left\|\frac{1}{2}(w-\Phi(w))-E_{12}\right\|<\delta_{1} \text { and thus that } \\
\left\|v-E_{12}\right\| & \leq\left\|v-e_{11} E_{12} e_{22}\right\|+\left\|e_{11} E_{12} e_{22}-E_{11} E_{12} e_{22}\right\|+\left\|E_{12} e_{22}-E_{12}\right\| \\
& <\delta_{1}+2 \delta_{1}+2 \delta_{1}=5 \delta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v^{*} v-e_{22}\right\| & \leq\left\|v^{*} v-v^{*} E_{12}\right\|+\left\|v^{*} E_{12}+E_{22}\right\|+\left\|E_{22}-e_{22}\right\| \\
& <5 \delta_{1}+5 \delta_{1}+2 \delta_{1}=12 \delta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $v^{*} v$ is an invertible element of $e_{22} B^{\mathbb{C}} e_{22}$ and

$$
\left\|\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}-e_{22}\right\|<\left(\frac{1}{1-12 \delta_{1}}\right)-1<24 \delta_{1}
$$

Let $u=v\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u-E_{12}\right\| & \leq\left\|v\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}-v e_{22}\right\|+\left\|v e_{22}-E_{12} e_{22}\right\|+\left\|E_{12} e_{22}-E_{12} E_{22}\right\| \\
& <24 \delta_{1}+5 \delta_{1}+2 \delta_{1}=31 \delta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
u^{*} u=\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2} v^{*} v\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}=e_{22}
$$

from which $u$ is a partial isometry. The initial projection $u u^{*}=v\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1} v^{*}$ satisfies $e_{11} u u^{*}=u u^{*} e_{11}=u u^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u u^{*}-e_{11}\right\| & \leq\left\|v\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1} v^{*}-v e_{22} v^{*}\right\|+\left\|v e_{22} v^{*}-e_{11}\right\| \\
& <24 \delta_{1}+\left\|v v^{*}-e_{11}\right\|<36 \delta_{1}<1,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $u u^{*}=e_{11} . ~ \Phi(u)$ is also a partial isometry with $\Phi(u)^{*} \Phi(u)=\Phi\left(u u^{*}\right)=$ $\Phi\left(e_{11}\right)=e_{22}$ and $\Phi(u) \Phi\left(u^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(u^{*} u\right)=\Phi\left(e_{22}\right)=e_{11}$. From $\Phi(v)=-v$, $\Phi\left(v^{*} v\right)=v v^{*}$ and $\Phi\left(\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)=\left(v v^{*}\right)^{-1 / 2}$, where the latter inverse is taken in $e_{11} B^{\mathbb{C}} e_{11}$. Also $\left(u\left(v^{*} v\right)^{1 / 2} u^{*}\right)^{2}=u\left(v^{*} v\right)^{1 / 2} u^{*} u\left(v^{*} v\right)^{1 / 2} u^{*}=u v^{*} v u^{*}=v v^{*}$, so $\left(v v^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}=u\left(v^{*} v\right)^{1 / 2} u^{*}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) & =\Phi\left(\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \Phi(v)=-\left(v v^{*}\right)^{-1 / 2} v \\
& =-u\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2} u^{*} v=-u\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(v^{*} v\right)^{-1 / 2} v^{*} v \\
& =-u
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $E^{\prime \prime}=u u^{*}+u^{*} u, I^{\prime \prime}=i u u^{*}-i u^{*} u$ and $J^{\prime \prime}=u-u^{*}$. Then $\Phi\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime \prime *}, \Phi\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)=-I^{\prime \prime}=I^{\prime \prime *}, \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)=-J^{\prime \prime}=J^{\prime \prime *}, I^{\prime \prime 2}=-E^{\prime \prime}, J^{\prime \prime 2}=-E^{\prime \prime}$, $J^{\prime \prime} I^{\prime \prime}=-I^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|E^{\prime \prime}-E\right\| & =\left\|e_{11}+e_{22}-E_{11}-E_{22}\right\|<4 \delta_{1}<\epsilon \\
\left\|I^{\prime \prime}-I\right\| & =\left\|i e_{11}-i e_{22}-i E_{11}+i E_{22}\right\|<4 \delta_{1}<\epsilon \quad \text { and } \\
\left\|J^{\prime \prime}-J\right\| & =\left\|u-u^{*}-E_{12}+E_{21}\right\| \leq 2\left\|u-E_{12}\right\|<62 \delta_{1}<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $A$ be a simple unital infinite-dimensional real $C^{*}$-algebraic direct limit of direct sums of basic building blocks $A_{1} \underset{\phi_{1}}{\overrightarrow{A_{2}}} \underset{\phi_{2}}{\overrightarrow{\phi_{2}}} \cdots$. Then $A$ is also the direct limit of a system of direct sums of basic building blocks with unital injective maps.

Proof. If $\phi_{n, \infty}: A_{n} \rightarrow A$ is the canonical map then there exists $N$ such that, for $n \geq N, \phi_{n, \infty}(1)=1$. Omitting $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N-1}$ and substituting $\phi_{n}(1) A_{n+1} \phi_{n}(1)$ for $A_{n+1}$ for $n \geq N$ it can be assumed that each $\phi_{n}$ is unital.

Let $F$ be a finite subset of $A$. There exists $N$ such that $F$ is contained within $\phi_{N, \infty}\left(A_{N}\right)$ up to $\epsilon / 2$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a subset of $\phi_{N, \infty}\left(A_{N}\right)$ with each element within $\epsilon / 2$ of an element of $F$. By Lemma 3.1 there exists $B=B_{1} \oplus B_{2} \subseteq \phi_{N, \infty}\left(A_{N}\right)$ containing $F^{\prime}$ to within $\epsilon / 2$, where $B_{1}$ is finite-dimensional with identity $p$ and $B_{2}$ is a direct sum of basic building blocks. The relative commutant of $B_{1}$ in $p A p$ is a direct sum of simple inductive limit algebras, each of which contains a simple real AF algebra (with the same $K_{0}$ group) and therefore, applying Lemma 3.2, contains a self-adjoint element $h$ with spectrum $[0,1]$. Then the real $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $B$ and $h$ is a finite direct sum of basic building blocks containing $F$ to within $\epsilon$. The result follows by Lemma 3.3.

The existence of a sequence with injective connecting maps is used on page 377 of [6] to establish approximate divisibility. It will now be shown that the finite dimensional unital subalgebra produced in this construction can be chosen to be invariant under the appropriate involutory antiautomorphism.
Proposition 3.6. Let $A$ be a simple separable unital real $C^{*}$-algebra, which is the direct limit of a unital system $A_{1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} A_{2} \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}} \cdots$, where each $A_{i}$ is a direct sum of basic building blocks and each $\phi_{i}$ is injective. Then, for each $i$, each $N$, each finite set $F \subseteq A_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $j \geq i$ and a homomorphism $\psi: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{j}$, such that $\psi^{\mathbb{C}}$ agrees with $\phi_{j-1}^{\mathbb{C}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\bar{F}$ to within $\epsilon$, and a unital finite dimensional subalgebra $H$ of $A_{j}$ in the commutant of $\psi\left(A_{i}\right)$ such that each summand of $H$ has order at least $N$.

Proof. It suffices to consider $A_{i}$ to be a single basic building block which, by the results of Section 1 , is of the form $C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R$ or $A(1, \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} R$ for some algebra $R$ isomorphic to $M_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{q / 2}(\mathbb{H})$ or $M_{q}(\mathbb{C})$. It therefore suffices to consider $A_{i}=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A_{i}=A(1, \mathbb{R})$, which are generated by $h(t)=t$ and $g(t)=i\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)$ respectively. As in [6], given $\delta, j$ can be chosen so that the eigenvalues of each primitive quotient of $k=\phi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i}(h)$ or $\ell=\phi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i}(g)$ are $\frac{\delta}{4 N}$ dense in $[0,1]$ or $i\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. It was shown in Lemma 2.4 that there is an arbitrarily small perturbation of each summand of $k$ of the form $\sum \lambda_{r} P_{r} \in A_{j}$, where each $P_{r}$ is a 1 or 2 dimensional projection valued function in $A_{j}$ and each $\lambda_{r}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$. It was also shown in Lemma 2.4 that there is an arbitrarily small perturbation of each summand of $\ell$ of the form $\sum \lambda_{r} P_{r} \in A_{j}$, where each $\lambda_{r}(t)$ is purely imaginary and each $P_{r}(t)$ is 1-dimensional. In this case either $P_{r} \in A_{j}$ or there is a partner $P_{s}$ with $i\left(P_{r}-P_{s}\right) \in A_{j}$ and $P_{r}+P_{s} \in A_{j}$.

In each case, by coalescing eigenvalues as on page 377 of [6], a perturbation $\sum \lambda_{r} Q_{r}$ of each summand of $k$ or $\ell$ can be found in $A_{j}$ such that, for each eigenprojection $Q_{r}$, the dimension of each $Q_{r}(t)$ is at least $2 N$. For the perturbation of each summand of $k$, each $Q_{r}$ belongs to $A_{j}$ and there exists a unital finite-dimensional subalgebra $H_{r}$ of $Q_{r} A_{j} Q_{r}$. For the perturbation of each summand of $\ell$, either the same applies or for given $r$ there exists $s$ such that both $i\left(Q_{r}-Q_{s}\right)$ and $Q_{r}+Q_{s}$ belong to $A_{j}$ and the element $i\left(Q_{r}-Q_{s}\right)$ of $\left(Q_{r}+Q_{s}\right) A_{j}\left(Q_{r}+Q_{s}\right)$ commutes with a unital finite-dimensional subalgebra $H_{r}$ of order at least $N$. (The complexification of $H_{r}$ has order at least $2 N$.) The direct sum of the subalgebras $H_{r}$, for varying $r$, gives the required algebra $H$.

## 4. An existence result

In this section we obtain an appropriate version of Theorem 5 of [6]. To produce homomorphisms of real $C^{*}$-algebras consistent with prescribed $K$-theoretic maps the method previously employed for real AF-algebras, using standard homomorphisms, can be used. There is an apparent problem obtaining the other required condition, approximate consistency with a given Markov map between affine function spaces on tracial state spaces. For example, the only nonzero homomorphism from $A(1, \mathbb{R})=\{f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C}): f(t)=\overline{f(1-t)}\}$ to $B=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ maps $f$ to the constant function $f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Thus some Markov maps from $A$ to $B$, such as that mapping $f$ to the constant $\frac{1}{2} f(0)+\frac{1}{2} f(1)$, cannot be approximated by convex combinations of homomorphisms. The algebra $A(1, \mathbb{R})$ is similar to the
dimension drop algebras considered in $[20]$, (noting that $A(1, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\left.\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \mathbb{C}\right): f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}\right\}\right)$ and, as in $[20]$, the solution will be to seek approximating convex combinations of homomorphisms from $A$ into a matrix algebra over $B$, in this case $M_{2}(B)$. The first lemma establishes the required version of Theorem 2.1 of [21].

Lemma 4.1. Let $A=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$, let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in\{\mathrm{id}, 1-\mathrm{id}\}$ be homeomorphisms of $[0,1]$, let $\hat{\Phi}_{1}, \hat{\Phi}_{2}$ be the associated involutions of $\left.A_{(\text {with }} \hat{\Phi}_{i} f=f \circ \theta_{i}\right)$ and let $M: A \rightarrow A$ be a unital positive operator with $M \hat{\Phi}_{1}=\hat{\Phi}_{2} M$. Given $\delta>0$ and a finite subset $F$ of $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ there exist $N>0$ and continuous functions $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{2 N}$ from $[0,1]$ to $[0,1]$ with $\mu_{i} \theta_{2}=\theta_{1} \mu_{2 N+1-i}$ for each $i$ such that

$$
\left\|M(f)-\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{i=1}^{2 N} f \circ \mu_{i}\right\|<\delta
$$

for all $f \in F$.
Proof. When $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=$ id use Theorem 2.1 of [21] and its proof to approximate $M(f)$ by $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f \circ \mu_{i}$ and then define $\mu_{2 N+1-i}=\mu_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. When $\theta_{1}=\mathrm{id}$ and $\theta_{2}=1-\mathrm{id},(M f)(t)=(M f)(1-t)$ for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$ so $M f$ can be regarded as an element of $C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right)$. Use Theorem 2.1 of [21] and its proof to approximate $M(f)$ by $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f \circ \mu_{i}$ where $\mu_{i}:\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \rightarrow[0,1]$. Extend each $\mu_{i}$ to $[0,1]$ by $\mu_{i}(t)=\mu_{i}(1-t)$ and, as before, define $\mu_{2 N+1-i}=\mu_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$.

When $\theta_{1}=1-\mathrm{id}$ and $\theta_{2}=$ id then $M f=M \hat{\Phi}_{1} f=M\left(\frac{1}{2} f+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi}_{1} f\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2} f+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi}_{1} f\right)(t)=\left(\frac{1}{2} f+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi}_{1} f\right)(1-t)$ for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Thus $M$ can be regarded as a map from $C\left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right)$ to $C([0,1])$ and therefore $M(f)$ can be approximated by $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2} f+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi}_{1} f\right) \circ \mu_{i}$ where $\mu_{i}:[0,1] \rightarrow\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. For $1 \leq i \leq N$ define $\mu_{2 N+1-i}=$ $\theta_{1} \mu_{i}$, to obtain the required approximation.

When $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\theta=1-\mathrm{id}$, the required result is obtained by a minor refinement of the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [21]. Firstly note that if $a \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ and $y \in$ [ $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ] then both $(M a)(y)$ is approximated by a convex combination $\sum \lambda_{i} a\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $(M a)(1-y)$ is approximated by $\sum \lambda_{i} a\left(1-x_{i}\right)$; in particular if $y=\frac{1}{2}$ then $(M a)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is approximated both by $\sum \lambda_{i} a\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $\sum \lambda_{i} a\left(1-x_{i}\right)$ and thus by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum \lambda_{i}\left(a\left(x_{i}\right)+a\left(1-x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

As in Theorem 2.1 of [21] choose an open covering $\left\{U_{j}: j=1, \ldots, N\right\}$ of $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ on which the approximation by convex combinations persists, but choose $\frac{1}{2} \in U_{N}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \notin U_{j}$ for $1 \leq j<N$. Then define an open cover $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{2 N-1}\right\}$ of $[0,1]$ by $V_{i}=U_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-1, V_{i}=\theta U_{2 N-i}$ for $N+1 \leq i \leq 2 N-1$ and $V_{N}=U_{N} \cup \theta U_{N}$. A partition of unity $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{2 N-1}\right\}$ subordinate to $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{2 N-1}\right\}$ can then be found with $h_{i}=\theta h_{2 N-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ and $h_{N}=\theta h_{N}\left(\right.$ with $\left.h_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1\right)$. The corresponding Markov operator $V a=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} g_{i} a\left(x_{i}\right)$ obtained in [21] can be chosen to have $g_{2 n+1-i}=\theta g_{i}$ and $x_{i}=1-x_{2 n+1-i}$. Then let $G_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} g_{i}$ so that $G_{j-1}(y)<t<G_{j}(y)$ if and only if $G_{2 n-j}(1-y)<1-t<G_{2 n-j+1}(1-y)$. Thus, using the notation $U_{j}=\left\{(y, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]: G_{j-1}(y)<t<G_{j}(y)\right\}$ introduced in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [21], $(y, t)$ belongs to $U_{j}$ if and only if $(1-y, 1-t)$ belongs to $U_{2 n+1-j}$. The maps $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{2 n}$ supported on $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$
can then be chosen to satisfy $\phi_{j}(y, t)=\phi_{2 n+1-j}(1-y, 1-t)$ and, with $x_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$, the continuous maps $\psi_{j}$ can be chosen to have $\psi_{j}(t)=1-\psi_{2 n+1-j}(t)$. The continuous map $h:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $h(y, t)=\psi_{j}\left(\phi_{j}(y, t)\right)$ satisfies

$$
h(y, t)=1-h(1-y, 1-t)
$$

for each $(y, t)$ and thus, with $\mu_{i}(y)=h\left(y, \frac{2 i-1}{4 N}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 N$,

$$
\mu_{i}(1-y)=1-h\left(y, \frac{2(2 N+1-i)-1}{4 N}\right)=1-\mu_{2 N+1-i}(y)
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 of [21] shows that $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{2 N}$ have the required properties.

The continuous maps $\mu_{i}$ found in the previous lemma do not give rise to homomorphisms between the real algebras associated with $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$. The next lemma shows however that they can be combined in pairs to give appropriate homomorphisms into matrix algebras.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0.1]$ be continuous, let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in\{\mathrm{id}, 1-\mathrm{id}\}$ and let $\mu_{1} \theta_{2}=\theta_{1} \mu_{2}$. For $f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and $i \in\{1,2\}$ let $\Phi_{i} f=f \circ \theta_{i}$ and $M(f)=\frac{1}{2} f \circ \mu_{1}+\frac{1}{2} f \circ \mu_{2}$. Then there exists a homomorphism

$$
\psi: C([0,1], \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C([0,1], \mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text { with } \quad\left(\Phi_{2} \otimes \operatorname{Tr}\right) \psi=\psi \Phi_{1}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the transpose map on $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, when the tracial state spaces of $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and $C\left([0,1], M_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ are identified, $M(f)=\psi(f)$ as affine functions on the tracial state space.
Proof. Let $W=1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}i & -i \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(f) & =W \operatorname{diag}\left(f \circ \mu_{1}, f \circ \mu_{2}\right) W^{*} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f \circ \mu_{1}+f \circ \mu_{2} & i\left(f \circ \mu_{1}-f \circ \mu_{2}\right) \\
i\left(f \circ \mu_{2}-f \circ \mu_{1}\right) & f \circ \mu_{1}+f \circ \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\mu_{1} \theta_{2}=\theta_{1} \mu_{2}$ it also follows that $\theta_{1} \mu_{1}=\mu_{2} \theta_{2}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi_{2} \otimes \operatorname{Tr}\right)(\psi(f)) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f \circ \mu_{1} \circ \theta_{2}+f \circ \mu_{2} \circ \theta_{2} & i\left(f \circ \mu_{1} \circ \theta_{2}-f \circ \mu_{2} \circ \theta_{2}\right) \\
i\left(f \circ \mu_{2} \circ \theta_{2}-f \circ \mu_{1} \circ \theta_{2}\right) & f \circ \mu_{1} \circ \theta_{2}+f \circ \mu_{2} \circ \theta_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{1}+f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{2} & i\left(f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{1}-f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{2}\right) \\
i\left(f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{2}-f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{1}\right) & f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{1}+f \circ \theta_{1} \circ \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\psi\left(\Phi_{1}(f)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $\widetilde{\tau}$ is a trace on $C\left([0,1], M_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ corresponding to $\tau$ on $C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ then

$$
\widetilde{\tau}(\psi(f))=\widetilde{\tau} \operatorname{diag}\left(f \circ \mu_{1}, f \circ \mu_{2}\right)=\widetilde{\tau} \operatorname{diag}(M(f), M(f))=\tau(M(f)) .
$$

The next lemma is just the appropriate version of Lemma 4.2 of [14]. It uses certain standard homomorphisms betweeen finite-dimensional real $C^{*}$-algebras which were defined in [18] and [9].

Lemma 4.3. Let $A=\oplus_{i=1}^{r} A_{i}$ and $B=\oplus_{j=1}^{s} B_{j}$ where each $A_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ is a basic building block. Let $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right), T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ be the tracial state spaces of $A^{\mathbb{C}}, B^{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $\Phi_{A}^{*}, \Phi_{B}^{*}$ be the affine homeomorphisms of $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right), T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ arising from the involutory antiautomorphisms $\Phi_{A}, \Phi_{B}$ of $A^{\mathbb{C}}, B^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated with the real algebras $A, B$. Let $F$
be a finite subset of $A f f\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$, the continuous affine functions on $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, let $\delta>0$, let $M: \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ be unital and positive, with $M \hat{\Phi}_{A}=\hat{\Phi}_{B} M$, and let $h: K_{0}(A) \rightarrow K_{0}(B), h^{\mathbb{C}}: K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right), h^{\mathbb{H}}: K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow$ $K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ be such that the following two diagrams commute, where $\rho_{A}$ is the natural map from $K_{0}\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ :


Then there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $*$-homomorphisms $\lambda_{i}: A \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{2}(\mathbb{R}), i=1, \ldots, k$ such that $\lambda_{i *}=d_{*} \circ h$ on $K_{0}(A), \lambda_{i *}^{\mathbb{C}}=d_{*} \circ h^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right), \lambda_{i *}^{\mathbb{H}}=d_{*} \circ h^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ and $\left\|\frac{1}{k} \sum \hat{\lambda}_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}(f)-M(f)\right\|<\delta$ for all $f \in F$ where, for $\tau \in T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)=$ $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right), \hat{\lambda}_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}(f)(\tau)=f\left(\tau \circ \lambda_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ and $d_{*}$ arises from the diagonal embedding $B \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By considering each summand separately it suffices to consider $B$ to be a single building block. Let $p_{d}$ be the identity of the summand $A_{d}$ of $A$ and let $\left\{q_{d}: 1 \leq d \leq r\right\}$ be a set of orthogonal projections in $B$ such that $h\left(\left[p_{d}\right]\right)=\left[q_{d}\right]$ which exist because $K_{0}(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, with generator given by a minimal projection in $B$, and $h([1])=[1]$.

It will suffice to replace $A$ by $A_{d}, B$ by $q_{d} B q_{d}$ and, if $q_{d} \neq 0, M$ by $\hat{q}_{d}^{-1} M \circ \mathrm{id}_{d}$ where $\operatorname{id}_{d}$ is the $d$ th coordinate embedding and $\hat{q}_{d}$ is the ratio $\left[q_{d}\right] /[1]$ when both are regarded as elements of $\mathbb{Z} \cong K_{0}(B)$. (If $q_{d}=0$, the compatibility between $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $M$ forces $M$ to be zero on the $d$ th summand: in this case let $k=1$ and $\lambda_{1}=0$ ).

Let $Z$ be the centre of $A$ and $Z^{\prime}$ the centre of $B$. Then $A=M_{q}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ or $A=M_{q}(\mathbb{H}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z$, for some $q$, with a similar result for $B$, so that M can be regarded as a map from $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(Z^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ to $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(Z^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$. Exactly as in Lemma 4.2 of [14] both $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(Z^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(Z^{\prime \mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ can be identified with either $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

The first step, except when $Z=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and $Z^{\prime}=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$, is to find unital homomorphisms $\psi_{i}: Z^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow Z^{\prime \mathbb{C}} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, mapping $Z$ to $Z^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\frac{1}{k} \sum \psi_{i}$ approximates $M$ on a given finite set. When $Z$ and $Z^{\prime}$ are both equal to either $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A(1, \mathbb{R})$ such homomorphisms exist by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

When $Z$ is either $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A(1, \mathbb{R})$ and $Z^{\prime}=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ then

$$
M: C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

and, from the compatibility condition $M \hat{\Phi}_{A}=\hat{\Phi}_{B} M, M$ is of the form $M(f)=$ $(m(f), m(f \circ \theta))$, where $\theta$ is the homeomorphism of $[0,1]$ associated with $Z$ and $m$ is unital and positive. By Lemma 4.2 of [14] there exist continuous maps $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ such that $m(f)$ is approximated by $\frac{1}{k} \sum f \circ \mu_{i}$ for $f$ in $F \cup \hat{\Phi}_{A}(F)$. Then $\psi_{i}$ : $Z^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow Z^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\psi_{i}(f)=\left(f \circ \mu_{i}, f \circ \theta \circ \mu_{i}\right) \otimes I_{2}$ have the required
approximation property and map elements of $Z$, for which $f^{*}=f \circ \theta$, to elements of $Z^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

When $Z=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ and $Z^{\prime}$ is either $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ or $A(1, \mathbb{R})$ then

$$
M: C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow C([0,1], \mathbb{R})
$$

and, from the compatibility of $M$ with $h^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, M(1,0)$ is a constant function. The compatibility condition $M \hat{\Phi}_{A}=\hat{\Phi}_{B} M$ then gives $M(0,1)=\hat{\Phi}_{B} M(1,0)=$ $M(1,0) \circ \theta=M(1,0)$ and, from $M(1,1)=1$, it then follows that $M(1,0)=$ $M(0,1)=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus Lemma 4.2 of [14] can be applied to $m: f \mapsto 2 M(f, 0)$ to produce continuous maps $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ for which $\frac{1}{k} \sum f \circ \mu_{i}$ approximates $m(f)$ and $\frac{1}{k} \sum g \circ \mu_{i}$ approximates $m(g)$ whenever $(f, g) \in F$ and therefore for which $\frac{1}{2 k} \sum\left(f \circ \mu_{i}+g \circ \mu_{i} \circ \theta\right)$ approximates $M(f, g)$. Let $p$ be the projection $\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ i \\ i\end{array}\right) \in$ $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and define $\psi_{i}$ to be the homomorphism $\psi_{i}(f, g)=\left(f \circ \mu_{i}\right) p+\left(g \circ \mu_{i} \circ \theta\right)(1-p)$. Then $\psi_{i}\left(f, f^{*}\right)^{\operatorname{Tr}}=\left(f \circ \mu_{i}\right)(1-p)+\left(f^{*} \circ \mu_{i} \circ \theta\right) p=\psi_{i}\left(f, f^{*}\right)^{*} \circ \theta$, so that $\psi_{i}$ maps $Z$ to $Z^{\prime} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\frac{1}{k} \sum \psi_{i}$ approximates $M$ on $F$.

Except when $Z=Z^{\prime}=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$, the homomorhisms $\lambda_{i}$ can now be defined by $\lambda_{i}=\alpha_{i} \otimes \psi_{i}: M_{q}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z \rightarrow M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ where $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ are either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ is the appropriate standard homomorphism, as used in the real $A F$ situation in Lemma 2.2 of [19] and either Theorem 3.3 of [18] or Proposition 3.6 of [9]. The effect on $K$-theory is correct because, as in Lemma 6.6 of [20], the evaluation of an element of $M_{q}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ at $\frac{1}{2}$ is a split homomorphism and therefore gives an isomorphism between the $K$-theory sequences for $M_{q}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ and $M_{q}(\mathbb{F})$.

When $Z=Z^{\prime}=C([0,1], \mathbb{C})$ the $K$-theory and affine approximation must be addressed simultaneously. In this case the $K$-theory data is


If $h^{\mathbb{C}}(1,0)=(k, \ell)$ let $h^{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)=\left(k^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}\right)$. Then $k^{\prime}+\ell^{\prime}=h^{\mathbb{H}}(1)=k+\ell$ and $\left(k+k^{\prime}, \ell+\ell^{\prime}\right)=(h(1), h(1))$. So $k+k^{\prime}=\ell+\ell^{\prime}$ and therefore $k^{\prime}=\ell$ and $\ell^{\prime}=$ $k$. The positive map $M: C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is of the form $M(f, g)=$ $(m(f, g), m(g, f))$ for some positive unital map $m$ and the compatibility with $h^{\mathbb{C}}$ implies that $m(1,0)=\frac{k}{k+\ell}$ and $m(0,1)=\frac{\ell}{k+\ell}$. If $k \neq 0$ and $(f, g) \in F$, the map $f \mapsto \frac{k+\ell}{k} m(f, 0)$ can be approximated by a sum $\frac{1}{N} \sum f \circ \mu_{i}$ and if $\ell \neq 0$, the map $g \mapsto \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} m(0, g)$ can be approximated by a sum $\frac{1}{M} \sum g \circ \nu_{i}$. Repeating elements as necessary, we can assume that $M=N$, so that $m(f, g)$ is approximated by $\frac{1}{N(k+\ell)} \sum\left(k f \circ \mu_{i}+\ell g \circ \nu_{i}\right)$. This also holds when $k=0$ or $\ell=0$. Then let

$$
\left.\lambda_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(f \circ \mu_{i}\right) \otimes I_{k} & 0 \\
0 & \left(g \circ \nu_{i}\right) \otimes I_{\ell}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(g \circ \mu_{i}\right) \otimes I_{k} & 0 \\
0 & \left(f \circ \nu_{i}\right) \otimes I_{\ell}
\end{array}\right)\right) \otimes I_{2} .
$$

The combination $\frac{1}{N} \sum \hat{\lambda}_{i}$ approximates $M$ on $F$ and each $\lambda_{i}$ has the required $K$-theoretic properties.

The existence theorem now follows as in Corollary 4.3 of [14].
Proposition 4.4. Let $A=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} A_{i}$ and $B=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} B_{j}$ where each $A_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ is a basic building block, let $T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right), T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ be the trace state spaces of $A^{\mathbb{C}}, B^{\mathbb{C}}$, let
$F \subseteq \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ be a finite subset and let $\delta>0$. Further let $M: \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ be unital and positive, with $M \hat{\Phi}_{A}=\hat{\Phi}_{B} M$, where $\hat{\Phi}_{A}, \hat{\Phi}_{B}$ arise from the involutory antiautomorphisms of $A^{\mathbb{C}}, B^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated with $A, B$, and let $h: K_{0}(A) \rightarrow$ $K_{0}(B), h^{\mathbb{C}}: K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)$ and $h^{\mathbb{H}}: K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ be such that the following two diagrams commute:


Then there exists $T \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for each set $\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{R}\right\}$ of integers with $\ell_{j} \geq T$ for each $j$, there is a unital $*$-homomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H$, where $H=M_{\ell_{1}}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus M_{\ell_{R}}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\psi_{*}=d_{*} \circ h$ on $K_{0}(A), \psi_{*}^{\mathbb{C}}=d_{*}^{\mathbb{C}} \circ h^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right), \psi_{*}^{\mathbb{H}}=$ $d_{*}^{\mathbb{H}} \circ h^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ and $\left\|\hat{\psi}^{\mathbb{C}}(f)-\left(\hat{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \circ M\right)(f)\right\|<\delta$ for all $f \in F$, where $d: B \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H$ is the $*$-homomorphism $d(b)=b \otimes 1_{H}$.

Proof. The method of Corollary 4.3 of [14] applies when modified, as in Lemma 6.6 of [20], to combine homomorphisms $\psi_{i}: A \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ rather than homomorphisms $\psi_{i}: A \rightarrow B$.

## 5. The classification theorem

The combination of the existence and uniqueness theorems to produce a classification result proceeds exactly as on pages 374-380 of [6], using the notation of approximately commuting diagrams originally introduced in [5]. The first step establishes a commutative diagram of $K_{0}$ maps and an approximately commutative diagram of tracial state spaces.

Lemma 5.1. Let $A, B$ be direct limits of unital sequences $A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2} \rightarrow \cdots, B_{1} \rightarrow$ $B_{2} \rightarrow \cdots$ of direct sums of basic building blocks with injective connecting maps, let

be a system of ordered group isomorphisms $\phi_{0}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{H}}$ each preserving the class of the identity and let $\phi_{T}: T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \rightarrow T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ be a continuous affine isomorphism with $\phi_{T} \Phi_{B}^{*}=\Phi_{A}^{*} \phi_{T}$ such that $\left\langle\phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}} g, \tau\right\rangle=\left\langle g, \phi_{T} \tau\right\rangle$ for each $g \in K_{0}\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ and each $\tau \in T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$.

For each $i$ let $D_{i}^{A}$ be the triple $K_{0}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(A_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(A_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ and let $D_{i}^{B}$ be the triple $K_{0}\left(B_{i}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$. After passing to subsequences
there exists a commutative diagram of positive unital group homomorphisms

producing the given triple $\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$. After further passing to subsequences there exists an approximately commutative system, in which each map commutes with the maps resulting from the natural involutory antiautomorphisms,

giving rise to $\phi_{T}^{*}: \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ and satisfying $\left\langle h_{i} g, \tau\right\rangle=\left\langle g, \gamma_{i}^{*} \tau\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle k_{i} g^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle g^{\prime}, \delta_{i}^{*} \tau^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for each $i$, for each $g \in K_{0}\left(A_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, each $\tau \in T\left(B_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, each $g^{\prime} \in K_{0}\left(B_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ and each $\tau^{\prime} \in T\left(A_{i+1}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$.
Proof. The argument on pages $374-376$ of [6] applies directly to the current situation: by suitably choosing the finite-dimensional approximants to the affine function spaces they can be given involutions compatible with all the relevant maps and this gives rise to the compatibility in the diagram of affine function spaces.

The next step is to produce a diagram of algebras and unital $C^{*}$-homomorphisms as on pages 376-378 of [6].

Lemma 5.2. Let $A, B, \phi_{0}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{H}}, \phi_{T}$ be as in Lemma 5.1. Then, after passing to subsequences, there exists a diagram of unital $C^{*}$-homomorphisms

such that:
(a) The induced diagram of sequences of $K_{0}$ triples $K_{0}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(A_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow$ $K_{0}\left(A_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ and $K_{0}\left(B_{i}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ commutes and gives rise to the triple $\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$.
(b) The induced diagram of affine function spaces $\operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(A_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right), \operatorname{Aff}\left(T\left(B_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ approximately commutes and gives rise to $\phi_{T}^{*}$.
(c) The $K_{0}$ and trace mappings at each stage are compatible.

Proof. Firstly construct the $K_{0}$ and affine function map sequences of Lemma 5.1. Then, given $i$, a finite subset $F$ of $A_{i}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\epsilon>0$, apply Proposition 4.4 to obtain $T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for $H=M_{\ell_{1}}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{\ell_{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\ell_{j} \geq T$ for each $j$, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $\psi: A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H$ giving rise to the appropriate
$K$-theory maps and approximately giving rise to the given affine function space maps. Finally apply Proposition 3.6 to obtain $j \geq i$ and $\psi^{\prime}: B_{i} \rightarrow B_{j}$, such that $\psi^{\prime \mathbb{C}}$ agrees to within $\epsilon$ on $F$ with the original map $B_{i} \rightarrow B_{j}$ and such that there is a subalgebra $H$ as above in $\psi^{\prime}\left(B_{i}\right)^{\prime} \cap B_{j}$. Then $\psi: A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H$ gives rise to a *-homomorphism $\theta_{i}$ from $A_{i}$ to $B_{j}$. Relabel $B_{j}$ as $B_{i}$. A similar argument produces $\psi_{i}: B_{i} \rightarrow A_{i+1}$, which have been constructed to have the required properties.

The classification result can now be obtained as on pages 378-380 of [6].
Theorem 5.3. Let $A, B$ be separable simple unital real $C^{*}$-algebras, each of which is the inductive limit of a sequence of direct sums of the basic building blocks $C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{R})\right), C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{C})\right), C\left([0,1], M_{q}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ and $A(1, \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M_{q}(\mathbb{F})$ for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, where $A(1, \mathbb{R})=\{f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{C}): f(t)=\overline{f(1-t)}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Let $\Phi_{A}, \Phi_{B}$ be the associated involutory antiautomorphisms of $A^{\mathbb{C}}=A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $B^{\mathbb{C}}=B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there exists a triple of unital ordered group isomorphisms $\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ from the triple $K_{0}(A) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ to the triple $K_{0}(B) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}\right)$ and that there exists a continuous affine isomorphism $\phi_{T}: T\left(B^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \rightarrow T\left(A^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ from the tracial state space of $B^{\mathbb{C}}$ to that of $A^{\mathbb{C}}$, with $\phi_{T} \Phi_{B}^{*}=\Phi_{A}^{*} \phi_{T}$, and that $\phi^{T}$ and $\phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are compatible. Then there exists $a *$-isomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ which gives rise to the map $\phi_{T}$ and the triple $\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}, \phi_{0}^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$.

Proof. From the diagram of $C^{*}$-homomorphisms given by Lemma 5.2 there is a diagram of complexifications, where each map respects the involutory antiautomorphisms given by the real algebras. The argument on pages 378-380 of [6] shows that by a passage to subsequences the hypotheses of Proposition 2.6 are satisfied. (The only extra ingredient from Theorem 6 of [6] is the condition on the unitary which ensures that the corresponding inner automorphism respects the relevant involutions.) As on page 380 of [6] the diagram can be amended by composing with inner automorphisms to give the required result.
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