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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of unit-regular ideals for unital rings, which is a natural generalization of unit-regular rings. It is shown that every square matrix over unit-regular ideals admits a diagonal reduction. We also prove that a regular ideal of a unital ring is unit-regular if and only if pseudo-similarity via the ideal is similarity.


Let $I$ be an ideal of a unital ring $R$. We say that $I$ is regular in case for every $x \in I$ there exists $y \in I$ such that $x=x y x$. Following Goodearl [7], a unital ring $R$ is unit-regular provided that for every $x \in R$ there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $x=x u x$. Unit-regular rings play an important role in the structure theory of regular rings. In this paper we introduce the notion of unit-regular ideals for unital rings, which is a natural generalization of unit-regular rings. We say that an ideal $I$ of a unital ring $R$ is unit-regular in case for every $x \in I$, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $x=x u x$.

Let $D$ be a division ring, $V$ a countably generated infinite dimensional vector space over $D$. Let $I=\left\{x \in \operatorname{End}_{D} V \mid \operatorname{dim}_{D}(x V)<\infty\right\}$. Clearly, $I$ is an ideal of $\operatorname{End}_{D} V$. Given any $x \in I$, we have right $D$-module split exact sequences $0 \rightarrow$ Ker $x \rightarrow V \rightarrow x V \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow x V \rightarrow V \rightarrow V / x V \rightarrow 0$. Then $V \cong x V \oplus \operatorname{Ker} x \cong$ $V / x V \oplus x V$; hence, $\operatorname{dim}_{D}(\operatorname{Ker} x)=\operatorname{dim}_{D}(V / x V)=\infty$ because $\operatorname{dim}_{D}(x V)<\infty$. By [5, Corollary], $x \in \operatorname{End}_{D}(V)$ is unit-regular. Therefore $I$ is a unit-regular ideal of $\operatorname{End}_{D}(V)$, while $\operatorname{End}_{D}(V)$ is not a unit-regular ring by [5, Corollary]. This shows that the notion of unit-regular ideal is a nontrivial generalization of unit-regularity for regular rings.

An $m \times n$ matrix $A$ over a unital ring $R$ is called to admit a diagonal reduction if there exist $P \in \mathrm{GL}_{m}(R)$ and $Q \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ such that $P A Q$ is a diagonal matrix. It is well-known that every square matrix over unit-regular rings admits a diagonal reduction by invertible matrices (cf. [9, Theorem 3]). But Henriksen's method can not be extend to unit-regular ideals. P. Ara et al. have extended this result to separative exchange rings (cf. [1, Theorem 2.4]). Let $D$ be a division ring, $V$ an infinite dimensional vector space over $D$. Set $R=\operatorname{End}_{D}(V)$. Then $R$ is onesided unit-regular, so it is a separative regular ring. Given any $A \in M_{n}(R)$, by [1, Theorem 2.5], $A$ admits a diagonal reduction. So we can find $U, V \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ such that $U A V=\operatorname{diag}\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$. Assume now that all $r_{i} \in R$ are idempotents.
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Let $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$. Then $A=U^{-1} E V^{-1}$, whence $A V U A=A$. That is, $M_{n}(R)$ is unit-regular. This shows that $R$ is unit-regular, a contradiction. This infers that there exists some square matrix over $R$ which doesn't admit a diagonal reduction with idempotent entries. In other words, we may not reduce some square matrices over unit-regular ideals to diagonal matrices with idempotent entries by Ara's technique. In this paper, we will prove that every square matrix over unitregular ideals admits a diagonal reduction with idempotent entries. We also prove that a regular ideal of a unital ring is unit-regular if and only if pseudo-similarity via the ideal is similarity, which give a nontrivial generalization of [8, Theorem].

Throughout, all rings are associative with identity and all modules are right modules. $U(R)$ denotes the set of all units of $R$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ denotes the general linear group of $R$. The notation $\mathrm{FP}(I)$ stands for the set of all finitely generated projective right $R$-modules $P$ such that $P=P I$.

Lemma 1. Let $I$ be a regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is unit-regular.
(2) If $a R+b R=R$ with $a \in I$, then there exists $y \in R$ such that $a+b y \in U(R)$.
(3) If $R a+R b=R$ with $a \in I$, then there exists $z \in R$ such that $a+z b \in U(R)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $a R+b R=R$ with $a \in I$. Then $a x+b z=1$ for some $x, z \in R$. Since $a \in I$, we have $u \in U(R)$ such that $a=a u a$. Set $a u=e$. Then $e \in R$ is an idempotent. Furthermore, we have $e u^{-1} x+b z=1$; hence $e+b z(1-e)=1-e u^{-1} x(1-e) \in U(R)$. Let $y=z(1-e) u^{-1}$. We see that $a+b y=\left(1-e u^{-1} x(1-e)\right) u^{-1} \in U(R)$, as asserted.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Given any $x \in I$, we have $y \in R$ such that $x=x y x$. From $x y+$ $(1-x y)=1$, we have $z \in R$ such that $x+(1-x y) z \in U(R)$. By [6, Lemma 3.1], we have $s \in R$ such that $y+s(1-x y)=u \in U(R)$. Therefore $x=x y x=$ $x(y+s(1-x y)) x=x u x$, as required.
$(1) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ By symmetry, we get the result.
For any $\alpha, \beta, a, b \in R$, we set

$$
[\alpha, \beta]=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \beta
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{12}(a)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{21}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
b & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

In [4, Proposition 2], the first author and F. Li showed that ideal-stable range conditions are invariant under matrix extensions. Now we give an analogue for unit-regular ideals.

Theorem 2. Let $I$ be a unit-regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then $M_{n}(I)$ is a unit-regular ideal of $M_{n}(R)$.

Proof. Let $I$ be a unit-regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. By [2, Lemma 2], $M_{n}(I)$ is a regular ideal of $M_{n}(R)$. Suppose that $A X+B=I_{n}$ with $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}(I)$ and $X=\left(x_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}(R)$. Then $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ -I_{n} & X\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & X A-I_{n} \\ I_{n} & A\end{array}\right)^{-1} \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(M_{n}(R)\right)$. Since $a_{11} R+\cdots+a_{1 n} R+b_{11} R+\cdots+b_{1 n} R=R$ with $a_{11} \in I$, by Lemma 1 , we can find $y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in R$ such that

$$
a_{11}+a_{12} y_{2}+\cdots+a_{1 n} y_{n}+b_{11} z_{1}+\cdots+b_{1 n} z_{n}=u_{1} \in U(R)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
-I_{n} & X
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{1 \times ( \mathbf { 2 n } - \mathbf { 1 } )}} \\
y_{2} & \\
\vdots & \\
y_{n} & \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{1}} \\
z_{1} & \\
\vdots & \\
z_{n} &
\end{array}\right)= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
u_{1} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} & b_{11} & \ldots & b_{1 n} \\
a_{21}^{\prime} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} & b_{21} & \ldots & b_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* * & a_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{n n} & b_{n 1} & \ldots & b_{n n} \\
* * & 0 & \ldots & 0 & x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* * & 0 & \ldots & -1 & x_{n 1} & \ldots & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & 0 \\
0 & I_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
-I_{n} & X
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & 0 \\
* * & I_{n}
\end{array}\right)= \\
&\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
u_{1} & a_{12}^{\prime} & \ldots & a_{1 n}^{\prime} & b_{11}^{\prime} & \ldots & b_{1 n}^{\prime} \\
0 & a_{22}^{\prime} & \ldots & a_{2 n}^{\prime} & b_{21}^{\prime} & \ldots & b_{2 n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & a_{n 2}^{\prime} & \ldots & a_{n n}^{\prime} & b_{n 1}^{\prime} & \ldots & b_{n n}^{\prime} \\
* * & 0 & \ldots & 0 & x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* * & 0 & \ldots & -1 & x_{n 1} & \ldots & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{22}^{\prime}=a_{22}-a_{21}^{\prime} u_{1}^{-1} a_{12} \in I$. Analogously, we claim that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[*, *]\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
-I_{n} & X
\end{array}\right) B_{21}(*)[*, *]} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
u_{1} & a_{12}^{(n)} & \ldots & a_{1 n}^{(n)} & b_{11}^{(n)} & \ldots & b_{1 n}^{(n)} \\
0 & u_{2} & \ldots & a_{2 n}^{(n)} & b_{21}^{(n)} & \ldots & b_{2 n}^{(n)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & u_{n} & b_{n 1}^{(n)} & \ldots & b_{n n}^{(n)} \\
* * & * & \ldots & * & x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* * & * & \ldots & * & x_{n 1} & \ldots & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right)=[*, *] B_{21}(*) B_{12}(*),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n} \in U(R)$. So $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ -I_{n} & X\end{array}\right)=[*, *] B_{21}(*) B_{12}(*) B_{21}(*)$; and then $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ -I_{n} & X\end{array}\right) B_{21}(Y)=[*, *] B_{21}(*) B_{12}(*)$ for a $Y \in M_{n}(R)$. This implies that $A+B Y \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$. It follows by Lemma 1 that $M_{n}(I)$ is unit-regular.
Corollary 3. Let $I$ be a unit-regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then every square matrix over $I$ is a product of an idempotent matrix and an invertible matrix.

Proof. Let $A \in M_{n}(I)$. In view of Theorem 2 , there exists $U \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ such that $A=A U A$. Set $E=A U$. Then $E=E^{2}$ and $A=E U^{-1}$, as asserted.

Lemma 4. Let $I$ be a unit-regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Suppose that $a, b \in I$. Then the following hold:
(1) If $a R=b R$, then there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $a=b u$.
(2) If $R a=R b$, then there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $a=u b$.

Proof. Suppose that $a R=b R$ with $a, b \in I$. Then we have $x, y \in R$ such that $a x=b$ and $a=b y$. Assume that $a=a a^{\prime} a$. Replacing $a^{\prime} a x$ with $x$, we may assume that $x \in I$. Likewise, we may assume that $y \in I$. Obviously, $b=a x=b y x$. From $y x+(1-y x)=1$, we have $z \in R$ such that $y+(1-y x) z=u \in U(R)$ by Lemma 1 . As a result, we get $a=b y=b(y+(1-y x) z)=b u$. The second statement is proved by the symmetry.

Theorem 5. Let $I$ be a regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is unit-regular.
(2) If $a R \cong b R$ with $a, b \in I$, then there exist $u, v \in U(R)$ such that $a=u b v$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $\psi: a R \cong b R$ with $a, b \in I$. Clearly, $\psi(a) R=b R$. Because of the regularity of $I$, we have an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $b R=e R$. Hence $\psi(a) R=e R$. This infers that $\psi(a) \in R$ is regular as well. So we can find $c \in R$ such that $\psi(a)=\psi(a) c \psi(a)=\psi(a c \psi(a))$. It follows that $a=a c \psi(a) \in$ $R \psi(a)$, whence $R a \subseteq R \psi(a)$. Inasmuch as $a \in I$ is regular, we have $a=a d a$ for some $d \in R$. This implies that $\psi(a)=\psi(a d a)=\psi(a) d a \in R a$; hence, $R \psi(a) \subseteq R a$. So we see that $R a=R \psi(a)$. Clearly, $\psi(a) \in I$. In view of Lemma 4, there exist $u, v \in U(R)$ such that $\psi(a)=u a$ and $b=\psi(a) v$. Therefore we conclude that $b=u a v$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Given any $x \in I$, there exists $y \in R$ such that $x=x y x$. Set $e=x y$. Then we have $x R=e R$ with $x, e \in I$, so there are $u, v \in U(R)$ such that $x=u e v$. We easily check that $x=x\left(v^{-1} u^{-1}\right) x$, as required.

Lemma 6. Let $I$ be a regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. If $P \in \mathrm{FP}(I)$, then there exist idempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in I$ such that $P \cong e_{1} R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{n} R$.

Proof. Suppose that $P \in \operatorname{FP}(I)$. Then we have a right $R$-module $Q$ such that $P \oplus Q \cong n R$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $e: n R \rightarrow P$ be the projection onto $P$. Then $P \cong e(n R)$, whence $\operatorname{End}_{R}(P) \cong e M_{n}(R) e$. Inasmuch as $P=P I$, we have $e(n R)=$ $e(n R) I \subseteq n I$. Set $e=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in M_{n}(R)$. We have $e(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \in n I$. Hence $\alpha_{1} \in n I$. Likewise, we have $\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in n I$. Therefore $e \in M_{n}(I)$. Since $I$ is a regular ideal of $R$, by [2, Lemma 2$], M_{n}(I)$ is also regular. One directly checks
that $\operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$ is a regular ring, hence an exchange ring. Thus $P$ has the finitely exchange property. Set $M=P \oplus Q$. Then we have $M=P \oplus Q=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}$ with all $R_{i} \cong R$. By the finite exchange property of $P$, we have $Q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $M=P \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}\right)$, where all $Q_{i}$ are direct summands of $R_{i}$ respectively. Assume that $Q_{i} \oplus P_{i}=R_{i}$ for all $i$. Then $P \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}\right)=\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}\right)$. Hence $P \cong P_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{n}$, where $P_{i}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $R$ as a right $R$-module for all $i$. So we have idempotents $e_{i}$ such that $P_{i} \cong e_{i} R$. Clearly, $e_{i} R$ is a finitely generated projective right $R$-module. It follows from $P=P I$ that $P \bigotimes_{R}(R / I)=0$; hence, $P_{i} \bigotimes_{R}(R / I)=0$. That is, $\left(e_{i} R\right) \bigotimes_{R}(R / I)=0$, so $e_{i} R=$ $e_{i} R I \subseteq I$. Furthermore, we have $e_{i} \in I$ for all $i$. Therefore $P \cong e_{1} R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{n} R$ with all $e_{i} \in I$.

Theorem 7. Let $I$ be a unit-regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then for any $A \in$ $M_{n}(I)$, there exist invertible matrices $P, Q \in M_{n}(R)$ such that

$$
P A Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)
$$

for some idempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in I$.
Proof. Since $I$ is a unit-regular ideal of $R, M_{n}(I)$ is a unit-regular ideal of $M_{n}(R)$ by Theorem 2. Given any $A \in M_{n}(I)$, we have $B \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ such that $A=$ $A B A$. Set $E=A B$. Then $E=E^{2} \in M_{n}(I)$ and $A M_{n}(R)=E M_{n}(R)$. Clearly, $E R^{n} \in \operatorname{FP}(I)$. From Lemma 6, we can find idempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in I$ such that $E R^{n} \cong e_{1} R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{n} R \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) R^{n}$ as right $R$-modules. Hence $E R^{n \times 1} \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) R^{n \times 1}$, where $R^{n \times 1}$ consisting of all $n$-column vectors over $R$ is a right $R$-module and a left $M_{n}(R)$-module. Let $R^{1 \times n}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{i} \in\right.$ $R\}$. Then $R^{1 \times n}$ is a left $R$-module and a right $M_{n}(R)$-module. One checks that $\left(E R^{n \times 1}\right) \bigotimes_{R} R^{1 \times n} \cong\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) R^{n \times 1}\right) \bigotimes_{R} R^{1 \times n}$. In addition, $R^{n \times 1} \otimes R^{1 \times n} \cong$ $M_{n}(R)$ as right $M_{n}(R)$-modules. Thus,

$$
A M_{n}(R)=E M_{n}(R) \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) M_{n}(R)
$$

According to Theorem 5, we have invertible matrices $P, Q \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $P A Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$, as asserted.

Let $I$ be an ideal of a unital ring $R$. We say that $I$ has stable range one provided that $a R+b R=R$ with $a \in 1+I, b \in R$ implies that $a+b y \in U(R)$ for a $y \in R$. It is well known that $I$ having stable range one depends only on the ring structure of $I$ and not on the ambient ring $R$. Let $I$ and $J$ be regular ideals of a unital ring $R$. If $I$ has stable range one, then $I+J$ is unit-regular if and only if so is $J$.

Corollary 8. Let $R$ be a regular, right self-injective ring, and let $A \in M_{n}(R)$. If $A M_{n}(R)$ is directly finite, then there exist invertible matrices $P, Q \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $P A Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ for some idempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in R$.
Proof. Let $I=\{x \in R \mid x R$ is a directly finite right $\mathrm{R}-$ module $\}$. In view of [7, Corollary 9.21], $I$ is an ideal of $R$. Given any idempotent $e \in I$, we know from [7, Corollary 9.3 and Theorem 9.17] that $e R e$ is unit-regular; hence, $I$ has stable range
one. This infers that $I$ is unit-regular. Inasmuch as $A M_{n}(R)$ is directly finite, we deduce that $A \in M_{n}(I)$. Therefore we complete the proof by Theorem 7 .

Let $R$ be a unital ring, and let $A \in M_{n}(R)$. If $M_{n}(R) A M_{n}(R)$ is a unitregular ideal of $M_{n}(R)$, we claim that there exist invertible matrices $P, Q \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $P A Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ for some idempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in R$. Since $M_{n}(R) A M_{n}(R)$ is a unit-regular ideal of $M_{n}(R)$, we have an ideal $J$ of $R$ such that $M_{n}(J)=M_{n}(R) A M_{n}(R)$. Hence $A \in M_{n}(J)$. Clearly, $J$ is a regular ideal of $R$; hence, $A$ is a regular matrix over $J$. Analogously to Theorem 7 , the result follows. We say that $a$ is pseudo-similar to $b$ via $I$ provided that there exist $x, y, z \in I$ such that $x a y=b, z b x=a$ and $x y x=x z x=x$. We denote it by $a \approx b$ via $I$. Note that if $e R \cong f R$ for idempotents $e, f \in I$ then $e \bar{\sim} f$ via $I$, where $I$ is an ideal of $R$.
Lemma 9. Let $I$ be an ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $a \approx b$ via $I$.
(2) There exist some $x, y \in I$ such that $a=x b y, b=y a x, x=x y x$ and $y=y x y$.

Proof. $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ is trivial.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ As $a \approx b$ via $I$, there are $x, y, z \in I$ such that $b=x a y, z b x=a$ and $x=x y x=x z x$. Then $x a(y x y)=x z b x(y x y)=x z b(x y x) y=x z b x y=x a y=b$. Analogously, $(z x z) b x=a$. By replacing $y$ with $y x y$ and $z$ with $z x z$, we can assume $y=y x y$ and $z=z x z$. Furthermore, we directly check that $x a z x y=x z b x z x y=$ $x z b x y=x a y=b, z x y b x=z x y x a y x=z x a y x=z b x=a, z x y=z x y x z x y$ and $x=x z x y x$, thus yielding the result.

Theorem 10. Let $I$ be a regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is unit-regular.
(2) Whenever $a \approx b$ via $I$, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $a=u b u^{-1}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $a \approx b$ via $I$. According to Lemma 9, there exist $x, y \in I$ such that $a=x b y, b=y a x, x=x y x$ and $y=y x y$. Since $I$ is unit-regular, we have $v \in U(R)$ such that $y=y v y$. Let $u=(1-x y-v y) v(1-y x-y v)$. It is easy to verify that $(1-x y-v y)^{2}=1=(1-y x-y v)^{2}$; hence, $u \in U(R)$. In addition, we have $a u=a(1-x y-v y) v(1-y x-y v)=-a v(1-y x-y v)=-a v+a x+a v=a x$. Likewise, we have $x b=u b$. Clearly, $a x=x b y x=x y a x y x=x y a x=x b$. Therefore $a u=u b$, as required.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Given any $x \in I$, there exists $y \in R$ such that $x=x y x$. Clearly, $\psi:(x y) R \cong(y x) R$ with idempotents $x y, y x \in I$. Hence $x y \approx y x$ via $I$, so we have $u \in U(R)$ such that $1-x y=u(1-y x) u^{-1}$. Set $a=(1-x y) u(1-y x)$ and $b=(1-y x) u^{-1}(1-x y)$. Then $1-x y=a b$ and $1-y x=b a$. Thus $\phi:(1-x y) R \cong$ $(1-y x) R$. Define $u \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(R)$ so that $u$ restricts to $\psi: x R=(x y) R \cong(y x) R$ and $u$ restricts to $\phi:(1-x y) R \cong(1-y x) R$. It is easy to verify that $x=x u x$, as asserted.

Let $A, B \in M_{n}(R)$. If $M_{n}(R) A M_{n}(R)+M_{n}(R) B M_{n}(R)$ is a unit-regular ideal of $M_{n}(R)$, by Theorem 10 we deduce that $A \sim B$ if and only if there exists some $U \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(R)$ such that $A=U B U^{-1}$.

Corollary 11. Let $I$ be a regular ideal of a unital ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is unit-regular.
(2) Whenever $R=A_{1} \oplus B_{1}=A_{2} \oplus B_{2}$ with $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathrm{FP}(I)$ and $A_{1} \cong A_{2}$, we have $B_{1} \cong B_{2}$.
(3) Whenever $a R \cong b R$ with $a, b \in I$, we have $R / a R \cong R / b R$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $R=A_{1} \oplus B_{1}=A_{2} \oplus B_{2}$ with $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathrm{FP}(I)$ and $A_{1} \cong A_{2}$. Then we have idempotents $e, f \in I$ such that $e R \cong f R$, and whence $e \bar{\sim} f$ via $I$. By Theorem 10, there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $e=u f u^{-1}$. Hence $1-e=u(1-f) u^{-1}$. Set $a=(1-e) u(1-f)$ and $b=(1-f) u^{-1}(1-e)$. Then $1-e=a b$ and $1-f=b a$. Therefore we get $B_{1} \cong(1-e) R \cong(1-f) R \cong B_{2}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ Suppose that $a R \cong b R$ with $a, b \in I$. Since $I$ is regular, we have idempotents $e, f \in I$ such that $a R=e R$ and $b R=f R$. Hence $R / a R \cong(1-e) R \cong$ $(1-f) R \cong R / b R$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ Given idempotents $e, f \in I$ such that $e R \cong f R$, then $(1-e) R \cong$ $R / e R \cong R / f R \cong(1-f) R$. Analogously to Theorem 10, we complete the proof.

Recall that an ideal $I$ of a unital ring is of bounded index if there is a positive integer $n$ such that $x^{n}=0$ for any nilpotent $x \in I$.
Corollary 12. Every regular ideal of bounded index is unit-regular.
Proof. Let $R$ be a unital ring with a regular ideal $I$ of bounded index. Suppose that $R=A_{1} \oplus B_{1}=A_{2} \oplus B_{2}$ with $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathrm{FP}(I)$ and $A_{1} \cong A_{2}$. Then we have an idempotent $e \in I$ such that $A_{1} \cong e R \cong A_{2}$. Since $\operatorname{End}_{R}(e R) \cong e R e$ is a regular ring of bounded index, by [7, Corollary 7.11], it is unit-regular. Therefore we get $B_{1} \cong B_{2}$ from [7, Proposition 4.13]. It follows from Corollary 11 that $I$ is a unit-regular ideal of $R$.
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