

CERTAIN BOUNDED FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER

M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa

Abstract. In this paper we obtain sharp coefficient bounds for functions analytic in the unit disc U and belonging to the class $R(b, M)$, $b \neq 0$ is a complex number. Also, we maximize $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ over the class $R(b, M)$ and obtain distortion theorem for functions in this class.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc U . Also denote by S the subclass of A , consisting of all univalent functions in U . Let Ω denote the class of bounded analytic functions w in U satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ for $z \in U$. For $f \in A$, we say that f belongs to the class $F(b, M)$ ($b \neq 0$ complex, $M > \frac{1}{2}$), of bounded starlike functions of complex order, if and only if $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in U and for fixed M ,

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M, \quad z \in U. \quad (1.2)$$

The class $F(b, M)$ was studied by Nasr and Aouf [13].

We note that:

(i) $F(b, \infty) = S(b)$, where $S(b)$ is the class of starlike functions of complex order, introduced and studied by Nasr and Aouf [14];

(ii) $F(\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = F_{\lambda, M}$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$), where $F_{\lambda, M}$ is the class of bounded spiral-like functions, studied by Kulshrestha [9];

(iii) $F((1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = F_M(\lambda, \alpha)$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$), where $F_M(\lambda, \alpha)$ is the class of bounded spiral-like functions of order α , studied by Aouf [3, 4].

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Analytic functions; complex order; starlike functions; bounded functions.

In [1] Halim studied the class $R(b)$ defined as follows:

A function $f \in A$ belongs to the class $R(b)$, if and only if, for $z \in U$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b}(f'(z) - 1) \right\} > 0, \quad z \in U, \quad (1.3)$$

where b is a non-zero complex number. We note that $R(1) = R$ (see MacGregor [10]). Halim [1] proved that if $\operatorname{Re}\{b\} \geq |b|^2$, then $f \in R(b)$ is univalent.

In the present paper, we consider the class $R(b, M)$ of functions $f \in A$, satisfying the condition:

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + f'(z)}{b} - M \right| < M \quad (M > \frac{1}{2}; z \in U), \quad (1.4)$$

where $b \neq 0$, complex. We note that $R(b, \infty) = R(b)$ and $R(1 - \alpha, \infty) = R_\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) (Ahuja [2]).

Taking different values of b and M , the class $R(b, M)$ reduces to the following subclasses of R :

$$\begin{aligned} & (1) R(1 - \alpha, \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)}) = R_1(\alpha, \beta) \text{ (Mogra [12])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{2\beta(f'(z)-\alpha)-(f'(z)-1)} \right| < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (2) R((1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)}) = R_1^\lambda(\alpha, \beta) \text{ (Ahuja [2])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{2\beta(f'(z)-1+(1-\alpha)\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda})-(f'(z)-1)} \right| < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (3) R((1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, \infty) = R_\alpha^\lambda \text{ (Ahuja [2])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} f'(z) > \alpha \sin \lambda, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (4) R(\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, \frac{1}{\cos \lambda}) = R^{*\lambda} \text{ (Ahuja [2])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : |e^{i\lambda} f'(z) - (1 + i \sin \lambda)| < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (5) R(\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, \frac{1}{2\rho}) = R^{*\lambda}(\rho) \text{ (Ahuja [2])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} f'(z) - i \sin \lambda}{\cos \lambda} - \frac{1}{2\rho} \right| < \frac{1}{2\rho}, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \rho < 1, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (6) R(\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = R_M^{*\lambda} \text{ (Ahuja [2])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} f'(z) - i \sin \lambda}{\cos \lambda} - M \right| < M, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, M > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (7) R((1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = R_{M,\alpha}^{*\lambda} \text{ (Aouf and Owa [5])} \\ & = \left\{ f(z) \in A : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} f'(z) - \alpha \cos \lambda - i \sin \lambda}{(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda} - M \right| < M, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, M > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (8) R(\frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+\beta}, \frac{1}{1-\beta}) = R(\alpha, \beta) \text{ (Juneja and Mogra [7])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{f'(z)+1-2\alpha} \right| < \beta, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (9) R(\frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}}{1+\beta}, \frac{1}{1-\beta}) = R_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda \text{ (Makowka [11])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{f'(z)-1+2(1-\alpha)\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}} \right| < \beta, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (10) R(\frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}, \frac{1}{1-\beta}) = R(\beta) \text{ (Padmanabhan [16] and Caplinger and Causey [6])} \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{f'(z)+1} \right| < \beta, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We further, observe that, by the special choice of M our class $R(b, M)$ gives rise the following new subclasses of R :

$$\begin{aligned} & (1) R\left(b, \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)}\right) = R(b, \beta) \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f'(z)-1}{2\beta[f'(z)-1+b]-[f'(z)-1]} \right| < 1, b \neq 0, \text{ complex}, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z \in U \right\}; \\ & (2) R\left((1-\alpha)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}, \frac{1}{2\rho}\right) = R^{*\lambda}(\rho, \alpha) \\ & = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{e^{i\lambda}f'(z)-\alpha\cos\lambda-i\sin\lambda}{(1-\alpha)\cos\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\rho} \right| < \frac{1}{2\rho}, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \rho < 1; z \in U \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily show that $f \in R(b, M)$ if and only if there exists a function $w \in \Omega$ such that [9]

$$1 + \frac{1}{b}(f'(z) - 1) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - mw(z)}, \quad m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}. \tag{1.5}$$

Thus, from (1.5) it follows that $f \in R(b, M)$ if and only for $z \in U$

$$f'(z) = \frac{1 + [(1+m)b - m]w(z)}{1 - mw(z)}, \quad w(z) \in \Omega, \quad m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}. \tag{1.6}$$

2. Coefficient estimates

THEOREM 1. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R(b, M)$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{n} \quad (n \geq 2, m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}). \tag{2.1}$$

The estimates are sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in R(b, M)$, we have

$$f'(z) = \frac{1 + [(1+m)b - m]w(z)}{1 - mw(z)} \quad (w \in \Omega, m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}). \tag{2.2}$$

By simplification, (2.2) yields

$$[(1+m)b + m(f'(z) - 1)]w(z) = f'(z) - 1,$$

that is

$$[(1+m)b + m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}][\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^n] = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Equating corresponding coefficients on both sides of (2.3), we find that the coefficient a_n on the right hand side of (2.3) depends only on a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1} , on the left hand side of (2.3). Hence for $n \geq 2$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$[(1+m)b + m \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} na_n z^{n-1}]w(z) = \sum_{n=2}^k na_n z^{n-1} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} d_n z^{n-1},$$

where $\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} d_n z^{n-1}$ converges in U . Then, since $|w(z)| < 1$, we get

$$\left| (1+m)b + m \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} n a_n z^{n-1} \right| \geq \left| \sum_{n=2}^k n a_n z^{n-1} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} d_n z^{n-1} \right|. \quad (2.4)$$

Writing $z = r e^{i\theta}$, $r < 1$, squaring both sides of (2.4), and then integrating we obtain

$$(1+m)^2 |b|^2 + m^2 \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} n^2 |a_n|^2 r^{2(n-1)} \geq \sum_{n=2}^k n^2 |a_n|^2 r^{2(n-1)} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} |d_n|^2 r^{2(n-1)}.$$

Taking the limit as r approaches to 1, we have

$$n^2 |a_n|^2 \leq (1+m)^2 |b|^2 - (1-m)^2 \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} n^2 |a_n|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $m \geq 1$, it follows that

$$|a_n| \leq \left(\frac{1+m}{n} \right) |b| \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (2.6)$$

The sharpness of the result follows for the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \left[1 + \frac{(1+m)bt^{n-1}}{1-mt^{n-1}} \right] dt \quad (n \geq 2, m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}, M > \frac{1}{2}). \quad \blacksquare \quad (2.7)$$

Putting $m = 1$ ($M = \infty$) in Theorem 1, we get the following result obtained by Halim [1].

COROLLARY 1. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R(b, \infty) = R(b)$. Then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2|b|}{n} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \left[1 + \frac{2bt^{n-1}}{1-t^{n-1}} \right] dt \quad (n \geq 2, z \in U).$$

Putting $b = (1-\alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$ ($M > \frac{1}{2}$) in Theorem 1, we get the following result obtained by Aouf and Owa [5].

COROLLARY 2. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R((1-\alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = R_{M,\alpha}^{\lambda}$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$). Then*

$$|a_n| \leq \left(\frac{2M-1}{M} \right) \frac{(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda}{n} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

and the result is sharp.

3. Maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$

We shall need the following lemmas in our investigation.

LEMMA 1. [15]. *Let the function w defined by*

$$w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \tag{3.1}$$

be in the class Ω . Then $|c_1| \leq 1$ and $|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2$.

LEMMA 2. [8]. *Let the function w defined by (3.1) be in the class Ω . Then*

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\mu|\}, \tag{3.2}$$

for any complex number μ . Equality in (3.2) may be attained with the functions $w(z) = z^2$ and $w(z) = z$ for $|\mu| < 1$ and $|\mu| \geq 1$, respectively.

THEOREM 2. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R(b, M)$. Then*

(a) *for any real number μ we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{12} |4m - 3\mu(1+m)b|; \tag{3.3}$$

(b) *for any complex number μ we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{3} \max\left\{1, \frac{|4m - 3\mu(1+m)b|}{4}\right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

The result is sharp for each μ either real or complex.

Proof. Since $f \in R(b, M)$, we have from (2.2) that

$$f'(z) = \frac{1 + [(1+m)b - m]w(z)}{1 - m w(z)} \quad \left(m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}\right), \tag{3.5}$$

where $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in \Omega$. From (3.5), we have

$$w(z) = \frac{f'(z) - 1}{m(f'(z) - 1) + (1+m)b} = \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}}{(1+m)b} \left[1 - \frac{m}{(1+m)b} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1} - \dots\right] \tag{3.6}$$

and then comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides of (3.6), we have $c_1 = \frac{2a_2}{(1+m)b}$ and $c_2 = \frac{3a_3}{(1+m)b} - m c_1^2$.

Thus $a_2 = \frac{(1+m)b c_1}{2}$ and $a_3 = \frac{(1+m)b}{3} [c_2 + m c_1^2]$. Hence

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{(1+m)b}{3} \left[c_2 - \frac{3\mu(1+m)b - 4m}{4} c_1^2 \right]$$

and therefore

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{(1+m)|b|}{3} \left| c_2 - \frac{3\mu(1+m)b - 4m}{4} c_1^2 \right|. \quad (3.7)$$

(a) When μ is real, (3.7) becomes

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{12} [4|c_2| + |4m - 3\mu(1+m)b| |c_1|^2]. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, applying Lemma 1 for $|c_2|$ in (3.8), we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{12} [4 + \{|4m - 3\mu(1+m)b| - 4\} |c_1|^2]. \quad (3.9)$$

Again, using Lemma 1 for $|c_1|$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{12} |4m - 3\mu(1+m)b|.$$

The equality in (3.3) is attained for the function

$$f'(z) = \frac{[m - (1-m)b]}{m} + \frac{(1+m)b}{m} \frac{1}{1-mz}. \quad (3.10)$$

(b) When μ is a complex number, applying Lemma 2 in (3.7), we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|4m - 3\mu(1+m)b|}{4} \right\}, \quad (3.11)$$

which is (3.4) of Theorem 2.

When $\frac{|4m - 3\mu(1+m)b|}{4} \geq 1$, we choose the function

$$f(z) = \frac{[m - (1+m)b]}{m} z - \frac{(1+m)b}{m^2} \log(1-mz) \quad (3.12)$$

and when $\frac{|4m - 3\mu(1+m)b|}{4} < 1$, we have the function

$$f(z) = \frac{[m - (1+m)b]}{m} z + \frac{(1+m)b}{m} \int_0^z \frac{dt}{1-mt^2}, \quad (3.13)$$

for attaining the equality in (3.4). Thus the result is sharp. ■

Putting $b = (1-\alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ in Theorem 2, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R((1-\alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = R_{M,\alpha}^{\lambda}$. Then*

(a) *for any real μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda}{12} |4me^{i\lambda} - 3\mu(1+m)(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda|, \quad (3.14)$$

(b) *for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+m)(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda}{3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|4me^{i\lambda} - 3\mu(1+m)(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda|}{4} \right\}. \quad (3.15)$$

The result is sharp for each μ either real or complex.

4. Distortion theorem

THEOREM 3. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R(b, M)$. Then for $|z| < r < 1$ we have*

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) \geq \frac{1 - (1 + m)|b|r + m[(1 + m)\operatorname{Re}\{b\} - m]r^2}{1 - m^2r^2} \quad (z \in U) \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) \leq \frac{1 + (1 + m)|b|r + m[(1 + m)\operatorname{Re}\{b\} - m]r^2}{1 - m^2r^2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (4.2)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in R(b, M)$, we observe that the condition (1.6) doubled with an application of Schwarz's lemma [15], implies $|f'(z) - \zeta| < R$, where

$$\zeta = \frac{1 + m[(1 + m)b - m]r^2}{1 - m^2r^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad R = \frac{(1 + m)|b|r}{1 - m^2r^2}.$$

Hence we have (4.1) and (4.2). By considering the function f defined by

$$f(z) = \frac{[m - (1 + m)b]}{m}z - \frac{(1 + m)b}{m^2e^{i\gamma}}\log(1 - mze^{i\gamma}),$$

where

$$e^{i\gamma} = \frac{|b| + mzb}{b + mz|b|},$$

we find that the bounds in (4.1) and (4.2) are sharp at $z = \pm r$, respectively. ■

Putting $b = (1 - \alpha)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$) in Theorem 3, we get

COROLLARY 4. *Let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $R((1 - \alpha)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}, M) = R_{M,\alpha}^{\lambda}$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$ we have*

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) \geq \frac{1 - (1 + m)(1 - \alpha)\cos\lambda.r + m[(1 + m)(1 - \alpha)\cos^2\lambda - m]r^2}{1 - m^2r^2} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) \leq \frac{1 + (1 + m)(1 - \alpha)\cos\lambda.r + m[(1 + m)(1 - \alpha)\cos^2\lambda - m]r^2}{1 - m^2r^2}. \quad (4.4)$$

The equalities in (4.3) and (4.4) are attained, respectively at $z = \pm r$, for the function f defined by

$$f(z) = \frac{[m - (1 + m)(1 - \alpha)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}]}{m}z - \frac{(1 + m)(1 - \alpha)\cos\lambda}{m^2e^{i(\gamma+\lambda)}}\log(1 - mze^{i\gamma}),$$

where

$$e^{i\gamma} = \frac{e^{i\lambda} + mz}{1 + mze^{i\lambda}}.$$

The bounds in (4.3) and (4.4) are sharp at $z = \pm r$, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank the referees of the paper for their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abdul-Halim, *On a class of functions of complex order*, Tamkang J. Math. **30** (1999), 147–153.
- [2] O.P. Ahuja, *Univalent functions whose derivatives have a positive real part*, Rend. Math. (7) **2** (1982), 173–187.
- [3] M.K. Aouf, *Bounded p -valent Robertson functions of order α* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1985), 775–790.
- [4] M.K. Aouf, *Bounded spiral-like functions with fixed second coefficient*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **12** (1989), 113–118.
- [5] M.K. Aouf, S. Owa, *A class of univalent functions whose derivatives have a positive real part*, Punjab Univ. J. Math. (Lahore) **22** (1980-90), 123–141.
- [6] T.R. Caplinger, W.M. Causey, *A class of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (1973), 357–361.
- [7] O.P. Juneja, M.L. Mogra, *A class of univalent functions*, Bull. Sci. Math. Série **103** (1979), 435–447.
- [8] F.R. Keogh, E.P. Merkes, *A sufficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.
- [9] P.K. Kulshrestha, *Bounded Robertson functions*, Rend. Mat. **9** (1976), 137–150.
- [10] T.H. MacGregor, *Functions whose derivative have a positive real part*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1962), 532–537.
- [11] B. Makowka, *On some subclasses of univalent functions*, Zesz. Nauk. Polit. Lodzkiejnr **254**, Z. 9 (1977), 71–76.
- [12] M.L. Mogra, *On a class of univalent functions whose derivative have a positive real part*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma **4** (1981), 163–172.
- [13] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, *Bounded starlike function of complex order*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **92** (1983), 97–102.
- [14] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, *Starlike function of complex order*, J. Natur. Sci. Math. **25** (1985), 1–12.
- [15] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mappings*, McGraw. Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, 1952.
- [16] K.S. Padmanabhan, *On a certain class of functions whose derivatives have a positive real part in the unit disc*, Ann. Polon. Math. **23** (1970), 73–81.

(received 25.12.2008; in revised form 19.02.2009)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt
E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com, adelaeg254@yahoo.com