CENTRALIZING AND COMMUTING GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON PRIME RINGS

Asif Ali and Tariq Shah

Abstract. Let R be a prime ring and d a derivation on R. If f is a generalized derivation on R such that f is centralizing on a left ideal U of R, then R is commutative.

A ring R is said to be prime if aRb = 0 implies that either a = 0 or b = 0. An additive mapping $d: R \to R$ is said to be a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping f is said to be commuting on a left ideal U of R if [f(x), x] = 0 for all $x \in U$ and f is said to be centralizing if $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in U$. There has been considerable interest in commuting, centralizing and related mappings in prime and semiprime rings (see [2] for a partial bibliography).

In this note we extend some results of Bell and Martindale [1] for generalized derivations. An additive mapping $f: R \to R$ is said to be a generalized derivation on R if f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y) for all $x, y \in R$ (where d is a derivation on R). These mappings were introduced in [3].

Throughout this note R will represent a prime ring with Z(R) being its centre. In the following we state a well known fact as

REMARK 1. For a nonzero element $a \in Z(R)$, if $ab \in Z(R)$, then $b \in Z(R)$.

In order to prove the main result, we find it necessary to establish the following Lemma.

LEMMA 1. If f is an additive mapping from R to R such that f is centralizing on a left ideal U of R, then for all $x \in U \cap Z(R)$, $f(x) \in Z(R)$.

Proof. Since f is centralizing on U, we have $[f(x+y), x+y] \in Z(R)$, for all $x, y \in U$. This implies that

$$[f(x), y] + [f(y), x] \in Z(R).$$
(1)

 $Keywords\ and\ phrases:$ Prime rings; commuting and centralizing maps; generalized derivations.



AMS Subject Classification: 16N60, 16S20

Now if $x \in Z(R)$, then from equation (1), $[f(x), y] \in Z(R)$. Replacing y by f(x)y, we get $f(x)[f(x), y] \in Z(R)$. If [f(x), y] = 0, then $f(x) \in C_R(U)$, the centralizer of U in R, and hence ([1, Identity IV]) belongs to Z(R). But on the other hand if $[f(x), y] \neq 0$, it again follows from Remark 1 that $f(x) \in Z(R)$.

Next we prove the result which generalizes [1, Theorem 4].

THEOREM 1. Let R be a prime ring. Let $d: R \to R$ be a nonzero derivation and f be a generalized derivation on a left ideal U of R. If f is commuting on U then R is commutative.

Proof. Since f is commuting on U, we have [f(x), x] = 0 for all $x \in U$. Replacing x by x + y, we get [f(x), y] + [f(y), x] = 0. Now by substituting y = yxand simplifying we arrive at [yd(x), x] = 0. Replacing y by ry, we get [r, x] Ud(x) = 0 for all $x \in U$ and $r \in R$. Since R is a prime ring, therefore either [r, x] = 0 or d(x) = 0 for all $r \in R$. So for any element $x \in U$, either $x \in Z(R)$ or d(x) = 0. Since d is nonzero on R, then by [4, Lemma 2], d is nonzero on U. Suppose $d(x) \neq 0$, for some $x \in U$, then $x \in Z(R)$. Suppose $z \in U$ is such that $z \notin Z(R)$, then d(z) = 0 and $x + z \notin Z(R)$. This implies d(x + z) = 0 and so d(x) = 0, a contradiction. This implies $z \in Z(R)$ for all $z \in U$. Thus U is commutative and hence by [4, Lemma 3], R is commutative. ■

Now we are ready to prove the result which involves centralizing generalized derivations on left ideals containing central elements.

THEOREM 2. Let U be a left ideal of a prime ring R such that $U \cap Z(R) \neq 0$. Let d be a nonzero derivation and f be a generalized derivation on R such that f is centralizing on U. Then R is commutative.

Proof. We assume that $Z(R) \neq 0$ because otherwise f is commuting on U and there is nothing left to prove. Now for a nonzero $z \in Z(R)$, we replace x by zy in (1) and get $[f(z), y]y + z[d(y), y] + z[f(y), y] \in Z(R)$. Now by Lemma 1, $f(z) \in Z(R)$ and therefore $z[d(y), y] + z[f(y), y] \in Z(R)$. But as f is centralizing on U, we have $z[f(y), y] \in Z(R)$ and consequently $z[d(y), y] \in Z(R)$. Since z is nonzero, it follows from Remark 1 that $[d(y), y] \in Z(R)$. This implies d is centralizing on U and hence by [1, Theorem 4], we conclude that R is commutative.

REFERENCES

- H. E. Bell and W. S. Martindale, III Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 30 (1987), 92–101.
- M. Bresar, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, J. Algebra 156 (1993), 385–394.
- [3] B. Hvala, Generalized derivation in rings, Comm. Algebra, 26(4) (1998), 1147–1166.
- [4] J. H. Mayne, Centralizing mappings of prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull., 27(1) (1984), 122– 126.

(received 23.11.2005)

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad-Pakistan *E-mail*: dr_asif_ali@yahoo.com, stariqshah@gmail.com