

## A REMARK ON GRAPH OPERATORS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received September 23, 1997)

*Abstract.* A theorem is proved which implies affirmative answers to the problems of E. Prisner. One problem is whether there are cycles of the line graph operator  $L$  with period other than 1, the other whether there are cycles of the 4-edge graph operator  $\nabla_4$  with period greater than 2. Then a similar theorem follows.

*Keywords:* graph operator, line graph,  $k$ -edge graph

*MSC 2000:* 05C99

In [1], page 71, E. Prisner suggests the problem whether there are  $L$ -cycles with period greater than 1. In the same book on page 131 the problem whether  $\nabla_4$ -periods greater than 2 are possible is given. We shall prove a general theorem which implies affirmative answers to both these questions.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a class of graphs. A graph operator on  $\Gamma$  is a mapping  $\Phi$  which assigns to every graph  $G \in \Gamma$  a graph  $\Phi(G) \in \Gamma$ .

We consider the class  $\Gamma$  of all undirected graphs (finite and infinite) without loops and multiple edges. We denote by  $K_0$  the empty graph, i.e. the graph in which both the vertex set and the edge set are empty.

The operator  $L$  is the line graph operator which to every graph  $G$  from  $\Gamma$  assigns its line graph  $L(G)$ , i.e. the graph whose vertex set is the edge set of  $G$  and in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if there exists a vertex in  $G$  incident to both of them (as edges).

The operator  $\nabla_k$  is the  $k$ -edge graph operator. For an integer  $k \geq 2$ , a  $k$ -edge of a graph  $G$  is either a clique (i.e. a maximal complete subgraph) in  $G$  with at most  $k$  vertices, or a complete subgraph of  $G$  with  $k$  vertices. The  $k$ -edge graph  $\nabla_k(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph whose vertex set is the set of all  $k$ -edges of  $G$  and in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they have at least one common vertex (as subgraphs).

Note that if a graph  $G$  has no triangles and no isolated vertices, then  $\nabla_k(G) = L(G)$  for any  $k$ . A different situation occurs for graphs with isolated vertices; namely an isolated vertex is not an edge, but it is a clique. We have  $\nabla_k(K_1) = K_1$  while  $L(K_1) = K_0$ .

A graph operator  $\Phi$  on  $\Gamma$  will be called additive, if  $\Phi(K_0) = K_0$  and for every graph  $G \in \Gamma$  the image  $\Phi(G)$  is the disjoint union of graphs  $\Phi(C_i)$ , where  $C_i$  for  $i$  from some index set  $I$  are connected components of  $G$ . (Most of commonly used graph operators have this property.)

If  $\Phi$  is a graph operator on  $\Gamma$ , then we define  $\Phi^0$  to be the identical mapping on  $\Gamma$  and  $\Phi^n$  for a positive integer  $n$  to be the operator such that  $\Phi^n(G) = \Phi(\Phi^{n-1}(G))$  for every graph  $G \in \Gamma$ .

By  $P_n$  we denote the path of length  $n$ , i.e. with  $n + 1$  vertices. In particular,  $P_0 = K_1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Phi$  be an additive graph operator on  $\Gamma$  and let  $r$  be a positive integer. If there is an infinite sequence  $(H_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  of pairwise non-isomorphic graphs such that  $\Phi(H_0) = H_0$  and  $\Phi(H_n) = H_{n-1}$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , then there are  $r$  pairwise non-isomorphic graphs  $G_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ , such that the sequence  $(\Phi^n(G_i))_{n=0}^\infty$  is periodic with period  $r$ .*

*Proof.* The graph  $G_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$  will be defined as the disjoint union of all graphs  $H_j$  such that  $j \equiv i \pmod{r}$  and of infinitely many disjoint copies of  $H_0$ . Evidently the graphs  $G_0, \dots, G_{r-1}$  are pairwise non-isomorphic. If  $i, p$  are positive integers and  $p \leq i$ , then  $\Phi^p(H_i) = H_{i-p}$ ; if  $p > i$ , then  $\Phi^p(H_i) = H_0$ . This implies that for  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$  we have  $\Phi^p(G_i) = G_q$ , where  $0 \leq q \leq r - 1$  and  $q \equiv i - p \pmod{r}$ . This implies the assertion.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $L$  be the line graph operator and let  $r$  be a positive integer. Then there exist at least  $r$  graphs  $G_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ , such that the sequence  $(L^n(G_i))_{n=0}^\infty$  is periodic with period  $r$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Theorem 1 if we put  $H_0 = K_0$  and  $H_i = P_{i-1}$  for every positive integer  $i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\nabla_k$  be the  $k$ -edge graph operator for an integer  $k \geq 2$ , let  $r$  be a positive integer. Then there exist at least  $r$  graphs  $G_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ , such that the sequence  $(\nabla_k^n(G_i))_{n=0}^\infty$  is periodic with period  $r$ .*

*Proof.* This again follows from Theorem 1 if we put  $H_i = P_i$  for every non-negative integer  $i$ .  $\square$

We will prove another theorem similar to the preceding one.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Phi$  be an additive operator on  $\Gamma$ , let  $H$  be a graph such that  $\Phi^n(H)$  is a proper subgraph of  $\Phi^{n+1}(H)$  for each non-negative integer  $n$ . Then there exists a graph  $G$  such that  $\Phi^{n+1}(G)$  is a proper subgraph of  $\Phi^n(G)$  for each non-negative integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The graph  $G$  is the disjoint union of all graphs  $\Phi^n(H)$  for non-negative integers  $n$ . The graph  $\Phi^{n+1}(G)$  is obtained from  $\Phi^n(G)$  by deleting the subgraph  $\Phi^n(H)$  for any  $n$ .  $\square$

At the end we remark that in the proof of Corollary 2 the paths need not necessarily occur.

We may define graphs  $P_n^k$  analogous to the paths  $P_n$ . Let  $k \geq 2$ . We have  $P_0^k = K_1$  and  $P_1^k = K_k$ . The graph  $P_2^k$  has  $k$  blocks which are complete graphs with  $k$  vertices each and a unique articulation common to all of them. If the graph  $P_{n-2}^k$  is constructed for an integer  $n \geq 3$ , then to each vertex  $v$  of  $P_{n-2}^k$  which belongs to only one block we assign  $k-1$  new copies of  $K_k$ , choose one vertex in each of them and identify it with  $v$ . (In the case  $k=2$  we have  $P_n^k = P_n$  for any  $n$ .) We have  $\nabla_k(P_0^k) = P_0^k$ ,  $\nabla_k(P_n^k) = P_{n-1}^k$  for any positive integer  $n$ .

#### References

- [1] *Prisner E.*: Graph Dynamics. Longman House Ltd., Burnt Mill, Harlow, Essex 1995.

*Author's address:* Bohdan Zelinka, Katedra aplikované matematiky Technické univerzity, Voroněžská 13, 461 17 Liberec 1, Czech Republic.