

## Small exponent point groups on elliptic curves

par FLORIAN LUCA, JAMES MCKEE et IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

RÉSUMÉ. Soit  $\mathbf{E}$  une courbe elliptique définie sur  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , le corps fini à  $q$  éléments. Nous montrons que pour une constante  $\eta > 0$  dépendant seulement de  $q$ , il existe une infinité d'entiers positifs  $n$  tels que l'exposant de  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ , le groupe des points  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -rationnels sur  $\mathbf{E}$ , est au plus  $q^n \exp(-n^{\eta/\log \log n})$ . Il s'agit d'un analogue d'un résultat de R. Schoof sur l'exposant du groupe  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  des points  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rationnels, lorsqu'une courbe elliptique fixée  $\mathbf{E}$  est définie sur  $\mathbb{Q}$  et le nombre premier  $p$  tend vers l'infini.

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the finite field of  $q$  elements. We show that for some constant  $\eta > 0$  depending only on  $q$ , there are infinitely many positive integers  $n$  such that the exponent of  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ , the group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -rational points on  $\mathbf{E}$ , is at most  $q^n \exp(-n^{\eta/\log \log n})$ . This is an analogue of a result of R. Schoof on the exponent of the group  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points, when a fixed elliptic curve  $\mathbf{E}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the prime  $p$  tends to infinity.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the finite field of  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a prime power, defined by a Weierstrass equation

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3.$$

We consider extensions  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and, accordingly, we consider the sets  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -rational points on  $\mathbf{E}$  (including the point at infinity  $\mathcal{O}$ ).

We recall that  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  forms an abelian group (with  $\mathcal{O}$  as the identity element). The cardinality  $\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  of this group satisfies the Hasse–Weil inequality

$$(1.1) \quad |\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - q^n - 1| \leq 2q^{n/2}$$

(see [2, 13, 14] for this, and other general properties of elliptic curves).

It is well-known that the group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -rational points  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  is of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z},$$

where the integers  $L$  and  $M$  are uniquely determined with  $M \mid L$ . In particular,  $\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = LM$ . The number  $\ell(q^n) = L$  is called the *exponent* of  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ , and is the largest possible order of points  $P \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ .

Trivially, from the definition (1.2), and from the equation (1.1), we see that the inequality

$$\ell(q^n) \geq (\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))^{1/2} \geq (q^n + 1 - 2q^{n/2})^{1/2} = q^{n/2} - 1$$

holds for all  $q$  and  $n$ .

For a fixed elliptic curve  $\mathbf{E}$  which is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  that admits no complex multiplication, it has been shown by Schoof [11] that the inequality

$$\ell(p) \geq C(\mathbf{E}) \frac{p^{1/2} \log p}{\log \log p}$$

holds for all prime numbers  $p$  of good reduction, where the constant  $C(\mathbf{E}) > 0$  depends only on the curve  $\mathbf{E}$ .

Duke [7], has recently shown, unconditionally for elliptic curves with complex multiplication, and under the *Extended Riemann Hypothesis* for elliptic curves without complex multiplication, that for any function  $f(x)$  that tends to infinity as  $x$  tends to infinity, the lower bound  $\ell(p) \geq p/f(p)$  holds for almost all primes  $p$ . However, for elliptic curves without complex multiplication, the only unconditional result available is also in [7], and asserts that the weaker inequality  $\ell(p) \geq p^{3/4}/\log p$  holds for almost all primes  $p$ . It has also been shown in [11], that, under the Extended Riemann Hypothesis, for any curve  $\mathbf{E}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell(p)}{p^{7/8} \log p} < \infty$$

where  $p$  runs through prime numbers. This bound rests on an explicit form of the *Chebotarev Density Theorem*. Accordingly, unconditional results of [9] lead to an unconditional, albeit much weaker, upper bound on  $\ell(p)$ .

In extension fields of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $\mathbf{E}$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , stronger lower bounds on  $\ell(q^n)$  can be obtained. For example, it has recently been shown in [10] that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the inequality  $\ell(q^n) \leq q^{n(1-\varepsilon)}$  holds only for finitely many values of  $n$ . In particular, this means that no result of the same strength as (1.3) is possible for elliptic curves in extension fields. Accordingly, here we obtain a much more modest bound which asserts that for some positive constant  $\eta > 0$  depending only on  $q$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell(q^n)}{q^n \exp(-n^\eta / \log \log n)} < \infty.$$

The question of cyclicity, that is, whether  $\ell(q^n) = \#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ , has also been addressed in the literature. For curves in extension fields, this question has been satisfactorily answered by Vlăduț [16]. In the situation where  $\mathbf{E}$  is

defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the question about the cyclicity of the reduction  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  when  $p$  runs over the primes appears to be much harder (see [4, 5, 6] for recent advances and surveys of other related results). In particular, this problem is closely related to the famous *Lang–Trotter conjecture*.

Finally, one can also study an apparently easier question about the distribution of  $\ell(q)$  “on average” over various families of elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (see [12, 15]).

Throughout this paper, all the explicit and implied constants in the symbol ‘ $O$ ’ may depend only on  $q$ . For a positive real number  $z > 0$ , we write  $\log z$  for the maximum between 1 and the natural logarithm of  $z$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Joseph Silverman for useful discussions. During the preparation of this paper, F. L. was supported in part by grants SEP-CONACYT 37259-E and 37260-E, and I. S. was supported in part by ARC grant DP0211459. This paper was written during a visit of I. S. to Royal Holloway, University of London (supported by an EPSRC Visiting Fellowship), whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

## 2. The field of definition of torsion points

Let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Given an elliptic curve  $\mathbf{E}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the points  $P \in \mathbf{E}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  with  $kP = \mathcal{O}$  for some fixed integer  $k \geq 1$ , form a group, which is called the *k-torsion group* and denoted by  $\mathbf{E}[k]$ . If  $\gcd(k, q) = 1$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{E}[k] \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}.$$

Henceforth, we assume that  $\gcd(k, q) = 1$ , so that (2.1) holds. Let  $\mathbb{K}_k$  be the field of definition of  $\mathbf{E}[k]$ , that is the field generated by the coordinates of all the  $k$ -torsion points, and let  $d(k)$  denote the degree of  $\mathbb{K}_k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $\mathbb{K}_k$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_k$  denote the Galois group of this extension. Having chosen generators  $P_1, P_2$  for the  $k$ -torsion group, one gets a representation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ : any element of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  maps each  $P_i$  to a  $(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ -linear combination of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Although the following statement does not seem to appear in the literature, it is based on an approach which is not new. For example, for the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  analogue, see Proposition VII.2 of [2].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $t = q + 1 - \#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . If  $r$  is a prime with  $\gcd(r, q(t^2 - 4q)) = 1$  and such that  $t^2 - 4q$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $r$ , then  $d(r) \mid (r - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $r$  does not divide  $q$ ,  $\mathbf{E}[r] \cong \mathbb{F}_r \times \mathbb{F}_r$ , and the above Galois representation exhibits  $\mathcal{G}_r$  as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_r)$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite

field,  $\mathcal{G}_r$  is cyclic, generated by the Frobenius map  $\tau(\vartheta) = \vartheta^q$ . Let  $A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_r)$  correspond to  $\tau$ . Now  $d(r)$  is the order of  $A$  in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_r)$ .

If  $A$  is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix, then it has order dividing  $r - 1$ . Otherwise, the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  equals its minimal polynomial. Since the relation  $\tau^2 - t\tau + q = 0$  holds in the endomorphism ring, we have  $A^2 - tA + qI = 0$  over  $\mathbb{F}_r$ , and this must be the minimal polynomial of  $A$ . Since  $t^2 - 4q$  is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{F}_r$ ,  $A$  has two distinct eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{F}_r$ , from which the result follows immediately.  $\square$

We remark that without the condition that  $t^2 - 4q$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $r$ , similar arguments imply that the relation  $d(r) \mid (r^2 - 1)$  holds for any prime  $r$  with  $\text{gcd}(r, q(t^2 - 4q)) = 1$ .

### 3. Main result

Lemma 2.1 immediately implies that  $\ell(q^n) = O(q^n n^{-1})$  infinitely often (namely for each  $n = d(r)$ , where  $r$  is a prime with  $\text{gcd}(r, q(t^2 - 4q)) = 1$  and such that  $t^2 - 4q$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $r$ ). Here, we prove a much stronger bound.

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exists a positive constant  $\eta > 0$  such that for infinitely many pairs of positive integers  $(m, n)$  we have  $\mathbf{E}[m] \subseteq \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  and*

$$m \geq \exp\left(n^{\eta/\log \log n}\right).$$

*Proof.* We let  $\Delta = 4(t^2 - 4q)$  and we show that there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such for any sufficiently large  $x$  there exists a set of primes  $\mathcal{R}$  such that each  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  has the properties that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{gcd}(r, q) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r \equiv 1 \pmod{\Delta},$$

and also that

$$(3.2) \quad \#\mathcal{R} \geq \exp(\kappa \log x / \log \log x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lcm}\{r - 1 \mid r \in \mathcal{R}\} \leq x^2.$$

We follow closely the proof of Proposition 10 of [1]. However, we replace the condition of  $r - 1$  being squarefree by the conditions (3.1). Namely, let  $k_0$  be the integer of Proposition 8 of [1]. Assuming that  $x$  is sufficiently large, as in Proposition 10 of [1], we define  $k_1$  as the product of all primes up to  $0.5\delta \log x$  for a sufficiently small positive constant  $\delta$ . We now put  $k_2 = k_1 / \text{gcd}(k_1, \Delta)$  and finally  $k = k_1 / P(\text{gcd}(k_0, k_2))$ . It is clear that  $k_0 \nmid \Delta k$  (note that we have not imposed the squarefreeness condition, and thus we do not need the condition  $k_0^2 \nmid \Delta k$  to hold, as in [1]). For each  $d \mid k$ , we denote by  $A_d$  the number pairs  $(m, r)$  consisting of a positive integer  $m \leq x$  and a prime  $r \leq x$ , with

$$\text{gcd}(r, q) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gcd}(m, k) = k/d,$$

and which satisfy the system of congruences

$$m(r - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \quad \text{and} \quad r \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm}(\Delta, d)}.$$

As in [1], we derive that for some constant  $C > 0$ , the inequality

$$A_d \geq C \frac{x^2 \varphi(d)}{dk \log x}$$

holds uniformly in  $d$ , where  $\varphi(d)$  is the Euler function. Repeating the same steps as in the proof of Proposition 10 of [1], we obtain the desired set  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). It is clear that  $t^2 - 4q$  is a quadratic residue modulo every  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  and thus, by Lemma 2.1, the relation  $d(r) \mid (r - 1)$  holds for all  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ .

We now define

$$m = \prod_{r \in \mathcal{R}} r \quad \text{and} \quad n = \text{lcm}\{r - 1 \mid r \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Since,  $\mathbf{E}[r] \subseteq \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  holds for every  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{E}[m] \subseteq \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ . We now derive, from (3.2), that  $n \leq x^2$ , and using the Prime Number Theorem, we get

$$m \geq \exp((1 + o(1))\#\mathcal{R}) \geq \exp((1 + o(1)) \exp(\kappa \log x / \log \log x)),$$

which finishes the proof. □

It is now clear that Theorem 3.1 implies relation (1.4).

#### 4. Applications to Lucas sequences

Let  $u_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$  be a Lucas sequence, where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are roots of the characteristic polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 + AX + B \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.1 show that there are many primes  $r$  such that  $A^2 - 4B$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $r$  and the least common multiple of all the  $r - 1$  is small. In a quantitative form this implies that, for infinitely many positive integers  $n$ ,

$$\omega(u_n) \geq n^{\eta/\log \log n}$$

for some positive constant  $\eta > 0$ , where  $\omega(u)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of an integer  $u \geq 2$ .

Moreover, given  $s \geq 2$  Lucas sequences  $u_{i,n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , one can use the same arguments to show that, for infinitely many positive integers  $n$ ,

$$\omega(\text{gcd}(u_{1,n}, \dots, u_{s,n})) \geq n^{\eta/\log \log n}.$$

This generalises and refines a remark made in [3]. In particular, we see that for any integers  $a > b > 1$ , the result of [1] immediately implies that

$$\text{gcd}(a^n - 1, b^n - 1) \geq \exp\left(n^{\eta/\log \log n}\right)$$

infinitely often (which shows that the upper bound of [3] is rather tight).

### References

- [1] L. M. ADLEMAN, C. POMERANCE, R. S. RUMELY, *On distinguishing prime numbers from composite numbers*. Annals Math. **117** (1983), 173–206.
- [2] I. BLAKE, G. SEROUSSI, N. SMART, *Elliptic curves in cryptography*. London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Series **265**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [3] Y. BUGEAUD, P. CORVAJA, U. ZANNIER, *An upper bound for the G.C.D. of  $a^n - 1$  and  $b^n - 1$* . Math. Zeitschrift **243** (2003), 79–84.
- [4] A. COJOCARU, *On the cyclicity of the group of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points of non-CM elliptic curves*. J. Number Theory **96** (2002), 335–350.
- [5] A. COJOCARU, *Cyclicity of CM elliptic curves modulo  $p$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 2651–2662.
- [6] A. COJOCARU, M. R. MURTY, *Cyclicity of elliptic curves modulo  $p$  and elliptic curve analogues of Linnik's problem*. Mathematische Annalen **330** (2004), 601–625.
- [7] W. DUKE, *Almost all reductions of an elliptic curve have a large exponent*. Comptes Rendus Mathématiques **337** (2003), 689–692.
- [8] P. ERDŐS, C. POMERANCE, E. SCHMUTZ, *Carmichael's lambda function*. Acta Arith. **58** (1991), 363–385.
- [9] J. C. LAGARIAS, H. L. MONTGOMERY, A. M. ODLYZKO, *A bound for the least prime ideal in the Chebotarev density theorem*. Invent. Math. **54** (1979), 271–296.
- [10] F. LUCA, I. E. SHPARLINSKI, *On the exponent of the group of points on elliptic curves in extension fields*. Intern. Math. Research Notices **23** (2005), 1391–1409.
- [11] R. SCHOOF, *The exponents of the group of points on the reduction of an elliptic curve*, Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry. Progr. Math. **89**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1991, 325–335.
- [12] I. E. SHPARLINSKI, *Orders of points on elliptic curves*, Affine Algebraic Geometry. Contemp. Math. **369**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, 245–252.
- [13] J. H. SILVERMAN, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [14] J. H. SILVERMAN, J. TATE, *Rational points on elliptic curves*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [15] S. G. VLĂDUȚ, *Cyclicity statistics for elliptic curves over finite fields*. Finite Fields and Their Appl. **5** (1999), 13–25.
- [16] S. G. VLĂDUȚ, *A note on the cyclicity of elliptic curves over finite field extensions*. Finite Fields and Their Appl. **5** (1999), 354–363.

Florian LUCA  
 Instituto de Matemáticas  
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
 C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México  
*E-mail* : fluca@matmor.unam.mx

James MCKEE  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Royal Holloway, University of London  
 Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK  
*E-mail* : james.mckee@rhul.ac.uk

Igor E. SHPARLINSKI  
 Department of Computing  
 Macquarie University  
 Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia  
*E-mail* : igor@ics.mq.edu.au