



Acyclic Digraphs and Eigenvalues of $(0, 1)$ -Matrices

Brendan D. McKay, Department of Computer Science, Australian National University,
Canberra, ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA

bdm@cs.anu.edu.au

Frédérique E. Oggier,¹ Département de Mathématiques,
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, SWITZERLAND

frederique.oggier@epfl.ch

Gordon F. Royle, Department of Computer Science & Software Engineering, University of
Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, AUSTRALIA

gordon@csse.uwa.edu.au

N. J. A. Sloane,² Internet and Network Systems Research Department, AT&T Shannon
Labs,

180 Park Avenue, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0971, USA

njas@research.att.com

Ian M. Wanless, Department of Computer Science, Australian National University,
Canberra, ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA

imw@cs.anu.edu.au

Herbert S. Wilf, Mathematics Department, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA

wilf@math.upenn.edu

Abstract

We show that the number of acyclic directed graphs with n labeled vertices is equal to the number of $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices whose eigenvalues are positive real numbers.

1. Weisstein's conjecture

Last year Eric W. Weisstein of Wolfram Research, Inc., computed the numbers of real $n \times n$ matrices of 0's and 1's all of whose eigenvalues are real and positive, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. He observed that the resulting sequence of values, viz.,

1, 3, 25, 543, 29281

¹ This work was carried out during F. E. Oggier's visit to AT&T Shannon Labs during the summer of 2003. She thanks the Fonds National Suisse, Bourses et Programmes d'Échange for support.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed.

coincided with the beginning of sequence [A003024](#) in [8], which counts acyclic digraphs with n labeled vertices. Weisstein conjectured that the sequences were in fact identical, and we prove this here.

Notation: a “digraph” means a graph with at most one edge directed from vertex i to vertex j , for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Loops and cycles of length two are permitted, but parallel edges are forbidden. “Acyclic” means there are no cycles of any length.

Theorem 1.1. *For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the number of acyclic directed graphs with n labeled vertices is equal to the number of $n \times n$ matrices of 0’s and 1’s whose eigenvalues are positive real numbers.*

Proof. Suppose we are given an acyclic directed graph G . Let $A = A(G)$ be its vertex adjacency matrix. Then A has only 0’s on the diagonal, else cycles of length 1 would be present. So define $B = I + A$, and note that B is also a matrix of 0’s and 1’s. We claim B has only positive eigenvalues.

Indeed, the eigenvalues will not change if we renumber the vertices of the graph G consistently with the partial order that it generates. But then $A = A(G)$ would be strictly upper triangular, and B would be upper triangular with 1’s on the diagonal. Hence all of its eigenvalues are equal to 1.

Conversely, let B be a $(0, 1)$ -matrix whose eigenvalues are all positive real numbers. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\geq \frac{1}{n} \text{Trace}(B) && \text{(since all } B_{i,i} \leq 1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n) \\
 &\geq (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} && \text{(by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality)} \\
 &= (\det B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
 &\geq 1 && \text{(since } \det B \text{ is a positive integer)}. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the arithmetic and geometric means of the eigenvalues are equal, the eigenvalues are all equal, and in fact all $\lambda_i(B) = 1$.

Now regard B as the adjacency matrix of a digraph H , which has a loop at each vertex. Since

$$\text{Trace}(B^k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k = \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n,$$

for all k , the number of closed walks in H , of each length k , is n .

Since the trace of B is equal to n , all diagonal entries of B are 1’s. Thus we account for all n of the closed walks of length k that exist in the graph H by the loops at each vertex. There are no closed walks of any length that use an edge of H other than the loops at the vertices.

Put $A = B - I$. Then A is a $(0, 1)$ -matrix that is the adjacency matrix of an acyclic digraph. \square

Remark. We found only two related results in the literature. D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs [3, p. 81] show that a digraph G contains no cycle if and only if all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix are 0. Nicolson [5] shows that for a nonnegative matrix M the following four conditions are equivalent: (a) there exists a permutation matrix P such that PMP' is strictly upper triangular; (b) there is no positive cycle in M (i.e. in the weighted digraph there is no cycle whose edges all have positive weight); (c) $\text{permanent}(M + I) = 1$; and (d) M is nilpotent.

2. Corollaries.

(i) Let B be a $(0, 1)$ -matrix whose eigenvalues are all positive real numbers. Then the eigenvalues are in fact all equal to 1. The only symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrix with positive eigenvalues is the identity.

(ii) Let B be an $n \times n$ matrix with integer entries and $\text{Trace}(B) \leq n$. Then B has all eigenvalues real and positive if and only if $B = I + N$, where N is nilpotent.

(iii) If a digraph contains a cycle of length greater than 1, then its adjacency matrix has an eigenvalue which is zero, negative, or strictly complex. In fact, a more detailed argument, not given here, shows that if the length of the shortest cycle is at least 3, then there is a strictly complex eigenvalue.

(iv) The eigenvalues of a digraph consist of $n - k$ 0's and k 1's if and only if the digraph is acyclic apart from k loops.

(v) Define two matrices B_1, B_2 to be *equivalent* if there is a permutation matrix P such that $P'B_1P = B_2$. Then the number of equivalence classes of $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices with all eigenvalues positive is equal to the number of acyclic digraphs with n unlabeled vertices. (These numbers form sequence [A003087](#) in [8].)

Proof. Two labeled graphs G_1, G_2 with adjacency matrices $A(G_1), A(G_2)$ correspond to the same unlabeled graph if and only if there is a permutation matrix P such that $P'A(G_1)P = A(G_2)$. The result now follows immediately from the theorem. \square

(vi) Let B be an $n \times n$ $(-1, +1)$ -matrix with all eigenvalues real and positive. Then $n = 1$ and $B = [1]$.

Proof. The argument that led to (1) still applies and shows that all the eigenvalues are 1, $\det B = 1$ and $\text{Trace}(B) = n$. By adding or subtracting the first row of B from all other rows we can clear the first column, obtaining a matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ \mathbf{0} & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is a column of 0's and D is an $n - 1 \times n - 1$ matrix with entries $-2, 0, +2$ and $\det D = \det C = \det B = 1$. Hence 2^{n-1} divides 1, so $n = 1$. \square

It would be interesting to investigate the connections between matrices and graphs in other cases—for example if the eigenvalues are required only to be real and nonnegative (see sequences [A086510](#), [A087488](#) in [8] for the initial values), or if the entries are $-1, 0$ or 1 ([A085506](#)).

3. Bibliographic remarks

Acyclic digraphs were first counted by Robinson [6, 7], and independently by Stanley [9]: if R_n is the number of acyclic digraphs with n labeled vertices, then

$$R_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} 2^{k(n-k)} R_{n-k} ,$$

for $n \geq 1$, with $R_0 = 1$, and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n \frac{x^n}{2^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{2^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} \right]^{-1} .$$

The asymptotic behavior is

$$R_n \sim n! \frac{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}{M p^n} ,$$

where $p = 1.488\dots$ and $M = 0.474\dots$

The asymptotic behavior of $R(n, q)$, the number of these graphs that have q edges, was found by Bender *et al.* [1, 2], and the number that have specified numbers of sources and sinks has been found by Gessel [4].

References

- [1] E. A. Bender, L. B. Richmond, R. W. Robinson and N. C. Wormald, The asymptotic number of acyclic digraphs, I, *Combinatorica* **6** (1986), 15–22.
- [2] E. A. Bender and R. W. Robinson, The asymptotic number of acyclic digraphs, II, *J. Combin. Theory*, Ser. **B** **44** (1988), 363–369.
- [3] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs*, third ed., Barth, Heidelberg, 1995.
- [4] I. M. Gessel, Counting acyclic digraphs by sources and sinks, *Discrete Math.*, **160** (1996), 253–258.
- [5] V. A. Nicholson, Matrices with permanent equal to one, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **12** (1975), 185–188.
- [6] R. W. Robinson, Enumeration of acyclic digraphs, in: R. C. Bose *et al.* (Eds.), *Proc. Second Chapel Hill Conf. on Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications (Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C., 1970)*, Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C., 1970, pp. 391–399.
- [7] R. W. Robinson, Counting labeled acyclic digraphs, in: F. Harary (Ed.), *New Directions in the Theory of Graphs*, Academic Press, NY, 1973, pp. 239–273.

- [8] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/, 1996–2004.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, Acyclic orientations of graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **5** (1973), 171–178.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05A15; Secondary 15A18, 15A36.
Keywords: $(0, 1)$ -matrix, acyclic, digraph, eigenvalue.

(Concerned with sequences [A003024](#), [A003087](#), [A085506](#), [A086510](#), and [A087488](#).)

Received May 29 2004; revised version received August 4 2004. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, August 4 2004.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).