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#### Abstract

Recently Jiménez-Urroz and Yebra constructed, for any given $a$ and $b$, solutions $x$ to the title equation. Moreover they showed how these can be lifted to higher powers of $b$ to obtain a $b$-adic solution for certain integers $b$. In this paper we find all positive integer solutions $x$ to the title equation, proving that, for given $a$ and $b$, there are $X / b+O_{b}(1)$ solutions $x \leq X$. We also show how solutions may be lifted in more generality. Moreover we show that the construction of Jiménez-Urroz and Yebra (and obvious modifications) cannot always find all solutions to $a^{x} \equiv x$ $(\bmod b)$.


## 1. Introduction

Jiménez-Urroz and Yebra [3] begin with: "The fact that 743 ends in 343 could just be a curiosity. However, when this can be uniquely extended to

```
77659630680637333853643331265511565172343
    = ..7659630680637333853643331265511565172343,
```

and more, it begins to be interesting." They go on to show that one can construct such an $x$ satisfying $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod 10^{n}\right)$ for any $a \geq 1$ with $(a, 10)=1$ and any $n \geq 1$.

To find solutions to $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ Jiménez-Urroz and Yebra proceed as follows: From a solution, $y$, to $a^{y} \equiv y(\bmod \phi(b))$ one takes $x=a^{y}$ and then $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ by Euler's theorem. Since $\phi(b)<b$ for all $b \geq 2$, one can recursively construct solutions, simply and elegantly. The only drawback here is that the method does not give all solutions. In this paper we proceed in a more pedestrian manner (via the Chinese Remainder Theorem) to find all solutions, beginning with all solutions modulo a prime power:

For any prime $p$ and each $n, 0 \leq n \leq p-2$, define a sequence $\left\{x_{k}(p, n)\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ of residues $\left(\bmod p^{k}(p-1)\right)$, by $x_{0}=n$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1} \equiv p x_{k}-(p-1) a^{x_{k}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{k+1}(p-1)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \geq 0$ (where $x_{k}=x_{k}(p, n)$ for simplicity of notation).

Theorem 1Suppose that prime $p$ and integers a and $k \geq 1$ are given. If $p \mid a$ and $x$ is a positive integer then

$$
a^{x} \equiv x \quad\left(\bmod p^{k}\right) \text { if and only if } x \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)
$$

If $(p, a)=1$ and $x$ is an integer then
$a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ if and only if $x \equiv x_{k}(p, n)\left(\bmod p^{k}(p-1)\right)$ for some $0 \leq n \leq p-2$.

Remark. If $p=2$ and $a$ is odd then we have the simpler definition $x_{0}=0$ and then $x_{k+1} \equiv a^{x_{k}}\left(\bmod 2^{k+1}\right)$ for each $k \geq 0$, as $2\left(x_{k}-a^{x_{k}}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{k+1}\right)$.

Actually one can "simplify" Theorem 1 a little bit:

Corollary 2 Suppose that prime $p$ and integer a are given. If $p \mid a, k \geq 1$ and $x$ is a positive integer then

$$
a^{x} \equiv x \quad\left(\bmod p^{k}\right) \text { if and only if } x \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)
$$

If $(p, a)=1$ then define, for $n, 0 \leq n \leq \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)-1$, a sequence $\left\{x_{k}^{\prime}(p, n)\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ of residues $\left(\bmod p^{k} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)\right)$ with $x_{0}^{\prime}=n$ and then

$$
x_{k+1}^{\prime} \equiv p x_{k}^{\prime}-(p-1) a^{x_{k}^{\prime}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{k+1} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)\right)
$$

for each $k \geq 0$. If $k \geq 1$ and $x$ is an integer then
$a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ if and only if $x \equiv x_{k}^{\prime}(p, n)\left(\bmod p^{k} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)\right)$ for some $0 \leq n \leq \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)-1$.

To construct $p$-adic solutions we need the following result:

Lemma 3 Suppose that prime $p$ and integers $n$ and a are given. Then

$$
x_{k+1}(p, n) \equiv x_{k}(p, n) \quad\left(\bmod p^{k}(p-1)\right)
$$

for each $k \geq 0$.
Hence,

$$
x_{\infty}(p, n):=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}(p, n)
$$

exists in $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) \mathbb{Z}$ (where $\mathbb{Z}_{p}:=\lim \mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ are the $p$-adic numbers) and

$$
a^{x_{\infty}}=x_{\infty} \text { in } \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) \mathbb{Z}
$$

Note that there are $p-1$ distinct solutions if $(a, p)=1$.

Theorem 4 Given integers $a$ and $b$, let

$$
L(b, a):=\operatorname{LCM}[b ; p-1: p \mid b, p \nmid a] .
$$

The positive integers $x$ such that $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ are those integers that belong to exactly $L(b, a) / b$ residue classes mod $L(b, a)$. That is, $1 / b$ of the integers satisfy this congruence.
(Here and later the notation $\operatorname{LCM}[b ; p-1: p \mid b, p \nmid a]$ means the least common multiple of $b$ with all the $p-1$ for primes $p$ dividing $b$ that do not divide $a$.)

Note that $L(b, a)$ divides $\operatorname{LCM}[b, \phi(b)]$ for all $a$.

Example. If $b=10$ and $5 \nmid a$ then $L(10, a)=\operatorname{LCM}[10,4,1]=20$ so exactly 2 out of the 20 residue classes mod 20 satisfy each given congruence. If $b=10$ and $5 \mid a$ then $L(10, a)=\operatorname{LCM}[10,1]=10$ so exactly 1 out of the 10 residue classes $\bmod 10$ satisfies each given congruence.

| $a$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $10 \bmod 10$ |
| 1 | $1,11 \bmod 20$ |
| 2 | $14,16 \bmod 20$ |
| 3 | $7,13 \bmod 20$ |
| 4 | $6,16 \bmod 20$ |
| 5 | $5 \bmod 10$ |
| 6 | $6,16 \bmod 20$ |
| 7 | $3,17 \bmod 20$ |
| 8 | $14,16 \bmod 20$ |
| 9 | $9,19 \bmod 20$ |

Table 1: All integers $x \geq 1$ such that $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod 10)$

In general $a^{1-p} \equiv 1-p(\bmod p)$ whenever $p \nmid a$, and so $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod p)$ for all integers $x$ satisfying $x \equiv 1-p \equiv(p-1)^{2}(\bmod p(p-1))$.

Theorem 4 can be improved in the spirit of Corollary 2:
Corollary 5 Given integers $a$ and $b$, let $L^{\prime}(b, a):=\operatorname{LCM}\left[b ; \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a): p \mid b, p \nmid a\right]$. The positive integers $x$ such that $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ are those integers that belong to exactly $L^{\prime}(b, a) / b$ residue classes mod $L^{\prime}(b, a)$. That is, $1 / b$ of the positive integers satisfy this congruence.

Let $v_{p}(r)$ denote the largest power of $p$ dividing $r$, so that $v_{p}($.$) is the usual p$-adic valuation. Theorem 4 yields the following result about lifting solutions:

Corollary 6 Let $b=\prod_{p} p^{b_{p}}$ and then $m$ be the smallest integer $\geq v_{p}(q-1) / b_{p}$ for all primes $p, q \mid b$ with $p, q \nmid a$. The solutions of $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod b^{m}\right)$ lift, in a unique way, to the solutions of $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod b^{n}\right)$, for all $n \geq m$.

Proof. Since $L\left(b^{n}, a\right):=\operatorname{LCM}\left[b^{n} ; p-1: p \mid b, p \nmid a\right]$ for all $n \geq 1$, we note that $L\left(b^{n}, a\right) / b^{n}=L\left(b^{m}, a\right) / b^{m}$ for all $n \geq m$. Hence, by Theorem 4, there are the same number of residue classes of solutions $\bmod b^{n}$ as $\bmod b^{m}$ so each must lift uniquely.

Using Corollary 5 in place of Theorem 4, one can let $m$ be the smallest integer $\geq v_{p}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)\right) / b_{p}$ for all primes $p, q \mid b$ with $p, q \nmid a$.

Proposition 11 (in Section 5) explicitly gives the lift of Corollary 6, in terms of a recurrence relation based on (1).

It is certainly aesthetically pleasing if, as in the solutions to $7^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod 10^{n}\right)$ discussed at the start of the introduction, one can lift solutions $x \bmod b^{n}$ (rather than $x \bmod L\left(b^{n}, a\right)$ as in Corollary 3$)$ and thus obtain a $b$-adic limit. From Theorem 4 and Corollary 6 this holds if $L\left(b^{m}, a\right)=b^{m}$ (and, from Corollaries 5 and 6 , if $\left.L^{\prime}\left(b^{m}, a\right)=b^{m}\right)$. Moreover $L^{\prime}\left(b^{m}, a\right)=b^{m}$ if and only if all of the prime factors of $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)$ with $q \mid b, q \nmid a$, divide $b$. Note that if this happens then there is a unique solution $x \bmod b^{m}$ (by Theorem 4).

This condition becomes most stringent if we select $a$ to be a primitive root modulo each prime dividing $b$, in which case it holds if and only if the prime $q$ divides $b$ whenever $q$ divides $p-1$ for some $p$ dividing $b$ (or, alternatively, the prime $q$ divides $b$ whenever $q$ divides $\phi(b)$ ). In that case $L\left(b^{m}, a\right)=b^{m}$ for all integers $a \geq 1$.

Jiménez-Urroz and Yebra [3] called such an integer $b$ a valid basis. Note that $b$ is a valid basis if and only if the squarefree part of $b$ (that is, $\prod_{p \mid b} p$ ) is a valid basis. Hence 10 is a valid basis, and $10^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, as well as 2 and its powers. Also 6,42 and $2 F_{n}$ for any Fermat prime $F_{n}=2^{2^{n}}+1$, as well as $\prod_{p \leq y} p$, and so on. We also note that $b$ is a valid basis if and only if every prime $p$ dividing every non-zero iterate of Euler's totient function acting on $b$ (that is, $\phi(\phi(\ldots \phi(b) \ldots))$ ) also divides $b$. We note what we have discussed as the next result:

Proposition 7 Let b be a squarefree, valid basis, and select $m$ to be the largest exponent of any prime power dividing $\operatorname{LCM}[q-1: q \mid b]$. If $n \geq m$ then there is a unique solution $x_{n}\left(\bmod b^{n}\right)$ to $a^{x_{n}} \equiv x_{n}\left(\bmod b^{n}\right)$, and these solutions have $a$ $b$-adic limit, i.e., $x_{\infty}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$, which satisfies $a^{x_{\infty}}=x_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{b}$.

To be a valid basis seems to be quite a special property, so one might ask how many there are. In Section 6 we obtain the following upper and lower bounds:

Theorem 8 Let $V(x)=\#\{b \leq x: b$ is a valid basis $\}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{19 / 27} \ll V(x) \ll \frac{x}{e^{\{1+o(1)\} \sqrt{\log x \log \log \log x}} .} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We certainly believe that $V(x)=x^{1+o(1)}$, and give a heuristic which suggests that

$$
V(x) \gg x^{1-\{1+o(1)\} \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x}}
$$

It would be interesting to get a more precise estimate for $V(x)$. We guess that there exists $c \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ such that $V(x)=x / \exp \left((\log x)^{c+o(1)}\right)$.

## 2. Finding All Solutions to $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$

Proof of Lemma 3 Note that $x_{k+1}=a^{x_{k}}+p\left(x_{k}-a^{x_{k}}\right) \equiv a^{x_{k}}\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right) \equiv x_{k}$ $\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$, and $x_{k+1} \equiv x_{k}(\bmod p-1)$. Hence $x_{k+1} \equiv x_{k}\left(\bmod p^{k}(p-1)\right)$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, as desired.

Proof of Theorem 1. If $p \mid a$ then $x \equiv a^{x} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{\min \{k, x\}}\right)$. Evidently $k<x$ else $p^{x} \mid x$ so $p^{x} \leq x$ which is impossible. Therefore $x \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. But then $a^{x} \equiv 0 \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$.

The result follows immediately for $k=1$ by the definition of the $x_{1}(n)$. Suppose that we know the result for $k$. If $p \nmid a$ and $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)$ then $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ and so $x \equiv x_{k}(n)\left(\bmod p^{k}(p-1)\right)$ for some $0 \leq n \leq p-2$. Hence we can write $x=x_{k}+l p^{k}(p-1)$ so that $x \equiv x_{k}-l p^{k}\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)$ and

$$
a^{x}=a^{x_{k}}\left(a^{p^{k}(p-1)}\right)^{l} \equiv a^{x_{k}} 1^{l}=a^{x_{k}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)
$$

Hence, $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)$ if and only if $l \equiv\left(x_{k}-a^{x_{k}}\right) / p^{k}(\bmod p)$. Therefore $l$ is uniquely determined $\bmod p$, and

$$
x \equiv x_{k}+(p-1)\left(x_{k}-a^{x_{k}}\right) \equiv x_{k+1}(n) \quad\left(\bmod p^{k+1}(p-1)\right)
$$

as claimed.
Proof of Corollary 2. This comes by taking $x_{k}^{\prime}(n, p) \equiv x_{k}(n, p)\left(\bmod p^{k} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)\right)$, which gives all solutions since $x_{k}(m, p) \equiv x_{k}(n, p)\left(\bmod p^{k} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)\right)$ whenever $m \equiv$ $n\left(\bmod \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)\right)$ (as easily follows by induction).

## 3. Finding All Solutions to $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$

We proceed using the Chinese Remainder Theorem to break the modulus $b$ up into prime power factors, and then Theorem 1 for the congruence modulo each such prime power factor. The key issue then is whether the congruences for $x$ from Theorem 1, for each prime power, can hold simultaneously. We use the fact that if primes $p_{1}<p_{2}$ then

$$
x \equiv x_{1} \quad\left(\bmod p_{1}^{k_{1}}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\right) \text { and } x \equiv x_{2} \quad\left(\bmod p_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(p_{2}-1\right)\right)
$$

if and only if

$$
x_{2} \equiv x_{1} \quad\left(\bmod \left(p_{1}^{k_{1}}\left(p_{1}-1\right), p_{2}-1\right)\right)
$$

as $\left(p_{2}, p_{1}-1\right)=1$. The details are complicated at first sight:
Let $b=\prod_{p} p^{b_{p}}, r=\prod_{p \mid(a, b)} p^{b_{p}}$ and $R=b / r=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i}^{k_{i}}$ with $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<$ $p_{I}$. Define

$$
L:=\operatorname{LCM}[b ; p-1: p \mid b, p \nmid a]=\operatorname{LCM}\left[r ; p_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}-1\right): 1 \leq j \leq I\right]
$$

We begin by noting that $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ if and only if $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{b_{p}}\right)$ for all $p \mid b$, and hence $x \equiv 0(\bmod r)$. Next we construct the necessary conditions so that the congruences mod $p_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}-1\right)$ can all hold simultaneously:
Step 1. Select any integer $n_{1}, 0 \leq n_{1} \leq p_{1}-2$ with $\left(r, p_{1}-1\right) \mid n_{1}$. Then determine $x_{k_{1}}\left(p_{1}, n_{1}\right)\left(\bmod p_{1}^{k_{1}}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\right)$.

Step 2. Select any integer $n_{2}, 0 \leq n_{2} \leq p_{2}-2$ with $\left(r, p_{2}-1\right) \mid n_{2}$ and $n_{2} \equiv$ $x_{k_{1}}\left(\bmod \left(p_{1}^{k_{1}}\left(p_{1}-1\right), p_{2}-1\right)\right)$. Then determine $x_{k_{2}}\left(p_{2}, n_{2}\right)\left(\bmod p_{2}^{k_{2}}\left(p_{2}-1\right)\right)$.

Step $m \geq 3$. Select any integer $n_{m}, 0 \leq n_{m} \leq p_{m}-2$ with $\left(r, p_{m}-1\right) \mid n_{m}$ and $n_{m} \equiv x_{k_{j}}\left(\bmod \left(p_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}-1\right), p_{m}-1\right)\right)$ for each $j<m$. Then determine $x_{k_{m}}\left(p_{m}, n_{m}\right)$ $\left(\bmod p_{m}^{k_{m}}\left(p_{m}-1\right)\right)$.

Finally we can select $x(\bmod L)$, such that $x \equiv 0(\bmod r)$ and

$$
x \equiv x_{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}, n_{j}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}-1\right)\right)
$$

for each $j$. This works since if $i<j$ then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}^{k_{i}}\left(p_{i}-1\right), p_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}-1\right)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}^{k_{i}}\left(p_{i}-1\right), p_{j}-1\right)
$$

and we have $x_{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}, n_{j}\right) \equiv n_{j} \equiv x_{k_{i}}\left(p_{i}, n_{i}\right)\left(\bmod \left(p_{i}^{k_{i}}\left(p_{i}-1\right), p_{j}-1\right)\right)$, by construction.

From this we can deduce the following.
Proof of Theorem 4. The number of choices for $n_{1}$ above is

$$
\frac{p_{1}-1}{\left(r, p_{1}-1\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{LCM}\left[r, p_{1}-1\right]}{r}=\frac{L_{2} / p_{1}^{k_{1}}}{L_{1}}
$$

where $L_{m}:=\operatorname{LCM}\left[r ; p_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(p_{j}-1\right): 1 \leq j<m\right]$ for each $m \geq 1$. Similarly the number of choices for $n_{m}$ above is

$$
\frac{p_{m}-1}{\left(L_{m}, p_{m}-1\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{LCM}\left[L_{m}, p_{m}-1\right]}{L_{m}}=\frac{L_{m+1} / p_{m}^{k_{m}}}{L_{m}}
$$

Hence, in total, the number of choices for the set $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{I}\right\}$, using our algorithm above, is

$$
\prod_{m=1}^{I} \frac{L_{m+1} / p_{m}^{k_{m}}}{L_{m}}=\frac{L_{I+1} / R}{L_{1}}=\frac{L}{r R}=\frac{L}{b}
$$

as $L:=\operatorname{LCM}\left[b ; p_{j}-1: 1 \leq j \leq I\right]$.

## 4. The Spanish Construction

In the Introduction we described how the Spanish mathematicians Jiménez-Urroz and Yebra [3] constructed solutions to $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ : From a solution $y$ to $a^{y} \equiv y$ $(\bmod \phi(b))$ one takes $x=a^{y}$ and then $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ by Euler's theorem. As I have described it, this argument is not quite correct since Euler's theorem is only valid if $(a, b)=1$. However this can be taken into account:

Lemma 9 If $a^{y} \equiv y(\bmod \phi(b))$ with $y \geq 1$ then $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ where $x=a^{y}$.
Proof. Since $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ if and only if $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ for every prime power $p^{k} \| b$, we focus on the prime power congruences. Now $\phi\left(p^{k}\right) \mid \phi(b)$ and so $a^{y} \equiv y$ $\left(\bmod \phi\left(p^{k}\right)\right)$. If $p \nmid a$ then we deduce that $a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ by Euler's theorem. If $p \mid a$ then $p^{k-1} \mid y$ by Theorem 1 , since $a^{y} \equiv y\left(\bmod p^{k-1}\right)$. Hence $p^{p^{k-1}} \mid a^{y}=x$ and $a^{x}$, so that $a^{x} \equiv 0 \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$ as $p^{k-1} \geq k$.

Let $\lambda(b):=\operatorname{LCM}\left[\phi\left(p^{k}\right): p^{k} \mid b\right]$. One can improve Lemma 2 to "If $a^{y} \equiv y$ $(\bmod \lambda(b))$ with $y \geq 1$ then $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ where $x=a^{y}, "$ by much the same proof. Let

$$
\mathcal{O}(b, a):=\operatorname{LCM}\left[p^{k-1} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(a): p^{k} \mid b, p \nmid a\right]
$$

and

$$
k(b, a):=\max \left[k: \text { There exists prime } p \text { such that } p^{k}|b, p| a\right]
$$

Lemma 9' If $a^{y} \equiv y(\bmod \mathcal{O}(b, a))$ with $y \geq k(b, a)$ then $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ where $x=a^{y}$.

Does the Spanish construction give all solutions to $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod b)$ ? An example shows not: For $b=11$ and $a=23$ we begin with the solutions to $23^{y} \equiv y(\bmod 10)$ : Then $y \equiv \pm 7(\bmod 20)$ (as we saw in the table in the introduction), leading to the solutions $x \equiv 23$ or $67(\bmod 110)$. However $23^{x} \equiv x(\bmod 11)$ holds if and only if $x \equiv 1(\bmod 11)$; so there are many other solutions $x$.

There is a variation on the Spanish construction: If $(a+k b)^{y} \equiv y(\bmod \phi(b))$ for some given integer $k$, then

$$
a^{(a+k b)^{y}} \equiv(a+k b)^{(a+k b)^{y}} \equiv(a+k b)^{y} \quad(\bmod b)
$$

so we can take $x \equiv(a+k b)^{y}(\bmod L)$. For $b=11$ and $a=23$ we look for solutions to $(23+11 k)^{y} \equiv y(\bmod 10)$ and then take $x=(23+11 k)^{y}(\bmod 110)$. Using the table in the introduction we obtain the solutions 23,$67 ; 56 ; 45 ; 56 ; 23,67 ; 34,56 ; 89 ; 1 ; 100$; $34,56(\bmod 110)$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, 9$, respectively, missing 12 and $78(\bmod 110)$.

Another variation on the Spanish construction is to use Lemma 9' in place of Lemma 9, and with this we could have trivially found all solutions to $23^{x} \equiv x$ $(\bmod 11)$. If we now take the example $b=11$ and $a=6$ then $\mathcal{O}(11,6)=10=\phi(11)$ so Lemma 2' and Lemma 2 are identical. In this case we proceed as above, using Table 1 we obtain the solutions $16 ; 73,107 ; 16,64 ; 79 ; 100 ; 61 ; 16,64 ; 73,107 ; 16 ; 65$ $(\bmod 110)$ missing 48 and $102(\bmod 110)$.

Note that 12 and 78 , and 48 and 102 are all even and quadratic non-residues $\bmod 5$. It can be proved that this is true in general (though we suppress the proof):

Proposition 10 Suppose that $b=p=1+2 q$ where $p$ and $q$ are odd primes, and that $a$ is a primitive root mod $p$. The Spanish construction and our variations fail to find the solution $x \equiv n(\bmod p-1)$ to $a^{x} \equiv x(\bmod p)$ if and only if $n$ is even and $(n / q)=-1$.

## 5. $b$-adic Solutions, $b$ Squarefree

Let $\lambda:=\operatorname{LCM}[p-1: p \mid b, p \nmid a]$ and $\lambda^{\prime}=\prod_{q^{e} \| \lambda, q \nmid b} q^{e}$ so that $L\left(b^{k}\right)=\operatorname{LCM}\left[b^{k}, \lambda\right]$. This equals $\lambda^{\prime} b^{k}$ for $k \geq m$. Let $X_{k}=\left\{x\left(\bmod L\left(b^{k}\right)\right): a^{x} \equiv x\left(\bmod b^{k}\right)\right\}$.

Proposition 11 Let $\nu \equiv 1 / b\left(\bmod \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left(a n d \nu=1\right.$ if $\left.\lambda^{\prime}=1\right)$. If $k \geq m$ then $X_{k+1}$ is the set of values $\left(\bmod L\left(b^{k+1}\right)\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1} \equiv a^{x_{k}}+b \nu\left(x_{k}-a^{x_{k}}\right) \quad\left(\bmod L\left(b^{k+1}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x_{k} \in X_{k}$.
Proof. We will lift a solution $\left(\bmod b^{k}\right)$ to a solution $\left(\bmod b^{k+1}\right)$ by doing so for each prime $p$ dividing $m$ (and combining the results using the Chinese Remainder Theorem). The recurrence relation (1) gives

$$
x_{k+1} \equiv p\left(x_{k}-a^{x_{k}}\right)+a^{x_{k}} \equiv a^{x_{k}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{k+1}\right)
$$

(and this is also true if $p \mid a$ since then both sides are $\equiv 0$ ) for each $p \mid b$, and so combining them, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, gives

$$
x_{k+1} \equiv a^{x_{k}} \quad\left(\bmod b^{k+1}\right)
$$

The recurrence relation (1) also gives $x_{k+1} \equiv x_{k}(\bmod p-1)$ if $p \mid b, p \nmid a$, and so $x_{k+1} \equiv x_{k}(\bmod \lambda)$. Therefore, if $k \geq m$ then $x_{k+1} \equiv a^{x_{k}}\left(\bmod b^{k+1}\right)$ and $x_{k+1} \equiv x_{k}\left(\bmod \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. One can verify that combining these two by the Chinese Remainder Theorem gives (3) since $L\left(b^{k+1}\right)=\lambda^{\prime} b^{k+1}$.

## 6. Counting Validity

In this section we use estimates on

$$
\Pi(x, y):=\#\{\text { primes } q \leq x: p \mid q-1 \Longrightarrow p \leq y\}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{1}(x, y):=\#\{n \leq x: p \mid \phi(n) \Longrightarrow p \leq y\} .
$$

These have been long investigated, and it is believed that for $x=y^{u}$ with $u$ fixed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(x, y)=\pi(x) / u^{\{1+o(1)\} u} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{1}(x, y)=x /(\log u)^{\{1+o(1)\} u}
$$

These are proved under reasonable assumptions by Lamzouri [4, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4].

### 6.1. Upper Bound on $V(x)$

Banks, Friedlander, Pomerance and Shparlinski [2] showed that

$$
\Phi_{1}(x, y) \leq x /(\log u)^{\{1+o(1)\} u}
$$

provided $x \geq y \geq(\log \log x)^{1+o(1)}$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$.
Now suppose that $n \in V(x)$ and there exists prime $p>y$ which divides $\phi(n)$. Then either $p^{2}$ divides $n$, or there exists $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ such that $p q$ divides $n$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(x) & \leq \Phi_{1}(x, y)+\sum_{p>y} \frac{x}{p^{2}}+\sum_{p>y} \sum_{\substack{q \equiv 1(\bmod p) \\
p q \leq x}} \frac{x}{p q} \\
& \leq \frac{x}{(\log u)^{\{1+o(1)\} u}}+\sum_{p>y} \frac{x}{p^{2}}\left(1+\sum_{1 \leq m \leq x / p^{2}} \frac{1}{m}\right) \ll \frac{x}{y^{1+o(1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $y=\exp (\sqrt{\log x \log \log \log x})$, writing $q=1+m p$ and using the prime number theorem. This implies the upper bound in (2).

### 6.2. Lower Bound on $V(x)$

Fix $\epsilon>0$. Let $z=(\log x)^{1-\epsilon}$ and $m=\prod_{p \leq z} p$. Select some $T, z \leq T \leq x / m$, and take $u=[\log (x / m) / \log T]$. Any integer which is $m$ times the product of $u$ primes counted by $\Pi(T, z)$ belongs to $V(x)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x) \geq\binom{\Pi(T, z)+u-1}{u} \geq \frac{\Pi(T, z)^{u}}{u!} \gg\left(\frac{e \Pi(T, z)}{u}\right)^{u} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $\Pi(T, z) \geq T^{1-o(1)}$ for $T=z^{B}$. Then $u \sim \log x / \log T=$ $T^{1 / B+O(\epsilon)}$ so (5) becomes $V(x) \geq x^{1-1 / B+O(\epsilon)-o(1)}$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $V(x) \geq x^{1-1 / B-o(1)}$. Baker and Harman [1] show that one can take $B=3.3772$ implying the lower bound in (2). It is believed that one can take $B$ arbitrarily large in which case one would have $V(x) \geq x^{1-o(1)}$, and hence $V(x)=x^{1-o(1)}$ (using the lower bound from the previous subsection).

Suppose that (4) holds for $y=\exp (\sqrt{\log x})$ for all sufficiently large $x$. Let $T=z^{\log z}$ so that $\Pi(T, z)=T /(\log z)^{\{1+o(1)\} \log z}$ by (4), and thus $e \Pi(T, z) / u=$ $T /(\log z)^{\{1+o(1)\} \log z}$. Hence (5) implies that

$$
V(x) \geq \frac{x}{(\log z)^{\{1+o(1)\} \frac{\log x}{\log z}}}=x^{1-\{1+o(1)\} \frac{\log \log z}{\log z}}=x^{1-\{1+o(1)\} \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x}}
$$

letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, as claimed at the end of the Introduction.
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