# THE FOURIER TRANSFORM OF FUNCTIONS OF THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

Wolfgang Schramm

Schulgasse 62 / 11, A-1180 Vienna, AUSTRIA wolfgang.schramm@meduniwien.ac.at

Received: 7/4/08, Revised: 10/3/08, Accepted: 10/26/08, Published: 11/24/08

#### Abstract

We study discrete Fourier transformations of functions of the greatest common divisor:  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k m/n).$ Euler's totient function:  $\varphi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k,n) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n)$ is an example. The greatest common divisor  $(k,n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \exp(2\pi i k m/n) \cdot \sum_{d|n} \frac{c_d(m)}{d}$  is another result involving Ramanujan's sum  $c_d(m)$ . The last equation, interestingly, can be evaluated for k in the complex domain.

### 1. Introduction

This article is a study of discrete Fourier transformations of functions of the greatest common divisor (gcd). A special "Fourier transform," the gcd-sum function  $P(n) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k, n)$ , was investigated by S.S. Pillai in 1933 [1] (and therefore in the literature called Pillai's arithmetical function) followed by generalizations and analogues thereof:  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f((k, n))$  [2-8], where f(n) is any arithmetic function.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For an arithmetic function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$F_f(m,n) := \sum_{k=1}^n f((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k m/n)$$

denote the discrete Fourier transform of f((k, n)), where (k, n) is the gcd of k and n. Further, let

$$c_n(m) := \sum_{\substack{k=1\\(k,n)=1}}^{n} \exp(2\pi i k m/n)$$
(1)

denote Ramanujan's sum. Note that  $c_n(m) = c_n(-m)$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (by complex conjugation since  $c_n(m) \in \mathbb{R}$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $c_n(0) = \varphi(n)$  is Euler's totient function. For two arithmetic functions  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  let  $(f_1 * f_2)(n) := \sum_{d|n} f_1(d) \cdot f_2(n/d)$  denote the Dirichlet convolution,  $\delta(n)$  the identity element for the Dirichlet convolution (i.e.,  $\delta(1) = 1$ 

Using this notation, the following easily proven theorem gives some already known and, until now unknown, relations for arithmetic functions and trigonometric relations.

and  $\delta(n) = 0$  for every n > 1,  $\mu(n)$  the Möbius function and id(n) := n for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  be any arbitrary arithmetic function. Then i) the discrete Fourier transform of f((k, n)) is given for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$F_f(m,n) = (f * c_{\bullet}(m))(n); \tag{2}$$

ii) the inverse Fourier transform thereof for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$f((k,n)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left( f * c_{\bullet}(m) \right)(n) \cdot \exp(2\pi i km/n)$$
(3)

Before proving the above theorem, we start with three motivating examples.

**Example 1.** Let f(n) = id(n) := n in (3). Then

$$(k,n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \exp(2\pi i km/n) \cdot \sum_{d|n} \frac{c_d(m)}{d}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

gives a function for the gcd. Note that the right-hand side can be evaluated for k in the complex domain (for instance  $(1/2, 3) = -5/3 \pm 2 \cdot i/\sqrt{3}$ ), although its interpretation for non-integer values is unclear. The function (4) is holomorphic everywhere on the whole complex plane and therefore for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is an entire function. Moreover, for fixed n it is *n*-periodic in the variable  $k \in \mathbb{C}$  and not distributive, i.e.,  $(k \cdot j, n \cdot j) = j \cdot (k, n)$  does not hold in general, since  $1 = (1, 6) \neq 2 \cdot (1/2, 3)$ .

Interestingly, Keith Slavin [9] published an equation for the gcd that can be evaluated for complex k as well:  $(k, n) = \log_2 \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (1 + \exp(-2\pi i k m/n))$  for odd  $n \ge 1$  (evaluating the last for (1/2, 3) gives  $\approx 1.79248 - 2.2661801 \cdot i$ , not the same value, since Slavin's equation is not an entire function and is not defined for even n).

**Example 2.** Let f(n) = 1 and m = 1 in (2); then because of  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp(2\pi i k/n) = \delta(n)$ , the well known relation  $(c_{\bullet}(1) * 1)(n) = \delta(n) \Leftrightarrow$ 

$$c_n(1) = \mu(n) \tag{5}$$

for the Möbius function follows.

**Example 3.** Let f(n) = id(n) := n and m = 1 in (2). Then with (5) a nice relation for Euler's totient function follows:  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k, n) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = (id*\mu)(n) =: \varphi(n)$ ; and splitting up into real and imaginary parts gives the trigonometric relations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k, n) \cdot \cos(2\pi k/n) = \varphi(n) \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k, n) \cdot \sin(2\pi k/n) = 0.$$

*Proof of the theorem.* We prove this in three small steps.

Step A: Let  $f(n) = \delta(n)$ . Then (2) gives the definition (1) of the (complex conjugated) Ramanujan sum.

Step B: Now let  $f(n) = \delta_j(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } j = n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$  and j > 1. For  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{j}$  we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{q,j} \delta_j((k,q \cdot j)) \cdot \exp(\frac{\pm 2\pi i k m}{q \cdot j}) = \sum_{k=1}^q \delta_j((k \cdot j, q \cdot j)) \cdot \exp(\frac{\pm 2\pi i k m \cdot j}{q \cdot j}), \text{ since } j \text{ does not divide } (k \cdot j \pm 1, q \cdot j) \text{ for } 1 \leq l < j.$  Further, because of the distributive law  $-(k \cdot j, q \cdot j) = j \cdot (k, q) - \text{ of the gcd, the last sum equals } \sum_{k=1}^q \delta_j(j \cdot (k, q)) \cdot \exp(\pm 2\pi i k m/q) = \sum_{k=1}^q \delta((k, q)) \cdot \exp(\pm 2\pi i k m/q).$ Comparing this result with Step A gives  $\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_j((k, n)) \cdot \exp(\pm 2\pi i k m/n) = c_{n/j}(m)$ . Otherwise, for  $n \neq 0 \pmod{j}$  it is obvious that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_j((k, n)) \cdot \exp(\pm 2\pi i k m/n) = 0$ . Because the Dirichlet convolution of  $\delta_j(n)$  with any arithmetic function g(n) gives

$$(g * \delta_j)(n) = \begin{cases} g(n/j) & \text{for } n \equiv 0(j) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_j((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i km/n) = (\delta_j * c_{\bullet}(m))(n).$$
(6)

Step C: Now let f be any arithmetic function. Multiplying (6) with f(j) and summing up  $1 \leq j \leq n$  gives finally (2) and immediately (3) by the inverse Fourier transform thereof.  $\Box$ 

We can also give a short proof of the theorem.

Short proof of the theorem (2). By grouping the terms according to the values (k, n) = d, where  $d \mid n, k = dj, (j, n/d) = 1, 1 \leq j \leq n/d$ , we have

$$F_f(m,n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n/d \\ (j,n/d)=1}} \exp(-2\pi i j m/(n/d)) = \sum_{d|n} f(d) \cdot c_{n/d}(m) = (f * c_{\bullet}(m))(n).$$

**Corollary.** If f is a multiplicative function, then  $F_f(m, n)$  is also multiplicative in variable n.

*Proof.* The Ramanujan sum  $c_n(m)$  is multiplicative in n and the Dirichlet convolution preserves the multiplicativity of functions.

Here are some more examples.

**Example 4.** Let f(n) = id(n) := n and m = 0 in (2). Then the well-known Pillai sum [1]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k,n) = (\varphi * id)(n)$$

follows.

**Example 5.** Let m = 0 in (2). Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f((k, n)) = (\varphi * f)(n)$  gives the generalization thereof [2-8], already known to E. Cesàro in 1885 [6].

**Example 6.** Let m = 1, let  $f(n) = \omega(n)$  be the number of distinct prime factors of n, and let  $X_{Primes}(n)$  be the characteristic function of the primes. Then because of

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{\substack{p|n\\p\in\mathbb{P}}} 1 = \sum_{d|n} \mathcal{X}_{Primes}(d) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{Primes}(n) = (\omega * \mu) (n),$$

part (2) of the theorem with (5) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = \mathcal{X}_{Primes}(n).$$

Analogously let m = 1 and  $f(n) = \Omega(n)$  the total number of prime factors of n (counting multiple factors multiple times) and  $X_{PrimePower}(n)$  the characteristic function of prime powers, then because of

$$\Omega(n) = \sum_{\substack{p^k \mid n \\ p \in \mathbb{P}; \, k \in \mathbb{N}}} 1 = \sum_{d \mid n} \mathcal{X}_{PrimePower}(d) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{PrimePower}(n) = (\Omega * \mu) (n),$$

part (2) of the theorem with (5) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Omega((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = \mathcal{X}_{PrimePower}(n).$$

**Example 7.** Let m = 1 and let  $f(n) = \sigma_z(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^z$  be the divisor function for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then (2) with (5) gives  $\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_z((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = (\sigma_z * \mu)(n) = n^z$ ; and for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , splitting up into real and imaginary parts gives the trigonometric relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{z}((k,n)) \cdot \cos(2\pi k/n) = n^{z} \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{z}((k,n)) \cdot \sin(2\pi k/n) = 0.$$

**Example 8.** Let  $r(n) = \sum_{\substack{n_1^2+n_2^2=n}} 1$  be the number of ways that *n* can be expressed as the sum of two squares, and denote the Dirichlet character:

$$\chi_{(\overline{1,0,-1,0})}(n) := \frac{i^{n-1} + (-i)^{n-1}}{2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n \equiv 1(4) \\ -1 & \text{for } n \equiv 3(4) \\ 0 & \text{for } n \equiv 2(4). \end{cases}$$

Then (2) with (5) gives 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} r((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = (r * \mu) (n) = 4 \cdot \chi_{(\overline{1,0,-1,0})}(n)$$
.

**Example 9.** Let  $f(n) = \log(n)$  and m = 0. Then (2) gives  $\log \prod_{k=1}^{n} (k, n) = (\log *\varphi)(n)$ ,

where of  $\prod_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt[n]{(k,n)} = \exp \frac{(\log *\varphi)(n)}{n} = \prod_{p_j^{\alpha_j} \mid n} p_j^{\frac{1-p_j^{-\alpha_j}}{p_j^{-1}}} \text{ for } n = \prod_{p_j \in \mathbb{P}} p_k^{\alpha_j} \text{ a multiplicative arithmetic for } p_j^{\alpha_j} = \prod_{p_j \in \mathbb{P}} p$ 

metic function follows (see [10]). A generalization thereof for  $f(n) = \log(h(n))$  and m = 0, where  $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \cdot \mathbb{R}^+\}$  is any arithmetical function for a fixed  $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{C}$ , gives the general gcd-product function:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} h((k,n)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if any factor is } 0\\ \exp((\log(h) * \varphi)(n)) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

as investigated in [10].

**Example 10.** Let  $f(n) = \log(n)$  and m = 1. Then (2) with (5) gives the Mangoldt function

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log((k,n)) \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = (\log *\mu)(n) =: \Lambda(n).$$

**Example 11.** Let  $f(n) = \delta(n)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then (3) gives

$$\delta((k,n)) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{n} c_n(m) \cdot \exp(2\pi i km/n).$$

This means that k and n are not coprime  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{n} c_n(m) \cdot \exp(2\pi i k m/n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$  the n-vectors  $(\exp(2\pi i k m/n))_{m=1..n}$  and  $(c_n(m))_{m=1..n}$  are orthogonal. This, as a definition, could allow a generalization of the coprime concept for  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Example 12.** Let f(n) = 1 in (2) and  $\eta_n(m) := \sum_{k=1}^n \exp(-2\pi i k m/n)$  then  $\eta_n(m) = (1 * c_{\bullet}(m))(n)$  if and only if

$$c_n(m) = (\mu * \eta_{\bullet}(m))(n), \tag{7}$$

a well known relation for Ramanujan's sum follows. Since  $\eta_n(m) = n$  for  $n \mid m$  otherwise zero,  $c_n(m) \in \mathbb{R}$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  as already mentioned in the introduction. Using (7), the gcd-function (4) can be transformed to

$$(k,n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(\varphi * \eta_{\bullet}(m))(n)}{n} \cdot \exp(2\pi i km/n).$$

**Example 13.** Let m = 1 and  $f(n) = n^z$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then (2) with (5) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k,n)^{z} \cdot \exp(-2\pi i k/n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{z} \cdot \mu(n/d) =: J_{z}(n),$$

the Jordan function  $J_z(n)$ , a generalization of Euler's totient function [11].

Note that the relations in the Examples 6, 8, 9, 10, and 13 (the last for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ), when split up into real and imaginary parts, give (analogously to Examples 3 and 7) trigonometric relations as well.

### 2 Summary

The table below summarizes the examples concerning theorem (2). The number in the last row denotes the example number in the manuscript. White spots, e.g.,  $F_{\log(h)}(1, n)$ , in the landscape of this table might be of further scientific interest.

f	$F_f(0,n) = (f * \varphi)(n)$	$F_f(1,n) = (f * \mu)(n)$	$F_f(m,n) = (f * c_{\bullet}(m))(n)$	Ex.
1	id(n)	$\delta(n)$	$\eta_n(m)$	2, 12
id(n)	Pillai sum $P(n)$	$\varphi(n)$	$(\eta_{\bullet}(m) * \varphi)(n)$	3,4
f(n)	"Cesàro sum"		Theorem $(2)$	5
$\log(h(n))$	$\log \prod_{k=1}^{n} h((k,n))$			9
$\log(n)$	$(id * \Lambda)(n)$	$\Lambda(n)$	$(\eta_{\bullet}(m) * \Lambda)(n)$	10
r(n)	$4 \cdot (id * \chi_{(\overline{1,0,-1,0})})(n)$	$4 \cdot \chi_{(\overline{1,0,-1,0})}(n)$	$4 \cdot (\eta_{\bullet}(m) * \chi_{(\overline{1,0,-1,0})})(n)$	8
$\omega(n)$	$(id * X_{Primes})(n)$	$X_{Primes}(n)$	$(\eta_{\bullet}(m) * \mathbf{X}_{Primes})(n)$	6
$\Omega(n)$	$(id * X_{PrimePower})(n)$	$X_{PrimePower}(n)$	$(\eta_{\bullet}(m) * \mathbf{X}_{PrimePower})(n)$	6
$\sigma_z(n)$	$n \cdot \sum d^{z-1}$	$n^{z}$	$\sum \eta_{n/d}(m) \cdot d^z$	7
$z \in \mathbb{C}$	d n		d n	
	$F_{\sigma_0}(0,n) = \sigma_1(n)$			
$n^{z}$	$(id * J_z)(n)$	$J_z(n)$	$(\eta_{\bullet}(m) * J_z)(n)$	13
$z \in \mathbb{C}$				

Acknowledgments Many thanks to the reviewer of this article whose suggestions (including the short proof) and comments greatly improved the clarity of this exposition.

## References

[1] Pillai S.S., On an arithmetic function, Annamalai University Journal II (1933), 242-248.

[2] Alladi K., On generalized Euler functions and related totients, New Concepts in Arithmetic Functions, *Mat. Sc. Report* 83, Madras (1975).

- [3] Sandor J., Kramer A.V., Über eine Zahlentheoretische Funktion, Mathematica Moravica 3 (1999), 53-62.
- [4] Sándor J., A generalized Pillai function, Octogon M.M. 9 No.2 (2001), 746-748.
- [5] Sivaramakrishnan R., On three extensions of Pillai's arithmetic function  $\beta(n)$ , Math. Student **39** (1971), 187-190.
- [6] Cesàro E., Étude moyenne du grand commun diviseur de deux nombres, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2) 13 (1885), 235-250.
- [7] Broughan K.A., The gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 4 (2001), Article 01.2.2.
- [8] Toth L., The unitary analogue of Pillai's arithmetical function, Collect. Math. 40 (1989), 19-30.
- [9] Slavin, Keith R., Q-binomials and the greatest common divisor, *Integers: Electronic J. of Comb. Number Theory* 8 (2008), Article A5.
- [10] Loveless A.D., The general gcd-product function, *Integers: Electronic J. of Comb. Number Theory* 6 (2006), Article A19.
- [11] Schulte J., Über die Jordansche Verallgemeinerung der Eulerschen Funktion, *Result. Math.* **36** (1999), 354-364.