## DISTANCE IN THE AFFINE BUILDINGS OF $SL_n$ AND $Sp_n$

Alison Setyadi

Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA Alison.C.Setyadi.Adv04@Alum.Dartmouth.ORG

Received: 5/29/08, Accepted: 10/15/08, Published: 11/24/08

#### Abstract

For a local field K and  $n \ge 2$ , let  $\Xi_n$  and  $\Delta_n$  denote the affine buildings naturally associated to the special linear and symplectic groups  $\operatorname{SL}_n(K)$  and  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$ , respectively. We relate the number of vertices in  $\Xi_n$   $(n \ge 3)$  close (i.e., gallery distance 1) to a given vertex in  $\Xi_n$  to the number of chambers in  $\Xi_n$  containing the given vertex, proving a conjecture of Schwartz and Shemanske. We then consider the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$   $(n \ge 2)$  close to a given special vertex in  $\Delta_n$  (all the vertices in  $\Xi_n$  are special) and establish analogues of our results for  $\Delta_n$ .

### 1. Introduction

A building is a finite-dimensional simplicial complex in which any two of its chambers (maximal simplices) can be connected by a gallery. In other words, if  $\Delta$  is a building, then for any chambers  $C, D \in \Delta$ , there is a sequence  $C = C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_m = D$  of chambers in  $\Delta$ such that  $C_i$  and  $C_{i+1}$  are adjacent (share a codimension-one face) for all  $0 \le i \le m-1$ ; in this case, the number m is the length of the gallery  $C_0, \ldots, C_m$ . The combinatorial distance between C and D is the minimal length of a gallery in  $\Delta$  connecting C and D (see [1, p. 14). Following [1, p. 15], define the *distance* between any non-empty simplices  $A, B \in \Delta$  to be the minimal length of a gallery in  $\Delta$  starting at a chamber containing A and ending at a chamber containing B (cf. [6, p. 125]). Then the vertices  $t, t' \in \Delta$  are distance one apart or close if and only if there are adjacent chambers  $C, C' \in \Delta$  such that  $t \in C, t' \in C'$ , but  $t, t' \notin C \cap C'$  (the simplex shared by C and C'); i.e., if and only if t and t' are in adjacent chambers in  $\Delta$  but not a common one (cf. [6, p. 127]). Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show close vertices in the affine buildings naturally associated to  $SL_3(K)$  and  $Sp_2(K)$ , respectively, for any local field K. Note that if  $\Delta$  is a building and  $t, t' \in \Delta$  are close vertices, then as vertices in the underlying graph of  $\Delta$ , t and t' are not graph distance 1 apart but are always graph distance 2 apart.

Let K be a local field with valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}$ , uniformizer  $\pi$ , and residue field  $k \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ , and let  $\Xi_n$  denote the affine building naturally associated to  $\mathrm{SL}_n(K)$ . Schwartz and Shemanske



(a) Two close vertices in  $\Xi_3$ . (b) Two close vertices in  $\Delta_2$ .

Figure 1: Examples of close vertices.

[6, Theorem 3.3] show that for all  $n \geq 3$ , the number  $\omega_n$  of vertices in  $\Xi_n$  close to a given vertex in  $\Xi_n$  is the number of right cosets of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$  in  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})\operatorname{diag}(1, \pi, \dots, \pi, \pi^2)\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ ; i.e., the Hecke operator  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})\operatorname{diag}(1, \pi, \dots, \pi, \pi^2)\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$  acts as a generalized adjacency operator on  $\Xi_n$ . They also conjecture that for all  $n \geq 3$ ,  $q \cdot r_n = r_{n-2} \omega_n$ , where  $r_n$  is the number of chambers in  $\Xi_n$  containing a given vertex, with  $r_1 := 1$  (see the remark following [6, Proposition 3.4]).

In Section 2, we prove Schwartz and Shemanske's conjecture in two ways. Our first approach is via module theory. More precisely, we use the description of the chambers in  $\Xi_n$  in terms of lattices in an *n*-dimensional K-vector space (see, for example, [5, p. 115]) to obtain an explicit formula for  $\omega_n$  (Proposition 2.1); together with Schwartz and Shemanske's formula for  $r_n$  [6, Proposition 2.4], this proves Theorem 2.1. Our second approach is through combinatorics (Theorem 2.2). Specifically, we show that if  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$  are close vertices, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the galleries of length 1 in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' and the chambers in the spherical  $A_{n-3}(k)$  building. This gives an explanation for the relationship between  $\omega_n$  and  $r_n$  in terms of the structure of  $\Xi_n$ . In Section 3, we consider the special vertices in the affine building  $\Delta_n$ naturally associated to  $\text{Sp}_n(K)$   $(n \ge 2)$  close to a given special vertex in  $\Delta_n$  (all the vertices in  $\Xi_n$  are special). Using the fact that  $\Delta_n$  is a subcomplex of  $\Xi_{2n}$ , we adapt the proofs of the results for close vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$  to prove analogues for  $\Delta_n$ . In particular, we establish analogues of [6, Theorem 3.3] and Theorem 2.1 (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, respectively) and a partial analogue of Theorem 2.2 (Proposition 3.11). Note that while every vertex in  $\Xi_{2n}$ is special, only two vertices in each chamber in  $\Delta_n$  are special; hence, our analysis for  $\Delta_n$ requires more care than that needed for  $\Xi_{2n}$ .

After proving Theorems 2.1 and 3.2, we learned that the formulas in Propositions 2.1 and 3.9 are both special cases of a result of Parkinson [4, Theorem 5.15] and that the formula in Proposition 2.1 also follows from a result of Cartwright [2, Lemma 2.2]. We view the buildings  $\Xi_n$  and  $\Delta_n$  as combinatorial objects naturally associated to  $SL_n(K)$  and  $Sp_n(K)$ , respectively, and make use of the lattice descriptions of these buildings (see [3] and [5]). As a result, our methods require little more than the definition of a building—namely, some

module theory. In contrast to our approach, Cartwright views  $\Xi_n$  in terms of hyperplanes, affine transformations, and convex hulls, and Parkinson considers buildings via root systems and Poincaré polynomials of Weyl groups. The numbers  $\omega_n$  and  $\omega(\Delta_n)$  that we use are special cases of Parkinson's  $N_{\lambda}$ , which he uses to define vertex set averaging operators on arbitrary locally finite, regular affine buildings and whose formula he uses to prove results about those operators.

I thank Paul Garrett for the idea behind the proof of Proposition 2.1, and hence that of Proposition 3.9. Finally, the results contained here form part of my doctoral thesis, which I wrote under the guidance of Thomas R. Shemanske.

# 2. Close Vertices in the Affine Building $\Xi_n$ of $SL_n(K)$

From now on, K is a local field with discrete valuation "ord," valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}$ , uniformizer  $\pi$ , and residue field  $k \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ . For any finite-dimensional K-vector space V, define a *lattice* in V to be a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of V of rank  $\dim_K V$ , with two lattices L and L' in V homothetic if  $L' = \alpha L$  for some  $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ ; write [L] for the homothety class of the lattice L.

The affine building  $\Xi_n$  naturally associated to  $\operatorname{SL}_n(K)$  can be modeled as an (n-1)dimensional simplicial complex as follows (see [5, p. 115]). Let V be an n-dimensional K-vector space. Then a vertex in  $\Xi_n$  is a homothety class of lattices in V, and two vertices  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$  are incident if there are representatives  $L \in t$  and  $L' \in t'$  such that  $\pi L \subseteq L' \subseteq L$ ; i.e., such that  $L'/\pi L$  is a k-subspace of  $L/\pi L$ . Thus, a chamber (maximal simplex) in  $\Xi_n$  has n vertices  $t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}$  with representatives  $L_i \in t_i$  such that  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{n-1} \subsetneq L_0$ and  $[L_1 : \pi L_0] = q = [L_i : L_{i-1}]$  for all  $2 \le i \le n-1$ . From now on, write that a chamber in  $\Xi_n$  corresponds to the chain  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{n-1} \subsetneq L_0$  only when the lattices  $L_0, \ldots, L_{n-1}$ satisfy the conditions in the last sentence.

For the rest of this section,  $n \ge 3$ . Let  $t \in \Xi_n$  be a vertex with representative L. Then a chamber  $C \in \Xi_n$  containing t corresponds to a chain of the form

$$\pi L \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_1 \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} \cdots \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_{n-1} \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L \tag{1}$$

(cf. [3, p. 323]). The codimension-one face in C not containing t thus corresponds to the chain

$$L_1 \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} \cdots \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_{n-1},$$

and a vertex in  $\Xi_n$  is close to t if it has a representative  $M \neq L$  such that

$$\pi M \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_1 \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} \cdots \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_{n-1} \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} M.$$
(2)

Given the lattices  $L_1$  and  $L_{n-1}$ , the possible L and M satisfy  $L_{n-1} \subsetneq L \neq M \subsetneq \pi^{-1}L_1$ . On the other hand, if  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$  are close vertices, then there must be representatives  $L \in t$  and



Figure 2: Two close vertices in  $\Xi_4$ .

 $M \in t'$  and lattices  $L_1, \ldots, L_{n-1}$  as in (1) such that  $L_{n-1} \subsetneq L \neq M \subsetneq \pi^{-1}L_1$ . Recall that if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are free, rank n,  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules with  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ , then  $M_1 \subseteq M' \subseteq M_2$  implies M' is also a free, rank n,  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. Thus, both  $L \cap M$  and L + M are lattices in V. Furthermore,  $L \neq M$  and  $[L:L_{n-1}] = q = [M:L_{n-1}]$  imply  $L \cap M = L_{n-1}$  and  $L + M = \pi^{-1}L_1$ , but we can vary  $L_2, \ldots, L_{n-2}$  as long as  $L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{n-2} \subsetneq L_{n-1}$ . In other words, if t and t' are close vertices in  $\Xi_n$ , there may be two (or more) pairs of adjacent chambers C and C' in  $\Xi_n$  with  $t \in C$ ,  $t' \in C'$ , but  $t, t' \notin C \cap C'$  (see Figure 2). We return to this later.

Before we count the number of vertices in  $\Xi_n$  close to a given vertex  $t \in \Xi_n$ , we make a few observations. Fix a representative  $L \in t$ . Since  $L/\pi L \cong k^n$ , the Correspondence Theorem and the fact that any  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of L containing  $\pi L$  is a lattice in V imply that the number of  $L_1$  is the number of 1-dimensional k-subspaces of  $L/\pi L$ . Similarly, given  $L_1$ as above, the number of lattices  $L_{n-1}$  with  $L_1 \subsetneq L_{n-1} \subsetneq L$  and  $[L : L_{n-1}] = q$  is the number of (n-2)-dimensional k-subspaces of  $L/L_1 \cong k^{n-1}$ . Finally, given  $L_1$  and  $L_{n-1}$  as above, the number of lattices  $M \neq L$  such that  $L_{n-1} \subsetneq M \subsetneq \pi^{-1}L_1$  is one less than the number of non-trivial, proper k-subspaces of  $\pi^{-1}L_1/L_{n-1} \cong k^2$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $t \in \Xi_n$  is a vertex, then the number  $\omega_n$  of vertices in  $\Xi_n$  close to t is

$$\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} \cdot \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1} \cdot q$$

(independent of t).

*Proof.* This follows from the preceding comments, duality, and the fact that the number of 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^m$  is exactly  $(q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** The number of right cosets of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$  in  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ diag $(1, \pi, \ldots, \pi, \pi^2)$  $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$  is  $((q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdot q)/(q - 1)^2$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [6, Theorem 3.3] and the last proposition.

Let  $r_n$  be the number of chambers in  $\Xi_n$  containing a vertex  $t \in \Xi_n$ . Then [6, Proposition 2.4] and the last proposition establish the conjecture following Proposition 3.4 of [6]:

We now use the structure of  $\Xi_n$  to give a combinatorial proof for the relationship given in Theorem 2.1. Fix a vertex  $t \in \Xi_n$ . Then we can try to count the number of vertices in  $\Xi_n$  close to t by counting the number of galleries (in  $\Xi_n$ ) of length 1 starting at a chamber containing t and ending at a chamber not containing t. By definition, there are  $r_n$  chambers  $C \in \Xi_n$  containing t. Since a chamber in  $\Xi_n$  adjacent to C and not containing t must contain the codimension-one face in C not containing t, [3, p. 324] implies that there are qchambers in  $\Xi_n$  adjacent to C not containing t; hence, there are exactly  $r_n \cdot q$  galleries of length 1 in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber does not contain t. On the other hand, if  $t' \in \Xi_n$  is a vertex close to t, we count t' more than once if there is more than one gallery of length 1 in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' (see Figure 2); hence,  $\omega_n = (r_n \cdot q)/m(t, t')$ , where m(t, t') is the number of galleries of length 1 in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t' in  $\Xi_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t'.

To determine m(t, t'), fix the following notation for the rest of this section. For close vertices  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$ , let  $L \in t$ ,  $M \in t'$  be representatives such that there are lattices  $L_1, \ldots, L_{n-1}$ as in (1) and (2). Recall that  $L_1 = \pi(L + M)$  and  $L_{n-1} = L \cap M$ , but we can vary  $L_2, \ldots, L_{n-2}$  as long as  $L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{n-2} \subsetneq L_{n-1}$ . Since any gallery C, C' in  $\Xi_n$  such that  $C = \{t, [L_1], \ldots, [L_{n-1}]\}$  and  $C' = \{t', [L_1], \ldots, [L_{n-1}]\}$  satisfies  $C \cap C' = \{[L_1], \ldots, [L_{n-1}]\}$ , each gallery in  $\Xi_n$  counted by m(t, t') is uniquely determined by the lattices  $L_2, \ldots, L_{n-2}$ . Define two vertices in  $\Xi_n$  to be *adjacent* if they are distinct and incident.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$  be adjacent vertices. If  $L \in t$ , then there is a unique representative  $L' \in t'$  such that  $\pi L \subsetneq L' \subsetneq L$ .

Proof. Since t and t' are incident and  $t \neq t'$ , there are representatives  $M \in t$  and  $M' \in t'$  such that  $\pi M \subsetneq M' \subsetneq M$ . Moreover, M and L are homothetic, so  $L = \alpha M$  for some  $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ ; hence,  $\pi L \subsetneq \alpha M' \subsetneq L$ . Let  $L' = \alpha M'$ . If  $L'' \in t'$  such that  $\pi L \subsetneq L'' \subsetneq L$ , let  $\beta \in K^{\times}$  such that  $L'' = \beta L'$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{ord}(\beta) = m$ . Then  $\pi L \subsetneq L' \subsetneq L$  implies  $\pi^{m+1}L \subsetneq L'' \subsetneq \pi^m L$  and  $L = \pi^m L$ ; i.e., L'' = L'.

Consider the set of vertices in  $\Xi_n$  that are adjacent to t, t', [L+M], and  $[L \cap M]$  (in the case n = 3, this set is empty), and define two such vertices to be incident if they are incident as vertices in  $\Xi_n$ . Let  $\Xi_n^c(t, t')$  be the set consisting of

- the empty set,
- all vertices in  $\Xi_n$  adjacent to t, t', [L+M], and  $[L \cap M]$ , and
- all finite sets A of vertices in  $\Xi_n$  adjacent to t, t', [L+M], and  $[L \cap M]$  such that any two vertices in A are adjacent.

Then  $\Xi_n^c(t, t')$  is a simplicial complex. In particular,  $\Xi_n^c(t, t')$  is a subcomplex of  $\Xi_n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\emptyset \neq A \in \Xi_n^c(t, t')$  is an *i*-simplex, then A corresponds to a chain of lattices  $M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1}$ , where  $\pi(L+M) \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1} \subsetneq L \cap M$ . In particular, A has at most n-3 vertices.

Proof. We proceed by induction on *i*. If i = 0, then A adjacent to  $[L \cap M]$  implies A has a unique representative  $M_1$  such that  $\pi(L \cap M) \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq L \cap M$  by Proposition 2.2. Then by [3, p. 322], either  $M_1 \subsetneq \pi(L+M)$  or  $M_1 \supsetneq \pi(L+M)$ . In the second case, we are done, so assume  $M_1 \subsetneq \pi(L+M)$ . Then  $\pi(L \cap M) \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \pi(L+M)$ . On the other hand,  $\pi(L \cap M) \subsetneq \pi L \subsetneq \pi(L+M)$  and  $[\pi(L+M) : \pi(L \cap M)] = q^2$ . Since A is adjacent to t, [3, p. 322] implies that either  $M_1 \subsetneq \pi L \lor m_1 \supseteq \pi L$ . Thus, either  $\pi(L \cap M) \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \pi L \subsetneq \pi(L+M)$  or  $\pi(L \cap M) \subsetneq \pi L \subsetneq \pi(L+M)$ , which is impossible given the previous index computation.

Now suppose  $0 \leq i \leq n-5$  and that the claim holds for any *i*-simplex in  $\Xi_n^c(t, t')$ . Let  $A \in \Xi_n^c(t, t')$  be an (i+1)-simplex and  $x \in A$  a vertex. Then the *i*-simplex  $A - \{x\}$  corresponds to a chain of lattices  $M'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M'_{i+1}$  such that  $\pi(L+M) \subsetneq M'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M'_{i+1} \subsetneq L \cap M$ . By the last paragraph, x has a representative M' such that  $\pi(L+M) \subsetneq M' \subsetneq L \cap M$ . If  $M' \subsetneq M'_1$ , set  $M_1 = M'$  and  $M_j = M'_{j-1}$  for all  $2 \leq j \leq i+2$ . Otherwise,  $M' \supseteq M'_1$  by [3, p. 322]. Let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, i+1\}$  be maximal such that  $M' \supseteq M'_j$ . If j = i+1, set  $M_\ell = M'_\ell$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq i+1$  and  $M_{i+2} = M'$ . Setting  $M_\ell = M'_\ell$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq j$ ,  $M_{j+1} = M'$ , and  $M_\ell = M'_{\ell-1}$  for all  $j+2 \leq \ell \leq i+2$  finishes the proof if  $j \neq i+1$ . Finally, note that if the claim holds for  $i \geq n-3$ , then A corresponds to a chain of lattices  $M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1}$ , where  $\pi(L+M) \subsetneq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1} \subsetneq L \cap M$ , contradicting the fact that  $[L \cap M : \pi(L+M)] = q^{n-2}$ .

Write  $\Xi_n^s(k)$  for the spherical  $A_n(k)$  building described in [5, p. 4].

**Proposition 2.3.** For any close vertices  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$ ,  $\Xi_n^c(t, t')$  is isomorphic (as a poset) to  $\Xi_{n-3}^s(k)$  (independent of t and t'), where  $\Xi_0^s(k) = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Let  $L \in t, M \in t'$  be as in the paragraph preceding Proposition 2.2, and let  $\Xi_{n-3}^{s}(k)$  be the spherical  $A_{n-3}(k)$  building with simplices the empty set, together with the nested sequences of non-trivial, proper k-subspaces of  $(L \cap M)/\pi(L+M)$ . Then by the Correspondence Theorem and the last lemma, there is a bijection between the *i*-simplices in  $\Xi_{n-3}^{c}(t, t')$  and the *i*-simplices in  $\Xi_{n-3}^{s}(k)$  for all *i*. Since this bijection preserves the partial order (face) relation, it is a poset isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $t, t' \in \Xi_n$  are close vertices, then  $m(t, t') = r_{n-2}$  (independent of t and t'). In particular,  $\omega_n = (r_n \cdot q)/r_{n-2}$ .

*Proof.* By the last proposition and previous comments, m(t, t') is the number of chambers in  $\Xi_{n-3}^s(k)$ . The proof now follows from [6, Proposition 2.4].

# **3.** Close Vertices in the Affine Building $\Delta_n$ of $\text{Sp}_n(K)$

Let  $\Delta_n$  denote the affine building naturally associated to  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$ . Then  $\Delta_n$  is a subcomplex of  $\Xi_{2n}$ , and there is a natural embedding of  $\Delta_n$  in  $\Xi_{2n}$ . As we will see, this embedding allows us to derive information about  $\Delta_n$  and to prove results for  $\Delta_n$  by adapting the proofs of the analogous results for  $\Xi_{2n}$ . As noted in the introduction, while all the vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$  are special, only two vertices in each chamber in  $\Delta_n$  are special. Consequently, the  $\operatorname{Sp}_n$  case requires more care than that needed in the last section. We start by looking at properties of  $\Delta_n$  that we need to consider close vertices in  $\Delta_n$ .

# **3.1** The Building $\Delta_n$

The building  $\Delta_n$  can be modeled as an n-dimensional simplicial complex as follows (see [3, pp. 336 – 337]). Fix a 2n-dimensional K-vector space V endowed with a non-degenerate, alternating bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and recall that a subspace U of V is totally isotropic if  $\langle u, u' \rangle = 0$  for all  $u, u' \in U$ . A lattice L in V is primitive if  $\langle L, L \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces a non-degenerate, alternating k-bilinear form on  $L/\pi L$ . Then a vertex in  $\Delta_n$  is a homothety class of lattices in V with a representative L such that there is a primitive lattice  $L_0$  with  $\langle L, L \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  and  $\pi L_0 \subseteq L \subseteq L_0$ ; equivalently,  $L/\pi L_0$  is a totally isotropic k-subspace of  $L_0/\pi L_0$ . Two vertices  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  are incident if there are representatives  $L \in t$  and  $L' \in t'$  such that there is a primitive lattice  $L_0$  with  $\langle L, L \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\langle L', L' \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ , and either  $\pi L_0 \subseteq L \subseteq L' \subseteq L_0$  or  $\pi L_0 \subseteq L' \subseteq L \subseteq L_0$ . Thus, a chamber in  $\Delta_n$  has n + 1 vertices  $t_0, \ldots, t_n$  with representatives  $L_i \in t_i$  such that  $L_0$  is primitive,  $\langle L_i, L_i \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L_0$ . From now on, write that a chamber in  $\Delta_n$  corresponds to the chain  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L_0$  only when the lattices  $L_0, \ldots, L_n$  satisfy the conditions in the last sentence.

Recall that a basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n, w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$  for V is symplectic if  $\langle u_i, w_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  (Kronecker delta) and  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0 = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle$  for all i, j. If a 2-dimensional, totally isotropic subspace U of V is a hyperbolic plane, then a frame is an unordered n-tuple  $\{\lambda_1^1, \lambda_1^2\}, \ldots, \{\lambda_n^1, \lambda_n^2\}$  of pairs of lines (1-dimensional K-subspaces) in V such that

- 1.  $\lambda_i^1 + \lambda_i^2$  is a hyperbolic plane for all  $1 \le i \le n$ ,
- 2.  $\lambda_i^1 + \lambda_i^2$  is orthogonal to  $\lambda_i^1 + \lambda_i^2$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and
- 3.  $V = (\lambda_1^1 + \lambda_1^2) + \dots + (\lambda_n^1 + \lambda_n^2).$

A vertex  $t \in \Delta_n$  lies in the apartment specified by the frame  $\{\lambda_1^1, \lambda_1^2\}, \ldots, \{\lambda_n^1, \lambda_n^2\}$  if for any representative  $L \in t$ , there are lattices  $M_i^j$  in  $\lambda_i^j$  for all i, j such that  $L = M_1^1 + M_1^2 + \cdots + M_n^1 + M_n^2$ . The following lemma is easily established.

### Lemma 3.1.

- 1. Every symplectic basis for V specifies an apartment of  $\Delta_n$ .
- 2. If  $\Sigma$  is an apartment of  $\Delta_n$ , there is a symplectic basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n, w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$  for V such that every vertex in  $\Sigma$  has the form

$$\left[\mathcal{O}\pi^{a_1}u_1 + \dots + \mathcal{O}\pi^{a_n}u_n + \mathcal{O}\pi^{b_1}w_1 + \dots + \mathcal{O}\pi^{b_n}w_n\right]$$

for some  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark.** A frame specifying an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  also specifies an apartment of  $\Xi_{2n}$  (see [3, p. 323]). In particular, a symplectic basis for V specifies an apartment of  $\Xi_{2n}$ .

Since  $\pi$  is fixed, if  $\mathcal{B} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n, w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$  is a symplectic basis for V, follow [7, p. 3411] and write  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$  for the lattice  $\mathcal{O}\pi^{a_1}u_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{O}\pi^{a_n}u_n + \mathcal{O}\pi^{b_1}w_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{O}\pi^{b_n}w_n$  and  $[a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n]_{\mathcal{B}}$  for its homothety class. Then the lattice  $L = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$  is primitive if and only if  $a_i + b_i = 0$  for all i by [7, p. 3411], and [L] is a *special* vertex in  $\Delta_n$  if and only if  $a_i + b_i = \mu$  is constant for all i by [7, Corollary 3.4]. Note that by [7, p. 3412], a chamber in  $\Delta_n$  has exactly two special vertices.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a vertex with a primitive representative L, and let  $\Sigma$  be an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  containing t. Then there is a symplectic basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1 such that  $L = (0, \ldots, 0; 0, \ldots, 0)_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.1 and [7, p. 3411].

Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a vertex. Then the link of t in  $\Delta_n$ , denoted  $lk_{\Delta_n}t$ , is a building (see [1, Proposition IV.1.3]) that is isomorphic (as a poset) to the subposet of  $\Delta_n$  consisting of those simplices containing t by [1, p. 31]. In particular, if  $A \in \Delta_n$  is a codimension-one simplex containing t and  $A' \in lk_{\Delta_n}t$  is the codimension-one simplex corresponding to A, then the number of chambers in  $\Delta_n$  containing A is the number of chambers in  $lk_{\Delta_n}t$  containing A'. Note that if t is special, then [8, p. 35] implies  $lk_{\Delta_n}t$  is isomorphic to the spherical  $C_n(k)$ building  $\Delta_n^s(k)$  described in [5, pp. 5 – 6].

**Proposition 3.1.** Every special vertex in  $\Delta_n$  is contained in exactly  $r(\Delta_n) = \prod_{m=1}^n ((q^{2m} - 1)/(q-1))$  chambers in  $\Delta_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a special vertex. By the preceding comments and [5, pp. 5 – 6], it suffices to count the number of maximal flags of non-trivial, totally isotropic subspaces of a 2*n*-dimensional *k*-vector space endowed with a non-degenerate, alternating bilinear form. An obvious modification of the proof of [6, Proposition 2.4] finishes the proof.

**Remark.** The number  $r(\Delta_n)$  in the last proposition corresponds to the number  $r_n$  given in [6, Proposition 2.4]. Since  $\text{Sp}_1(K) = \text{SL}_2(K)$ , set  $r(\Delta_1) = q + 1$  for completeness.

**Proposition 3.2.** If  $A \in \Delta_n$  is a codimension-one simplex, then A is contained in exactly q + 1 chambers in  $\Delta_n$ .

*Proof.* Let t be a special vertex in A and A' the codimension-one simplex in  $lk_{\Delta_n}t$  corresponding to A. By the comments preceding the last proposition, it suffices to count the number of chambers in  $\Delta_n^s(k)$  containing A'. A case-by-case analysis finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

We now use the fact that  $\Delta_n$  is a subcomplex of  $\Xi_{2n}$  to derive information about  $\Delta_n$ . For a vertex  $t \in \Xi_{2n}$  with representative  $L = \mathcal{O}v_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{O}v_{2n}$  and  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$ , define  $gt = [\mathcal{O}(gv_1) + \cdots + \mathcal{O}(gv_{2n})]$ . Then  $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$  acts transitively on the lattices in V.

Let

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \operatorname{GSp}_n(K) = \left\{ g \in M_{2n}(K) : g^t J_n g = \nu(g) J_n \text{ for some } \nu(g) \in K^{\times} \right\},$$

so that  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$  consists of the matrices  $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  with  $\nu(g) = 1$ . Alternatively, abuse notation and think of  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  as

$$\{g \in \operatorname{GL}_K(V) : \forall v_1, v_2 \in V, \exists \nu(g) \in K^{\times} \text{ such that } \langle gv_1, gv_2 \rangle = \nu(g) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \}.$$

If  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}\}$  is a basis for V, write  $g\mathcal{B}$  for  $\{gv_1, \ldots, gv_{2n}\}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** The group  $\text{Sp}_n(K)$  acts on the set of primitive lattices in V.

Proof. Let L be a primitive lattice in V, and let  $\Sigma$  be an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  containing [L] and  $\mathcal{B}$  a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1. Then  $L = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; -a_1, \ldots, -a_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$  by [7, p. 3411]; hence, for  $g \in \text{Sp}_n(K)$ ,  $g\mathcal{B}$  a symplectic basis for V implies that gL is primitive.

For the rest of this section, let  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$  be the standard symplectic basis for V ( $f_i = e_{n+i}$  for all i),  $L_0 = (0, \ldots, 0; 0, \ldots, 0)_{\mathcal{B}_0}$ , and  $t_0 = [L_0]$ . Following [5, p. 116], assign *types* to the vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$  as follows: assign type 0 to  $t_0$  and type ord(det g) mod 2n to any other vertex  $t = [L] \in \Xi_{2n}$ , where  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$  such that  $L = gL_0$ . This induces a labelling on the vertices in  $\Delta_n$ . For the rest of this section, let  $C_0$  be the chamber in  $\Delta_n$  whose vertices are the homothety classes of the lattices

$$L_0 = (0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0)_{\mathcal{B}_0}, L_1 = (0, 1, \dots, 1; 1, \dots, 1)_{\mathcal{B}_0}, \dots, L_n = (0, \dots, 0; 1, \dots, 1)_{\mathcal{B}_0}.$$
 (3)

Note that  $[L_i]$  has type 2n - i for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Recall that since  $\Delta_n$  is the affine building naturally associated to  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$ ,  $\operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$  acts on the vertices in  $\Delta_n$  in a type-preserving manner and also acts transitively on the chambers in  $\Delta_n$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $t \in \Delta_n$  is a vertex, then t has type i for some  $i \equiv n, \ldots, 2n \mod 2n$ .

*Proof.* By the preceding comments, it suffices to show that for all  $0 \le j \le n$ ,  $[L_j]$  (as in (3)) has type *i* for some  $i \equiv n, \ldots, 2n \mod 2n$ , which we already observed.

We now use types to characterize the vertices in  $\Delta_n$  with a primitive representative, as well as those that are special.

## **Proposition 3.4.** A vertex in $\Delta_n$ has a primitive representative if and only if it has type 0.

Proof. Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a type 0 vertex and  $C \in \Delta_n$  a chamber containing t. Choose  $g \in \operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$  such that  $gC_0 = C$ . Then  $gL_0 \in t$ . Since  $L_0$  is primitive, Lemma 3.3 implies that  $gL_0$  is primitive. Conversely, let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a vertex with a primitive representative L, and let  $C \in \Delta_n$  be a chamber containing t. Let  $g \in \operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$  such that  $gC = C_0$ . Then  $gL = \pi^m L_j$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $L_j = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n)_{\mathcal{B}_0}$  as in (3), then  $gL = (a_1 + m, \ldots, a_n + m; b_1 + m, \ldots, b_n + m)_{\mathcal{B}_0}$ . But gL primitive (by Lemma 3.3) implies that  $a_i + b_i = -2m$  for all i. By (3), m = 0 and  $gt = [L_0]$ ; hence, t has type 0.

**Proposition 3.5.** A vertex in  $\Delta_n$  is special if and only if it has type 0 or n.

Proof. Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a type 0 (resp., type n) vertex, and let  $C \in \Delta_n$  be a chamber containing t. If  $g \in \operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$  such that  $gC_0 = C$ , then  $t = g[L_0]$  (resp.,  $t = g[L_n]$ ), and t is special by [7, Corollary 3.4]. Conversely, let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a special vertex. Let  $C \in \Delta_n$  be a chamber containing  $t, \Sigma$  an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  containing C, and  $\mathcal{B}$  a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1. By [7, Corollary 3.4],  $t = [a_1, \ldots, a_n; \mu - a_1, \ldots, \mu - a_n]_{\mathcal{B}}$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $g \in \operatorname{Sp}_n(K)$  such that  $gC = C_0$ , then  $gt = [L_i]$  for some  $0 \le i \le n$ ; hence, gt special, [7, Corollary 3.4], and (3) imply i = 0 or i = n, and t has type 0 or n.

We now consider the action of  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  on the vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** If [L] is a type *i* vertex in  $\Xi_{2n}$ , then for any  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$ , the vertex  $g[L] \in \Xi_{2n}$  has type  $i + \operatorname{ord}(\det g) \mod 2n$ .

*Proof.* Since [L] has type i, we can write  $L = g_i L_0$ , where  $g_i \in GL_{2n}(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(\det g_i) \equiv i \mod 2n$ . Then g[L] has type  $\operatorname{ord}(\det(gg_i)) \mod 2n \equiv i + \operatorname{ord}(\det g) \mod 2n$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , then g maps a non-special vertex in  $\Delta_n$  to a vertex in  $\Xi_{2n}$  that is not in  $\Delta_n$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) \equiv 1 \mod 2$  implies  $\operatorname{ord}(\det g) \equiv n \mod 2n$ . If t is a non-special vertex in  $\Delta_n$ , then t has type i for some  $n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$  by Propositions 3.3 and 3.5. Thus, the last proposition implies gt has type  $i + n \mod 2n \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ . Proposition 3.3 finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# **3.2** The Building $\Delta_n$ in the Building $\Xi_{2n}$

Let  $C \in \Delta_n$  be a chamber corresponding to the chain  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L_0$ . Let  $\Sigma$ be an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  containing C,  $\mathcal{B}$  a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1, and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  the apartment of  $\Xi_{2n}$  specified by  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $D \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$  be any chamber containing C. Then D corresponds to the chain  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L_{n+1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{2n-1} \subsetneq L_0$  for some lattices  $L_{n+1}, \ldots, L_{2n-1}$  in V. For  $0 \le j \le 2n-1$ , write

$$L_j = (a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(j)}; b_1^{(j)}, \dots, b_n^{(j)})_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** The two special vertices in C are  $[L_0]$  and  $[L_n]$ .

Proof. The fact that  $[L_0]$  is special follows from [7, Corollary 3.4] and [7, p. 3411]. To see that  $[L_n]$  is special, note that if  $L_j$  represents a special vertex in C for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then  $a_i^{(j)} + b_i^{(j)} = \mu$  for all i (by [7, Corollary 3.4]), where  $\mu \in \{1, 2\}$  (since  $\langle L_j, L_j \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ ). But  $\mu = 2$  implies  $L_j = \pi L_0$ , which is impossible. Thus,  $a_i^{(j)} + b_i^{(j)} = 1$  for all i and  $L_j/\pi L_0 \cong k^n$ ; hence, j = n.

For  $\mathcal{B} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n, w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$  a symplectic basis for V and  $g \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}_g := \{\nu(g)^{-1}gu_1, \dots, \nu(g)^{-1}gu_n, gw_1, \dots, gw_n\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{B}_g$  is a symplectic basis for V; hence,  $L = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) = m$  imply  $gL = (a_1 + m, \ldots, a_n + m; b_1, \ldots, b_n)_{\mathcal{B}_g}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** The group  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  acts transitively on the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$ .

Proof. Note that if  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  acts on the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$ , then [7, Proposition 3.3] implies that the action is transitive. We thus show that  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  acts on the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$ . Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a special vertex and  $L \in t$  a representative such that there is a primitive lattice  $L_0$  with  $\langle L, L \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  and  $\pi L_0 \subseteq L \subseteq L_0$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  containing t and  $[L_0]$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1. Then [7, p. 3411], the last lemma, and [7, Corollary 3.4] imply

$$L_0 = (c_1, \dots, c_n; -c_1, \dots, -c_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$$
 and  $L = (a_1, \dots, a_n; \mu - a_1, \dots, \mu - a_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,

where  $\mu \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) = m$ . Since  $gt = [a_1 + m, \ldots, a_n + m; \mu - a_1, \ldots, \mu - a_n]_{\mathcal{B}_g}$ , [7, Corollary 3.4] implies that it suffices to show gt is a vertex in  $\Delta_n$ . First suppose  $m \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , say m = 2r. Then  $\pi^{-r}gL_0$  is primitive,  $\langle \pi^{-r}gL, \pi^{-r}gL \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ , and  $\pi^{-r}g(\pi L_0) \subseteq \pi^{-r}gL \subseteq \pi^{-r}gL_0$ ; i.e., gt is a vertex in  $\Delta_n$ . Now suppose m = 2r + 1. If  $\mu = 1$ , then  $\pi^{-r-1}gL$  is primitive and gt is a vertex in  $\Delta_n$ . Otherwise,  $\mu = 2$ , and  $\langle \pi^{-r-1}gL, \pi^{-r-1}gL \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\pi M_0 = (a_1 + r, \ldots, a_n + r; \mu - a_1 - r, \ldots, \mu - a_n - r)_{\mathcal{B}_g}$ . Then  $M_0$  is primitive and  $\pi M_0 \subseteq \pi^{-r-1}gL \subseteq M_0$ ; i.e., gt is a vertex in  $\Delta_n$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  acts on the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$ .

Note that by Propositions 3.4 and 3.5,  $[L_n]$  has type n. Then by Proposition 3.3, the type of  $[L_j]$  is in  $\{n+1,\ldots,2n-1\}$  for all  $1 \le j \le n-1$  and the type of  $[L_i]$  is in  $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$  for all  $n+1 \le i \le 2n-1$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $g \in \text{GSp}_n(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) \equiv 1 \mod 2$ . If  $L_0, L_n, \ldots, L_{2n-1}$  are lattices in V as above, then the vertices  $g[L_n], \ldots, g[L_{2n-1}], g[L_0]$  in  $\Xi_{2n}$  are the vertices in a chamber in  $\Delta_n$ .

Proof. Write  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) = 2r + 1$ . Then Lemma 3.4 and [7, p. 3411] imply that  $L'_n = \pi^{-(r+1)}gL_n$  is primitive (see the proof of Proposition 3.7). Furthermore, if  $L'_j = \pi^{-r}gL_j$  for  $j = 0, n+1, \ldots, 2n-1$ , then  $\pi L'_n \subsetneq L'_{n+1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L'_{2n-1} \subsetneq L'_0 \subsetneq L'_n$  and  $\langle L'_j, L'_j \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  for  $j = 0, n+1, \ldots, 2n-1$ ; i.e.,  $[L'_n], \ldots, [L'_{2n-1}], [L'_0]$  are the vertices in a chamber in  $\Delta_n$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  the apartment of  $\Xi_{2n}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}$  a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  implies  $\mathcal{B}$  specifies  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ . If C, C' is a gallery in  $\Sigma$ , then there is a gallery D, D' in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  such that D (resp., D') contains C (resp., C') and  $C \neq C'$  implies  $D \neq D'$ .

**Remark.** More generally, if  $C_0, \ldots, C_m$  is a gallery in  $\Delta_n$ , then there is a gallery  $D_0, \ldots, D_\ell$ in  $\Xi_{2n}$  and integers  $0 \le i_0 < \cdots < i_m \le \ell$  such that  $D_j$  contains  $C_0$  for all  $0 \le j \le i_0$  and  $D_j$  contains  $C_r$  for all  $i_{r-1} < j \le i_r$  and all  $1 \le r \le m$ .

Proof of Lemma 3.6. If C = C', set D = D', where  $D \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$  is a chamber containing C. Now suppose  $C \neq C'$ , with C corresponding to the chain

$$\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L_0. \tag{4}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1, and let  $0 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $C \cap C'$  corresponds to (4) with  $L_j$  deleted if  $1 \leq j \leq n$  or with both  $\pi L_0$  and  $L_0$  deleted if j = 0. Note that if t' is the vertex in C' not in C, then t' has a representative L' such that C' corresponds to (4) with  $L_j$  replaced by L'.

If  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , then the result in [7, p. 3411], Lemma 3.4, and (4) imply  $L_0 = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; -a_1, \ldots, -a_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $L_n = (b_1, \ldots, b_n; 1-b_1, \ldots, 1-b_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $a_i + 1 \geq b_i \geq a_i$ for all *i*. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $a_{n+i} = -a_i$  and  $b_{n+i} = 1-b_i$ . Let  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$  be the *n* values of *i* such that  $b_i = a_i + 1$ , and for  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ , set  $L_{n+r} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n; c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_{2n})_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $c_\ell = b_\ell - 1 = a_\ell$  if  $\ell \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$  and  $c_\ell = b_\ell$  otherwise. Then  $L_n \subsetneq L_{n+1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{2n-1} \subsetneq L_0$ , and letting  $D \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$  (resp.,  $D' \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ ) be the simplex with vertices the vertices in *C* (resp., the vertices in *C'*), together with  $[L_{n+1}], \ldots, [L_{2n-1}]$  finishes the proof in this case

If j = n, write  $L_0 = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; -a_1, \ldots, -a_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $L_n = (b_1, \ldots, b_n; 1 - b_1, \ldots, 1 - b_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and  $L' = (b'_1, \ldots, b'_n; 1 - b'_1, \ldots, 1 - b'_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Note that  $a_i + 1 \ge b_i, b'_i \ge a_i$  for all i and  $b_i \ne b'_i$ for at least one value of i. Let  $L_{n+1} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n; c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_{2n})_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $c_i = \min\{b_i, b'_i\}$ and  $c_{n+i} = \min\{1 - b_i, 1 - b'_i\}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then  $L_{n+1} = L_n + L'$ , so  $L_n, L' \subsetneq L_{n+1}$ and  $[L_{n+1}: L_n] = q = [L_{n+1}: L']$ . An obvious modification of the second half of the last paragraph finishes the proof in this case.

Finally, if j = 0, write  $L_0 = (a_1, \ldots, a_n; -a_1, \ldots, -a_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $L' = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_n; -a'_1, \ldots, -a'_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and  $L_n = (b_1, \ldots, b_n; 1 - b_1, \ldots, 1 - b_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Note that  $a_i + 1, a'_i + 1 \ge b_i \ge a_i, a'_i$  for all i and  $a_i \ne a'_i$  for at least one value of i. Let  $L_{2n-1} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n; c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_{2n})_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $c_i = \max\{a_i, a'_i\}$  and  $c_{n+i} = \max\{-a_i, -a'_i\}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then  $L_{2n-1} = L_0 \cap L'$ , so  $L_{2n-1} \subsetneq L_0, L'$  and  $[L_0: L_{2n-1}] = q = [L': L_{2n-1}]$ . An obvious modification of the second half of the first paragraph finishes the proof in this case.

It will turn out to be convenient to first prove results about the type 0 vertices in  $\Delta_n$  and to then use the transitive action of  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  on the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$  (see Proposition 3.7) to deduce the same results about the type *n* vertices in  $\Delta_n$ . For  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$  and a chamber  $C \in \Xi_{2n}$ , abuse notation and write gC for the image of the vertices in C under the action of g. **Proposition 3.8.** The group  $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$  (resp.,  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$ ) maps a gallery in  $\Xi_{2n}$  of length m to a gallery in  $\Xi_{2n}$  of length m. In particular, if  $C \neq C'$  are adjacent chambers in  $\Xi_{2n}$  and  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$  (resp.,  $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$ ), then  $gC \neq gC'$  are adjacent chambers in  $\Xi_{2n}$ .

Proof. Let  $C_0, \ldots, C_m$  be a gallery in  $\Xi_{2n}$ , and let  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(K)$ . If m = 0 and  $C_0$  corresponds to the chain  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{2n-1} \subsetneq L_0$ , then  $g(\pi L_0) \subsetneq gL_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq gL_{2n-1} \subsetneq gL_0$ ; i.e.,  $gC_0$  is a chamber in  $\Xi_{2n}$ . If m = 1 and  $C_0 = C_1$ , then  $gC_0, gC_1$  is a gallery in  $\Xi_{2n}$ , so suppose  $C_0 \neq C_1$ . Let  $t_0, \ldots, t_{2n-1}$  (resp.,  $x_0, \ldots, x_{2n-1}$ ) be the vertices in  $C_0$  (resp., in  $C_1$ ), and let  $0 \le j \le 2n - 1$  such that  $t_j \ne x_j$ . For  $0 \le i \le 2n - 1$ , let  $L_i \in t_i$  (resp., let  $M_i \in x_i$ ) such that  $\pi L_0 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_{2n-1} \subsetneq L_0$  (resp.,  $\pi M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{2n-1} \subsetneq M_0$ ) corresponds to  $C_0$  (resp., to  $C_1$ ). Then  $g(\pi L_0) \subsetneq gL_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq gL_{2n-1} \subsetneq gL_0$  (resp.,  $g(\pi M_0) \subsetneq gM_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq gM_{2n-1} \subsetneq gM_0$ ). Since  $t_i = x_i$  implies  $gt_i = gx_i, gC_0, gC_1$  is a gallery in  $\Xi_{2n}$ . The fact that  $gC_0 \ne gC_1$  follows from the fact that  $gx_j \ne gt_j$ . The proof for  $m \ge 2$  follows from the fact that  $gC_i, gC_{i+1}$  is a gallery in  $\Xi_{2n}$  for all  $0 \le i \le m - 1$ .

# **3.3** Counting Close Vertices in $\Delta_n$

Let  $\Gamma = \operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$ , and note that the analogues of the results in section 4.1 of [7] hold if  $\operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  acts on the lattices in V on the left (rather than on the right). The following is an analogue of Theorem 3.3 of [6] for the special vertices in  $\Delta_n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $t \in \Delta_n$  is a special vertex, then the number of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to t is the number of left cosets of  $\Gamma$  in

$$\Gamma$$
diag $(1, \underbrace{\pi, \ldots, \pi}_{n-1}, \pi^2, \pi, \ldots, \pi)\Gamma$ .

Proof. First note that by Proposition 3.5, a special vertex in  $\Delta_n$  has type either 0 or n. Let  $t \in \Delta_n$  be a special vertex and  $t' \in \Delta_n$  a vertex close to t. Then there are adjacent chambers  $C, C' \in \Delta_n$  such that  $t \in C, t' \in C'$ , but  $t, t' \notin C \cap C'$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be an apartment of  $\Delta_n$  containing C and C'. If t has type 0, then by Lemma 3.2, we may assume that relative to some symplectic basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for V specifying  $\Sigma, t = [0, \ldots, 0; 0, \ldots, 0]_{\mathcal{B}} \in C_0$ , where  $C_0 \in \Sigma$  is the chamber with vertices  $[0, \ldots, 0; 0, \ldots, 0]_{\mathcal{B}}, [0, 1, \ldots, 1; 1, \ldots, 1]_{\mathcal{B}}, \ldots, [0, \ldots, 0; 1, \ldots, 1]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . A straightforward modification of the fourth and fifth paragraphs of the proof of [6, Theorem 3.3] using the reflections defined in [7, p. 3411] finishes the proof in this case.

Now suppose t has type n, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a symplectic basis for V specifying  $\Sigma$  as in Lemma 3.1. Let  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  be the apartment of  $\Xi_{2n}$  specified by  $\mathcal{B}$ , and let  $D, D' \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$  be adjacent chambers with C in D, C' in D', and  $D \neq D'$  as in Lemma 3.6. Let  $g \in \operatorname{GSp}_n(K)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu(g)) \equiv 1 \mod 2$ . Then by Proposition 3.6, gt has type 0. By Lemma 3.5, gD (resp., gD') contains a chamber  $C_1 \in \Delta_n$  (resp., a chamber  $C'_1 \in \Delta_n$ ) with  $gt \in C_1$  (resp., with  $gt' \in C'_1$ ). Furthermore,  $gD \neq gD'$  are adjacent chambers in  $\Xi_{2n}$  and  $gt, gt' \notin gD \cap gD'$  by Proposition 3.8; i.e., gt and gt' are close vertices in  $\Delta_n$ . Finally, if  $S_t$  and  $S_{qt}$  are the sets



Figure 3: Two close special vertices, both of type 0, in  $\Delta_2$ .

of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to t and gt, respectively, then  $\operatorname{Card}(S_t) = \operatorname{Card}(S_{gt})$ , and the last paragraph finishes the proof.

**Remark.** The analogues of the results in [7, Section 4.1] also hold if  $\text{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\text{GSp}_n^S(K)$ are replaced by  $\text{GSp}_n(\mathcal{O}) = \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{GSp}_n(K)$  and  $\text{GSp}_n(K)$ , respectively, and with  $\text{GSp}_n(K)$  acting on the left rather than on the right. In addition, the analogue of the above theorem holds with  $\Gamma = \text{GSp}_n(\mathcal{O})$ ; hence, so does Corollary 3.2.

We now count the number of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to a given special vertex  $t \in \Delta_n$ . By Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.1, it suffices to assume t has type 0. By Proposition 3.4, t has a primitive representative L, so a chamber  $C \in \Delta_n$  containing t corresponds to a chain of the form

$$\pi L \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_1 \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} \cdots \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_n \stackrel{q^n}{\subsetneq} L.$$
(5)

The codimension-one face in C not containing t thus corresponds to the chain

$$L_1 \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} \cdots \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_n,$$

and a vertex in  $\Delta_n$  is close to t if it has a primitive representative  $M \neq L$  such that

$$\pi M \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_1 \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} \cdots \stackrel{q}{\subsetneq} L_n \stackrel{q^n}{\subsetneq} M.$$
(6)

Given the lattice  $L_1$ , the possible L and M satisfy  $L \neq M \subsetneq \pi^{-1}L_1$  with  $[\pi^{-1}L_1 : L] = q = [\pi^{-1}L_1 : M]$  and both L and M primitive. On the other hand, if  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  are close type 0 vertices, then there must be primitive representatives  $L \in t$  and  $M \in t'$  and lattices  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  as in (5) such that  $L \neq M \subsetneq \pi^{-1}L_1$ . The same argument as in Section 2 shows that  $\pi^{-1}L_1 = L + M$ , but we can vary  $L_2, \ldots, L_n$  as long as  $\langle L_i, L_i \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and the chains  $\pi L \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L$  and  $\pi M \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq M$  correspond to chambers in  $\Delta_n$ . In other words (as in the case of  $\Xi_n$ ), if  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  are close type 0 vertices, there may be more than one pair of adjacent chambers  $C, C' \in \Delta_n$  such that  $t \in C, t' \in C'$ , and  $t, t' \notin C \cap C'$  (see Figure 3). We return to this later.

Before we count the number of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to t, we make a few observations similar to those preceding Proposition 2.1. Fix a primitive representative  $L \in t$ . Then  $L/\pi L \cong k^{2n}$  is endowed with a non-degenerate, alternating k-bilinear form. Moreover, the Correspondence Theorem, the fact that any  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of L containing  $\pi L$  is a lattice in V, and the fact that every 1-dimensional k-subspace of  $L/\pi L$  is totally isotropic imply that the number of  $L_1$  is the number of 1-dimensional k-subspaces of  $L/\pi L$ . Given  $L_1$ , let  $C \in \Delta_n$  be a chamber containing  $[L_1]$  and t, and let A be the codimension-one face in C not containing t. Then the number of primitive lattices  $M \neq L$  in V such that  $M \subsetneq \pi^{-1}L_1$  and  $[\pi^{-1}L_1:M] = q$  is one less than the number of chambers in  $\Delta_n$  containing A.

**Proposition 3.9.** If  $t \in \Delta_n$  is a special vertex, then the number  $\omega(\Delta_n)$  of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to t is

$$\frac{q^{2n}-1}{q-1} \cdot q$$

(independent of t).

*Proof.* This follows from the preceding comments, the fact that the number of 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^m$  is exactly  $(q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$ , and Proposition 3.2.

**Corollary 3.2.** The number of left cosets of  $\Gamma = \text{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$  in

$$\Gamma \operatorname{diag}(1, \underbrace{\pi, \dots, \pi}_{n-1}, \pi^2, \pi, \dots, \pi) \Gamma$$

is  $((q^{2n} - 1) \cdot q)/(q - 1)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 3.1 and the last proposition.

Proposition 3.1 and the last proposition prove the following analogue of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $r(\Delta_n)$  be the number of chambers in  $\Delta_n$  containing a given special vertex (as in Proposition 3.1) and  $\omega(\Delta_n)$  the number of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to a given special vertex in  $\Delta_n$  (as in Proposition 3.9). Then for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $q \cdot r(\Delta_n) = r(\Delta_{n-1}) \omega(\Delta_n)$ , where  $r(\Delta_1) = q + 1$ .

When the given vertex in  $\Delta_n$  has type 0, we can also give a combinatorial proof of Theorem 3.2. As in Section 2, if  $t \in \Delta_n$  is a fixed type 0 vertex, then we can try to count the number of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  close to t by counting the number of galleries (in  $\Delta_n$ ) of length 1 starting at a chamber containing t and ending at a chamber not containing t. An argument analogous to that in Section 2 shows that if  $t' \in \Delta_n$  is a vertex close to t, then  $\omega(\Delta_n) = (r(\Delta_n) \cdot q)/m(\Delta_n, t, t')$ , where  $m(\Delta_n, t, t')$  is the number of galleries of length 1 in  $\Delta_n$  whose initial chamber contains t and whose ending chamber contains t'.

To determine  $m(\Delta_n, t, t')$ , fix the following notation for the rest of this section. For close special vertices  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  with t of type 0, let  $L \in t, M \in t'$  be primitive representatives (by Proposition 3.4) such that there are lattices  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  as in (5) and (6) with  $\langle L_i, L_i \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Recall that  $L_1 = \pi(L + M)$ , but we can vary  $L_2, \ldots, L_n$  as long as  $\langle L_i, L_i \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and the chains

$$\pi L \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq L$$
 and  $\pi M \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq L_n \subsetneq M$ 

correspond to chambers in  $\Delta_n$ . As in Section 2, each gallery in  $\Delta_n$  counted by  $m(\Delta_n, t, t')$  is uniquely determined by  $L_2, \ldots, L_n$ . Define two vertices in  $\Delta_n$  to be *adjacent* if they are distinct and incident.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  be adjacent vertices such that t has a primitive representative L. Then t' has a unique representative L' such that  $\langle L', L' \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  and  $\pi L \subsetneq L' \subsetneq L$ .

Proof. Since t and t' are adjacent vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$ , by Proposition 2.2, t' has a unique representative L' such that  $\pi L \subsetneq L' \subsetneq L$ . It thus suffices to show that  $\langle L', L' \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ . But t and t' incident vertices in  $\Delta_n$  with  $t \neq t'$  implies they have representatives  $M \in t$  and  $M' \in t'$  such that there is a primitive lattice  $L_0$  with  $\langle M, M \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}, \langle M', M' \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ , and either  $\pi L_0 \subseteq M \subsetneq M' \subseteq L_0$  or  $\pi L_0 \subseteq M' \subsetneq M \subseteq L_0$ . Suppose  $\pi L_0 \subseteq M \subsetneq M' \subseteq L_0$  (resp.,  $\pi L_0 \subseteq M' \subsetneq M \subseteq L_0$ ). Then M and  $\pi L$  (resp., M and L) homothetic implies  $\pi L = \pi^r M$  (resp.,  $L = \pi^r M$ ) for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; hence,  $\pi L \subsetneq \pi^r M' \subsetneq L$ . Let  $L' = \pi^r M'$ . Since L is primitive,  $\langle \pi^{r-1}M, \pi^{r-1}M \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  (resp.,  $\langle \pi^r M, \pi^r M \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ ). On the other hand,  $\langle \pi^{r-1}M, \pi^{r-1}M \rangle \subseteq \pi^{2(r-1)+1}\mathcal{O}$  (resp.,  $\langle \pi^r M, \pi^r M \rangle \subseteq \pi^{2r+1}\mathcal{O}$ ), so  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  (resp.,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ ) and  $\langle L', L' \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$ .

Consider the set of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  that are adjacent to t, t', and [L + M], and define two such vertices to be incident if they are incident as vertices in  $\Delta_n$ . Let  $\Delta_n^c(t, t')$  be the set consisting of

- the empty set,
- all vertices in  $\Delta_n$  adjacent to t, t', and [L + M], and
- all finite sets A of vertices in  $\Delta_n$  adjacent to t, t', and [L + M] such that any two vertices in A are adjacent.

Then  $\Delta_n^c(t, t')$  is a simplicial complex. In particular,  $\Delta_n^c(t, t')$  is a subcomplex of  $\Delta_n$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** If  $\emptyset \neq A \in \Delta_n^c(t, t')$  is an *i*-simplex, then A corresponds to a chain of lattices  $M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1}$ , where  $\langle M_j, M_j \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq i+1$  and  $\pi(L+M) \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1} \subsetneq L \cap M$ . In particular, A has at most n-1 vertices.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we proceed by induction on *i*. If i = 0, then L primitive, A adjacent to t, and Lemma 3.7 imply A has a unique representative  $M_1$  such that  $\langle M_1, M_1 \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  and  $\pi L \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq L$ . Since A and [L + M] are adjacent vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$ , either  $M_1 \subsetneq \pi(L + M)$  or  $M_1 \supsetneq \pi(L + M)$  by [3, p. 322]. But  $M_1 \subsetneq \pi(L + M)$  means  $\pi L \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \pi(L + M)$ , which is impossible since  $[\pi(L + M) : \pi L] = q$ ; hence,  $M_1 \supsetneq \pi(L + M)$ . Then A and t' adjacent vertices in  $\Xi_{2n}$  and [3, p. 322] imply that either  $M_1 \subsetneq M$  or  $M_1 \supsetneq M$ . Since  $M_1 \supsetneq M$  means  $M \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq L$ , which contradicts the fact that  $[M : \pi(L + M)] = [L : \pi(L + M)], M_1 \subsetneq M$  and  $M_1 \subseteq L \cap M$ . Moreover,  $\langle M_1, M_1 \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  implies  $M_1/\pi L$  is a totally isotropic k-subspace of  $L/\pi L$  and  $[M_1 : \pi L] \le q^n$ . The fact that  $[L \cap M : \pi L] = q^{2n-1}$  finishes the proof in this case.

Recall that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces a non-degenerate, alternating k-bilinear form on  $L/\pi L$ . Then with respect to this induced bilinear form,  $(L \cap M)/\pi L$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\pi(L+M)/\pi L$  in  $L/\pi L$ . In addition,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces a non-degenerate, alternating k-bilinear form on  $(L \cap M)/\pi (L+M) \cong k^{2(n-1)}$ , and there is a bijection between nested sequences  $S_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{i+1}$  of totally isotropic k-subspaces of  $(L \cap M)/\pi (L+M)$  and chains of  $\mathcal{O}$ submodules  $M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_{i+1}$  of  $L \cap M$  containing  $\pi (L+M)$  with  $\langle M_j, M_j \rangle \subseteq \pi \mathcal{O}$  for all  $1 \le j \le i+1$ . An obvious modification of the second paragraph of the proof of Lemma 2.1 finishes the proof.

Recall that  $\Delta_n^s(k)$  denotes the spherical  $C_n(k)$  building described in [5, pp. 5 – 6].

**Proposition 3.10.** For any close special vertices  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  with t of type 0,  $\Delta_n^c(t, t')$  is isomorphic (as a poset) to  $\Delta_{n-1}^s(k)$  (independent of t and t' with t of type 0).

Proof. Let  $L \in t, M \in t'$  be primitive representatives as in the paragraph preceding Lemma 3.7, and let  $\Delta_{n-1}^{s}(k)$  be the spherical  $C_{n-1}(k)$  building with simplices the empty set, together with the nested sequences of non-trivial, totally isotropic k-subspaces of  $(L \cap M)/\pi(L+M)$ . Then the last lemma implies that there is a bijection between the *i*-simplices in  $\Delta_{n-1}^{s}(k)$  for all *i*. Since this bijection preserves the partial order (face) relation, it is a poset isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.11.** If  $t, t' \in \Delta_n$  are close special vertices with t of type 0, then  $m(\Delta_n, t, t') = r(\Delta_{n-1})$  (independent of t and t'). In particular,  $\omega(\Delta_n) = (r(\Delta_n) \cdot q)/r(\Delta_{n-1})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is an obvious modification of the proof of Theorem 2.2.

### References

- [1] K. Brown, *Buildings*, Springer-Verlag (1989).
- [2] D. Cartwright, Harmonic functions on buildings of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ , in M. Picardello and W. Woess (editors), Random Walks and Discrete Potential Theory, pp. 104 138.
- [3] P. Garrett, Buildings and Classical Groups, Chapman & Hall (1997).
- [4] J. Parkinson, Buildings and Hecke algebras, Journal of Algebra, 297 (2006), no. 1, 1 49.
- [5] M. Ronan, Lectures on Buildings, volume 7 of Perspectives in Mathematics, Academic Press, Inc. (1989).
- [6] A. Schwartz and T. Shemanske, Maximal orders in central simple algebras and Bruhat-Tits buildings, *Journal of Number Theory*, 56 (1996), no. 1, 115 – 138.
- [7] T. Shemanske, The arithmetic and combinatorics of buildings for Sp<sub>n</sub>, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 359 (2007), no. 7, 3409 – 3423.
- [8] J. Tits, Reductive groups over local fields, in A. Borel and W. Casselman (editors), Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions. Part I, pp. 29 – 69.