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#### Abstract

We prove that there is no geometric progression that contains four (distinct) triangular numbers.


## 1. Introduction

The integers of the form $T_{n}=n(n+1) / 2, n \in \mathbf{N}$, are called triangular numbers. Sierpinski asked whether there exist four(distinct) triangular numbers in geometric progression (see [1, D23]). Bennett [2] claimed that there are no four(distinct) triangular numbers in geometric progression. In fact, Bennett's proof is under the assumption that the geometric progression has an integral common ratio. Chen and Fang [6] removed the assumption "integral common ratio" and solved Sierpinski's problem.

By employing the theory of Pell's equations and a result of Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot and P. M. Voutier on primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers [3], Yang and He [4] claimed that there is no geometric progression which contains four (distinct) triangular numbers. In their paper, they misunderstood the phrase "in geometric progression," and claimed that Bennett's proof is not complete and that they solved Sierpinski's problem completely. In fact, their proof is also under the assumption that the geometric progression has an integral common ratio.

In this paper, "integral common ratio" is removed. We use only the Störmer theorem on Pell's equation to prove the following result.

Theorem. No geometric progression contains four (distinct) triangular numbers.
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## 2. Proof of Theorem

In this paper, we use the following lemma.
Lemma (Störmer theorem[5]) If Pell's equation $x^{2}-D y^{2}= \pm 1(D>0)$ has a positive integral solution $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, and every prime divisor of $y_{1}$ divides $D$, then $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ is the fundamental solution.

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that there is a geometric progression which contains four (distinct) triangular numbers $T_{x}, T_{y}, T_{u}, T_{v}$. Let the common ratio of the geometric progression be $q=b / a$ with $a \geq 1$ and $(a, b)=1$. Obviously, we can consider the question in a finite geometric progression, thus we may assume $0<q<1$, so $a>b$. We can arrange $T_{x}, T_{y}, T_{u}, T_{v}$ so that there exist positive integers $A, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\left(0<r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3}\right)$ satisfying

$$
8 T_{x}=A, \quad 8 T_{y}=A q^{r_{1}}, \quad 8 T_{u}=A q^{r_{2}}, \quad 8 T_{v}=A q^{r_{3}} .
$$

By the form of triangular numbers, we have

$$
A+1=m_{1}^{2}, \quad A q^{r_{1}}+1=m_{2}^{2}, \quad A q^{r_{2}}+1=m_{3}^{2}, \quad A q^{r_{3}}+1=m_{4}^{2},
$$

where $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}$ are all positive integers, and $m_{1}>m_{2}>m_{3}>m_{4}$. Since $A q^{r_{3}} \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a^{r_{3}} \mid A b^{r_{3}}$. Since $(a, b)=1$, we have $a^{r_{3}} \mid A$. Let $A=a^{r_{3}} a_{0}$. By the above equations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}^{2}-a^{r_{3}} a_{0}=1, \quad m_{2}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{1}} b^{r_{1}} a_{0}=1  \tag{1}\\
& m_{3}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{2}} b^{r_{2}} a_{0}=1, \quad m_{4}^{2}-b^{r_{3}} a_{0}=1 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1. $2 \mid r_{3}$. By (1) and the lemma, $\left(m_{1}, a^{\left(r_{3}-2\right) / 2}\right)$ is the basic solution of the Pell's equation, $x^{2}-a_{0} a^{2} y^{2}=1$. If $2 \mid r_{1}$, then $r_{3} \geq r_{1}+2$. By (1) we have $m_{2}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{1}-2} b^{r_{1}} a_{0} a^{2}=1$. Thus, since $a>b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{1}-2}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{1}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}} & =\left(m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-2}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}}\right)^{k} \\
& \geq m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-2}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}} \\
& >m_{2}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{1}-2}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{1}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. If $2 \mid r_{2}$, then $r_{3} \geq r_{2}+2$. By (2) we have $m_{3}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{2}-2} b^{r_{2}} a_{0} a^{2}=1$. Thus, since $a>b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{3}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}-2}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{2}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}} & =\left(m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-2}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}}\right)^{k} \\
& \geq m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-2}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}} \\
& >m_{3}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}-2}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{2}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
If $2 \nmid r_{1}$ and $2 \nmid r_{2}$, then by (1) and (2) we have

$$
m_{2}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{1}-1} b^{r_{1}-1} a_{0} a b=1, m_{3}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{2}-1} b^{r_{2}-1} a_{0} a b=1 .
$$

By the lemma, both ( $\left.m_{2}, a^{\left(r_{3}-r_{1}-1\right) / 2} b^{\left(r_{1}-1\right) / 2}\right)$ and ( $\left.m_{3}, a^{\left(r_{3}-r_{2}-1\right) / 2} b^{\left(r_{2}-1\right) / 2}\right)$ are the basic solutions of Pell's equation $x^{2}-a_{0} a b y^{2}=1$. This is impossible.

Case 2. $2 \nmid r_{3}$. By (1) and the lemma, the basic solution of Pell's equation $x^{2}-a_{0} a y^{2}=1$ is $\left(m_{1}, a^{\left(r_{3}-1\right) / 2}\right)$. If $2 \mid r_{1}$, then $2 \mid r_{3}-r_{1}-1$. By (1) we have $m_{2}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{1}-1} b^{r_{1}} a_{0} a=1$. So, since $a>b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{1}-1}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{1}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a} & =\left(m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-1}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a}\right)^{k} \\
& \geq m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-1}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a} \\
& >m_{2}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{1}-1}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{1}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. If $2 \mid r_{2}$, then $2 \mid r_{3}-r_{2}-1$. By (2) we have $m_{3}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{2}-1} b^{r_{2}} a_{0} a=1$. So, since $a>b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{3}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}-1}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{2}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a} & =\left(m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-1}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a}\right)^{k} \\
& \geq m_{1}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-1}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a} \\
& >m_{3}+a^{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}-1}{2}} b^{\frac{r_{2}}{2}} \sqrt{a_{0} a}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
If $2 \nmid r_{1}$ and $2 \nmid r_{2}$, then since $2 \nmid r_{3}$ and $0<r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3}$, we have: $r_{3} \geq r_{1}+2, r_{3} \geq$ $r_{2}+2,2\left|r_{3}-r_{1}-2, \quad 2\right|\left(r_{3}-r_{2}-2\right), 2 \mid\left(r_{1}-1\right)$, and $2 \mid\left(r_{2}-1\right)$. By (1) and (2), we have $m_{2}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{1}-2} b^{r_{1}-1} a_{0} a^{2} b=1$ and $\mathrm{m}_{3}^{2}-a^{r_{3}-r_{2}-2} b^{r_{2}-1} a_{0} a^{2} b=1$. By the lemma, both ( $\left.m_{2}, a^{\left(r_{3}-r_{1}-2\right) / 2} b^{\left(r_{1}-1\right) / 2}\right)$ and ( $\left.m_{3}, a^{\left(r_{3}-r_{2}-2\right) / 2} b^{\left(r_{2}-1\right) / 2}\right)$ are the basic solutions of the Pell equation $x^{2}-a_{0} a^{2} b y^{2}=1$, which is impossible.
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