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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the $k$-fixed-points statistic over the symmetric group. We will give some combinatorial interpretations to the relations defining them as well as their generating functions. A combinatorial interpretation directly on derangements of the famous relation on derangement numbers $d_{n}=n d_{n-1}+(-1)^{n}$ will be given.


## 1. Introduction

Euler (see [1] and [4]) introduced the difference table $\left(e_{n}^{k}\right)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$, where $e_{n}^{k}$ are defined by

$$
e_{n}^{n}=n!\text { and } e_{n}^{k-1}=e_{n}^{k}-e_{n-1}^{k-1} \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \text {, }
$$

without giving their combinatorial interpretation. In our previous paper [11], we studied these numbers, which generalize the derangement theory, through the study of $k$-successions. The first values of the numbers $e_{n}^{k}$ are given in the following table:

| $e_{n}^{k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=0$ | $k=0$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | $0!$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | $1!$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $2!$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $3!$ |  |  |
| 5 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 18 | $4!$ |  |
| 44 | 53 | 64 | 78 | 96 | $5!$ |  |

and their generating functions are defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E^{(k)}(u)=\sum_{n \geq 0} e_{n+k}^{k} \frac{u^{n}}{n!}=k!\frac{\exp (-u)}{(1-u)^{k+1}} \\
E(x, u)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} e_{n+k}^{k} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \frac{u^{n}}{n!}=\frac{\exp (-u)}{1-x-u}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The motivation of this paper is to study the numbers $d_{n}^{k}$ which are obtained from the numbers $e_{n}^{k}$ by dividing them by $k!$. It follows straightforwardly that their generating functions are defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
D^{(k)}(u) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} d_{n+k}^{k} \frac{u^{n}}{n!}=\frac{\exp (-u)}{(1-u)^{k+1}} \\
D(x, u) & =\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} d_{n+k}^{k} x^{k} \frac{u^{n}}{n!}=\frac{\exp (-u)}{1-x-u}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We then obtain the following table for some first values of the numbers $d_{n}^{k}$ :

| $d_{n}^{k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k=0$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $n=0$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 4 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 5 | 44 | 53 | 32 | 13 | 4 | 1 |

By a simple computation, we can find that the numbers $d_{n}^{k}$ satisfy the following recurrences:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{k}^{k}=1 \\
d_{n}^{k}=(n-1) d_{n-1}^{k}+(n-k-1) d_{n-2}^{k} \text { for } n>k \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The aims of this paper are to give combinatorial interpretations of these numbers. We will give a combinatorial bijection to the unexpected relation

$$
d_{n}^{k}+d_{n-2}^{k-1}=n d_{n-1}^{k}
$$

which is a generalization of the famous recurrence on derangement numbers (see, e.g., [2], [5], [14]):

$$
d_{n}=n d_{n-1}+(-1)^{n}
$$

The derangement case corresponds to $k=0$, if we set

$$
d_{-1}^{-1}=1 \text { and } d_{n-1}^{-1}+d_{n}^{-1}=0 d_{n}^{0}
$$

that is, $d_{n-1}^{-1}+d_{n}^{-1}=0$, then we obtain

$$
d_{n}^{-1}=(-1)^{n+1}
$$

Désarmenien [2], Remmel [12] and Wilf [16] each gave a combinatorial proof of this last relation with other objects which are in bijection with derangements, but never directly on derangements. Many authors (see, e.g., [3], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [15]) have studied in depth the numbers $d_{n}$. A bijective proof directly over derangements, or permutations without fixed points, for this last relation of derangement numbers will be given in a separate section. Let us denote by $[n]$ the interval $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$, and by $\sigma$ a permutation of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. In this paper, we will use the linear notation $\sigma=\sigma(1) \sigma(2) \cdots \sigma(n)$, as well as the notation of the decomposition into a product of disjoint cycles, to represent a permutation.

Definition 1.1. We say that an integer $i$ is a fixed point of a permutation $\sigma$ if $\sigma(i)=i$. We will denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(\sigma)$ the set of fixed points of the permutation $\sigma$.

Definition 1.2. We say that a permutation $\sigma$ is a $k$-fixed-points-permutation if for all integers $i$ in the interval $[k], \sigma^{p}(i) \notin[k] \backslash\{i\}$ for all integers $p$ and $\operatorname{Fix}(\sigma) \subseteq[k]$.

We will denote by $D_{n}^{k}$ the set of $k$-fixed-points-permutations of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Example 1.3. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}^{0}=\{ \}, D_{1}^{1}=\{1\} \\
& D_{2}^{0}=D_{2}^{1}=\{21\}, D_{2}^{2}=\{12\} \\
& D_{3}^{0}=\{231,312\}, D_{3}^{1}=\{132,231,312\}, D_{3}^{2}=\{132,312\}, D_{3}^{3}=\{123\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.4. The permutation $12 \cdots k$ is the only $k$-fixed-points-permutation of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.

## 2. Numbers $d_{n}^{k}$

### 2.1. First Relation for the Numbers $d_{n}^{k}$

Theorem 2.1. For $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$
d_{n}^{k}=(n-1) d_{n-1}^{k}+(n-k-1) d_{n-2}^{k} .
$$

To prove this theorem, let us consider the following definition.
Definition 2.2. Let the $\operatorname{map} \varphi: D_{n}^{k} \rightarrow[n-1] \times D_{n-1}^{k} \cup[n-k-1] \times D_{n-2}^{k}$, which associates to each permutation $\sigma$ a pair $\left(m, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\sigma)$, be defined as follows:

1. If the integer $n$ is in a cycle of length greater than or equal to 3 , or the length of the cycle which contains the integer $n$ is equal to 2 and $\sigma(n) \leq k$, then the integer $m$ is equal to $\sigma^{-1}(n)$ and the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by removing the integer $n$ from his cycle. (Note that the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is indeed an element of the set $D_{n-1}^{k}$.)
2. If the length of the cycle which contains the integer $n$ is equal to 2 and $\sigma(n)>k$, then the integer $m$ is equal to $\sigma(n)$ and the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by removing the cycle $(\sigma(n), n)$ and then decreasing by 1 all integers between $\sigma(n)+1$ and $n-1$ in each cycle. (Note that the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is indeed an element of the set $D_{n-2}^{k}$.)

Remark 2.3. If the integer $n$ is greater than $k$ and $\sigma \in D_{n}^{k}$, then $\sigma^{\prime}(n) \neq n$.
Proposition 2.4. The map $\varphi$ is bijective.

Proof. Notice that a pair $\left(m, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ in the image $\varphi\left(D_{n}^{k}\right)$ is contained either in the set of all pairs of $[n-1] \times D_{n-1}^{k}$ if the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length greater than 2 or equal to 2 and $\sigma^{\prime}(n) \leq k$, or in the set of all pairs of $[n-k-1] \times D_{n-2}^{k}$ if the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length equal to 2 and $\sigma^{\prime}(n)>k$. Define a map $\tilde{\varphi}:[n-1] \times D_{n-1}^{k} \cup[n-k-1] \times D_{n-2}^{k} \rightarrow D_{n}^{k}$ so that the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}=\tilde{\varphi}(m, \sigma)$ is obtained as follows:

- either by inserting the integer $n$ in a cycle of the permutation $\sigma$ after the integer $m \in[n-1]$ if $\sigma$ is an element of the set $D_{n-1}^{k}$. In such case, the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length greater to 2 or in a transposition and $\sigma(n) \leq k$.
- or by creating the transposition $(m, n)$ with $k<m \leq n-2$ and then increasing by 1 all integers between $m$ and $n-2$ in each cycle of the permutation $\sigma$ if the permutation $\sigma$ is an element of the set $D_{n-2}^{k}$. In such case, the integer $n$ is in a transposition and $\sigma(n)>k$.

The map $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the inverse of the map $\varphi$.
Corollary 2.5. The number $d_{n}^{k}$ equals the cardinality of the set of $k$-fixed-points-permutations in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$.

Proposition 2.6. For all integers $k$, we have $d_{k}^{k}=1$.

Proof. The permutation $12 \ldots k$ is the only $k$-fixed-points permutation of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.

### 2.2. Second Relation for the Numbers $d_{n}^{k}$

Another relation satisfied by the numbers $d_{n}^{k}$ can be easily deduced from the generating function, but we will give its combinatorial interpretation.

Definition 2.7. Let the map $\vartheta: D_{n-1}^{k-1} \cup D_{n}^{k-1} \rightarrow[k] \times D_{n}^{k}$, which associates to a permutation $\sigma$ a pair $\left(m, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\vartheta(\sigma)$, be defined as below:

1. If $\sigma \in D_{n-1}^{k-1}$, then the integer $m$ is equal to $k$ and the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by creating the cycle $(k)$ and then increasing by 1 all integers greater than or equal to $k$ in each cycle of the permutation $\sigma$.
2. If $\sigma \in D_{n}^{k-1}$, then the integer $m$ is equal to the smallest integer in the cycle that contains the integer $k$, and the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by removing the word $k \sigma(k) \cdots \sigma^{-1}(m)$ from that cycle and then creating the cycle $\left(k \sigma(k) \cdots \sigma^{-1}(m)\right)$.

Proposition 2.8. The map $\vartheta$ is a bijection.

Proof. The map $\vartheta$ is injective. It suffices to show that $\vartheta$ is surjective. Let us look at various cases of the pair $\left(m, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$.

1. If $m=k$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(k)=k$, then we define the permutation $\sigma$ by deleting the cycle $(k)$ and then decreasing by 1 all integers greater than $k$ in each cycle. It follows straightforwardly that the permutation $\sigma$ is an element of the set $D_{n-1}^{k-1}$.
2. If $m=k$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(k) \neq k$, then $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\sigma \in D_{n}^{k-1}$.
3. If $m \neq k$, then the permutation $\sigma$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ by removing the cycle which contains $k$ and then inserting the word $k \sigma^{\prime}(k) \sigma^{\prime 2}(k) \cdots$ in the cycle which contains the integer $m$ just before the integer $\sigma^{\prime-1}(m)$. The permutation $\sigma$ is indeed an element of the set $D_{n}^{k-1}$.

It is impossible by construction of the map $\vartheta$ that $m=k$ and the integer $k$ is in the same cycle as an integer smaller than $k$.
Theorem 2.9. For all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$
k d_{n}^{k}=d_{n-1}^{k-1}+d_{n}^{k-1} .
$$

Proof. By the bijection $\vartheta$, we have

$$
\# D_{n-1}^{k-1}+\# D_{n}^{k-1}=\#[k] \times D_{n}^{k}
$$

that is,

$$
k d_{n}^{k}=d_{n-1}^{k-1}+d_{n}^{k-1} .
$$

### 2.3. Third Relation for the Numbers $d_{n}^{k}$

The following unexpected relation is a generalization of the famous relation on derangement numbers and we will give a bijective proof of it.

Theorem 2.10. For all integers $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, one has

$$
n d_{n-1}^{k}=d_{n}^{k}+d_{n-2}^{k-1} .
$$

Proof. Let us consider the map $\varsigma:[n] \times D_{n-1}^{k} \rightarrow D_{n}^{k} \cup D_{n-2}^{k-1}$, which associates to a pair ( $m, \sigma$ ) a permutation $\sigma^{\prime}=\varsigma((m, \sigma))$, defined in the following way:

1. If $m<n$, then the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by inserting the integer $n$ in the cycle which contains $m$ just before the integer $m$ itself. The permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is indeed an element of the set $D_{n}^{k}$.
2. If $m=n$ and $\sigma(1) \neq 1$, then the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}=\varsigma((n, \sigma))$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by removing the integer $\sigma(1)$ and then creating the cycle ( $n \sigma(1)$ ). The permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is indeed an element of the set $D_{n}^{k}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(n)>k$.
3. If $m=n$ and $\sigma(1)=1$, then the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}=\varsigma((n, \sigma))$ is obtained from the permutation $\sigma$ by removing the cycle (1) and then by decreasing by 1 all integers in each cycle. It follows straightforwardly that the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is an element of the set $D_{n-2}^{k}$.

It is clear that the map $\varsigma$ is injective. Hence, to show it is bijective, it suffices to show that $\varsigma$ is surjective. Let us look at the various cases of the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$.

1. If the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is an element of the set $D_{n}^{k}$ and the cycle that contains $n$ is different from the transposition ( $n \quad \sigma^{\prime}(n)$ ) where $\sigma^{\prime}(n)>k$, then the pair $(m, \sigma)$ is defined by $m=\sigma^{\prime-1}(n)$, and the permutation $\sigma$ is obtained by removing the integer $n$ from the cycle containing it.
2. If the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is an element of the set $D_{n}^{k}$ and the c ycle that contains $n$ is a transposition $\left(n \quad \sigma^{\prime}(n)\right)$ where $\sigma^{\prime}(n)>k$, then the pair $(m, \sigma)$ is defined by $m=n$ and the permutation $\sigma$ is obtained by removing the cycle ( $n \quad \sigma^{\prime}(n)$ ) and inserting the integer $\sigma^{\prime}(n)$ in the cycle that contains the integer 1 just after 1.
3. If the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ is an element of the set $D_{n-2}^{k-1}$, then the pair $(m, \sigma)$ is defined by $m=n$ and the permutation $\sigma$ is obtained by increasing by 1 all the integers in each cycle of the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}$ and then creating the new cycle (1).

Remark 2.11. Theorems 2.1 and 2.9 together imply Theorem 2.10 as follows. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(n, k)=n d_{n-1}^{k}-d_{n}^{k}-d_{n-2}^{k-1} \\
& G(n, k)=k d_{n}^{k}-d_{n-1}^{k-1}-d_{n}^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the identity in Theorem 2.1 can be rewritten as

$$
F(n, k)+F(n-1, k)=G(n-2, k) .
$$

So, since $G(n, k)=0$ for all $n \geq k \geq 0$, by Theorem 2.9 , we get

$$
F(n, k)=(-1)^{n-k-1} F_{k+1}^{k}=0 \text { (from Theorem 2.1) for all } n \geq k \geq 0
$$

It seems worth considering whether or not the sieve method can also be generalized using the above relation between F and G .

## 3. The Famous $d_{n}=n d_{n-1}+(-1)^{n}$

Notice that the set $D_{n}$ of derangements or permutations without fixed points is equal to the set $D_{n}^{0}$.

Definition 3.1. Let us define the critical derangement $\Delta_{n}=(12)(34) \cdots(n-1 \quad n)$ if the integer $n$ is even, and the sets

- $E_{n}=\left\{\Delta_{n}\right\}$ if the integer $n$ is even, and $E_{n}=\emptyset$ otherwise,
- $F_{n}=\left\{\left(n, \Delta_{n-1}\right)\right\}$ if the integer $n$ is odd, and $F_{n}=\emptyset$ otherwise.

Let $\tau:[n] \times D_{n-1} \backslash F_{n} \rightarrow D_{n} \backslash E_{n}$ be the map which associates to a pair $(i, \delta)$ a permutation $\delta^{\prime}=\tau((i, \delta))$ defined as follows:

1. If the integer $i<n$, then the permutation $\delta^{\prime}=\delta\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & n\end{array}\right)$. In other words, the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\delta$ by inserting the integer $n$ in the cycle that contains the integer $i$ just after the integer $i$.
2. If the integer $i=n$, then let $p$ be the smallest integer such that the transpositions $(12),(34), \ldots,(2 p-12 p)$ are cycles of the permutation $\delta$ and the transposition $(2 p+1 \quad 2 p+2)$ is not.
(a) If $\delta(2 p+1)=2 p+2$, then the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\delta$ by removing the integer $2 p+1$ from the cycle that contains it, and then creating the new cycle $(2 p+1 \quad n)$.
(b) If $\delta(2 p+1) \neq 2 p+2$, then we have to distinguish the following two cases:
i. If the length of the cycle that contains the integer $2 p+1$ is equal to 2 , then the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\delta$ by removing the cycle $(2 p+1 \delta(2 p+1))$, and then inserting the integer $2 p+1$ in the cycle that contains the integer $2 p+2$ just before the integer $2 p+2$ and creating the new cycle $(\delta(2 p+1) \quad n)$.
ii. If the length of the cycle that contains the integer $2 p+1$ is greater than 2 , then the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the permutation $\delta$ by removing the integer $\delta(2 p+1)$ and then creating the new cycle $(\delta(2 p+1) \quad n)$.

Proposition 3.2. The map $\tau$ is bijective.

Proof. Notice that the only pair $(i, \delta)$ which is not defined by the map $\tau$ is the pair ( $n, \Delta_{n-1}$ ) if the integer $n-1$ is even. Notice also that the image $\tau\left([n-1] \times D_{n-1}\right)$ is contained in the set of all derangements $D_{n}$ where the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length greater than or equal to 3 , and the image $\tau\left(\{n\} \times D_{n-1} \backslash F_{n}\right)$ is contained in the set of all derangements $D_{n}$ where the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length 2 . So we need only show that there exists a map $\zeta$ such that

- associates an element of $[n-1] \times D_{n-1}$ with every derangement of $D_{n}$ in which the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length greater or equal to 3 .
- associates an element of $\{n\} \times D_{n-1} \backslash F_{n}$ with every derangement of $D_{n}$ in which the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length 2 .
- is the inverse of $\tau$.

It is straightforward to verify that the map $\zeta$ is defined as follows:

1. If the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length greater or equal to 3 , then $\zeta(\delta)$ is the pair $\left(i, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ where $i=\delta^{-1}(n)$, and the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained by removing the integer $n$ from the derangement $\delta$. The permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is a derangement of $D_{n-1}$ and the integer $i$ is smaller than $n$.
2. If the integer $n$ lies in a cycle of length 2 , then let $p$ the smallest nonnegative integer such that (12), (34),.,$(2 p-1 \quad 2 p)$ are cycles of the derangement $\delta$ while the transposition ( $2 p+1 \quad 2 p+2$ ) is not.
(a) If $\delta(n)=2 p+1$, then $\zeta(\delta)$ is the pair $\left(n, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ where the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the derangement $\delta$ by deleting the cycle ( $n 2 p+1$ ) and then inserting the integer $2 p+1$ in the cycle which contains the integer $2 p+2$ just before the integer $2 p+2$. In other words, we have $\delta=(12)(34) \cdots(2 p-1 \quad 2 p)(2 p+1 \quad n)(2 p+$ $2 \ldots) \cdots$ and $\delta^{\prime}=(12)(34) \cdots(2 p-1 \quad 2 p)(2 p+1 \quad 2 p+2 \ldots) \cdots$.
(b) If $\delta(2 p+1) \neq n$, then we have to distinguish the following two cases:
i. If $\delta(2 p+1) \neq 2 p+2$, then $\zeta(\delta)$ is the pair $\left(n, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ where the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the derangement $\delta$ by deleting the cycle $(n \delta(n))$ and then inserting the integer $\delta(n)$ in the cycle which contains the integer $2 p+1$ just before the integer $2 p+1$. In other words, we have $\delta=(12)(34) \cdots(2 p-$ $12 p)(2 p+1 \ldots) \cdots(\delta(n) \quad n) \cdots$ and $\delta^{\prime}=(12)(34) \cdots(2 p-1 \quad 2 p)(2 p+$ $1 \ldots \delta(n)) \cdots$.
ii. If $\delta(2 p+1)=2 p+2$, then $\zeta(\delta)$ is the pair $\left(n, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ where the permutation $\delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from the derangement $\delta$ by deleting the cycle ( $n \quad \delta(n)$ ) and the integer $2 p+1$ and then creating the new cycle $(2 p+1 \quad \delta(n))$. In other words, we have $\delta=(12)(34) \cdots(2 p-1 \quad 2 p)(2 p+1 \quad 2 p+2 \cdots) \cdots(\delta(n) \quad n) \cdots$ and $\delta^{\prime}=(12)(34) \cdots(2 p-1 \quad 2 p)(2 p+1 \quad \delta(n))(2 p+2 \ldots) \cdots$.

Notice that the derangement $\Delta_{n}$, if the integer $n$ is even, is the only derangement which is not defined by the map $\zeta$.

Corollary 3.3. If the integer $n$ is even, then we have $d_{n}=n d_{n-1}+1$. If the integer $n$ is odd, then we have $d_{n}+1=n d_{n-1}$.
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