## MULTIPLE SET ADDITION IN $\mathbb{Z}_p$

Tomasz Schoen<sup>1</sup>

Department of Discrete Mathematics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland schoen@amu.edu.pl

Received: 2/22/03, Revised: 10/6/03, Accepted: 11/1/03, Published: 11/5/03

#### Abstract

It is shown that there exists an absolute constant H such that for every h > H, every prime p, and every set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $10 \leq |A| \leq p(\ln h)^{1/2}/(9h^{9/4})$  and  $|hA| \leq h^{3/2}|A|/(8(\ln h)^{1/2})$ , the set A is contained in an arithmetic progression modulo p of cardinality  $\max_{1\leq j\leq h-1}\frac{|hA|-P_j(|A|)}{h-j}+1$ , where  $P_j(n) = \frac{(j+1)j}{2}n - j^2 + 1$ . This result can be viewed as a generalization of Freiman's "2.4-theorem".

## 1. Introduction

For a non-empty subset A of an additively written group and an integer  $h \ge 2$  the *h*-sumset of A is defined as

$$hA = \{a_1 + \dots + a_h : a_1, \dots, a_h \in A\};$$

and by a sumset we mean a 2-sumset of A. The following well-known "2.4-theorem" of Freiman [2] describes the structure of sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  with small sumsets.

**Theorem 1 (Freiman).** Let A,  $|A| \leq p/35$ , be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some prime p. If

$$|2A| \le 2.4|A| - 3,$$

then A is contained in an arithmetic progression of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with |2A| - |A| + 1 terms.

Freiman's proof goes roughly as follows. Since A has a small sumset, the characteristic function of A has a large non-zero Fourier coefficient. Hence A is dense in some arithmetic progression  $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  of length (p-1)/2. The set  $A' = A \cap P$  is isomorphic (in the sense of Freiman) to a subset of integers, hence one can apply to A' Freiman's additive theorem for integers, and infer that A' is contained in an arithmetic progression of cardinality |2A'| - |A'| + 1. As a last step one shows that A' = A, otherwise we would have |2A| > 2.4|A| - 3.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Research}$  partially supported by KBN Grant 2 P03A 007 24

In this note we generalize Freiman's theorem to h summands, provided h is large. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 2.** There is an absolute constant H such that for every h > H, every prime p, and every  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $10 \le |A| \le \frac{p(\ln h)^{1/2}}{9h^{9/4}}$  and

$$|hA| \le \frac{h^{3/2}}{8(\ln h)^{1/2}}|A|,$$

the set A is contained in an arithmetic progression of cardinality  $\max_{1 \le j \le h-1} \frac{|hA| - P_j(|A|)}{h-j} + 1$ , where  $P_j(n) = \frac{(j+1)j}{2}n - j^2 + 1$ .

Our approach follows the main idea of Freiman's proof. First we observe that the absolute value of some Fourier coefficient of the characteristic function of A is very close to |A|. We use this fact to show the existence of a large subset A' of A contained in an arithmetic progression of cardinality roughly  $p(\ln h/h)^{1/2}$ . Then we apply a result of Lev (Theorem 3 below) to A' and some  $h_0 > (h/\ln h)^{1/2}$  to prove that A' is, in fact, contained in a much shorter arithmetic progression. Finally we employ a well-known theorem of Cauchy-Davenport to infer that A' = A.

In order for our method to work we have to impose some restrictions on the sizes of A and hA. Thus, we assume that h > H, where the value of an absolute constant H can be explicitly computed. In our result Freiman's constant "2.4" is replaced by  ${}^{*}h^{3/2}/4(\ln h)^{1/2}$ , although one can expect that, as in Theorem 3, the assertion holds for each A with  $|hA| \leq \frac{(h+1)h}{2}|A| - h^2$ .

#### 2. Auxiliary results

In this section we recall some theorems and definitions used in the proof of our main result. First we state a consequence of [3, Corollary 1]. Here and below L(A) denotes the cardinality of the shortest arithmetic progression containing A.

**Theorem 3 (Lev [3]).** Let  $h \ge 2$  and A be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|A| \ge 2$  such that  $|hA| \le \frac{(h+1)h}{2}|A| - h^2$ . Then

$$L(A) \le \max_{1\le j\le h-1} \frac{|hA| - P_j(|A|)}{h-j} + 1,$$

where  $P_j(n) = \frac{(j+1)j}{2}n - j^2 + 1$ .

Remark 1. The estimate of Theorem 3 is tight, as shows the following example given in [3]. Let  $\ell \ge n-1$ ,  $A = \{0, \ldots, n-2\} \cup \{\ell\}$  and put  $k = \lceil \frac{\ell-1}{n-2} \rceil - 1$ . If  $h > \frac{\ell-1}{n-2}$  then it is

easily seen that  $|hA| = P_k(n) + (h-k)l < P_h(n)$  and

$$\max_{1 \le j \le h-1} \frac{|hA| - P_j(n)}{h-j} + 1 = \ell + 1 = L(A),$$

maximum is attained for j = k.

Remark 2. Note that under the assumptions of Theorem 3 we have

$$L(A) \le \frac{2|hA|}{h} + 1.$$

Indeed, suppose that the maximum is attained for  $j_0$ . If  $j_0 \leq h/2$  then the inequality follows immediately. Assume that  $j_0 > h/2$  and

$$\frac{|hA| - P_{j_0}(|A|)}{h - j_0} > \frac{2|hA|}{h}.$$

Then we have

$$|hA| > \frac{h}{2j_0 - h} P_{j_0}(|A|) \ge \min_{h/2 < j \le h} \frac{h}{2j - h} P_j(|A|)$$

Since  $\frac{h}{2j-h}P_j(n)$  is a strictly decreasing function of j it follows that

$$|hA| > P_h(|A|) > \frac{(h+1)h}{2}|A| - h^2$$

contradicting the assumptions of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 4 (Cauchy-Davenport).** Let p be a prime number and let A be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then, for every integer  $h \geq 2$ ,

$$|hA| \ge \min(p, h|A| - h + 1).$$

We will also need the following straightforward consequence of Theorem 4.

**Corollary 1.** Let p be a prime number and let A be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that |hA| < p. Then, for every integers  $h \ge h_1 \ge 2$ ,

$$|h_1A| < \lfloor h/h_1 \rfloor^{-1} |hA| + 1.$$

*Proof.* By Cauchy-Davenport theorem, we have

$$|hA| \ge |\lfloor h/h_1 \rfloor (h_1A)| \ge \lfloor h/h_1 \rfloor |h_1A| - \lfloor h/h_1 \rfloor + 1.$$

Let G and H be abelian groups and let  $A \subseteq G$  and  $B \subseteq H$ . We say that a mapping  $\phi: A \to B$  is a Freiman's isomorphism of order h (briefly,  $F_h$ -isomorphism), if for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_h, a'_1, \ldots, a'_h \in A$  the equation

$$a_1 + \dots + a_h = a'_1 + \dots + a'_h$$

holds if and only if

$$\phi(a_1) + \dots + \phi(a_h) = \phi(a'_1) + \dots + \phi(a'_h)$$

holds. In particular  $F_h$ -isomorphisms preserve the size of h-sumsets.

# 3. Proof of the main theorem

For a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  let  $\{\hat{S}(r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p}$  denote the Fourier coefficients of the indicator function of S  $(\hat{S}(r) = \sum_{s \in S} e^{2\pi i r s/p})$ . It is easy to see that  $|\hat{S}(0)| = |S|$ . We recall also Parseval formula

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |\hat{S}(r)|^2 = |S|p.$$

By the definition all sums  $a_1 + \cdots + a_h$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_h \in A$  belong to the set hA, hence

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \hat{A}(r)^h (\hat{hA})(-r) = |A|^h p$$

and

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \hat{A}(r)^h (\hat{hA})(-r) = |A|^h p - |A|^h |hA| \ge |A|^h p/2.$$

Put  $M = \max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)|$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Parseval formula we have

$$\begin{split} |A|^{h}p/2 &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} |\hat{A}(r)|^{h} |(\hat{hA})(-r)| \leq M^{h-1} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} |\hat{A}(r)| |(\hat{hA})(-r)| \\ &\leq M^{h-1} \Big( \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} |\hat{A}(r)|^{2} \Big)^{1/2} \Big( \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} |(\hat{hA})(-r)|^{2} \Big)^{1/2} \\ &< M^{h-1} |A|^{1/2} |hA|^{1/2} p. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$M > \left(\frac{|A|}{4|hA|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(h-1)}} |A| \ge (h^{-3/2})^{\frac{1}{2(h-1)}} |A|$$
  
=  $\exp\left(-\frac{3}{4}\frac{\ln h}{h-1}\right) |A| > \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\frac{\ln h}{h-1}\right) |A|$   
>  $\left(1 - \frac{\ln h}{h}\right) |A|.$  (1)

Let  $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$  be an element with  $|\hat{A}(r_0)| = M$ . Put  $\gamma = \arg \hat{A}(r_0)$ ,  $\alpha = \arccos \left(1 - \frac{2\ln h}{h}\right)$ , so that  $\alpha \leq \pi \left(\frac{\ln h}{2h}\right)^{1/2}$ . Define

$$B = \left\{ r_0 a \colon a \in A \text{ and } d\left(\gamma - 2\pi \frac{(r_0 a)_p}{p}\right) \le \alpha \right\},\$$

where  $(r_0 a)_p$  stands for the least non-negative integer congruent to  $r_0 a$  modulo p and d(x) denotes the distance of x from the nearest number of the form  $2\pi k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that

$$|\hat{A}(r_0)| \le |B| + (\cos \alpha)(|A| - |B|),$$

and by (1)

$$|B| \ge \frac{1 - \frac{\ln h}{h} - \cos \alpha}{1 - \cos \alpha} |A| = |A|/2.$$
 (2)

Observe that B is  $F_{h_0}$ -isomorphic to a subset of integers, where  $h_0 = \lfloor 2\pi/\alpha \rfloor$ . Then  $h_0 \ge 2 \left(\frac{h}{\ln h}\right)^{1/2}$  and by Corollary 1, (1), and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |h_0 B| &\leq \frac{|hB|}{|h/h_0|} + 1 \leq \frac{2h_0|hA|}{h} + 1 \leq \frac{h_0 h^{1/2}|A|}{4(\ln h)^{1/2}} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{h_0 h^{1/2}|B|}{2(\ln h)^{1/2}} + 1 \leq \frac{h_0^2}{4}|B| + 1 \\ &< \frac{(h_0 + 1)h_0|B|}{2} - h_0^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can apply Theorem 3 to the set B, so that B is contained in an arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of size

$$\max_{1 \le j \le h_0 - 1} \frac{|h_0 B| - P_j(|B|)}{h_0 - j} + 1 \le \frac{2|h_0 B|}{h_0} + 1 \le \frac{2|hB|}{h_0 \lfloor h/h_0 \rfloor} + 2 \\
\le \frac{4|hA|}{h} + 2 \le \frac{h^{1/2}|A|}{2(\ln h)^{1/2}} + 2 \\
\le \frac{p}{2h^{7/4}}.$$
(3)

Let  $A_1$  be any subset of A of the maximum cardinality, contained in an arithmetic progression of cardinality  $\lfloor p/h \rfloor$ . From (2) and (3) it follows that  $|A_1| \ge |A|/2$ . An argument analogous to that used in (3) shows that  $A_1$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of size at most  $p/(2h^{7/4})$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $A_1$ is a subset of the arithmetic progression with the common difference 1 centered at 0 that means  $||a|| \le p/(4h^{7/4})$  for every  $a \in A_1$ , where  $||x|| = \min((x)_p, (p-x)_p)$ . If  $a_0 \in A \setminus A_1$ , then

$$||ka_0|| \le \frac{p}{2h},$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let k be the smallest number with this property. Observe that if  $k \leq h^{3/4}$ , then for every  $a \in A_1$ 

$$||ka|| \le k||a|| \le h^{3/4} \frac{p}{4h^{7/4}} < \frac{p}{2h},$$

so the set  $A_1 \cup \{a_0\}$  is contained in an arithmetic progression of size at most p/h and the common difference  $k^{-1}$  (the multiplicative inverse of k in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ), contradicting the maximality of  $A_1$ . Hence we may assume that  $k \ge h^{3/4}$ . Put  $\ell = \lfloor h^{3/4} \rfloor$ . Then, the elements  $a_0, 2a_0, \ldots, \ell a_0$  are well-spaced:

$$||ia_0 - ja_0|| = ||(i - j)a_0|| \ge \frac{p}{2h},$$

for all  $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Consequently, the sets

$$\ell A_1, a_0 + (\ell - 1)A_1, \dots, (\ell - 1)a_0 + A_1$$
(4)

are pairwise disjoint. Indeed, if  $(ia_0 + (\ell - i)A_1) \cap (ja_0 + (\ell - j)A_1) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i \neq j, i, j \in \{0, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$ , then there are elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_{\ell-i}, b_1, \ldots, b_{\ell-j} \in A_1$  such that

$$ia_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{\ell-i} = ja_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_{\ell-i},$$

so that we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \|ja_0 - ia_0\| &= \|a_1 + \dots + a_{\ell-i} - b_1 - \dots - b_{\ell-j}\| \\ &\leq \|a_1\| + \dots + \|a_{\ell-i}\| + \|b_1\| + \dots + \|b_{\ell-j}\| \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2h}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by (4) and Theorem 4

$$|hA| \geq |\ell A_1| + |a_0 + (\ell - 1)A_1| + \dots + |(\ell - 1)a_0 + A_1|$$
  
 
$$\geq (\ell |A_1| - \ell + 1) + ((\ell - 1)|A_1| - \ell + 2) + \dots + |A_1|$$
  
 
$$> \ell^2 |A|/4 - \ell^2/2 > |hA|,$$

a contradiction. Hence A is contained in an arithmetic progression of cardinality  $\lfloor p/h \rfloor$ in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and is  $F_h$ -isomorphic to a subset of integers. Applying Theorem 3 we infer that A is contained in an arithmetic progression of size  $\max_{1 \le j \le h-1} \frac{|hA| - P_j(|A|)}{h-j} + 1$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Remark. Using a rectification principle from [1], one can prove that the bound for L(A) similar to that given in Theorem 3 holds also for  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ , provided we put much more restrictive bounds on the size of A.

# References

- Y. BILU, V. F. LEV AND I. Z. RUZSA, Rectification principles in additive number theory, Discrete Computational Geometry 19 (1998), 343–353.
- [2] G. FREIMAN, "Foundations of a structural theory of set addition," Translations of Math. Monographs 37 (1973), American Math. Soc., Providence.
- [3] V. F. LEV, Structure theorem for multiple addition and the Frobenius problem, Journal of Number Theory 58 (1996), 79–88.