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#### Abstract

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ be relatively prime, positive integers arranged in increasing order. Let $\Gamma^{\star}$ denote the positive integers in the set $\left\{a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{k} x_{k}: x_{j} \geq 0\right\}$. Let $$
\mathcal{S}^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \doteq\left\{n \notin \Gamma^{\star}: n+\Gamma^{\star} \subseteq \Gamma^{\star}\right\}
$$


We determine $\mathcal{S}^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ in the case where the $a_{j}$ 's are in arithmetic progression. In particular, this determines $g\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ in this particular case.

1. Introduction

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ be relatively prime, positive integers arranged in increasing order. Let $\Gamma$ denote $\left\{a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{k} x_{k}: x_{j} \geq 0\right\}$, and let $\Gamma^{\star} \doteq \Gamma \backslash\{0\}$. It is well known and easy to show that $\Gamma^{c} \doteq I N \backslash \Gamma$ is a finite set. We use the classical notation $g\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ to denote the largest number in $\Gamma^{c}$. J.J. Sylvester [15] showed that $g\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1}-a_{2}$. In later years, the number of elements in $\Gamma^{c}$, denoted by $n\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, was also studied, and it was shown that $n\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1}-1\right)\left(a_{2}-1\right) / 2$. Another function related to this is the function $s\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ that denotes the sum of elements in $\Gamma^{c}$. Introduced in [4], it was shown that $s\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1}-1\right)\left(a_{2}-1\right)\left(2 a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1}-a_{2}-1\right) / 12$.

There is a neat formula for each of the functions $g$ and $n$ when the $a_{j}$ 's are in arithmetic progression $([1],[5],[9],[16])$, but other results obtained are mostly partial results ([2],[3],[6],[7],[10],[11], [12],[13],[14]) and often not as neat. Due to an obvious connection with making change given money of different denominations, this problem is also known as the Coin Exchange Problem.

## 2. Main Result

We study a variation of the Coin Exchange Problem in this note. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ the set of all $n \in \Gamma^{c}$ such that

$$
n+\Gamma^{\star} \subseteq \Gamma^{\star}
$$

and let $g^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ (respectively, $n^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ and $\left.s^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)\right)$ denote the least (respectively, the number and sum of) elements in $\mathcal{S}^{\star}$. Since $g\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ is the largest element in $S^{\star}$,

$$
g^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \leq g\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)
$$

and $n^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \geq 1$, with equality if and only if $g^{\star}=g$. This problem arises from looking at the generators for the Derivation modules of certain curves [8], and has been extensively studied.

For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq a_{1}-1$, let $m_{j}$ denote the least number in $\Gamma$ congruent to $j$ $\left(\bmod a_{1}\right)$. Then $m_{j}-a_{1}$ is the largest number in $\Gamma^{c}$ congruent to $j\left(\bmod a_{1}\right)$, and no number less than this in this residue class can be in $\mathcal{S}^{\star}$, for they would differ by a multiple of $a_{1}$, an element in $\Gamma^{\star}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{S}^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \subseteq\left\{m_{j}-a_{1}: 1 \leq j \leq a_{1}-1\right\},  \tag{1}\\
g^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \leq\left(\max _{1 \leq j \leq a_{1}-1} m_{j}\right)-a_{1}=g\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right),  \tag{2}\\
n^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \leq a_{1}-1 \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a_{1}-1} m_{j}-a_{1}\left(a_{1}-1\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j}-a_{1} \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left(m_{j}-a_{1}\right)+m_{i} \geq m_{j+i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq a_{1}-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall explicitly evaluate the set $\mathcal{S}^{\star}$, and as a consequence, the functions $g, g^{\star}$, $n^{\star}$ and $s^{\star}$, when the $a_{j}$ 's are in arithmetic progression. We write $a_{j}=a+(j-1) d$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, and assume $\operatorname{gcd}(a, d)=1$. In this case, we denote the functions $g, g^{\star}$, $n^{\star}$ and $s^{\star}$ by $g(a, d ; k), g^{\star}(a, d ; k), n^{\star}(a, d ; k)$ and $s^{\star}(a, d ; k)$, respectively. To determine $\mathcal{S}^{\star}(a, d ; k)$, we recall Lemma 2 from [16].

Lemma: For each $t, 1 \leq t \leq a-1$, the least integer in $\Gamma^{\star}$ congruent to $d t(\bmod a)$
is given by $a\left(1+\left[\frac{t-1}{k-1}\right]\right)+d t$.
Theorem: Let $a, d$ be relatively prime, positive integers, and let $k \geq 2$. If $a-1=$ $q(k-1)+r$, with $1 \leq r \leq k-1$, then

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\star}(a, d ; k)=\left\{a\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+d x: a-r \leq x \leq a-1\right\} .
$$

Proof: Fix $k \geq 2$. Throughout this proof, and elsewhere, by $x \bmod m$ we mean $x-x\left[\frac{x}{m}\right]$. By (1) and Lemma,

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\star}(a, d ; k) \subseteq\left\{a\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+d x: 1 \leq x \leq a-1\right\}
$$

From (5), $n=a\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+d x \in \mathcal{S}^{\star}$ if and only if for each $y$ with $1 \leq y \leq a-1$,
$a\left(1+\left[\frac{((x+y) \bmod a)-1}{k-1}\right]\right)+d((x+y) \bmod a) \leq\left\{a\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+d x\right\}+\left\{a\left(1+\left[\frac{y-1}{k-1}\right]\right)+d y\right\}$,
or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left[\frac{((x+y) \bmod a)-1}{k-1}\right]+d((x+y) \bmod a) \leq a\left\{\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+\left[\frac{y-1}{k-1}\right]\right\}+d(x+y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $2 \leq k \leq a-1$. Let $a-1=q(k-1)+r$, with $1 \leq r \leq k-1$. Unless $x=a-1$, $x+y \leq a-1$ for at least one $y$, for such a $y$, (6) reduces to proving the inequality

$$
\left[\frac{x+y-1}{k-1}\right] \leq\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+\left[\frac{y-1}{k-1}\right] .
$$

If we now write $x=q_{1}(k-1)+r_{1}, y=q_{2}(k-1)+r_{2}$, with $1 \leq r_{1}, r_{2} \leq k-1$, the reduced inequality above fails to hold precisely when $r_{1}+r_{2} \geq k$. Given $x$, and hence $r_{1}$, the choice $y=r_{2}=k-r_{1}$ will thus ensure that (6) fails to hold provided $x+y \leq a-1$. However, such a choice for $y$ is not possible precisely when $x \geq q(k-1)+1=a-r$, so that (6) always holds in only these cases. Finally, it is easy to verify that (6) holds if $x=a-1$. This shows $\mathcal{S}^{\star}=\left\{a\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]+d x: a-r \leq x \leq a-1\right\}$ if $2 \leq k \leq a-1$.

If $k \geq a,(6)$ reduces to $d((x+y) \bmod a) \leq d(x+y)$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}^{\star}=\{d x: 1 \leq x \leq a-1\}$, as claimed, since $r=a-1$ and $\left[\frac{x-1}{k-1}\right]=0$ in this case. This completes the proof.

Corollary: If $a, d$ be relatively prime, positive integers, $k \geq 2$, and $a-1=q(k-1)+r$, with $1 \leq r \leq k-1$, then

$$
g(a, d ; k)=a q+d(a-1)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\star}(a, d ; k)=a q+d(a-r), \\
n^{\star}(a, d ; k)=r,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
s^{\star}(a, d ; k)=a q r+\frac{1}{2} d r(2 a-r-1) .
$$
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