

# An Upper and Lower Solution Approach for a Generalized Thomas–Fermi Theory of Neutral Atoms

RAVI P. AGARWAL<sup>a,\*</sup> and DONAL O'REGAN<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida 32901-6975, USA; <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

(Received 8 February 2002)

This paper presents an upper and lower solution theory for boundary value problems modelled from the Thomas–Fermi equation subject to a boundary condition corresponding to the neutral atom with Bohr radius.

**Key words:** Boundary value problem, upper and lower solution, Thomas–Fermi theory, existence

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In 1927, L. H. Thomas and E. Fermi independently derived a boundary value problem for determining the electrical potential in an atom. Their analysis leads to the nonlinear second order differential equation

$$y'' = t^{-1/2}y^{3/2}.$$

The boundary conditions in investigating

(a) the neutral atom with Bohr radius  $a$  are given by

$$y(0) = 1, \quad ay'(a) = y(a);$$

(b) the ionized atom are given by

$$y(0) = 1, \quad y(a) = 0;$$

(c) the isolated neutral atom are given by

$$y(0) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y(x) = 0.$$

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: 321-674-8091; Fax: 321-674-7412; E-mail: agarwal@fit.edu

Almost all the papers in the literature (see [1, 4, 5, 7] and the references therein) discuss boundary condition (b) or (c). Only a handful of papers [2, 6] have discussed the boundary condition (a). This paper discusses boundary condition (a) and we present an upper and lower solution theory for such problems. In fact our theory applies to the more general equation (considered in [2])

$$y'' + \frac{b}{t}y = f(t, y), \quad 0 \leq b < 1,$$

and we discuss this also in Section 2.

## 2 EXISTENCE

Motivated by the Thomas–Fermi problem in Section 1 we begin by discussing the two point boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' = qf(t, y), & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = a_0 \\ ky'(a) = y(a), & k \geq a \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $a > 0$  is fixed. By an upper solution  $\beta$  to (2.1) we mean a function  $\beta \in C^1[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$  with

$$\begin{cases} \beta''(t) \leq q(t)f(t, \beta(t)), & 0 < t < a \\ \beta(0) \geq a_0 \\ k\beta'(a) \geq \beta(a) \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

and by a lower solution  $\alpha$  to (2.1) we mean a function  $\alpha \in C^1[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$  with

$$\begin{cases} \alpha''(t) \geq q(t)f(t, \alpha(t)), & 0 < t < a \\ \alpha(0) \leq a_0 \\ k\alpha'(a) \leq \alpha(a) \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

In our first existence result we will assume the following conditions are satisfied:

$$q \in C(0, a) \cap L^1[0, a] \text{ with } q > 0 \text{ on } (0, a) \quad (2.4)$$

$$f: [0, a] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ is continuous} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists } \alpha, \beta \text{ respectively lower and upper} \\ \text{solutions of (2.1) with } \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t) \text{ for } t \in [0, a]. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

**THEOREM 2.1** *Suppose (2.4)–(2.6) hold. Then (2.1) has a solution  $y \in C^1[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$  with  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ .*

*Proof* To show (2.1) has a solution we consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' - y = f^*(t, y), & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = a_0 \\ ky'(a) = y(a) \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$f^*(t, y) = \begin{cases} q(t)[f(t, \beta(t)) + r(y - \beta(t))] - \beta(t), & y > \beta(t) \\ q(t)f(t, y) - y, & \alpha(t) \leq y \leq \beta(t) \\ q(t)[f(t, \alpha(t)) + r(y - \alpha(t))] - \alpha(t), & y < \alpha(t) \end{cases}$$

and  $r: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  is the radial retraction defined by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x, & |x| \leq 1 \\ \frac{x}{|x|}, & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Solving (2.9) is equivalent to finding a fixed point of the operator  $N$  where  $N: C[0, a] \rightarrow C[0, a]$  is given by (here  $y \in C[0, 1]$ )

$$Ny(t) = Ae^t + (a_0 - A)e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s f^*(s, y(s)) ds + \frac{1}{2}e^t \int_0^t e^{-s} f^*(s, y(s)) ds$$

where

$$A = \frac{a_0(1+k)e^{-a} - (1/2)(k+1)e^{-a} \int_0^a e^s f^*(s, y(s)) ds}{(1+k)e^{-a} + (k-1)e^a} - \frac{(1/2)(k-1)e^a \int_0^a e^{-s} f^*(s, y(s)) ds}{(1+k)e^{-a} + (k-1)e^a}.$$

*Remark 2.1* Note  $(1+k)e^{-a} \neq (1-k)e^a$ . To see this notes if  $(1+k)e^{-a} = (1-k)e^a$  then with  $u(t) = e^t - e^{-t}$  we have  $u'' - u = 0$ ,  $u(0) = 0$  and  $ku'(a) = u(a)$ , so  $u \equiv 0$ , a contradiction.

A standard argument [7] guarantees that  $N: C[0, a] \rightarrow C[0, a]$  is continuous and compact. Schauder's fixed point theorem guarantees that  $N$  has a fixed point  $y$ . Thus  $y$  is a solution of (2.7).

To finish the proof it remains to show  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ . Once this is shown then  $y$  is a solution of (2.1) and we are finished. First we show  $y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ . If this is not true then  $y - \beta$  attains a positive absolute maximum somewhere on  $[0, a]$ , say at  $t_0$ . Note  $t_0 \neq 0$  since  $\beta(0) \geq a_0 = y(0)$ . Consider first the case  $t_0 \in (0, a)$ . Then  $(y - \beta)'(t_0) = 0$  and  $(y - \beta)''(t_0) \leq 0$ . Also since  $y(t_0) > \beta(t_0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (y - \beta)''(t_0) &= f^*(t_0, y(t_0)) + y(t_0) - \beta''(t_0) \geq f^*(t_0, y(t_0)) + y(t_0) - q(t_0)f(t_0, \beta(t_0)) \\ &= \{q(t_0)[f(t_0, \beta(t_0)) + r(y(t_0) - \beta(t_0))] - \beta(t_0)\} + y(t_0) + q(t_0)f(t_0, \beta(t_0)) \\ &= q(t_0)r(y(t_0) - \beta(t_0)) + (y(t_0) - \beta(t_0)) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus  $t_0 \notin (0, a)$ . It remains to discuss the case  $t_0 = a$ . Assume  $t_0 = a$ . Then  $(y - \beta)'(a) \geq 0$ . Also since  $y(0) - \beta(0) \leq 0$  there exists  $\delta$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < a$  with  $y(t) - \beta(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (\delta, a)$  and  $y(\delta) - \beta(\delta) = 0$ . In addition for  $t \in (\delta, a)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (y - \beta)''(t) &= f^*(t, y(t)) + y(t) - \beta''(t) \geq f^*(t, y(t)) + y(t) - q(t)f(t, \beta(t)) \\ &= q(t)r(y(t) - \beta(t)) + (y(t) - \beta(t)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $y - \beta$  is convex on  $(\delta, a)$  and so we have [3 pp. 134],

$$y'(a) - \beta'(a) \geq \frac{[y(a) - \beta(a)] - [y(\delta) - \beta(\delta)]}{a - \delta} = \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a - \delta}. \quad (2.8)$$

We break the proof into two cases, namely  $k > a$  and  $k = a$ .

*Case (A)*  $k > a$ .

Then  $ky'(a) = y(a)$  and  $k\beta'(a) \geq \beta(a)$  together with (2.8) implies

$$\frac{1}{k}[y(a) - \beta(a)] \geq y'(a) - \beta'(a) \geq \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a - \delta} \geq \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a},$$

so  $k \leq a$ , a contradiction.

*Case (B)*  $k = a$ .

We break the argument into two subcases, namely  $\delta > 0$  and  $\delta = 0$ .

*Subcase (i)*  $\delta > 0$ .

Then  $ay'(a) = y(a)$  and  $a\beta'(a) \geq \beta(a)$  together with (2.8) implies

$$\frac{1}{a}[y(a) - \beta(a)] \geq y'(a) - \beta'(a) \geq \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a - \delta} > \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a},$$

a contradiction.

*Remark 2.2* If  $\beta(0) > a_0$  then  $\delta > 0$ .

*Subcase (ii)*  $\delta = 0$ .

Then  $y(0) - \beta(0) = 0$ ,  $y(t) - \beta(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (0, a]$  and  $(y - \beta)''(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (0, a)$ . Thus for  $t \in (0, a)$  we have by the mean value theorem that

$$(y - \beta)'(a) - (y - \beta)'(t) > 0,$$

and so since  $a\beta'(a) \geq \beta(a)$  we have

$$(y - \beta)'(t) < (y - \beta)'(a) \leq \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a} \quad \text{for } t \in (0, a).$$

That is

$$(y - \beta)'(t) < \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a} \quad \text{for } t \in (0, a),$$

so the mean value theorem implies

$$(y - \beta)(a) - (y - \beta)(0) < \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{a} a.$$

This together with  $y(0) - \beta(0) = 0$  yields

$$y(a) - \beta(a) < y(a) - \beta(a).$$

a contradiction.

*Remark 2.3* Notice the argument in subcase (ii) can also be applied to subcase (i).

Thus in both cases we have a contradiction, so  $t_0 \neq a$ . As a result  $t_0 \notin [0, a]$ . This implies  $y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ . A similar argument shows  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ . As a result

$$y'' - y = qf(t, y) - y \quad \text{for } t \in (0, a),$$

and we are finished. ■

*Remark 2.4* (i) In Theorem 2.1, (2.5) could be replaced by the less restrictive condition

$$f^*: [0, a] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ is continuous.} \tag{2.9}$$

(ii) There is also an analogue of Theorem 2.1 (we leave the details to the reader) for the more general problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' = qf(t, y), & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = a_0 \\ ky'(a) - y(a) = b_0, & k \geq a. \end{cases}$$

*Example 2.1* (Bohr radius Thomas-Fermi equation.)

Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' = t^{-1/2}y^{3/2}, & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = 1 \\ ay'(a) = y(a), \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

with  $a^3 \geq 9/4$ .

To show (2.11) has a solution we will apply Theorem 2.1 to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' = t^{-1/2}|y|^{3/2}, & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = 1 \\ ay'(a) = y(a), \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

with  $k = a$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $q(t) = t^{-1/2}$  and  $f(t, y) = |y|^{3/2}$ . Clearly (2.4) and (2.5) hold. Now let  $\alpha = 0$ . We claim  $\alpha$  is a lower solution of (2.11). To see this notice

$$\alpha(0) = 0 \leq 1, \quad a\alpha'(a) = 0 = \alpha(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'' - qf(t, \alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, a).$$

Next we show

$$\beta(t) = \frac{4}{3}t^{3/2} + \frac{2}{3}a^{3/2}$$

is an upper solution of (2.11). Notice

$$\beta(0) = \frac{2}{3}a^{3/2} \geq \frac{23}{32} = 1 \quad \text{since } a^3 \geq \frac{9}{4},$$

and since  $\beta'(t) = 2t^{1/2}$  we have

$$a\beta'(a) = 2a^{3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(a) = \frac{4}{3}a^{3/2} + \frac{2}{3}a^{3/2} = 2a^{3/2}, \quad \text{so } a\beta'(a) = \beta(a).$$

Finally since  $\beta''(t) = t^{-1/2}$  we have

$$\beta'' - qf(t, \beta) = t^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2}[\beta(t)]^{3/2} = t^{-1/2}(1 - [\beta(t)]^{3/2}) \leq 0$$

for  $t \in (0, a)$ , since  $\beta(t) \geq \frac{2}{3}a^{3/2} \geq 1$  for  $t \in (0, a)$ . Thus  $\beta$  is an upper solution of (2.11) so (2.6) holds. Theorem 2.1 guarantees that there exists a solution  $y \in C^1[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$  to (2.11) with

$$0 \leq y(t) \leq \frac{4}{3}t^{3/2} + \frac{2}{3}a^{3/2} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, a].$$

Now since  $y(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, a]$  we have that  $y$  is a solution of (2.10).

It is also possible to extend the ideas in this section to other boundary value problems. To show what is possible we consider the boundary value problem (motivated partly from [2])

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}(py')' = qf(t, y), & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = a_0 \\ \int_0^a (ds/p(s)) [\lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} p(t)y'(t)] = y(a). \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

By an upper solution  $\beta$  to (2.12) we mean a function  $\beta \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$ ,  $p\beta' \in AC[0, a]$  with

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}(p\beta')'(t) \leq q(t)f(t, \beta(t)), & 0 < t < a \\ \beta(0) \geq a_0 \\ \int_0^a (ds/p(s)) [\lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} p(t)\beta'(t)] \geq \beta(a), \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

and by a lower solution  $\alpha$  to (2.12) we mean a function  $\alpha \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$ ,  $p\alpha' \in AC[0, a]$  with

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}(p\alpha')'(t) \geq q(t)f(t, \alpha(t)), & 0 < t < a \\ \alpha(0) \leq a_0 \\ \int_0^a (ds/p(s))[\lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} p(t)\alpha'(t)] \leq \alpha(a). \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

For our existence result, (2.5) is assumed and also we will suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

$$p \in C[0, a] \cap C^1(0, a) \text{ with } p > 0 \text{ on } (0, a) \quad (2.15)$$

$$q \in C(0, a), \quad q > 0 \text{ on } (0, a) \text{ and } \int_0^a p(s)q(s) ds < \infty \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists } \alpha, \beta \text{ respectively lower and upper} \\ \text{solutions of (2.12) with } \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t) \text{ for } t \in [0, a]. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

**THEOREM 2.2** *Suppose (2.5), (2.15)–(2.17) hold. Then (2.12) has a solution  $y \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$ ,  $py' \in AC[0, a]$  with  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$*

*Proof* To show (2.12) has a solution we consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}(py')' - y = f^*(t, y), & 0 < t < a \\ y(0) = a_0 \\ \int_0^a (ds/p(s))[\lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} p(t)y'(t)] = y(a) \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

where  $f^*$  is as in Theorem 2.1. A slight modification of the argument in Theorem 2.1 (see [7, Chapter 3]) guarantees that (2.18) has a solution  $y$ .

We now show  $y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ . If this is not true then  $y - \beta$  attains a positive absolute maximum somewhere on  $[0, a]$ , say at  $t_0$ . Note  $t_0 \neq 0$ . Consider first the case  $t_0 \in (0, a)$ . Then  $(y - \beta)'(t_0) = 0$  and  $(p(y - \beta))'(t_0) \leq 0$ . Also since  $y(t_0) > \beta(t_0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p(y - \beta))'(t_0) &= p(t_0)[f^*(t_0, y(t_0)) + y(t_0)] - (p\beta')'(t_0) \\ &\geq p(t_0)[f^*(t_0, y(t_0)) + y(t_0)] - p(t_0)q(t_0)f(t_0, \beta(t_0)) \\ &= p(t_0)q(t_0)r(y(t_0) - \beta(t_0)) + p(t_0)(y(t_0) - \beta(t_0)) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus  $t_0 \notin (0, a)$ . It remains to discuss the case  $t_0 = a$ . Assume  $t_0 = a$ . Now since  $y(0) - \beta(0) \leq 0$  there exists  $\delta, 0 \leq \delta < a$  with  $y(t) - \beta(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (\delta, a)$  and  $y(\delta) - \beta(\delta) = 0$ . In addition for  $t \in (\delta, a)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p(y - \beta))'(t) &= p(t)[f^*(t, y(t)) + y(t)] - (p\beta')'(t) \\ &= p(t)q(t)r(y(t) - \beta(t)) + p(t)(y(t) - \beta(t)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $t \in (\delta, a)$  we have from the mean value theorem that

$$p(y - \beta)'(a) - p(y - \beta)'(t) > 0,$$

and so since

$$\int_0^a \frac{ds}{p(s)} [\lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} p(t)y'(t)] = y(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^a \frac{ds}{p(s)} [\lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} p(t)\beta'(t)] \geq \beta(a),$$

we have

$$p(y - \beta)'(t) < p(y - \beta)'(a) \leq \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{\int_0^a (ds/p(s))} \quad \text{for } t \in (\delta, a).$$

That is

$$(y - \beta)'(t) < \frac{1}{p(t)} \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{\int_0^a (ds/p(s))} \quad \text{for } t \in (\delta, a),$$

so we have

$$(y - \beta)(a) - (y - \beta)(\delta) < \frac{y(a) - \beta(a)}{\int_0^a (ds/p(s))} \int_{\delta}^a \frac{ds}{p(t)} \leq y(a) - \beta(a).$$

This together with  $y(\delta) - \beta(\delta) = 0$  yields

$$y(a) - \beta(a) < y(a) - \beta(a),$$

a contradiction. Thus  $t_0 \neq a$ . As a result  $t_0 \notin [0, a]$ . This implies  $y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ . A similar argument shows  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t)$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ , and we are finished. ■

*Remark 2.5* In Theorem 2.2, (2.5) can be replaced by (2.9).

## References

- [1] Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D. and Wong, P. J. Y. (1999) *Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [2] Chan, C. Y. and Hon, Y. C. (1988) Computational methods for generalized Thomas–Fermi models of neutral atoms, *Quart. Applied Math.*, **46**, 711–726.
- [3] Conway, J. B. (1978) *Functions of One Complex Variable*. New York: Springer Verlag.
- [4] Granas, A., Guenther, R. B. and Lee, J. W. (1981) A note on the Thomas–Fermi equation, *ZAMM*, **61**, 204–205.
- [5] Mooney, J. W. (1978) Monotone methods for the Thomas–Fermi equation, *Quart. Applied Math.*, **36**, 305–314.
- [6] O'Regan, D. (1992) Existence theorems for certain classes of singular boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **168**, 523–539.
- [7] O'Regan, D. (1994) *Theory of Singular Boundary Value Problems*. Singapore: World Scientific.



## Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

### Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2009
Publication Date	November 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Juan J. Nieto**, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;  
[juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es](mailto:juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es)

### Guest Editor

**Donal O'Regan**, Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;  
[donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie](mailto:donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie)