

Robust Stability and \mathcal{H}_∞ -Estimation for Uncertain Discrete Systems with State-Delay

MAGDI S. MAHMOUD*

*Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science and Technology, PO Box 2033 El
Horrya, Heliopolis Cairo, Egypt*

(Received 1 December 1999; In final form 30 January 2001)

In this paper, we investigate the problems of robust stability and \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation for a class of linear discrete-time systems with time-varying norm-bounded parameter uncertainty and unknown state-delay. We provide complete results for robust stability with prescribed performance measure and establish a version of the discrete Bounded Real Lemma. Then, we design a linear estimator such that the estimation error dynamics is robustly stable with a guaranteed \mathcal{H}_∞ -performance irrespective of the parameteric uncertainties and unknown state delays. A numerical example is worked out to illustrate the developed theory.

Keywords: Robust stability; Linear estimation; Discrete-time systems; State-delay; \mathcal{H}_∞ -performance

1 INTRODUCTION

State estimation (filtering) is perhaps one of the oldest problems studied in systems theory [1]. In recent years, robust state estimation arose out of the desire to determine estimates of unmeasurable state variables for dynamical systems with uncertain parameters. From this perspective, robust state estimation can be viewed as an extension of the celebrated Kalman filter [1] to uncertain dynamical systems. The past decade has witnessed major developments in robust state estimation problem using various approaches [2–11]. Of particular interest to our work is the \mathcal{H}_∞

*Email: magdim@Yahoo.com

He was with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Kuwait University, Kuwait.

filtering in which the design is based on minimizing the \mathcal{H}_∞ -norm of the system. This design reflects a worst-case gain of the transfer function from the disturbance inputs to the estimation error output. In addition, \mathcal{H}_∞ filtering is superior to standard \mathcal{H}_∞ filtering since no statistical assumption is made on the input signals.

On another front of research, the class of dynamical systems with time-delay has attracted the attention of numerous investigators in the last two decades. Design of robust state estimators to different classes of continuous-time systems with parametric uncertainties and state-delay have been pursued in [12–15]. Despite the significant role of time-delays in discrete-time systems, a little attention has been paid to the class of uncertain discrete-time systems with delays. A preliminary result to bridge this gap is reported in [16] by developing a robust Kalman filter for a class of discrete uncertain systems with state-delay. A comprehensive coverages of the available results on time-delay systems can be found in [17].

This paper contributes to the further developement of robust state estimation techniques of classes of uncertain time-delay systems. The objective is to build upon the results of [12–15] for continuous-time systems. Specifically, the work reported here extends [12–15] to another dimension by considering the \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation of a class of discrete-time systems with real time-varying norm-bounded parameteric uncertainties and unknown state-delay. On the other hand, our approach in this paper casts the results of [2,3,6] about \mathcal{H}_∞ filtering for delay-free systems into the context of linear uncertain discrete-time systems with unknown-but-bounded state-delay. In addition, it generalizes the techniques of [4,9] by including another source of uncertainties due to bounded state-delays. Towards our objective in this paper, we address the important problem of robust stability of the class of linear uncertain discrete-time systems with unknown-but-bounded state-delay and construct appropriate stability measures. Basically, we provide a version of the discrete Bounded Real Lemma which generalizes the available results in [8]. Then, we design a linear filter which provides both robust stability and a guaranteed \mathcal{H}_∞ -performance for the estimation error irrespective of the parameteric uncertainties and unknown delays. Our results come in line with most robust results of time-delay systems that yield only sufficient conditions [17] due to the presence of the delay term as an additional uncertainties.

The main results of this paper are summarized by: Theorem 1 on necessary and sufficient conditions for robust stability and Lemma 1 on sufficient conditions for robust stability with prescribed performance level, Lemma 2 as a version of the discrete Bounded Real Lemma, Theorem 2 which establishes the solvability conditions for a robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimator in the form of algebraic matrix inequalities (AMIs) and finally Theorem 3 which provides expressions for the filter gain matrices. Several corollaries are given to link our results with those published before.

NOTATIONS AND FACTS *In the sequel, we denote by W^t and W^{-1} the transpose and the inverse of any square matrix W . We use $W > 0$ ($W < 0$) to denote a positive- (negative-) definite matrix W ; and I is used to denote the identity matrix of appropriate order. Sometimes, the arguments of a function will be omitted in the analysis when no confusion can arise.*

Fact 1 (Schur Complement) Given constant matrices $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$ where $\Omega_1 = \Omega_1^t$ and $0 < \Omega_2 = \Omega_2^t$ then $\Omega_1 + \Omega_3^t \Omega_2^{-1} \Omega_3 < 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_3^t \\ \Omega_3 & -\Omega_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -\Omega_2 & \Omega_3 \\ \Omega_3^t & \Omega_1 \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Fact 2 (Matrix Inversion Lemma) For any real nonsingular matrices Σ_1, Σ_3 and real matrices Σ_2, Σ_4 with appropriate dimensions, it follows that

$$(\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 \Sigma_3 \Sigma_4)^{-1} = \Sigma_1^{-1} - \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2 [\Sigma_3^{-1} + \Sigma_4 \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_2]^{-1} \Sigma_4 \Sigma_1^{-1}$$

Fact 3 Let $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ be real constant matrices of compatible dimensions and $H(t)$ be a real matrix function satisfying $H^t(t)H(t) \leq I$. Then $\forall \rho > 0$ and any matrix $0 < R = R^t$ such that $\rho \Sigma_2^t \Sigma_2 < R$

$$(\Sigma_3 + \Sigma_1 H(t) \Sigma_2) R^{-1} (\Sigma_3^t + \Sigma_2^t H^t(t) \Sigma_1^t) \leq \rho^{-1} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_1^t + \Sigma_3 [R - \rho \Sigma_2^t \Sigma_2]^{-1} \Sigma_3^t$$

2 PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

We consider a class of uncertain time-delay systems represented by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_\Delta) : \quad x(k+1) &= [A_o + \Delta A(k)]x(k) + B_o w(k) + E_o x(k-\tau) \\ &= A_\Delta(k)x(k) + B_o w(k) + E_o x(k-\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y(k) &= [C_o + \Delta C(k)]x(k) + D_o w(k) \\ &= C_{\Delta}(k)x(k) + D_o w(k) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$z(k) = H_o x(k) \quad (3)$$

where $x(k) \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ is the state, $w(k) \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ is the input noise which belongs to $\ell_2 [0, \infty)$, $y(k) \in \mathfrak{R}^p$ is the measured output, $z(k) \in \mathfrak{R}^r$, is a linear combination of the state variables to be estimated and the matrices $A_o \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, $C_o \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times n}$, $D_o \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}$, $E_o \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and $H_o \in \mathfrak{R}^{r \times n}$ are real constant matrices representing the nominal plant. Here, τ is an unknown constant scalar representing the amount of delay in the state. For system (Σ_{Δ}) , we have the following assumption:

ASSUMPTION 1 *The matrices $\Delta A(k)$ and $\Delta C(k)$ are represented by:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta A(k) \\ \Delta C(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix} \Delta(k) M, \quad \Delta'(k) \Delta(k) \leq I, \quad \forall k \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

where $L_1 \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times \alpha}$, $L_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times \alpha}$ and $M \in \mathfrak{R}^{\beta \times n}$ are known constant matrices and $\Delta(k) \in \mathfrak{R}^{\alpha \times \beta}$ is unknown matrices. The initial condition is specified as $\alpha_o(\cdot) = \langle x_o, \phi(s) \rangle$, where $\phi(\cdot) \in \ell_2[-\tau, 0]$. In this paper, we examine two problems:

- (1) Internal stability of system (Σ_{Δ}) for all admissible uncertainties satisfying (4),
- (2) Estimating the variable $z(k)$ given the measurements $\{y(\beta) : 0 \leq \beta \leq k\}$. Since problem (1) is a prerequisite to problem (2) and stability analysis is a key issue in the subsequent development, we focus our attention initially on a relevant stability measure for system (Σ_{Δ}) for all admissible uncertainties.

3 ROBUST STABILITY RESULTS

In this section, we study the problem of internal stability of system (Σ_{Δ}) using a quadratic Lyapunov-Krasovkii function and develop appropriate measures accordingly. In the sequel, we refer to the

following systems:

$$(\Sigma_{\Delta_o}): x(k + 1) = A_{\Delta}(k)x(k) + E_o x(k - \tau) \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\Delta_w}): x(k + 1) &= A_{\Delta}(k)x(k) + B_o w(k) + E_o x(k - \tau) \\ z(k) &= H_o x(k) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and develop complete results for internal stability of discrete uncertain systems with unknown state-delay.

Define the scalar valued function $V : \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ as

$$V(x, k) = x^t(k)Px(k) + \sum_{\alpha=k-\tau}^{k-1} x^t(\alpha)Qx(\alpha) \tag{7}$$

where $0 < P = P^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and $0 < Q = Q^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$. Observe that $V(x, k) = 0$ for $x \equiv 0$ and $V(x, k) > 0$ when $x \neq 0$. Along the trajectories of system (5), the first-order difference $\Delta V(x, k) := V(x, k + 1) - V(x, k)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(x, k) &= x^t \{ A_{\Delta}^t(k)PA_{\Delta}(k) - P + Q \} x \\ &\quad - x^t(k - \tau) [Q - E_o^tPE_o] x(k - \tau) \\ &\quad + x^t(k - \tau) E_o^tPA_{\Delta}(k)x(k) + x^t A_{\Delta}^t(k)PE_o x(k - \tau) \end{aligned}$$

By a standard ‘completion of the square’ argument, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(x, k) &< x^t \{ A_{\Delta}^t(k)PA_{\Delta}(k) - P + Q + A_{\Delta}^t(k)PE_o [Q - E_o^tPE_o]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times E_o^tPA_{\Delta}(k) \} x - \{ x(k - \tau) - [Q - E_o^tPE_o]^{-1} E_o^tA_{\Delta}(k)x \}^t \\ &\quad \times [Q - E_o^tPE_o] \{ x(k - \tau) - [Q - E_o^tPE_o]^{-1} E_o^tA_{\Delta}(k)x \} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Inequality (8) can be overbounded in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(x, k) &< x^t \{ A_{\Delta}^t(k)PA_{\Delta}(k) - P + Q \\ &\quad + A_{\Delta}^t(k)PE_o [Q - E_o^tPE_o]^{-1} E_o^tPA_{\Delta}(k) \} x \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Based on (9), we provide the following definition:

Definition 1 System (Σ_{Δ_o}) is robustly stable (RS) for variable delay τ if given a matrix $0 < Q = Q^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, there exists a matrix

$0 < P = P' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the algebraic Riccati inequality (ARI):

$$A'_\Delta(k)PA_\Delta(k) - P + Q + A'_\Delta(k)PE_o[Q - E'_oPE_o]^{-1}E'_oPA_\Delta(k) < 0 \tag{10}$$

for all admissible uncertainties satisfying (4).

Remark 1 It is readily seen using Fact 1 that (10) is equivalent to the algebraic matrix inequality (AMI):

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P + Q & 0 & A'_\Delta(k) \\ 0 & -Q & E'_o \\ A_\Delta(k) & E_o & -P^{-1} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad \forall \Delta: \|\Delta\|^2 \leq 1 \tag{11}$$

Either (10) or (11) can be converted, via Fact 2, into the compact form:

$$A'_\Delta(k)\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o\}^{-1}A_\Delta(k) - P + Q < 0, \quad \forall \Delta: \|\Delta\|^2 \leq 1 \tag{12}$$

From now onwards, we are going to use (10), (11) or (12) interchangeably. A basic result is provided below.

THEOREM 1 *The uncertain discrete delay system $(\Sigma_{\Delta o})$ is robustly stable (RS) if and only given a matrix $0 < Q = Q' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and a scalar $\mu > 0$ there exist a matrix $0 < P = P' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the ARI:*

$$A'_o\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o - \mu L_1L'_1\}^{-1}A_o - P + \mu^{-1}M'M + Q < 0 \tag{13}$$

Proof (\Rightarrow): Suppose that $0 < P = P'$ satisfies (13) for some $\mu > 0$. For any Δ satisfying $\Delta'\Delta \leq I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A'_\Delta(k)PA_\Delta(k) - P + Q + A'_\Delta(k)PE_o[Q - E'_oPE_o]^{-1}E'_oPA_\Delta(k) \\ &= A'_\Delta(k)\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o\}^{-1}A_\Delta(k) - P + Q \\ &\leq A'_o\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o - \mu L_1L'_1\}^{-1}A_o - P + \mu^{-1}M'M + Q \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is implied from (13) and Fact 3.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose that $0 < P = P^t$ such that system (Σ_Δ) is RS. It follows that (11) holds for all matrices $\Delta: \|\Delta\|^2 \leq 1$. That is

$$\begin{aligned} & x^t \{A'_\Delta(k)PA_\Delta(k) - P + Q + A'_\Delta(k)PE_o[Q - E'_oPE_o]^{-1}E'_oPA_\Delta(k)\}x \\ &= x^t \{A'_\Delta(k)\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o\}^{-1}A_\Delta(k) - P + Q\}x \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \neq 0$ and $\|\Delta\|^2 \leq 1$. By [17], this implies that there exists a scalar $\mu > 0$ such that

$$A'_o\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o - \mu L_1L'_1\}^{-1}A_o - P + \mu^{-1}M^tM + Q < 0$$

which completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 1 *It follows from [8] that the existence of a matrix $0 < P = P^t$ satisfying (13) is equivalent to the existence of a stabilizing solution $0 \leq \bar{P} = \bar{P}^t$ to the algebraic Riccati equation (ARE)*

$$A'_o\{\bar{P}^{-1} - E'_oQ^{-1}E_o - \mu LL^t\}^{-1}A_o - \bar{P} + \mu^{-1}M^tM + Q = 0 \quad (14)$$

Building on Lemma 1, we have the following result.

LEMMA 1 *System $(\Sigma_{\Delta w})$ is robustly stable with disturbance attenuation γ for variable delay τ if given a matrix $0 < Q = Q^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ there exist a matrix $0 < P = P^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the ARI:*

$$\begin{aligned} & A'_\Delta\{P^{-1} - E_oQ^{-1}E'_o - \gamma^{-2}B_oB'_o\}^{-1}A_\Delta - P + H'_oH_o \\ &+ Q < 0, \quad \forall \Delta : \|\Delta\|^2 \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Proof By evaluating the first-order difference $\Delta V(x, k)$ of (7) along the trajectories of (6) and considering the Hamiltonian

$$H(x, w) = \Delta V(x, k) + \{z^t(k)z(k) - \gamma^2w^t(k)w(k)\}$$

it yields:

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, w) &= x^t \{A'_\Delta PA_\Delta - P + Q\}x + w^t B'_oPB_o w + x^t H'_oH_o x - \gamma^2w^t w \\ &+ x^t A'_\Delta PE_o x(k - \tau) + x^t(k - \tau)E'_o PA_\Delta x \\ &+ x^t A'_\Delta PB_o w + w^t B'_o PA_\Delta x + w^t B'_o PE_o x(k - \tau) \\ &+ x^t(k - \tau)E'_o PB_o w - x^t(k - \tau)[Q - E'_o PE_o]x(k - \tau) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In terms of $h(k) := [x'(k) \ w'(k) \ x'(k - \tau)]'$, we express the Hamiltonian in the form:

$$H(x, w) = h'(k)\Omega h(k)$$

$$\Omega(\sigma, k) = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_2 & \Omega_3 \\ \Omega_2' & \Omega_4 & \Omega_5 \\ \Omega_3' & \Omega_5' & \Omega_6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = A'_\Delta P A_\Delta - P + Q + H'_o H_o, \quad \Omega_3 = A'_\Delta P E_o, \quad \Omega_5 = B'_o P E_o \quad (18)$$

$$\Omega_2 = A'_\Delta P B_o, \quad \Omega_6 = -[Q - E'_o P E_o], \quad \Omega_4 = -[\gamma^2 I - B'_o P B_o] \quad (19)$$

For system $(\Sigma_{\Delta w})$ with quadratic Lyapunov function (7), the stability condition with ℓ_2 -gain constraint $H(x, w) < 0$ is implied by $\Omega < 0$. By Fact 1 and using (18)–(19), it follows that $\Omega(\sigma, k) < 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P + Q + H'_o H_o & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -Q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A'_\Delta \\ B'_o \\ E'_o \end{bmatrix} P [A_\Delta \ B_o \ E_o] < 0$$

The above inequality holds if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P + Q & 0 & 0 & H'_o \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -Q & 0 \\ H_o & 0 & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A'_\Delta \\ B'_o \\ E'_o \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} P [A_\Delta \ B_o \ E_o \ 0] < 0 \quad (20)$$

By repeated application Fact 1 to (20), we obtain the ARI (15). \square

Remark 2 Applying Fact 1 again to the ARI (15) we obtain the AMI:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P + Q & 0 & 0 & H'_o & A'_\Delta \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I & 0 & 0 & B'_o \\ 0 & 0 & -Q & 0 & E'_o \\ H_o & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ A_\Delta & B_o & E_o & 0 & -P^{-1} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (21)$$

Alternatively, by Fact 3, inequality (15) is equivalent to

$$A_o^t \{ P^{-1} - E_o Q^{-1} E_o^t - \gamma^{-2} B_o B_o^t - \mu L_1 L_1^t \}^{-1} A_o - P + H_o^t H_o + \mu^{-1} M^t M + Q < 0 \tag{22}$$

Remark 3 Observe that either (15) or (22) provides a sufficient robust stability condition with a disturbance attenuation γ . It comes in line with most robust stability results of time-delay systems that yield only sufficient conditions [17]. This is due to the presence of the delay term as an additional uncertainties. Therefore while it may be considered conservative, however the inclusion of a scalar parameter μ enables the designer to tune up the stability margin.

In view of Theorem 1 and Remark 2, we have the following result.

LEMMA 2 *For the uncertain time-delay system (1)–(3), the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) System (Σ_{σ_w}) is robustly stable with disturbance attenuation γ
- (2) There exists a matrix $0 < P = P^t$ satisfying the ARI (15)
- (3) There exists a matrix $0 < P = P^t$ satisfying the AMI (21)
- (4) The following \mathcal{H}_∞ norm bound is satisfied

$$\left\| \left[\begin{array}{c} \mu^{-1/2} M \\ Q^{1/2} \end{array} \right] [zI - A_o]^{-1} [\gamma^{-1} B \ \mu^{1/2} L_1 \ E_o Q^{-1/2}] \right\|_\infty < 1 \tag{23}$$

- (5) There exists a matrix $0 \leq \bar{P} = \bar{P}^t$ satisfying the ARE

$$A_o^t \{ \bar{P}^{-1} - E_o Q^{-1} E_o^t - \gamma^{-2} B_o B_o^t - \mu L L^t \}^{-1} A_o - \bar{P} + \mu^{-1} M^t M + H_o^t H_o + Q = 0 \tag{24}$$

Proof (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from Theorem 1. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows by repeated applications of Fact 1 and using Fact 2. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from the results of [13]. (2) \Leftrightarrow (5) follows from [8] in line of Corollary 1. □

Remark 4 It is significant to observe that Lemma 2 establishes a version of the Bounded real Lemma [8] as applied to uncertain discrete-time systems with state-delay. Additionally, it provides alternative

numerical techniques for testing the robust stability of the class of discrete systems under consideration.

4 \mathcal{H}_∞ -ESTIMATION RESULTS

The state-estimation problem we study in this paper is a robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem and can be phrased as follows:

For system (Σ_Δ) , design a linear estimator of $z(k)$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_e): \hat{x}(k+1) &= \hat{A}\hat{x}(k) + \hat{K}[y(k) - \hat{C}\hat{x}(k)] \\ &= [A_o + \delta A]\hat{x}(k) + \hat{K}[y(k) - (C_o + \delta C)\hat{x}(k)], \quad \hat{x}(0) = 0 \\ \hat{z} &= H_o\hat{x} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

such that the estimation error $e(k) := z(k) - \hat{z}(k)$ is quadratically stable $\forall w(k) \in \ell_2[0, \infty]$ and $\|z - \hat{z}\|_2 \leq \gamma \|w\|_2$.

In (24), $\delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\delta C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $\hat{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ are the design matrices to be determined.

We now proceed to solve the robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem. By defining $\tilde{x}(k) = x(k) - \hat{x}(k)$ we get from (1) and (25) the dynamics of the state-error:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}(k+1) &= \{(A_o + \delta A) - \hat{K}(C_o + \delta C)\}\tilde{x}(k) \\ &\quad + \{\Delta A - \delta A - \hat{K}(\Delta C - \delta C)\}x(k) \\ &\quad + \{B_o - \hat{K}D_o\}w(k) + E_o x(k - \tau) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Then from system (Σ_Δ) and (26), we obtain the dynamics of the filtering error $e(k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\Delta e}) \zeta(k+1) &:= \begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) \\ \tilde{x}(k+1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \{A_a + L_a \Delta_1(t) M_a\} \zeta(k) + E_a \zeta(k - \tau) \\ &\quad + B_a w(k), \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta_o \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e(t) &= H_a \xi(k) \\
 &= [0 \quad H_o] \xi(k)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{28}$$

where

$$A_a = \begin{bmatrix} A_o & 0 \\ -\delta A + \hat{K}\delta C & A_o + \delta A - \hat{K}(C_o + \delta C) \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_a = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_1 - \hat{K}L_2 \end{bmatrix}
 \tag{29}$$

$$E_a = \begin{bmatrix} E_o & 0 \\ E_o & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_a = \begin{bmatrix} B_o \\ B_o - \hat{K}D_o \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi_o = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_o \\ \alpha_o \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_a = [M \quad 0]
 \tag{30}$$

THEOREM 2 *Given a prescribed level of noise attenuation $\gamma > 0$ and a matrix $0 < Q = Q^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$. If for some scalar $\mu > 0$ there exists a matrix $0 < P = P^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ satisfying the ARI:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &A_a^t \{ P^{-1} - E_a Q^{-1} E_a^t - \gamma^{-2} B_a B_a^t - \mu L_a L_a^t \}^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times A_a - P + H_a^t H_a + \mu^{-1} M_a^t M_a + Q < 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{31}$$

then the robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem for the system $(\Sigma_{\Delta e})$ is solvable with estimator (25) and yields.

$$\|e(k)\|_2 < \gamma \|w(k)\|_2
 \tag{32}$$

Proof By Theorem 1 and Remark 2, system $(\Sigma_{\Delta e})$ is QS with disturbance attenuation γ if given a matrix $0 < Q = Q^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ there exists a matrix $0 < P = P^t \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ satisfying

$$\begin{bmatrix} -P + Q & 0 & 0 & H_a^t & A_{\Delta a}^t \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I & 0 & 0 & B_a^t \\ 0 & 0 & -Q & 0 & E_a^t \\ H_a & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ A_{\Delta a} & B_a & E_a & 0 & -P^{-1} \end{bmatrix} < 0
 \tag{33}$$

By Fact 1, inequality (33) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} + \mu^{-1}M'_aM_a & 0 & 0 & H'_a & A'_a \\ 0 & -\gamma^2I & 0 & 0 & B'_a \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{Q} & 0 & E'_a \\ H_a & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ A_a & B_a & E_a & 0 & -\mathcal{P}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\
 & + \begin{bmatrix} M'_a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta'(k) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L'_a \end{bmatrix} \\
 & + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ L_a \end{bmatrix} \Delta(k) \begin{bmatrix} M_a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{34}
 \end{aligned}$$

By a well-known result in [11], inequality (34) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} + \mu^{-1}M'_aM_a & 0 & 0 & H'_a & A'_a \\ 0 & -\gamma^2I & 0 & 0 & B'_a \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{Q} & 0 & E'_a \\ H_a & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ A_a & B_a & E_a & 0 & -\mathcal{P}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\
 & + \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-1/2}M'_a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-1/2}M_a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu^{1/2}L_a \end{bmatrix} [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \mu^{1/2}L'_a] < 0 \tag{35}$$

for some $\mu > 0$. Rearranging, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} + \mu^{-1}M'_aM_a & 0 & 0 & H'_a & A'_a \\ 0 & -\gamma^2I & 0 & 0 & B'_a \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{Q} & 0 & E'_a \\ H_a & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ A_a & B_a & E_a & 0 & -[\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \mu L_aL'_a] \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{36}$$

Application of Facts 1, 2 to the AMI (36) yields the ARI (31). \square

Remark 5 It should be observed that Theorem 2 establishes an LMI-feasibility condition for the robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem associated with system (Σ_Δ) which requires knowledge about the nominal matrices of the system as well as the structural matrices of the uncertainty. In this way, it provides a partial solution to the \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation under consideration.

To facilitate further development, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{11} &= S_1M'[\mu I - MS_1M']^{-1}MS_1, \\ \mathcal{R}_{12} &= S_2H'_o[I - H_oS_2H'_o]^{-1}H_oS_2 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &= [\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1] + [\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1]E_o\{Q_1 - E'_o[\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1 + \mathcal{R}_{12} + S_2]E_o\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times E'_o[\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1] \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_3 &= [\mathcal{R}_{12} + S_2] + [\mathcal{R}_{12} + S_2]E_o\{Q_1 - E'_o[\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1 + \mathcal{R}_{12} + S_2]E_o\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times E'_o[\mathcal{R}_{12} + S_2] \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_2 &= -\{[\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1] - [\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1]E_oQ_3^{-1}E'_o[\mathcal{R}_{11} + S_1]\} \\ &\quad \times E_oQ_1^{-1}E'_o\mathcal{R}_3 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_3 = \mathcal{Q}_1^{-1} - E_o'[\mathcal{R}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_1]E_o \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1 &= \mu L_1' L_1 + \gamma^{-2} B_o' B_o + A_o' \mathcal{R}_2' A_o, \\ \mathcal{G}_2 &= \mu L_2 L_1' + \gamma^{-2} D_o B_o' + C_o \mathcal{R}_2' A_o \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

for some matrices $0 < \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1', 0 < \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2', 0 < \mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1'$ and $0 < \mathcal{Q}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2'$. Accordingly we define the matrices:

$$\delta A = \mathcal{G}_1 A_o^{-1} \{\mathcal{R}_1 - \mathcal{R}_2'\}^{-1}, \quad \delta C = \mathcal{G}_2 A_o^{-1} \{\mathcal{R}_1 - \mathcal{R}_2'\}^{-1} \quad (43)$$

$$\hat{A} = A_o + \delta A, \quad \hat{C} = C_o + \delta C \quad (44)$$

$$T = \delta C \{\mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_2\} \hat{A}' + C_o \mathcal{R}_3 \hat{A}' - C_o \mathcal{R}_2' \delta A' + \delta C \{\mathcal{R}_1 - \mathcal{R}_2'\} \delta A' \quad (45)$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \hat{C} \mathcal{R}_3 \hat{C}' - \hat{C} \mathcal{R}_2' \delta \hat{C}' - \delta C \mathcal{R}_2 \bar{C}' + \delta C \mathcal{R}_1 \delta C' \quad (46)$$

It is important to note that the indicated inverses in (37)–(38) exist in view of Fact 2 and the selection of matrices $0 < \mathcal{Q}_1$ and $0 < \mathcal{Q}_2$. Observe in (42) using (37)–(39) that $(\mathcal{R}_1 - \mathcal{R}_2') > 0$. The next theorem establishes the main result.

THEOREM 3 *Consider the augmented system $(\Sigma_{\Delta e})$ for some $\gamma > 0$ and given matrices $0 < \mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_1' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and $0 < \mathcal{Q}_2 = \mathcal{Q}_2' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$. If for some scalar $\mu > 0$ there exist matrices $0 < \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and $0 < \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the ARIs*

$$A_o \mathcal{R}_1 A_o' - \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mu L_1 L_1' + \gamma^{-2} B_o B_o' < 0 \quad (47)$$

$$\hat{A} \mathcal{R}_3 \hat{A}' - \mathcal{S}_2 + \delta A \mathcal{R}_1 \delta A' + \mathcal{Q}_2 - \hat{A} \mathcal{R}_2' \delta A' - \delta A \mathcal{R}_2 \hat{A}' - T' \mathcal{Z}^{-1} T < 0 \quad (48)$$

then the robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem for the system $(\Sigma_{\Delta e})$ is solvable with the estimator

$$\hat{x}(k+1) = \hat{A} \hat{x}(k) + T' \mathcal{Z}^{-1} [y(k) - \hat{C} \hat{x}(k)] \quad (49)$$

which yields

$$\|e(k)\|_2 < \gamma \|w(k)\|_2 \quad (50)$$

Proof Given a matrix of $0 < \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}' \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ and by Theorem 2, it follows that there exists a matrix $0 < \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}' \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ that satisfies the ARI (31). From the results of [6], it follows that (31) holds if and only if there exists a matrix $0 < \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}' \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\mathcal{S}) := & A_a \{ \mathcal{S}^{-1} - E_a \mathcal{Q}^{-1} E_a' - \mu^{-1} M_a' M_a - H_a' H_a \}^{-1} A_a' \\ & - \mathcal{S} + \mu L_a L_a' + \gamma^{-2} B_a B_a' + \mathcal{Q} < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{S}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{Q}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (52)$$

Expansion of (50) using (29)–(30) and (51) yields:

$$\Xi(\mathcal{S}) := \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_1(\mathcal{S}) & \Xi_2(\mathcal{S}) \\ \Xi_2'(\mathcal{S}) & \Xi_3(\mathcal{S}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (53)$$

where

$$\Xi_1(\mathcal{S}) = A_o \mathcal{R}_1 A_o' - \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mu L_1 L_1' + \gamma^{-2} B_o B_o' \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_2(\mathcal{S}) = & A_o \mathcal{R}_1 (-\delta A + \hat{K} \delta C)' + \mu L_1 L_1' - \mu L_1 L_2' \hat{K}' + \gamma^{-2} - \gamma^{-2} B_o D_o' \hat{K}' \\ & + A_o \mathcal{R}_2 [A_o + \delta A - \hat{K} (C_o + \delta C)]' \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_3(\mathcal{S}) = & [A_o + \delta A - \hat{K} (C_o + \delta C)] \mathcal{R}_3 [A_o + \delta A - \hat{K} (C_o + \delta C)]' \\ & + [A_o + \delta A - \hat{K} (C_o + \delta C)] \mathcal{R}_2' (-\delta A + \hat{K} \delta C)' \\ & + (-\delta A + \hat{K} \delta C) \mathcal{R}_1 (-\delta A + \hat{K} \delta C)' - \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{Q}_2 \\ & + \mu (L_1 - \hat{K} L_2) (L_1 - \hat{K} L_2)' \\ & + \gamma^{-2} (B_o - \hat{K} D_o) (B_o - \hat{K} D_o)' \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

For internal stability with ℓ_2 -bound, it is required that $\Xi(\mathcal{S}) < 0$. Necessary and sufficient conditions to achieve this are

$$\Xi_1(\mathcal{S}) < 0, \quad \Xi_2(\mathcal{S}) = 0, \quad \Xi_3(\mathcal{S}) < 0 \quad (57)$$

It is readily seen from (53) that the condition $\Xi_1(\mathcal{S}) < 0$ is equivalent to the ARI (46). Using (42) in (54) and arranging terms, we reach that the condition $\Xi_2(\mathcal{S}) < 0$ is satisfied. Finally, from (43)–(45) and using the ‘completion of squares’ argument with some standard algebraic manipulations we therefore conclude that the Kalman gain is given by $\hat{K} = T'Z^{-1}$ the ARI (47) corresponds to $\Xi_3(\mathcal{S}) < 0$. \square

Two important special cases follow

COROLLARY 2 *Consider the uncertain discrete system without delay*

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= [A_o + \Delta A(k)]x(k) + B_o w(k) \\ &= A_\Delta(k)x(k) + B_o w(k) \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y(k) &= [C_o + \Delta C(k)]x(k) + D_o w(k) \\ &= C_\Delta(k)x(k) + D_o w(k) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$z(k) = H_o x(k) \quad (60)$$

If for some $\gamma > 0$ and a scalar $\mu > 0$ there exist matrices $0 < \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}'_1 \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and $0 < \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}'_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the ARIs

$$A_o[\mathcal{R}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_1]A'_o - \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mu L_1 L'_1 + \gamma^{-2} B_o B'_o < 0 \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{A}[\mathcal{R}_{12} + \mathcal{S}_2]\hat{A}' + \delta A[\mathcal{R}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_1]\delta A' - \mathcal{S}_2 - T'_1 \mathcal{Z}'^{-1}_1 T_1 < 0 \quad (62)$$

then the robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem is solvable with the estimator

$$\hat{x}(k+1) = \hat{A}\hat{x}(k) + T'_1 \mathcal{Z}'^{-1}_1 [y(k) - \hat{C}\hat{x}(k)] \quad (63)$$

which yields

$$\|e(k)\|_2 < \gamma \|w(k)\|_2 \quad (64)$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_3 &= \mu L_1' L_1 + \gamma^{-2} B_o' B_o, & \mathcal{G}_4 &= \mu L_2' L_1 + \gamma^{-2} D_o B_o' \\ \delta A &= \mathcal{G}_3 A_o^{-t} \mathcal{R}_4^{-1}, & \delta C &= \mathcal{G}_4 A_o^{-t} \mathcal{R}_4^{-1} \\ \hat{A} &= A_o + \delta A, & \hat{C} &= C_o + \delta C \\ T_1 &= \hat{C} \mathcal{R}_5 \hat{A}' + \delta C \mathcal{R}_4 \hat{A}', & Z_1 &= \hat{C} \mathcal{R}_3 \hat{C}' + \delta C \mathcal{R}_4 \delta C' \end{aligned}$$

Proof Follows from Theorem 3 by setting $E_o \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_2 = 0$ in (37)–(45) and observing that $\mathcal{R}_2 \equiv 0$. \square

COROLLARY 3 Consider the system

$$x(k+1) = A_o x(k) + B_o w(k) + E_o x(k-\tau) \tag{65}$$

$$y(k) = C_o x(k) + D_o w(k) \tag{66}$$

$$z(k) = H_o x(k) \tag{67}$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ and given matrices $0 < \mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_1' \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ and $0 < \mathcal{Q}_2 = \mathcal{Q}_2' \in \mathfrak{R}^{2n \times 2n}$. If there exist matrices $0 < \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ and $0 < \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2' \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the ARIs

$$A_o \mathcal{R}_4 A_o' - \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1 + \gamma^{-2} B_o B_o' < 0 \tag{68}$$

$$\hat{A} \mathcal{R}_3 \hat{A}' + \delta A \mathcal{R}_4 \delta A' - \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{Q}_2 - \hat{A} \mathcal{R}_5' \delta A' - \delta A \mathcal{R}_5 \hat{A} - T_2' Z_2^{-1} T_2 < 0 \tag{69}$$

then the robust \mathcal{H}_∞ -estimation problem is solvable with the estimator

$$\hat{x}(k+1) = \hat{A} \hat{x}(k) + T_2' Z_2^{-1} [y(k) - \hat{C} \hat{x}(k)] \tag{70}$$

which yields

$$\|e(k)\|_2 < \gamma \|w(k)\|_2 \tag{71}$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_4 &= \mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_1 E_o \{ \mathcal{Q}_1 - E_o' [\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{R}_{12} + \mathcal{S}_2] E_o \}^{-1} E_o' \mathcal{S}_1 \\ \mathcal{R}_5 &= -\{ \mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_1 E_o \mathcal{Q}_3^{-1} E_o' \mathcal{S}_1 \} E_o \mathcal{Q}_1^{-1} E_o' \mathcal{R}_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_5 &= \gamma^{-2} B_o' B_o + A_o' \mathcal{R}_5' A_o \\
\mathcal{G}_6 &= \gamma^{-2} D_o B_o' + C_o \mathcal{R}_5' A_o \\
\delta A &= \mathcal{G}_5 A_o^{-1} \{ \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_5' \}^{-1}, \quad \delta C = \mathcal{G}_6 A_o^{-1} \{ \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_5' \}^{-1} \\
\hat{A} &= A_o + \delta A, \quad \hat{C} = C_o + \delta C \\
T_2 &= \delta C \{ \mathcal{R}_4 - \mathcal{R}_5 \} \hat{A}' + C_o \mathcal{R}_4 \hat{A}' - C_o \mathcal{R}_5' \delta A' + \delta C \{ \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_5' \} \delta A' \\
Z_2 &= \hat{C} \mathcal{R}_4 \hat{C}' - \hat{C} \mathcal{R}_5' \delta \hat{C}' - \delta C \mathcal{R}_5 \bar{C}' + \delta C \mathcal{R}_3 \delta C'
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6 It is interesting to observe that Corollary 2 gives an AMI-based version of the results in [4]. Corollary 3 presents an \mathcal{H}_∞ filter for a class of discrete-time systems with unknown state-delay. Both Corollary 2 and Corollary 3 are new results for state estimation of time-delay systems.

5 EXAMPLE

Consider a discrete-time system of the type (1)–(3) with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_o &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.67 & 0.087 \\ 0 & 1.105 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0.096 \\ 0.316 \end{bmatrix} \\
C_o &= [0.5 \quad 0.5], \quad H_o = [1 \quad 2], \quad D_o = 1, \quad L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
L_2 &= 0.4, \quad M = [0.2 \quad 0.3]
\end{aligned}$$

We select \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 as

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and solve the ARIs (47)–(48) using a sequential computational scheme. This scheme is initialized by dropping out the nonlinear terms in (47)–(48) and solving the resulting linear inequalities to yield an initial feasible solution. Then by continuously injecting the solutions into the actual inequalities, it has been found that a satisfactory feasible

solution can be obtained after few iterations. In one case with an accuracy of 10^{-5} , the result of computations are:

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 9.0601 & -0.4380 \\ -0.4380 & 13.0666 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 140.5205 & 10.6250 \\ 10.6250 & 125.4550 \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\mu = 0.25$ where the associated matrices are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.1417 & -1.0963 \\ -1.0963 & 1.1733 \end{bmatrix}, & \mathcal{R}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.3145 & -0.4566 \\ -0.4566 & 0.9339 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{R}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.2225 & -1.0433 \\ -1.0433 & 0.7652 \end{bmatrix}, & \hat{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3237 & 0.6978 \\ -1.3861 & -0.2980 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{T}^t &= \begin{bmatrix} -9.6089 \\ -8.7517 \end{bmatrix}, & \hat{C}^t &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.2041 \\ -0.1840 \end{bmatrix}, & \mathcal{Z} &= -25.5851 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (49) the \mathcal{H}_∞ estimator is described by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(k+1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3237 & 0.6978 \\ -1.3861 & -0.2980 \end{bmatrix} \hat{x}(k) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} 0.3756 \\ 0.3421 \end{bmatrix} [y(k) - [-0.2041 - 0.1840] \hat{x}(k)] \end{aligned}$$

6 CONCLUSIONS

For a class of discrete-time systems with real time-varying norm-bounded parametric uncertainties and unknown state-delay, this paper has

- (1) developed complete results for robust stability with prescribed performance measure
- (2) established a version of the discrete Bounded Real Lemma
- (3) designed a linear state-estimator which provides robust stability with a guaranteed \mathcal{H}_∞ -performance for the estimation error irrespective of the parametric uncertainties and unknown state-delays.

A numerical example has been worked out to illustrate the developed theory.

References

- [1] Anderson, B. D. O. and Boore, J. (1979). "*Optimal Filtering*", Prentice Hall, New York.
- [2] Nagpal, K. M. and Khargonekar, P. P. (1991). "Filtering and Smoothing in \mathcal{H}_∞ Setting", *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, **36**, 152–166.
- [3] Shaked, U. (1990). " \mathcal{H}_∞ Minimum Error Estimation of Linear Stationary Processes", *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, **35**, 554–558.
- [4] Fu, M., De Souza, C. E. and Xie, L. (1991). " \mathcal{H}_∞ Estimation for Discrete-Time Linear Uncertain Systems", *Int. J. Robust and Nonlinear Control*, **1**, 11–23.
- [5] Bernstein, D. S. and Haddad, W. M. (1991). "Steady-State Kalman Filtering with an \mathcal{H}_∞ Error Bound", *Systems and Control Letters*, **16**, 309–317.
- [6] Fu, M., deSouza, C. E. and Xie, L. (1992). " \mathcal{H}_∞ -Estimation for Uncertain Systems", *Int. J. Robust and Nonlinear Control*, **2**, 87–105.
- [7] Xie, L. and Soh, Y. C. (1994). "Robust Kalman Filtering for Uncertain Systems", *Systems and Control Letters*, **22**, 123–129.
- [8] de-Souza, C. E. and Xie, L. (1992). "On the Discrete-Time Bounded Real Lemma with Application in the Characterization of Static State Feedback H_∞ Controllers", *Systems and Control Letters*, **18**, 61–71.
- [9] de-Souza, C. E., Fu, M. and Xie, L. (1993). " \mathcal{H}_∞ Analysis and Synthesis of Discrete-Time Systems with Time Varying Uncertainty", *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, **38**, 459–462.
- [10] Mahmoud, M. S. (1995). "Design of Stabilizing Controllers for Uncertain Discrete-Time Systems with State-Delay", *Journal of Systems Analysis and Modelling Simulation*, **21**, 13–27.
- [11] Yaesh, I., and Shaked, U. (1991). "A Transfer Function Approach to the Problems of Discrete-Time Systems: H_∞ -Optimal Linear Control and Filtering", *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, **36**, 1264–127.
- [12] Mahmoud, M. S., Al-Muthairi, N. F. and Bingulac, S. (1999). "Robust Kalman Filtering for Continuous Time-Lag Systems", *Systems and Control Letters*, **38**, 309–319.
- [13] Mahmoud, M. S. "Robust H_∞ Control and Filtering for Time-Delay Systems", *Journal of Systems Analysis and Modelling Simulation*, to appear.
- [14] Mahmoud, M. S. "Robust H_∞ Filtering for Uncertain Systems with Unknown-Delays", *Journal of Systems Analysis and Modelling Simulation*, to appear.
- [15] Mahmoud, M. S. and Xie, L. " H_∞ -Filtering for a Class of Nonlinear Time-Delay Systems", *Journal of Systems Analysis and Modelling Simulation*, to appear.
- [16] Mahmoud, M. S., Xie, L. and Soh, C. "Robust Kalman Filtering for Discrete State-Delay Systems", submitted for publication.
- [17] Mahmoud, M. S. "*Robust Control and Filtering for Time-Delay Systems*", Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk