

On an $M^1, M^2/G^r/1$ Queueing System

LOTFI TADJ

*Department of Statistics and Operations Research, College of Science,
King Saud University, P. O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia*

(Received 11 May 1998; In final form 3 November 1999)

The author studies a service delayed queueing system with priority discipline. The joint queue size distribution is derived in the steady state.

Keywords: Queue; Embedded Markov chain; Priority discipline; Joint distribution; Steady-state distribution

AMS Subject Classifications: Primary: 60K10, 60K25; Secondary: 90B22, 90B25

1. INTRODUCTION

Queueing systems where the server does not start service until the queue size has reached a specified level have been extensively studied by different authors, sometimes under different appellations, such as service delayed models, models with accumulation level, quorum models, models under q -policy, *etc.* Results and applications of these models and of their various variants are reported by Dshalalow [3, 4].

Just as much studied, if not more, are priority queueing systems. Many real world queueing systems have their customers divided into classes. It is only reasonable to distinguish between express and regular mail, rush and ordinary jobs, and, generally speaking, important and less important customers. The use of priority discipline improves the measures of performance of the higher priority classes at the expense of the lower priority classes.

The present paper incorporates a priority discipline into a service delayed queueing system. Customers are divided into two classes: a

class 1 (high-priority) customers and a class 2 (low-priority) customers. The interest is on the joint queue size distribution, and we derive it in the steady state.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we report some results related to the service delayed queueing system $M/G^r/1$. In Section 3, we introduce the service delayed queueing system with priority discipline $M^1, M^2/G^r/1$ and derive the joint queue size distribution in the steady state. A summary and directions for further research are given at the end of the paper.

2. THE $M/G^r/1$ MODEL

Consider a queueing system where customers arrive to the facility and wait for service on a first-come, first served basis. Arrivals occur according to a Poisson process with rate λ . Assume there is one server. Assume also that the server becomes idle after a departure if the queue size is smaller than a given level r and that he resumes work when the queue size has grown up to the level r . The size of the batch of customers taken for each service is fixed and equal to r . Let $Q(t)$ denote the number of customers in the system at an arbitrary time t and let Q_n be the number of customers in the system at the completion epoch T_n of the n th service, that is $Q_n = Q(T_n^+)$. Also, let A_{n+1} be the number of arrivals during the service period of the $(n+1)$ th batch. Then, (Q_n) satisfies the recursion

$$Q_{n+1} = (Q_n - r)^+ + A_{n+1}. \quad (2.1)$$

(Q_n) is a Markov chain. It is ergodic if and only if $\lambda b < r$, where b is the mean service duration. Denote by $P_n(x) = E[x^{Q_n}]$ the probability generating function of Q_n , by $p_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{Q_n = i\}$ the steady-state distribution. Also, let $A(x) = E[x^{A_n}] = B^*(\lambda - \lambda x)$, where $B^*(s)$ is the Laplace–Stieltjes transform of the service time distribution B , let $U = \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$ and let $I_U(\cdot)$ denote the indicator function of set U .

THEOREM *The probability generating function in the steady state $P(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x)$ satisfies the following relation:*

$$P(x) = \frac{A(x)[x^r H(1) - H(x)]}{x^r - A(x)}. \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$H(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} p_i x^i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[x^{Q_n} I_U(Q_n)]. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}(x) &= E[x^{Q_{n+1}}] \\ &= E[x^{(Q_n - r)^+ + A_{n+1}}] \\ &= A(x)(x^{-r} E[x^{Q_n} I_{U^c}(Q_n)] + E[I_U(Q_n)]) \\ &= A(x)(x^{-r} \{P_n(x) - E[x^{Q_n} I_U(Q_n)]\} + E[I_U(Q_n)]). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and solve for $P(x)$ to get (2.2).

The result above is given for example in Dshalalow and Russell [5]. They also show how to get the unknown probabilities p_0, \dots, p_{r-1} in (2.2) using a variant of Rouche’s theorem.

We will be needing in Section 3 the distribution of the first return time for the subset $\{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ of states to itself. It was shown by Tadj and Rikli [7] that the transition probability matrix of (Q_n)

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \cdots \\ f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \cdots \\ 0 & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & f_0 & f_1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$f_k = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda u)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda u} dB(u), \quad k \geq 0, \tag{2.5}$$

can be partitioned into blocks of dimensions $r \times r$ as follows

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & \cdots \\ A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \cdots \\ 0 & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & A_0 & A_1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.6}$$

where, for $n \geq 0$, the matrices B_n have r identical rows with elements

$$(B_n)_{ij} = f_{nr+j}, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, r - 1, \tag{2.7}$$

for $n \geq 1$, the matrices A_n have elements

$$(A_n)_{ij} = f_{nr+j-i}, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, r - 1, \tag{2.8}$$

and A_0 is upper triangular with elements

$$(A_0)_{ij} = f_{j-i}, \quad i \leq j, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, r - 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Using the terminology of Neuts [6], Q is said to be a stochastic matrix of M/G/1 type. Informally speaking, level i , $i \geq 0$, consists of the r states in the i th row of matrix Q , as represented in (2.6). For $k \geq 1, 0 \leq i, j < r - 1$, let $K_{ij}(k)$ be the conditional probability that the Markov chain, starting in state i of level 0, returns to level 0 by hitting state j , after exactly k transitions. Because the matrices $B_n, n \geq 0$, have identical rows, the probabilities $K_{ij}(k)$ are independent of the initial state i and will be denoted $K_j(k)$. For $k \geq 1$, let $K(k) = [K_0(k), K_1(k), \dots, K_{r-1}(k)]$ and let $K^*(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K(k)z^k$. Then, using Neuts' method [6], the vector $K^*(z)$ is given by (see for example Choi and Lee [1]):

$$K^*(z) = z[f_0, \dots, f_{r-1}] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z[f_n, \dots, f_{n+r-1}]G^n(z), \tag{2.10}$$

where the matrix G is the solution to the matrix equation

$$G(z) = z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n G^n(z). \tag{2.11}$$

3. THE M¹, M²/G^r/1 MODEL

We want to generalize and “refine” the previous model by assuming that customers are divided into two classes: high-priority and low-priority customers. Customers of each class follow an independent Poisson arrival process with rates λ₁ and λ₂, respectively. They are accommodated in an infinite capacity waiting room. The service time distribution of each size *r* batch of customers is *B*, the same for both priority classes. At time *T_n* (the end of a service), the server takes *r* class 1 customers for service, if available. Otherwise, if there are *i* (*i* < *r*) class 1 customers, then the server completes the batch by taking (*r* - *i*) class 2 customers. Finally, if less than *r* class 1 and class 2 customers are waiting, then the server idles until the level *r* is reached.

The analysis used in this section is not new. It generalizes that of the previous section, making use of indicator functions. It has been used, for example, by Choi and Lee [1] in studying a priority queueing system, by Dshalalow [2] in studying the theory of fluctuations, etc.

Let *Qⁱ(t)* be the number of class *i* (*i* = 1, 2) customers in the system at an arbitrary time *t* and denote by *Q_nⁱ* = *Qⁱ(T_n⁺)* the number of class *i* (*i* = 1, 2) customers in the system at a service completion epoch *T_n*. Then (*Q_n¹*, *Q_n²*) is a two-dimensional Markov chain satisfying the following recursive equations:

$$Q_{n+1}^1 = (Q_n^1 - r)^+ + A_{n+1}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$Q_{n+1}^2 = (Q_n^2 - (r - Q_n^1)^+)^+ + B_{n+1}, \tag{3.2}$$

where *A_{n+1}* (respectively *B_{n+1}*) is the number of high priority (respectively low-priority) arrivals during the service period of the (*n*+1)th batch. The necessary and sufficient condition for the system to reach steady-state is obviously (λ₁ + λ₂)*b* < *r*. Let *P_n(x, y)* = *E[x^{Q_n¹}y^{Q_n²}]* denote joint probability generating function of (*Q_n¹*, *Q_n²*), and let *A(x)* = *E[x^{A_n}]* = *B*(λ₁ - λ₁x)* and *B(x)* = *E[x^{B_n}]* = *B*(λ₂ - λ₂x)*.

THEOREM *The joint probability generating function in the steady state P(x, y) = lim_{n→∞} P_n(x, y) satisfies the following relation:*

$$P(x, y) = \frac{x^r A(x) B(y)}{x^r - A(x) B(y)} \left(E[I_U(Q^1 + Q^2)] - \frac{1}{y^r} E[y^{Q^1 + Q^2} I_U(Q^1 + Q^2)] - \frac{1}{x^r} R(x, y) + \frac{1}{y^r} R(y, y) \right), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$R(x, y) = E[x^{\mathcal{Q}^1} y^{\mathcal{Q}^2} I_U(\mathcal{Q}^1)]. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}(x, y) &= E[x^{\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}^1} y^{\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}^2}] \\ &= E[x^{(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 - r)^+ + A_{n+1}} y^{(\mathcal{Q}_n^2 - (r - \mathcal{Q}_n^1)^+ + B_{n+1})}] \\ &= E[x^{A_{n+1}}] E[y^{B_{n+1}}] E[x^{(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 - r)^+} y^{(\mathcal{Q}_n^2 - (r - \mathcal{Q}_n^1)^+)^+}] \\ &= A(x) B(y) (E[x^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1 - r} y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_{U^c}(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)] + E[y^{(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2 - r)^+} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)]) \\ &= A(x) B(y) \left(\frac{1}{x^r} E[x^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1} y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_{U^c}(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E[y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2 - r} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1) I_{U^c}(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E[I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2)] \right) \\ &= A(x) B(y) \left(\frac{1}{x^r} \{P_n(x, y) - E[x^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1} y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_{U^c}(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)]\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E[I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{y^r} \{E[y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - E[y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2)]\} \right) \\ &= A(x) B(y) \left(\frac{1}{x^r} P_n(x, y) + E[I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{y^r} E[y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{y^r} E[y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1 + \mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{x^r} E[x^{\mathcal{Q}_n^1} y^{\mathcal{Q}_n^2} I_U(\mathcal{Q}_n^1)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and solve for $P(x, y)$ to get (3.3).

Note that in the quantity between parentheses on the right-hand-side of (3.3), the first two terms can be derived from (2.2) and that only $R(x, y)$ needs to be determined for $P(x, y)$ to be fully determined.

In order to obtain $R(x, y)$ we follow the analysis of Choi and Lee [1], and consider the joint distribution of the numbers of high and low priority customers at a service completion in which $Q^1 < r$. So let t_n denote a service completion epoch in which $Q^1 < r$ and for $i = 1, 2$, let $\bar{Q}_n^i = Q^i(t_n)$. Also, let x_n be the time period between t_n and t_{n+1} . Define the joint probability generating function $\bar{R}_n(x, y) = E[x^{\bar{Q}_n^1} y^{\bar{Q}_n^2}]$ and the joint probability generating function in the steady state $\bar{R}(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{R}_n(x, y)$. Then R and \bar{R} are related by

$$R(x, y) = P\{Q^1 < r\} \bar{R}(x, y), \tag{3.5}$$

so that $P(x, y)$ is fully determined if $\bar{R}(x, y)$ is. Note that for $l \geq 1$ and $j = 0, \dots, r - 1$, $P\{x_n = l, \bar{Q}_{n+1}^1 = j\} = K_j(l)$ is determined by (2.10). Let C_n denote the number of class 2 arrivals during the time period x_n . Then the embedded processes \bar{Q}_n^1 and \bar{Q}_n^2 satisfy

$$\bar{Q}_{n+1}^2 = (\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2 - r)^+ + C_n, \tag{3.6}$$

THEOREM *The joint probability generating function in the steady state $\bar{R}(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{R}_n(x, y)$ satisfies the following relation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(x, y) &= (\bar{R}(y, y) - E[y^{\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2} I_U(\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2)] + y^r E[I_U(\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2)]) \\ &\times \frac{1}{y^r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^j K_j^*(B(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{n+1}(x, y) &= E[x^{\bar{Q}_{n+1}^1} y^{\bar{Q}_{n+1}^2}] = E[x^{\bar{Q}_{n+1}^1} y^{(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2 - r)^+ + C_n}] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} E[x^{\bar{Q}_{n+1}^1} y^{(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2 - r)^+ + C_n} I_{\{l\}}(x_n)] \\ &= E[y^{(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2 - r)^+}] \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [B(y)]^l E[x^{\bar{Q}_{n+1}^1} I_{\{l\}}(x_n)] \\ &= E[y^{(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2 - r)^+}] \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} x^j [B(y)]^l K_j(l) \\ &= (E[y^{\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2 - r} I_{U^c}(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2)] + E[I_U(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2)]) \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^j K_j^*(B(y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (E[y^{\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2} I_{U^c}(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2)] + y^r E[I_U(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2)]) \frac{1}{y^r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^j K_j^*(B(y)) \\
&= (\bar{R}_n(y, y) - E[y^{\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2} I_{U^c}(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2)] + y^r E[I_U(\bar{Q}_n^1 + \bar{Q}_n^2)]) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{y^r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^j K_j^*(B(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $n \rightarrow \infty$ to get (3.7).

Note that in the quantity between parentheses on the right-hand-side of (3.7), the first two terms are unknown.

COROLLARY

$$\bar{R}(y, y) = \frac{(y^r E[I_U(\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2)] - E[y^{\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2} I_{U^c}(\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2)]) \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y^j K_j^*(B(y))}{y^r - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y^j K_j^*(B(y))} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof Letting $x = y$ in (3.6) and solving for $\bar{R}(y, y)$ we get (3.8).

The only unknown term in (3.8), $E[y^{\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2} I_{U^c}(\bar{Q}^1 + \bar{Q}^2)]$, can be determined by applying Rouché's theorem to $y^r - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y^j K_j^*(B(y))$, (see Choi and Lee [1]). Indeed, $y^r - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} y^j K_j^*(B(y))$ has r roots in the region $|y| \leq 1$. These roots must also be roots of the numerator in (3.8) since $\bar{R}(y, y)$ is analytic in $|y| \leq 1$. Having determined $\bar{R}(y, y)$ by (3.8), one can backtrack to get $\bar{R}(x, y)$ by (3.7) then $R(x, y)$ by (3.5) and finally $P(x, y)$ by (3.3).

4. CONCLUSION

The probability generating function of the joint queue size is derived in a service delayed queueing system with two priority classes. Among the possible research directions:

- study the continuous time version of the process considered here.
- check the conservation law that the intensity of the system is equal to the server capacity, as in most queueing systems.
- assume a different service distribution for each class.
- consider more than two priority classes.

Extensions of this model are as numerous as the variants of the service delayed queueing system. One may consider, for example:

- a bulk arrival process
- a modulated input process
- a state dependence of the service process
- an hysteretic control policy
- an N -policy
- a vacationing server
- a random server capacity
- customer impatience, *etc.*

References

- [1] Choi, B. D. and Lee, Y. (1998). Geo^{X₁}/Geo^{X₂}/D/c HOL priority queueing system with random order selection within each priority class, *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*, **12**, 125–139.
- [2] Dshalalow, J. H. (1992). A first passage time problem and its applications to the analysis of a class of stochastic models, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis*, **5**(1), 83–98.
- [3] Dshalalow, J. H. (1995). Excess level processes in queueing, In: *Advances in Queueing: Theory, Methods and Open Problems* (Ed. Dshalalow, J. H.), pp. 243–262, CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- [4] Dshalalow, J. H. (1997). Queueing systems with state dependent parameters, In: *Frontiers in Queueing: Models and Applications in Science and Engineering* (Ed. Dshalalow, J. H.), pp. 61–116, CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- [5] Dshalalow, J. H. and Russell, G. (1992). On a single-server queue with fixed accumulation level, state dependent service, and semi-Markov modulated input flow, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **15**(3), 593–600.
- [6] Neuts, M. F. (1989). *Structured Stochastic Matrices of M/G/1-Type and Their Applications*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- [7] Tadj, L. and Rikli, N.-E., Matrix analytic solution to a quorum queueing system, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* (to appear).

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru