

Research Article

On the Parametric Solution to the Second-Order Sylvester Matrix Equation $EVF^2 - AVF - CV = BW$

Wang Guo-Sheng, Lv Qiang, and Duan Guang-Ren

Received 30 November 2005; Revised 27 June 2007; Accepted 5 September 2007

Recommended by P. T. Kabamba

This paper considers the solution to a class of the second-order Sylvester matrix equation $EVF^2 - AVF - CV = BW$. Under the controllability of the matrix triple (E, A, B) , a complete, general, and explicit parametric solution to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation, with the matrix F in a diagonal form, is proposed. The results provide great convenience to the analysis of the solution to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation, and can perform important functions in many analysis and design problems in control systems theory. As a demonstration, an illustrative example is given to show the effectiveness of the proposed solution.

Copyright © 2007 Wang Guo-Sheng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In vibration and structure analysis, second-order linear systems capture the dynamic behaviour of many natural phenomena and have found wide applications, whose state equation can be given as follows:

$$E\ddot{q} - A\dot{q} - Cq = Bu, \tag{1.1}$$

where $q \in R^n$ is the generalized coordinate vector, $u \in R^r$ is the input vector, and E, A, B, C are matrices of appropriate dimensions. The matrices in (1.1) satisfy the following assumption.

Assumption 1.1. The matrix triple (E, A, B) is R -controllable, that is,

$$\text{rank}[A - sE \quad B] = n, \quad \forall s \in C. \tag{1.2}$$

Assumption 1.2. The matrix B is of full rank, that is, $\text{rank}(B) = r$.

2 Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Associated with the second-order linear system (1.1), a generalized second-order Sylvester matrix equation can be written in the form of

$$EVF^2 - AVF - CV = BW, \quad (1.3)$$

where $E, A, C \in R^{n \times n}$, $B \in R^{n \times r}$ and $F \in R^{p \times p}$ are known matrices; matrices $W \in R^{r \times p}$ and $V \in R^{n \times p}$ are to be determined.

When $E = 0_n$, $A = -I_n$, the generalized second-order Sylvester matrix equation (1.3) becomes the following generalized Sylvester matrix equation

$$VF - CV = BW. \quad (1.4)$$

When $E = 0_n$, $A = -B = -I_n$, $W = -Q$, the generalized second-order Sylvester matrix equation (1.3) becomes the following normal Sylvester matrix equation

$$CV - VF = Q. \quad (1.5)$$

Further, if we let $C = -F^T$ in (1.5), the above normal Sylvester matrix equation becomes the following well-known Lyapunov matrix equation

$$F^T V + VF = -Q. \quad (1.6)$$

Thus we can find that the second-order Sylvester equation (1.3) is more general than the first-order Sylvester equations (1.4) and (1.5). As we all know, the Sylvester equation is directly concerned with some control problems for linear systems such as eigenvalue assignment [1, 2], observer design [3], eigenstructure assignment design [4–6], constrained control [7], and so forth, and has been studied by many authors (see [3–6], and the references therein).

A solution to the normal Sylvester matrix equation (1.5) has been studied by several researchers. Jameson [8] and Souza and Bhattacharyya [9] gave solutions to this matrix equation in terms of the controllability and observability matrices of some matrix pairs, and Hartwig [10] gave a solution to this equation in terms of the inverse of the related Sylvester resultant, while Jones and Lew [11] presented a solution to this equation in terms of the principal idempotents and nilpotents of the coefficient matrices. Hearon [12] considered the case of Q as being a rank one matrix, and presented some conditions for the matrix V to be nonsingular. For the Lyapunov matrix equation (1.6) with the matrix C in companion form, many authors have considered the solution. Particularly, Sreeram and Agathoklis [13] presented an iterative method based on the Routh's table. Zhou and Duan [14] presented an explicit solution to the generalized Sylvester matrix equation (1.4), and a simple and effective approach for parametric pole assignment is proposed as a demonstration. Duan and Wang [15] proposed two analytical general solutions of (1.3), and by utilizing these results, they investigated many control problems such as the robust control problem [16], the eigenstructure assignment problem [17, 18], the model reference control problem [19], the reconfiguring control problem [20], and so on.

Different from the condition in [15], this paper provides another type of general parametric solutions to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation (1.3). In the next section,

some preliminaries to be used in this paper are given. In Sections 3 and 4, the general solutions to the generalized Sylvester matrix equation (1.3) are presented in two cases: the undetermined diagonal matrix F and the determined diagonal matrix F . As a demonstration of the proposed solutions to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation, a numerical example is illustrated by utilizing the proposed two algorithms, and general complete parametric forms for the solution to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation are established in Section 5. In Section 6, concluding remarks are drawn.

2. Preliminaries

If the matrix $F \in R^{p \times p}$ in the matrix equation (1.3) is not diagonal, there must exist a nonsingular matrix $M \in R^{p \times p}$ such that

$$MFM^{-1} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p) = \tilde{F}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ are the eigenvalues of F . Thus (1.3) can be changed into the following form:

$$EV(M^{-1}\tilde{F}M)^2 - AVM^{-1}\tilde{F}M - CV = BW \quad (2.2)$$

or

$$EVM^{-1}\tilde{F}^2 - AVM^{-1}\tilde{F} - CVM^{-1} = BWM^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Denote

$$VM^{-1} = \hat{V}, \quad WM^{-1} = \hat{W}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the above equation is changed into

$$E\hat{V}\tilde{F}^2 - A\hat{V}\tilde{F} - C\hat{V} = B\hat{W}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{F} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p)$ is a diagonal Jordan form.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume that the matrix F in (1.3) is in a diagonal Jordan form. Let $\Gamma = \{s_i, s_i \in C, \text{re}(s_i) < 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$, which is symmetric about the real axis and whose elements are distinct, be the set of eigenvalues of F . Thus the diagonal Jordan matrix F can be written in the following form:

$$F = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p). \quad (2.6)$$

Denote

$$\tilde{V} = VF, \quad (2.7)$$

4 Mathematical Problems in Engineering

then (1.3) can be further changed into

$$E\tilde{V}F - A\tilde{V} - CV = BW. \quad (2.8)$$

The matrices V , \tilde{V} , and W can be written by their columns as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= [v_1 \quad v_2 \quad \cdots \quad v_p], \\ \tilde{V} &= [\tilde{v}_1 \quad \tilde{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \tilde{v}_p], \\ W &= [w_1 \quad w_2 \quad \cdots \quad w_p], \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

then (2.8) can be decomposed into

$$E\tilde{v}_i s_i - A\tilde{v}_i - Cv_i = Bw_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (2.10a)$$

or

$$[A - s_i E \quad B] \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}_i \\ w_i \end{bmatrix} = -Cv_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p; \quad (2.10b)$$

then the problem to solve the second-order Sylvester equation (1.3) can be changed into the following equivalent problem.

Problem SOS (second-order Sylvester): Given matrices E , A , B , C , and F with appropriate dimensions in the second-order Sylvester matrix equation (1.3), then find the solutions V and W to equations (2.7) and (2.8).

In the next two sections, we will consider the solution to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation (1.3) in two cases: one is the case of the undetermined diagonal matrix F , and the other is the case of the determined diagonal matrix F .

3. Case of the undetermined diagonal matrix F

If the matrix triple (E, A, B) is R -controllable, there exists a pair of unimodular matrices $P(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$ and $Q(s) \in R^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}[s]$, satisfying

$$P(s)[A - sE \quad B]Q(s) = [0 \quad I_n], \quad \forall s \in C. \quad (3.1)$$

Partition $Q(s)$ as follows:

$$Q(s) = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}(s) & Q_{12}(s) \\ Q_{21}(s) & Q_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $Q_{11}(s) \in R^{n \times r}[s]$, $Q_{12}(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$, $Q_{21}(s) \in R^{r \times r}[s]$, and $Q_{22}(s) \in R^{r \times n}[s]$. Then we have the following lemma, which gives the solutions to (2.8).

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that the matrix triple (E, A, B) is R -controllable, then all the solutions \tilde{V} and W in (2.8) can be given by their column vectors as*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}_i \\ w_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}(s_i) & Q_{12}(s) \\ Q_{21}(s_i) & Q_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_i \\ -P(s_i)Cv_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.3a)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_i &= Q_{11}(s_i) f_i - Q_{12}(s_i) P(s_i) C v_i \\ w_i &= Q_{21}(s_i) f_i - Q_{22}(s_i) P(s_i) C v_i, \end{aligned} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.3b)$$

where matrices $Q_{11}(s) \in R^{n \times r}[s]$, $Q_{12}(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$, $Q_{21}(s) \in R^{r \times r}[s]$, and $Q_{22}(s) \in R^{r \times n}[s]$ satisfy (3.1) and (3.2); $f_i \in C^r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are a group of free parametric vectors.

Proof. Substitute (3.2) into (3.1), we can obtain

$$[A - sE \quad B] \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}(s) \\ Q_{21}(s) \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$P(s)[A - sE \quad B] \begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s) \\ Q_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix} = I_n. \quad (3.5)$$

It is clear to see that (3.5) is equivalent with the following equation

$$[A - sE \quad B] \begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s) \\ Q_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix} P(s) = I_n. \quad (3.6)$$

By utilizing (3.3a), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A - s_i E) \tilde{v}_i + B w_i &= [A - s_i E \quad B] \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}_i \\ w_i \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [A - s_i E \quad B] \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}(s_i) & Q_{12}(s_i) \\ Q_{21}(s_i) & Q_{22}(s_i) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_i \\ -P(s_i) C v_i \end{bmatrix} = -C v_i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

thus (3.3) are the solutions to (2.8). \square

Now let us show that the vectors \tilde{v}_i and w_i satisfying (2.10a) can be expressed in the form of (3.3a). Premultiplying by $P(s_i)$ both sides of (2.10b) and using (3.1) yield

$$[0 \quad I_n] Q^{-1}(s_i) \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}_i \\ w_i \end{bmatrix} = -P(s_i) C v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (3.8)$$

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_i \\ e_i \end{bmatrix} = Q^{-1}(s_i) \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}_i \\ w_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.9)$$

then (3.8) becomes

$$[0 \quad I_n] \begin{bmatrix} f_i \\ e_i \end{bmatrix} = -P(s_i) C v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.10)$$

6 Mathematical Problems in Engineering

which produces

$$e_i = -P(s_i)Cv_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting (3.11) into (3.9), we can get (3.3a).

Finally, noticing that the equivalence between (3.3a) and (3.3b) is obvious, the proof is completed.

For control applications, the second-order linear system (1.1) is usually transformed into the following first-order linear system

$$E' \dot{x} = A'x + B'u, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$E' = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}, \quad A' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ C & A \end{bmatrix}, \quad B' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.13)$$

Then the following lemma gives the relations of the controllability of the matrix triples (E, A, B) and (E', A', B') , which offers more convenience to solve Problem SOS.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let the matrix triple (E, A, B) be R -controllable, then the matrix triple (E', A', B') is also R -controllable if and only if*

$$\text{rank}[Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n - Q_{11}(s)] = n, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.14)$$

where matrices $Q_{11}(s) \in R^{n \times r}[s]$, $Q_{12}(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$, and $P(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$ satisfy (3.1) and (3.2).

Proof. Since the matrix triple (E, A, B) is R -controllable, (3.1) holds for some unimodular matrices $P(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$ and $Q(s) \in R^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}[s]$. Using the controllability of the matrix triple (E', A', B') and the structure of matrices E' , A' , and B' , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & P(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A' - sE' & B' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q(s) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & P(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -sI_n & I_n & 0 \\ C & A - sE & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q(s) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -sI_n & I_n & 0 \\ P(s)C & P(s)[A - sE & B] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q(s) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -sI_n & [I_n & 0]Q(s) \\ P(s)C & P(s)[A - sE & B]Q(s) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -sI_n & Q_{11}(s) & Q_{12}(s) \\ P(s)C & 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The above matrix can easily be transformed, by elementary transformations, into the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n & -Q_{11}(s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus we have

$$\text{rank}[A' - sE' \quad B'] = \text{rank}[Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n \quad -Q_{11}(s)]. \quad (3.17)$$

From this, we can conclude that the matrix triple (E', A', B') is R -controllable if and only if (3.14) is valid. \square

If (3.14) holds, there exists a pair of unimodular matrices: $H(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$ and $L(s) \in R^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}[s]$, satisfying the following condition:

$$H(s)[Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n \quad -Q_{11}(s)]L(s) = [0 \quad I_n], \quad \forall s \in C. \quad (3.18)$$

Partition $L(s)$ as follows:

$$L(s) = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11}(s) & L_{12}(s) \\ L_{21}(s) & L_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $L_{11}(s) \in R^{n \times r}[s]$, $L_{12}(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$, $L_{21}(s) \in R^{r \times r}[s]$, and $L_{22}(s) \in R^{r \times n}[s]$. Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that (3.18) holds for some pair of unimodular matrices $H(s) \in R^{n \times n}[s]$ and $L(s) \in R^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}[s]$. Then all the vectors y and z satisfying*

$$(Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n)y - Q_{11}(s)z = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

are given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11}(s) \\ L_{21}(s) \end{bmatrix} g \quad (3.21a)$$

or

$$y = L_{11}(s)g, \quad z = L_{21}(s)g \quad (3.21b)$$

with $g \in C^r$ being an arbitrary parameter vector.

Proof. Rewriting (3.20) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n & -Q_{11}(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

and premultiplying by $H(s)$ both sides of (3.22) give

$$H(s) \begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_n & -Q_{11}(s) \end{bmatrix} L(s)L^{-1}(s) \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

8 Mathematical Problems in Engineering

which reduces, in view of (3.18), to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} L^{-1}(s) \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Letting

$$\begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix} = L^{-1}(s) \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.25)$$

and substituting (3.25) into (3.24), we can obtain $h = 0$. Thus it can be obtained from (3.25) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = L(s) \begin{bmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.26)$$

which is equivalent to (3.21a) or (3.21b). \square

From Lemma 3.1, we can get that

$$\tilde{v}_i = -Q_{12}(s_i)P(s_i)Cv_{1i} + Q_{11}(s_i)f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (3.27)$$

Equation (2.7) can be equivalently written in the following vector form:

$$\tilde{v}_i = s_i v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (3.28)$$

Combining (3.27) and (3.28) yields

$$[Q_{12}(s_i)P(s_i)C + s_i I_n]v_i - Q_{11}(s_i)f_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.29)$$

which is clearly in the form of (3.20). Applying Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 to (3.29) gets

$$v_i = L_{11}(s_i)g_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.30)$$

$$f_i = L_{21}(s_i)g_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (3.31)$$

where $g_i \in C^r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ are a group of parametric vectors.

Substituting (3.30) and (3.31) into (3.3b) produces

$$w_i = [Q_{21}(s_i)L_{21}(s_i) - Q_{22}(s_i)P(s_i)CL_{11}(s_i)]g_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (3.32)$$

To sum up, we now have the following theorem for solutions to Problem SOS proposed in Section 2.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let the matrix triples (E, A, B) and (E', A', B') both be R -controllable, then all the solutions V and W to Problem SOS are given by (3.30) and (3.32) in their column vectors, respectively.*

Algorithm [SOS1]. (1) Solve the pair of unimodular matrices $P(s)$ and $Q(s)$ satisfying (3.1) by applying elementary transformations to matrix $[A-sE \ B]$ and partition matrix $Q(s)$ as in (3.2).

(2) Solve the pair of unimodular matrices $H(s)$ and $L(s)$ satisfying (3.18) by applying elementary transformations to the matrix $[Q_{12}(s)P(s)C+sI \ -Q_{11}(s)]$ and partition matrix $L(s)$ as in (3.19).

(3) Establish the general parametric forms for the matrices V and W according to (3.30) and (3.32), respectively.

Remark 3.5. The advantage of the proposed solutions to the second-order Sylvester equation is that it can provide the general explicit parametric solution. In the case of the undetermined eigenvalues of the matrix F , the free parameters in the solutions are composed of two parts: one is clearly the group of parameter vectors $g_i \in C^r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, while the other is the group of eigenvalues s_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ of the matrix F . Both the two parts of degrees of freedom may be selected to design some control problems such as the eigenstructure assignment problem, the model tracking problem, the observer design problem, and so forth.

4. Case of the determined diagonal matrix F

When the eigenvalues s_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ of the matrix F are prescribed, the solutions to the generalized Sylvester matrix equation (1.3) are actually dependent on the constant matrices

$$\tilde{P}_i = P(s_i), \quad \tilde{Q}_i = Q(s_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.1)$$

which satisfy the following:

$$\tilde{P}_i [A - s_i E \ B] \tilde{Q}_i = [0 \ I_n], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, we can, instead of seeking the polynomial matrices $P(s)$ and $Q(s)$ satisfying the relation (3.1), find these constant matrices directly so as to avoid polynomial matrix manipulations.

The constant matrices $P_i = P(s_i) \in C^{n \times n}$ and $Q_i = Q(s_i) \in C^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ can be easily obtained by singular value decompositions. In fact, by applying singular value decomposition to the matrix $[A - s_i E \ B]$, we obtain two orthogonal matrices $P_i \in C^{n \times n}$ and $Q_i \in C^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$P_i [A - s_i E \ B] Q_i = [0 \ \Sigma_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.3)$$

where Σ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ are diagonal matrices with positive diagonal elements. By rearranging (4.3) in the following form:

$$\Sigma_i^{-1} P_i [A - s_i E \ B] Q_i = [0 \ I], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.4)$$

we can obtain the constant matrices \tilde{P}_i , and \tilde{Q}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ satisfying (4.2) as

$$\tilde{P}_i = \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i, \quad \tilde{Q}_i = Q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (4.5)$$

Partitioning the matrix Q_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ is as follows:

$$Q_i = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11}^i & Q_{12}^i \\ Q_{21}^i & Q_{22}^i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $Q_{11}^i \in C^{n \times r}$, $Q_{12}^i \in C^{n \times n}$, $Q_{21}^i \in C^{r \times r}$, and $Q_{22}^i \in C^{r \times n}$. Then we can give a corollary of Lemma 3.1 as follows.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let the matrix triple (E, A, B) be R -controllable, and let the diagonal matrix F be defined as in (2.6) with s_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ known. Then all the solutions \tilde{V} and W in (2.8) can be given by their columns as*

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_i \\ w_i \end{bmatrix} = Q_i \begin{bmatrix} f_i \\ -\Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C v_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.7)$$

or, equivalently, as

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= Q_{11}^i f_i - Q_{12}^i \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C v_i \\ w_i &= Q_{21}^i f_i - Q_{22}^i \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C v_i, \end{aligned} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.8)$$

where $f_i \in C^r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are a group of arbitrary parameter vectors.

Similarly, we can derive a corollary of Lemma 3.3.

By applying singular value decomposition to the matrix $[Q_{12}^i P_i C + s_i I - Q_{11}^i]$, we obtain two orthogonal matrices $H_i \in R^{n \times n}$ and $L_i \in R^{(n+r) \times (n+r)}$ satisfying the following equation:

$$H_i \begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}^i \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C + s_i I_n & -Q_{11}^i \end{bmatrix} L_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Xi_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.9)$$

where Ξ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are diagonal matrices with positive diagonal elements. Note that (4.9) can be arranged in the following form:

$$\Xi_i^{-1} H_i \begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}^i \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C + s_i I_n & -Q_{11}^i \end{bmatrix} L_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (4.10)$$

Matrices $H(s_i)$ and $L(s_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, in Lemma 3.3 can thus be substituted by the matrices $\Xi_i^{-1} H_i$ and L_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Further, partition the matrix L_i as

$$L_i = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11}^i & L_{12}^i \\ L_{21}^i & L_{22}^i \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_{11}^i \in R^{n \times r}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $L_{11}^i \in C^{n \times r}$, $L_{12}^i \in C^{n \times n}$, $L_{21}^i \in C^{r \times r}$, and $L_{22}^i \in C^{r \times n}$. Then a corollary of Lemma 3.3 can be obtained as follows.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let P_i , Q_{11}^i , Q_{12}^i , and Σ_i be given by (4.3) and (4.6). Then all the vectors y and z satisfying*

$$(Q_{12}^i \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C + s_i I) y - Q_{11}^i z = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

are given as

$$y = L_{11}^i g, \quad z = L_{21}^i g \quad (4.13)$$

with $g \in C^r$ being an arbitrary parameter vector.

With the help of the above two corollaries, via a similar development as in Section 3, the following theorem can be obtained for solution to Problem SOS in the case that the eigenvalues of the matrix F are given a priori.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let the matrix triples (E, A, B) and (E', A', B') both be R -controllable, and let the desired closed-loop eigenvalues s_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ be given a priori. Then all the solutions V and W to Problem SOS can be given by their column vectors, respectively:*

$$v_i = L_{11}^i g_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.14)$$

$$w_i = [Q_{21}^i L_{21}^i - Q_{22}^i \Sigma_i^{-1} P_i C L_{11}^i] g_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad (4.15)$$

where $g_i \in C^r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are a group of parameter vectors.

Based on the above theorem, an algorithm for solution to Problem SOS in the case of the determined eigenvalues of the matrix F can be given as follows.

Algorithm [SOS2]. (1) Solve the matrices P_i , Q_i , and Σ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, satisfying singular value decompositions in (4.3), and partition the matrix Q_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, as in (4.6).

(2) Solve the matrices H_i , L_i , and Ξ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, satisfying singular value decompositions in (4.10), and partition the matrix L_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, as in (4.11).

(3) Establish the general parametric forms for the matrices V and W according to (4.14) and (4.15), respectively.

Remark 4.4. In the case that the eigenvalues of the matrix F are given a priori, the proposed solution to the second-order Sylvester equation also provides the general explicit parametric solution. The free parameters are composed of the group of parameter vectors $g_i \in C^r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, which can also be selected to design some control problems.

5. An illustrative example

Consider a second-order Sylvester equation in the form of (1.1) with the following parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & A &= \begin{bmatrix} -2.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.5 & -2.5 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ C &= \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & -25 & 20 \\ 0 & 20 & -20 \end{bmatrix}, & B &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

It can be easily verified that the matrix triples (E, A, B) and (E', A', B') are both R -controllable.

5.1. Case of the undetermined diagonal matrix F . We assume that the matrix $F = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6)$. In the following, we solve the second-order Sylvester equation using Algorithm SOS1.

(1) By applying some elementary transformations to matrix $[A-sE \ B]$, a pair of unimodular matrices $P(s)$ and $Q(s)$ satisfying (3.1) can be obtained as

$$P(s) = \text{diag}(1, 2, 1), \quad (5.2)$$

$$Q(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 2s+5 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2(s+2.5)^2 - 0.5 & -4s-10 & 1 & s+2.5 & 0 \\ -2 & 2-s & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

(2) Note that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI_3 & -Q_{11}(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10+s & -50 & 40 & -(2s+5) & 4 \\ 0 & s & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.4)$$

is controllable. By applying elementary transformations to the above matrix, unimodular matrices $H(s)$ and $L(s)$ satisfying (3.18) are obtained as

$$H(s) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.005 & 0.005(2s+5) & -0.02 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$L(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 2s^2 + 5s + 50 & -4 & 2s - 15 & 0 & 0 \\ s + 10 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s(s+10) & 0 & s & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.6)$$

(3) Let

$$g_i = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i \\ \beta_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1 \sim 6. \quad (5.7)$$

According to (3.30), the matrix V in (1.3) is given by its column vectors as

$$v_i = \begin{bmatrix} (2s_i^2 + 5s_i + 50)\alpha_i - 4\beta_i \\ (s_i + 10)\alpha_i \\ \beta_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1 \sim 6. \quad (5.8)$$

Further, we have

$$Q_{21}(s)L_{21}(s) - Q_{22}(s)P(s)CL_{11}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 2s^4 + 10s^3 + 82s^2 + 165s + 450 & -4s^2 - 10s - 40 \\ -2s^2 - 40s - 200 & 2s + 20 - s^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.9)$$

Thus from (3.32), the matrix W in (1.3) is given by its column vectors as

$$w_i = \begin{bmatrix} (2s_i^4 + 10s_i^3 + 82s_i^2 + 165s_i + 450)\alpha_i - (4s_i^2 + 10s_i + 40)\beta_i \\ -2(s_i^2 + 20s_i + 100)\alpha_i + (2s_i + 20 - s_i^2)\beta_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1 \sim 6. \quad (5.10)$$

5.2. Case of the determined diagonal matrix F . We assume that the matrix $F = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$, where $s_{1,2} = -1 \pm 2j$, $s_3 = -3$. In the following, we solve the second-order Sylvester equation using Algorithm SOS2.

(1) By utilizing SVD to the matrices $[A - s_i E \ B]$, we can obtain the matrices P_i , Q_i , and Σ_i , $i = 1 \sim 3$, as follows:

$$P_1 = \bar{P}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0811 & 0.5580 - 0.1985i & -0.7443 - 0.2978i \\ -0.9862 & 0.0587 + 0.0695i & 0.0912 + 0.1043i \\ -0.1446 & -0.7136 - 0.3629i & -0.2047 - 0.5443i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_1 = \bar{Q}_2 =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.2128 - 0.2885i & -0.0089 - 0.0613i & 0.0771 - 0.0121i & 0.5510 - 0.7330i & -0.1138 - 0.0876i \\ -0.0560 - 0.0942i & 0.2438 - 0.1864i & -0.5319 + 0.2721i & -0.0948 + 0.0048i & -0.1114 - 0.7179i \\ -0.0010 - 0.0545i & 0.3715 + 0.1194i & 0.7592 + 0.1910i & -0.1333 - 0.0032i & 0.2240 - 0.4048i \\ 0.9242 + 0.0398i & -0.0127 - 0.0165i & -0.0156 - 0.0000i & -0.3602 - 0.0000i & -0.1176 - 0.0000i \\ -0.0000 + 0.0268i & 0.8655 - 0.0121i & -0.1433 + 0.0573i & 0.0333 - 0.0381i & -0.1664 + 0.4426i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0256 & 0.3018 & -0.9530 \\ -0.6688 & -0.7137 & -0.2081 \\ -0.7430 & 0.6321 & 0.2201 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8896 & 0.0154 & 0.0241 & -0.4514 & -0.0628 \\ 0.3227 & -0.2958 & -0.3083 & -0.7232 & 0.4361 \\ 0.1417 & 0.0701 & 0.9363 & -0.2527 & 0.1855 \\ 0.2834 & 0.1402 & -0.0045 & -0.4368 & -0.8421 \\ 0.0631 & 0.9422 & -0.1662 & -0.1359 & 0.2495 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \text{diag}(5.1953, 2.7380, 1.2297), \quad \Sigma_3 = \text{diag}(5.7338, 1.5312, 0.8822), \quad (5.11)$$

and partition the matrices Q_i , $i = 1 \sim 3$, as in (4.6).

(2) Note that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{12}(s)P(s)C + sI & -Q_{11}(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 + s & -50 & 40 & -(2s + 5) & 4 \\ 0 & s & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.12)$$

14 Mathematical Problems in Engineering

is controllable. By utilizing SVD to the matrices $[Q_{12}(s_i)P(s_i)C+s_iI_3 - Q_{11}(s_i)]$, we can obtain the matrices H_i , L_i , and Ξ_i , $i = 1 \sim 3$, satisfying singular value decompositions in (4.10) as follows:

$$H_1 = \bar{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1763 & 0.5323 + 0.3247i & -0.4581 + 0.6085i \\ -0.8716 & 0.2685 - 0.1202i & 0.0449 - 0.3895i \\ 0.4573 & 0.7169 - 0.1039i & -0.0911 - 0.5078i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_1 = \bar{L}_2 =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.0283 - 0.0635i & -0.1145 - 0.1832i & -0.1032 - 0.0360i & -0.6041 - 0.6691i & 0.2377 - 0.2597i \\ -0.1224 - 0.0827i & -0.1849 - 0.2605i & 0.6900 + 0.2510i & 0.1722 + 0.1214i & 0.2945 - 0.4538i \\ -0.1340 - 0.0724i & -0.1962 - 0.2487i & -0.6420 - 0.1921i & 0.2993 + 0.2265i & 0.2534 - 0.4716i \\ 0.9726 - 0.0042i & -0.0494 - 0.0311i & 0.0001 + 0.0002i & 0.0282 + 0.0279i & -0.0231 - 0.2201i \\ -0.0638 + 0.0070i & 0.8634 - 0.0447i & 0.0012 + 0.0039i & -0.0138 - 0.0200i & -0.2084 - 0.4521i \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1473 & -0.2209 & -0.9641 \\ 0.9238 & -0.3790 & -0.0543 \\ -0.3534 & -0.8986 & 0.2600 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1589 & 0.0165 & -0.0587 & -0.9266 & 0.3353 \\ -0.0141 & 0.0667 & 0.7851 & 0.1638 & 0.5934 \\ -0.0301 & 0.0836 & -0.6165 & 0.2972 & 0.7236 \\ 0.9867 & 0.0002 & 0.0019 & 0.1606 & -0.0233 \\ 0.0006 & 0.9941 & 0.0001 & -0.0207 & -0.1062 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Xi_1 = \Xi_2 = \text{diag}(41.4945, 8.2624, 0.8549), \quad \Xi_3 = \text{diag}(40.6893, 5.9256, 2.6234), \quad (5.13)$$

and partition the matrices L_i , $i = 1 \sim 3$, as in (4.11).

(3) Let

$$g_i = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i \\ \beta_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1 \sim 3. \quad (5.14)$$

According to (4.14), the matrix V in (1.3) is given by its column vectors as

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (39+2j)\alpha_1 - 4\beta_1 \\ (9+2j)\alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (39-2j)\alpha_2 - 4\beta_2 \\ (9-2j)\alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 53\alpha_3 - 4\beta_3 \\ 7\alpha_3 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.15)$$

Thus from (4.15), the matrix W in (1.3) is given by its column vectors as

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} (135 + 30j)\alpha_1 - (18 + 4j)\beta_1 \\ (21 + 8j)\beta_1 - (154 - 72j)\alpha_1 \end{bmatrix}, & w_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} (135 - 30j)\alpha_2 + (4j - 18)\beta_2 \\ (21 - 8j)\beta_2 + (72j - 154)\alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ w_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 585\alpha_3 - 46\beta_3 \\ 5\beta_3 - 98\alpha_3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

6. Conclusions

This paper considers the solutions to a second-order Sylvester matrix equation. Under the controllability of some matrix triples, complete, general, and explicit parametric solutions to the generalized second-order Sylvester matrix equation are proposed in two cases of the undetermined eigenvalues of the matrix F and the determined eigenvalues of the matrix F . The general explicit parametric solutions to the second-order Sylvester matrix equation are presented. These results provide great convenience to the analysis of the solution to the equation, and can perform important functions in many analysis and design problems in control systems theory. As a demonstration, a numerical example is offered to show the effectiveness of the proposed approaches.

References

- [1] L. H. Keel, J. A. Fleming, and S. P. Bhattacharyya, "Minimum norm pole assignment via Sylvester's equation," in *Linear Algebra and Its Role in Systems Theory*, vol. 47 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pp. 265–272, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1985.
- [2] S. P. Bhattacharyya and E. de Souza, "Pole assignment via Sylvester's equation," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 261–263, 1982.
- [3] C.-C. Tsui, "A complete analytical solution to the equation $TA - FT = LC$ and its applications," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. AC-32, no. 8, pp. 742–744, 1987.
- [4] G.-R. Duan, "Solution to matrix equation $AV + BW = EVF$ and eigenstructure assignment for descriptor systems," *Automatica*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 639–642, 1992.
- [5] G.-R. Duan, "Solutions of the equation $AV + BW = VF$ and their application to eigenstructure assignment in linear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. AC-38, no. 2, pp. 276–280, 1993.
- [6] G.-R. Duan, "On the solution to the Sylvester matrix equation $AV + BW = EVF$," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. AC-41, no. 4, pp. 612–614, 1996.
- [7] A. Saberi, A. A. Stoorvogel, and P. Sannuti, *Control of Linear Systems with Regulation and Input Constraints*, Communications and Control Engineering Series, Springer, London, UK, 2000.
- [8] A. Jameson, "Solution of the equation $AX + XB = C$ by inversion of an $M \times M$ or $N \times N$ matrix," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1020–1023, 1968.
- [9] E. de Souza and S. P. Bhattacharyya, "Controllability, observability and the solution of $AX - XB = C$," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 39, pp. 167–188, 1981.
- [10] R. E. Hartwig, "Resultants and the solution of $AX - XB = -C$," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 104–117, 1972.
- [11] J. Jones Jr. and C. Lew, "Solutions of the Lyapunov matrix equation $BX - XA = C$," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. AC-27, no. 2, pp. 464–466, 1982.
- [12] J. Z. Hearon, "Nonsingular solutions of $TA - BT = C$," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 57–63, 1977.

- [13] V. Sreeram and P. Agathoklis, "Solution of Lyapunov equation with system matrix in companion form," *IEE Proceedings Control Theory and Applications D*, vol. 138, no. 6, pp. 529–534, 1991.
- [14] B. Zhou and G.-R. Duan, "An explicit solution to the matrix equation $AX - XF = BY$," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 402, pp. 345–366, 2005.
- [15] G.-R. Duan and G.-S. Wang, "Two analytical general solutions of the matrix equation $EVJ^2 - AVJ - CV = BW$," *Journal of Harbin Institute of Technology*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2005.
- [16] G.-S. Wang and G.-R. Duan, "Robust pole assignment via P-D feedback in a class of second-order dynamic systems," in *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision (ICARCV '04)*, vol. 2, pp. 1152–1156, Kunming, China, December 2004.
- [17] G.-R. Duan and G.-S. Wang, "Eigenstructure assignment in a class of second-order descriptor linear systems: a complete parametric approach," *International Journal of Automation and Computing*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2005.
- [18] G.-R. Duan and G.-P. Liu, "Complete parametric approach for eigenstructure assignment in a class of second-order linear systems," *Automatica*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 725–729, 2002.
- [19] G.-R. Duan, G.-S. Wang, and L. Huang, "Model reference control in second-order dynamic systems," in *Proceedings of the Control Conference (UKACC Control '04)*, Bath, UK, September 2004.
- [20] G.-S. Wang, B. Liang, and G.-R. Duan, "Reconfiguring second-order dynamic systems via P-D feedback eigenstructure assignment: a parametric method," *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 109–116, 2005.

Wang Guo-Sheng: Department of Control Engineering, Academy of Armored Force Engineering, Beijing 100072, China
Email address: gswang@126.com

Lv Qiang: Department of Control Engineering, Academy of Armored Force Engineering, Beijing 100072, China
Email address: qianglv@m165.com

Duan Guang-Ren: Center for Control Theory and Guidance Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China
Email address: grduan@ieee.org

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br