ON THE OPTIMAL CONTROL OF AFFINE NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

M. POPESCU AND A. DUMITRACHE

Received 20 January 2004

The minimization control problem of quadratic functionals for the class of affine non-linear systems with the hypothesis of nilpotent associated Lie algebra is analyzed. The optimal control corresponding to the first-, second-, and third-order nilpotent operators is determined. In this paper, we have considered the minimum fuel problem for the multi-input nilpotent control and for a scalar input bilinear system for such systems. For the multi-input system, usually an analytic closed-form solution for the optimal control $u_i^{\star}(t)$ is not possible and it is necessary to use numerical integration for the set of m nonlinear coupled second-order differential equations. The optimal control of bilinear systems is obtained by considering the Lie algebra generated by the system matrices. It should be noted that we have obtained an open-loop control depending on the initial value of the state x_0 .

1. Introduction

Optimal control theory offers modern methods regarding the control of systems, and plays a significant role in the analysis of the linear control characterizing quadratic linear regulators and also the Gaussian quadratic linear control [9, 11]. The use of optimal control in the class of linear systems permits a substantial reduction of the computations determining the laws of optimal control. Moreover, it is an efficient method for solving nonlinear optimal control problems [3]. The Lie brackets generated by the fields of vectors defining the nonlinear system represent a remarkable mathematical tool for the control of affine systems [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Optimal control of bilinear systems has been considered by Tzafestas et al. (1984) and by Banks and Yew (1985)—in the latter case the linear quadratic regulator problem is extended to the bilinear quadratic regulator problem.

Bourdache-Siguerdindjane [2] applied the method of Lie algebras to the study of the optimal control regulation of satellites. In [1], Banks and Yew studied the optimal control of energy consumption minimization for a class of bilinear systems and Liu et al. [6] generalized this result to the class of affine nonlinear systems.

The objective of this paper is to obtain optimal controls for the general class of quadratic functionals with applications in minimum fuel control for affine nonlinear systems and bilinear systems.

2. The problem of optimal control

We consider the class of affine nonlinear dynamic systems

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)u_i, \qquad x(t_0) = x_0,
= f(x) + g(x)u,$$
(2.1)

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, i = 1, ..., m, $f(x), g_i(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. The control problem is to find the optimal control functions u_i^* , i = 1, 2, ..., m, which minimize the quadratic functionals

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} (x^{\mathrm{T}} Q x + u^{\mathrm{T}} R u) dt + \Phi(x(t_f))$$
 (2.2)

subject to differential restrictions represented by the dynamic systems (2.1), in which $Q = (q_{ij})$ and $R = (r_{ij})$ are constant symmetric positive definite $(n \times n)$ and $(m \times m)$ matrices, respectively, and the final time t_f is specified. The system vectors f, g, $\Phi(x(t_f))$ are all smooth.

We associate to the nonlinear systems (2.1) the Lie L algebra generated by the systems of the field of vectors

$$\{f,g_1,\ldots,g_m\}. \tag{2.3}$$

We will use the notations

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ad}_L^0 := L, \\ & \text{ad}_L L = [L, L] = \{ [X, Y]; \ X \in L, \ Y \in L \}, \\ & \text{ad}_L^{k+1} L = \text{ad}_L \, \text{ad}_L^k L, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where [X, Y] is the Lie bracket defined by

$$[X,Y] = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}X - \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}Y. \tag{2.5}$$

The Lie algebra is nilpotent if there exists a positive integer *k* such that

$$\operatorname{ad}_{L}^{k} L = 0. (2.6)$$

The complex structure of the systems (2.1) creates difficulties in solving the optimal control problems and makes mandatory their approximation by systems with a simple structure. Hermes [5] and Bressan [4] show that, under certain conditions, the affine system (2.1) with, or without the passivity of the f(x) term, may be approximated locally

by a nilpotent system of the same form. The nonlinear system considered here is nilpotent if the associated Lie algebra L is nilpotent.

The Hamiltonian associated to the optimal problem is

$$H = p^{T}[f(x) + g(x)u] - \frac{1}{2}(x^{T}Qx + u^{T}Ru), \qquad (2.7)$$

where $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the adjoint $(n \times 1)$ vector.

The Hamiltonian system associated is

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u, \qquad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f + gu)^{\mathrm{T}}p + Qx, \qquad p(t_f) = -\frac{\partial\Phi(x(t_f))}{\partial x},$$
(2.8)

with the $(m \times 1)$ vector added to (2.8):

$$y = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{T}g_{1} - (Ru)_{1} \\ p^{T}g_{2} - (Ru)_{2} \\ \dots \\ p^{T}g_{m} - (Ru)_{m} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $(Ru)_i$, for i = 1, ..., m, represents the rows of the $(n \times 1)$ matrix (Ru).

The optimal control problem (2.1) and (2.2) is a nondegenerate problem because

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial u^2} = -R \tag{2.10}$$

is nonsingular for any (x, p, u).

The necessary conditions for the optimal control u^* are given by

$$y = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}\Big|_{u^*} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.11), one obtains

$$y_i = p^{\mathrm{T}} g_i - (Ru)_i, \quad i = 1, ..., m.$$
 (2.12)

By derivation of (2.12), one has

$$\dot{y}_{i} = \dot{p}^{\mathrm{T}} g_{i} + p^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{g}_{i} - (u^{\mathrm{T}} R)'_{i}$$

$$= p^{\mathrm{T}} [f + g u, g_{i}] + x^{\mathrm{T}} Q g_{i} - (u^{\mathrm{T}} R)'_{i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$
(2.13)

Let

$$F := f + gu. \tag{2.14}$$

Equation (2.13) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Ru)_{i} = p^{T}[F, g_{i}] + x^{T}Qg_{i} - \dot{y}_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., m.$$
(2.15)

Since

$$[F, g_i] = \operatorname{ad}_F g_i, \tag{2.16}$$

(2.13) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Ru)_{i} = p^{T} \operatorname{ad}_{F} g_{i} + x^{T} Q g_{i} - \dot{y}_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., m.$$
 (2.17)

The derivation will be made utilizing the following.

LEMMA 2.1. Let Y be a vector and let p be the adjoint optimal vector. Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(p^{T}Y) = p^{T} \, \text{ad}_{F} \, Y + (x^{T}Q) \, Y. \tag{2.18}$$

The time derivative is calculated along the trajectory of the system.

Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2, and Corollary 3.1 have been proved by Popescu [9]. Substituting the optimal control u^* in (2.7), the optimal Hamiltonian is $H^*(x, p) = H(x, p, u^*)$.

Using the optimality condition $y^{(k)} = 0$, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.2. The necessary conditions of optimality for u_i^* are that along the optimal Hamiltonian H^*

$$[(Ru^{\star})_{i}]^{(k)} = \left\{ p^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{ad}_{F}^{k} g_{i} + (x^{\mathrm{T}} Q) \operatorname{ad}_{F}^{k-1} g_{i} + \frac{d}{dt} [(x^{\mathrm{T}} Q) \operatorname{ad}_{F}^{k-2} g_{i}] + \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} [(x^{\mathrm{T}} Q) \operatorname{ad}_{F}^{k-3} g_{i}] + \dots + \frac{d^{k-2}}{dt^{k-2}} [(x^{\mathrm{T}} Q) \operatorname{ad}_{F} g_{i}] \right\}_{u=u^{\star}},$$

$$(2.19)$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence, the properties of the optimal control can be expressed as

$$(Ru^{\star})_{i} = p^{\mathrm{T}}g_{i},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Ru^{\star})_{i} = p^{\mathrm{T}}[f + gu^{\star}, g_{i}] + (x^{\mathrm{T}}Q)g_{i}.$$
(2.20)

In the following we consider affine nonlinear systems with a nilpotent structure.

3. Optimal control for nilpotent operators

COROLLARY 3.1. If L satisfies the nilpotent conditions,

$$\operatorname{ad}_{I}^{k} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for some positive integer k, then it results that for any vector field $Y \in ad_L^{k-1}L$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}[p^{\mathrm{T}}Y(x)] = (x^{\mathrm{T}}Q)Y. \tag{3.2}$$

The following three cases are important.

Case 1 (commutative, k = 1). In this case one has

$$ad_L L = \{ [X, Y], X, Y \in L \} = 0.$$
 (3.3)

As the field of vectors is $\{f, g_1, ..., g_m\}$, by (3.3) we obtain

$$[f,g_i] = 0, \quad i = 1,2,...,m,$$

 $[g_i,g_i] = 0, \quad i,j = 1,2,...,m.$ (3.4)

The relations (3.4) express the commutativity of the operations defining the Lie algebras.

From relation (2.17), and by property $ad_F g_i = 0$, one obtains

$$u_i^* = \frac{\Delta_i}{\det(R)} + C_1^i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m,$$
 (3.5)

where Δ_i are the determinants resulting from the substitution in $\det(R)$ of the column $\int x^T Q g_i dt$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, for the column (i), and C_1^i are integrating constants.

Let α_i be the minors of the terms $\int x^T Qg_i dt$ from Δ_i .

Using Corollary 3.1, the expression of the optimal control becomes

$$u_i^* = \frac{1}{\det(R)} \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k(p^T g_k) + C_1^i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m.$$
 (3.6)

The constants for which the functional I^* is optimal result from the conditions

$$\frac{dJ^*}{dC_i^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$
 (3.7)

Case 2 (k = 2). In this case $ad_F^2 g_i = 0$, then (2.19) becomes

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (Ru^*)_i = \left\{ (x^T Q) \, \text{ad}_F g_i + \frac{d}{dt} [(x^T Q) g_i] \right\}_{u^*}.$$
 (3.8)

After some calculations, (3.8) becomes

$$R\ddot{u}^* = (x^{\mathrm{T}}Q)[A(x)u^* + B(x)] + \frac{d}{dt}(x^{\mathrm{T}}Qg), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$R = (r_{ij}) = (r_{ji}), \quad i \neq j, \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2, ..., m,$$

$$a_{ij}(x) = [g_i, g_j] = -[g_j, g_i], \quad i > j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., m,$$

$$b_k(x) = [f, g_k], \quad k = 1, 2, ..., m,$$

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{21} & a_{31} & a_{41} & \cdots & a_{m1} \\ -a_{21} & 0 & a_{32} & a_{42} & \cdots & a_{m2} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & 0 & a_{43} & \cdots & a_{m3} \\ -a_{41} & -a_{42} & -a_{43} & 0 & \cdots & a_{m4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{m1} & -a_{m2} & -a_{m3} & \cdots & -a_{m,m-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B(x) = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_m)^{\mathrm{T}},$$

$$u^* = (u_1^*, u_2^*, ..., u_m^*)^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

$$(3.10)$$

Using Corollary 3.1, we get the following result:

$$R\ddot{u}^{\star} = \frac{d}{dt} (p^{\mathrm{T}} A(x)) u^{\star} + \frac{d}{dt} (p^{\mathrm{T}} B(x)) + \frac{d}{dt} (x^{\mathrm{T}} Qg). \tag{3.11}$$

The optimal control u_j^* (j = 1, 2, ..., m) is represented by the solution of the differential system (3.11).

Case 3 (k = 3). The optimality conditions (2.19) becomes

$$\frac{d^3}{dt^3} (Ru^*)_i = \left\{ (x^T Q) \operatorname{ad}_F^2 g_i + \frac{d}{dt} [(x^T Q) \operatorname{ad}_F g_i] + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [(x^T Q) g_i] \right\}_{u^*}.$$
 (3.12)

We have

$$\operatorname{ad}_{F}^{2} g_{i} = [F, [F, g_{i}]] = \left[F, [f, g_{i}] + \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_{k} [g_{k}, g_{i}] \right].$$
 (3.13)

Therefore

$$\operatorname{ad}_{F}^{2} g_{i} = [f, [f, g_{i}]] + \sum_{k=1}^{m} [g_{k}[f, g_{i}]] u_{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} [f, [g_{j}, g_{i}]] u_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} [g_{k}, [g_{j}, g_{i}]] u_{j} u_{k}.$$

$$(3.14)$$

From Corollary 3.1, we can write

$$\frac{d^{3}}{dt^{3}}(Ru^{\star})_{i} = \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} (p^{T} \operatorname{ad}_{F}^{2} g_{i}) + \frac{d}{dt} [(x^{T}Q) \operatorname{ad}_{F} g_{i}] + \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} [(x^{T}Q)g_{i}] \right\}_{u^{\star}}.$$
 (3.15)

The optimal control u_i^* (i = 1, 2, ..., m) can be calculated by numerical integration of the nonlinear differential system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{ij} \ddot{u}_{j} = p^{\mathrm{T}} \left\{ [f, [f, g_{i}]] + \sum_{k=1}^{m} [g_{k}, [f, g_{i}]] u_{k}^{\star} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} [f, [g_{j}, g_{i}]] u_{j}^{\star} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} [g_{k}, [g_{j}, g_{i}]] u_{j}^{\star} u_{k}^{\star} \right\}$$

$$+ (x^{\mathrm{T}} Q) \left\{ [f, g_{i}] + \sum_{k=1}^{m} [g_{k}, g_{i}] u_{k}^{\star} \right\} + \frac{d}{dt} [(x^{\mathrm{T}} Q g_{i})].$$

$$(3.16)$$

The third, fourth, and sixth terms from the right-side of (3.16) characterize the nilpotent structure of the nonlinear systems considered.

For m = 1, the optimal control u^* is the solution of the equation

$$R\ddot{u}^* = p^{\mathrm{T}}[g, [f, g]]u^* + p^{\mathrm{T}}[f, [f, g]] + (x^{\mathrm{T}}Q)[f, g] + \frac{d}{dt}[(x^{\mathrm{T}}Q)g]. \tag{3.17}$$

The results regarding the minimization of the quadratic functionals are used in solving some problems of optimum representing the minimum energy criterion in the regulator design. These cases correspond to the $L^2[t_0,t_f]$ norm (resp., norm for the product space $U \times X$).

4. On minimum energy control of affine nonlinear systems with a nilpotent structure

Next we consider the following performance index:

$$J(x_0, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_1} u^T u \, dt + u^T(t_1) \Theta_0 x(t) + x^T(t_1) \varphi_0, \tag{4.1}$$

where Θ_0 and φ_0 are constant $(n \times n)$ matrix and $(n \times 1)$ vector, respectively.

The performance index has to fulfill the following restrictions:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)u_i, \qquad x(0) = x_0.$$
 (4.2)

We analyze the cases corresponding to the first, second, and third degree nilpotent operators.

Case 1 (commutative, k = 1). In this case

$$p^T Y(x) = \text{const} \quad \text{where } Y \in \text{ad}_L^{k-1} L,$$
 (4.3)

therefore

$$\dot{u}_i^* = p^T \operatorname{ad}_F g_i = 0. (4.4)$$

Consequently, the minimum fuel control for the nilpotent system, with $ad_F = 0$ is the constant vector

$$u^* = C_1. (4.5)$$

In this case, the minimum performance index is given by

$$J^{*}(x_{0}) = J(x_{0}, u^{*}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} u^{*T} u^{*} dt + x^{T}(t_{1}) \Theta_{0} x(t_{1}) + x^{T}(t_{1}) \varphi_{0}$$

$$= \frac{t_{1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (C_{1}^{i})^{2} + x^{T}(t_{1}) \Theta_{0} x(t_{1}) + x^{T}(t_{1}) \varphi_{0},$$

$$(4.6)$$

and the associated dynamic system becomes

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)C_1, \qquad x(0) = x_0.$$
 (4.7)

The system can be solved for $x(t_1)$ and thus J^* is a function of C_1^i . For optimality of C_1^i , we require that

$$\frac{dJ^*}{dC_1^i} = TC_1^i + \Theta_0^T x(t_1) + \varphi_0 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$
(4.8)

These constitute a set of *m* algebraic equations.

Case 2 (k = 2 or $ad_F^2 = 0$). In this case the optimal control is given by

$$\ddot{u}_i^*(t) = p^T \operatorname{ad}_F^2 g_i = 0. {4.9}$$

This system admits the solution

$$u_i^*(t) = C_2^1 + C_2^2 t, (4.10)$$

where C_2^k (k = 1,2) are constants.

Case 3 (k = 3 or $ad_F^3 = 0$). Here $ad_F^3 g_i = 0$ and we obtain

$$u_i^{*(3)} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m.$$
 (4.11)

Using Corollary 3.1, we have

$$\ddot{u}_i^* = a^i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j^i u_j^* + \sum_{k=1}^m c_k^i u_k^* + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m d_{jk}^i u_j^* u_k^*, \tag{4.12}$$

where a^i , b^i_j , c^i_k , d^i_{jk} are constants defined by

$$a^{i} = p^{T}[f, [f,g]],$$

$$b_{j}^{i} = p^{T}[f, [g_{j}, g_{i}]],$$

$$c_{k}^{i} = p^{T}[g_{k}, [f, g_{i}]],$$

$$d_{jk}^{i} = p^{T}[g_{j}, [g_{k}, g_{i}]].$$
(4.13)

This set of m nonlinear coupled second-order differential equations may be solved for u_i^* using numerical integration techniques.

For m = 1 we have $b_i^i = d_{ik}^k = 0$, then the optimal control is given by

$$u^* = C_1 \exp(C_3 t) + C_2 \exp(-C_3 t) + C_4, \tag{4.14}$$

with C_i (i = 1,...,4) constants.

5. Application to bilinear systems

We consider the bilinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx, \qquad x(t_0) = x_0,$$
 (5.1)

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and u is a scalar control, A, B are $(n \times n)$ constant matrices. The performance index is given by

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_1} u^2 dt + x^T(t_1) \Theta_0 x(t_1) + x^T(t_1) \varphi_0,$$
 (5.2)

where final time t_1 is specified.

By considering the Lie algebra M(A,B) generated by A and B, when M(A,B) is a nilpotent, we can obtain a simple method to determine the optimal control.

Case 1. If [A,B] = AB - BA = 0 (i.e., if A and B commute), then the optimal control u^* is constant. By Corollary 3.1 we have

$$\dot{u}^{\star} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Then

$$J(u^*) = \frac{1}{2}u^{*2}t_1 + x^T(t_1)\Theta_0x(t_1) + x^T(t_1)\varphi_0.$$
 (5.4)

By

$$\dot{x} = (A + u^* B) x, x(t_1) = \exp((A + u^* B) t_1) x_0,$$
 (5.5)

we have

$$J(u^{\star}) = \frac{1}{2} u^{\star 2} t_1 + x_0^T \exp((A^T + u^{\star} B^T) t_1) \Theta_0 \exp((A + u^{\star} B) t_1) x_0 + x_0^T \exp((A^T + u^{\star} B^T) t_1) \varphi_0.$$
 (5.6)

From here, by condition

$$\frac{dJ(u^*)}{du^*} = 0, (5.7)$$

one can determine the optimal control u^* .

Case 2. In this case if M(A,B) is nilpotent with $(ad M(A,B))^2 = 0$ (i.e., [[A,B],A] = [[A,B],B] = 0), then the optimal control of the problem takes the form (see (4.1))

$$u^* = c_1 + c_2 t, \qquad c_i = \text{const} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
 (5.8)

For the optimality of c_i we require

$$\frac{dJ^*}{dc_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{5.9}$$

Case 3. If $(adM(A,B))^3 = 0$ (i.e., [[[A,B],A],A] = [[[A,B],B],A] = [[[A,B],A],B] = [[[A,B],B],B] = 0), the optimal control u^* is the solution of the equation

$$\ddot{u}^* = c_1 + c_2 u^*, \tag{5.10}$$

where

$$c_1 = p^T[A, [A, B]], c_2 = p^T[B, [A, B]].$$
 (5.11)

The general solution of (5.10) is

$$u^{\star}(t) = \begin{cases} k_1 \left(e^{k_2 t} - e^{-k_2 t} - \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right) & \text{if } c_2 \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} c_1 t^2 + c_3 t + c_4 & \text{if } c_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (5.12)

where k_2 is the solution of the characteristic equation $k_2^2 = c_2$.

6. Conclusions

We have considered the optimal control problem for the class of affine nonlinear systems under investigation such that the Lie algebra generated by the system vector fields is nilpotent. The key for optimal control u^* are (2.19) representing a hierarchy for the necessary conditions of u^* . These equations play an important role in obtaining the open-loop optimal control $u^*(t)$ at least for k=1,2,3 which were studied. The optimal control determination of nonlinear system with a nilpotent structure minimizing the quadratic functionals generalizes the results of Liu et al. [6] and Banks and Yew [1], respectively, regarding the energy minimization of the affine nonlinear and bilinear systems.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by the PNCDI Grant 31032, Romanian Space Agency.

References

- [1] S. P. Banks and M. K. Yew, On the optimal control of bilinear systems and its relation to lie algebras, Internat. J. Control 43 (1986), no. 3, 891–900.
- [2] H. Bourdache-Siguerdidjane, On applications of a new method for computing optimal nonlinear feedback controls, Optimal Control Appl. Methods 8 (1987), no. 4, 397–409.

- H. Bourdache-Siguerdidjane and M. Fliess, Optimal feedback control of nonlinear systems, Automatica J. IFAC 23 (1987), no. 3, 365-372.
- A. Bressan, Local asymptotic approximation of nonlinear control systems, Internat. J. Control 41 (1985), no. 5, 1331–1336.
- [5] H. Hermes, Nilpotent approximations of control systems and distributions, SIAM J. Control Optim. 24 (1986), no. 4, 731-736.
- J.-S. Liu, K. Yuan, and W.-S. Lin, On minimum-fuel control of affine nonlinear systems, IEEE [6] Trans. Automat. Control 34 (1989), no. 7, 767–770.
- [7] F. Pelletier and M. Popescu, Contrôl optimal pour un système bilineaire et un coût quadratique en dimension infinite, UMR 51227-CNRS, Université de Savoie, France, 2002.
- M. Popescu, Singular Optimal Control for Dynamic Systems, Editura Academiei, Bucuresti, [8]
- [9] _, On minimum quadratic functional control of affine nonlinear systems, Nonlinear Anal. **56** (2004), no. 8, 1165–1173.
- _, Control of nonlinear systems with nilpotent structure in singular problems, to appear in [10] J. Optim. Theory Appl.
- H. J. Sussmann, Lie brackets, real analyticity and geometric control, Differential Geometric Control Theory (Houghton, Mich, 1982) (R. W. Brackett, R. S. Millman, and H. J. Sussmann, eds.), Progr. Math., vol. 27, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 1983, pp. 1–116.
- M. Popescu: Statistics and Applied Mathematics Institute of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-24, 010145 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: mpop@gheorghita.ima.ro

A. Dumitrache: Statistics and Applied Mathematics Institute of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-24, 010145 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: dalex@gheorghita.ima.ro

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br