

Explicit Exponential Decay Bounds in Quasilinear Parabolic Problems

G.A. PHILIPPIN^{a,*} and S. VERNIER PIRO^b

^a*Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université Laval,
Québec, Canada, G1K 7P4;* ^b*Dipartimento di matematica,
Università di Cagliari, 09124 Cagliari, Italy*

(Received 2 March 1997; Revised 3 September 1997)

This paper deals with classical solutions $u(x, t)$ of some initial boundary value problems involving the quasilinear parabolic equation

$$g(k(t)|\nabla u|^2)\Delta u + f(u) = u_t, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

where f, g, k are given functions. In the case of one space variable, i.e. when $\Omega := (-L, L)$, we establish a maximum principle for the auxiliary function

$$\Phi(x, t) := e^{2\alpha t} \left\{ \frac{1}{k(t)} \int_0^{k(t)u_x^2} g(\xi) \, d\xi + \alpha u^2 + 2 \int_0^u f(s) \, ds \right\},$$

where α is an arbitrary nonnegative parameter. In some cases this maximum principle may be used to derive explicit exponential decay bounds for $|u|$ and $|u_x|$. Some extensions in N space dimensions are indicated. This work may be considered as a continuation of previous works by Payne and Philippin (*Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, **5** (1995), 95–110; Decay bounds in quasilinear parabolic problems, In: *Nonlinear Problems in Applied Mathematics*, Ed. by T.S. Angell, L. Pamela, Cook, R.E., SIAM, 1997).

Keywords: Maximum principles for quasilinear parabolic equations

AMS Classification: 35K55, 35K60, 35K20

* Corresponding author.

1 INTRODUCTION

Using a maximum principle approach Payne and Philippin [8] derived pointwise decay bounds for solutions of some initial boundary value problems involving the parabolic differential equation $\Delta u + f(u) = u_t$, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $t > 0$, where Ω is a bounded convex domain in \mathbb{R}^N . This paper deals with classical solutions $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of some initial boundary value problems involving the quasilinear parabolic equation

$$g(k(t)|\nabla u|^2)\Delta u + f(u) = u_t, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

where f, g, k are given functions. In Section 2 we consider the case of one space variable $x \in (-L, L)$. Under certain hypotheses we establish a maximum principle for the auxiliary function

$$\Phi(x, t) := e^{2\alpha t} \left\{ \frac{1}{k(t)} \int_0^{k(t)u_x^2} g(\xi) \, d\xi + \alpha u^2 + 2 \int_0^u f(s) \, ds \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where α is an arbitrary nonnegative parameter.

When f is zero and k is an exponential function we compute in Section 3.1 a critical value α_0 that depends on the boundary conditions and on g in such a way that for $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_0$, Φ takes its maximum value initially. This fact leads to explicit exponential decay bounds for $|u|$ and $|u_x|$.

When f is not zero and $k = 1$, we show under certain assumptions that if the initial data $u(x, 0)$ is nonnegative and small enough in some sense that will be made precise in Section 3.2, the solution $u(x, t)$ cannot blow up in finite time. Depending on f we then determine $\alpha_1 < \alpha_0$ such that for $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_1$, Φ takes its maximum value initially. This leads again to explicit exponential decay bounds for $u(\geq 0)$ and $|u_x|$.

In Section 4, the results of Sections 2 and 3.1 are extended in \mathbb{R}^N in the case of the parabolic equation $g(k(t)|\nabla u|^2)\Delta u = u_t$, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $t > 0$. We refer to [9] for a similar investigation involving the parabolic equation $\nabla(g(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = u_t$, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $t > 0$.

For maximum principle results related to parabolic partial differential equations we refer to [10–14].

2 MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR $\Phi(x, t)$

In this section we establish the following result.

THEOREM 1 *Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of the initial boundary value problem*

$$g(ku_x^2)u_{xx} + f(u) = u_t, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (3)$$

$$u(\pm L, t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (4)_1$$

$$u(x, 0) = h(x), \quad x \in [-L, L], \quad (5)$$

with $h(\pm L) = 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$. Let $\Phi(x, t)$ be defined on $u(x, t)$ by

$$\Phi(x, t) := e^{2\alpha t} \left\{ \frac{1}{k(t)} G(ku_x^2) + \alpha u^2 + 2F(u) \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where α is an arbitrary nonnegative parameter and with

$$F(s) := \int_0^s f(\xi) \, d\xi, \quad (7)$$

$$G(\sigma) := \int_0^\sigma g(\xi) \, d\xi. \quad (8)$$

Assume that the given functions $g \in C^2$, $k \in C^1$ are strictly positive and satisfy the inequality

$$(2\alpha k - k')[\sigma g(\sigma) - G(\sigma)] \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

and that the given function $f \in C^1$ satisfies the inequality

$$sf(s) - 2F(s) \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (10)$$

We then conclude that Φ takes its maximum value either at an interior critical point (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) of u , or initially. In other words we have

$$\Phi(x, t) \leq \max \begin{cases} \Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{t}), & \text{with } u_x(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = 0 \quad \text{(i),} \\ \max_{[-L, L]} \Phi(x, 0) & \text{(ii).} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

For the proof of Theorem 1 we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_x &= 2e^{2\alpha t} \{u_x u_{xx} g(ku_x^2) + \alpha uu_x + f(u)u_x\} \\ &= 2e^{2\alpha t} u_x(u_t + \alpha u),\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{xx} &= 2e^{2\alpha t} \{2k u_x^2 u_{xx}^2 g' + g u_{xx}^2 + g u_x u_{xxx} + \alpha u_x^2 + \alpha uu_{xx} \\ &\quad + f' u_x^2 + f u_{xx}\} \\ &= 2e^{2\alpha t} \{g u_{xx}^2 + u_x u_{xt} + \alpha u_x^2 + \alpha uu_{xx} + f u_{xx}\},\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_t &= e^{2\alpha t} \left\{ \frac{k'}{k^2} [g k u_x^2 - G(k u_x^2)] + 2g u_x u_{xt} + 2\alpha uu_t + 2f u_t \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\alpha \left[\frac{1}{k} G(k u_x^2) + \alpha u^2 + 2F(u) \right] \right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

Combining (13) and (14) we obtain after some reduction

$$\begin{aligned}g\Phi_{xx} - \Phi_t &= e^{2\alpha t} \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} (2\alpha k - k') [g k u_x^2 - G(k u_x^2)] + 2g^2 u_{xx}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2f^2 - 2\alpha uf - 2\alpha^2 u^2 - 4\alpha F(u) \right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

From (12) we compute

$$g u_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} u_x^{-1} \Phi_x e^{-2\alpha t} - (f + \alpha u).\tag{16}$$

Inserting (16) into (15) we obtain the parabolic differential inequality

$$\begin{aligned}g\Phi_{xx} + u_x^{-2} c(x, t) \Phi_x - \Phi_t \\ = e^{2\alpha t} \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} (2\alpha k - k') [g k u_x^2 - G(k u_x^2)] + 2\alpha [uf - 2F(u)] \right\} \geq 0,\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where the last inequality in (17) follows from (9) and (10). In (17), $c(x, t)$ is regular throughout $(-L, L) \times (0, T)$. It then follows from Nirenberg's maximum principle [6,10] that Φ takes its maximum value (i) at a critical

point (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) of u , or (ii) initially, or (iii) at a boundary point (\hat{x}, \hat{t}) with $\hat{x} = \pm L$, $\hat{t} \in (0, T]$. The conclusion of Theorem 1 will follow if we can show that (iii) implies (i) or (ii). In fact (12) and the boundary conditions $(4)_1$, imply $\Phi_x(\pm L, t) = 0$. It then follows from Friedman's maximum principle [3,10] that Φ can take its maximum value at a boundary point (\hat{x}, \hat{t}) with $\hat{x} = \pm L$ only if Φ is identically constant in $(-L, L) \times (0, \hat{t})$, in which case the two possibilities (i) and (ii) hold in (11). This achieves the proof of Theorem 1.

It is worthy to note that Φ can be constant only for some particular choice of the data in problem (3), $(4)_1$, (5). In fact $\Phi = \text{const.}$ implies equality in (17), i.e. also in (9) and in (10). This implies then that

$$f(u) = \lambda u, \quad \lambda = \text{const.}, \quad (18)$$

and that

$$\text{either } k(t) = e^{2\alpha t} \quad \text{or} \quad g = \text{const.} \quad (19)$$

Moreover $\Phi \equiv \text{const.}$ implies $\Phi_x = u_x(u_t + \alpha u) \equiv 0$, from which we conclude

$$\text{either } u_x \equiv 0 \quad \text{or} \quad u_t + \alpha u \equiv 0. \quad (20)$$

The first equation in (20), together with (3) and (18), leads to

$$u(x, t) = e^{\lambda t}, \quad (21)$$

which is impossible in view of $(4)_1$. The second equation in (20) leads to

$$u(x, t) = h(x)e^{-\alpha t}, \quad (22)$$

which solves (3) only if we have

$$g(h^2)h'' + (\lambda + \alpha)h = 0, \quad x \in (-L, L). \quad (23)$$

To conclude this section we note that Theorem 1 remains true even if we replace $(4)_1$ by any one of the following pairs of boundary conditions:

$$u(-L, t) = u_x(L, t) = 0, \quad (4)_2$$

$$u_x(-L, t) = u(L, t) = 0, \quad (4)_3$$

$$u_x(-L, t) = u_x(L, t) = 0. \quad (4)_4$$

Moreover if both inequalities (9) and (10) in Theorem 1 are reversed we then conclude that Φ takes its minimum value either at a critical point of u , or initially.

3 ELIMINATION OF THE FIRST POSSIBILITY (i) IN (11)

We note that the realization of (ii) in (11) leads to lower exponential decay bounds for both $|u|$ and $|u_x|$. In this section we impose restrictions on the parameter $\alpha \geq 0$ so that the first possibility (i) in (11) cannot occur. We shall investigate two particular cases.

3.1 First Case: $f(u) := 0$, $k(t) := e^{2\mu t}$, $\mu \leq \alpha$

We consider the parabolic problem (3), (4)_k, $k = 1, 2, 3$ or 4, and (5) with the particular choices $f(u) := 0$, $k(t) := e^{2\mu t}$, $\mu = \text{const.} \leq \alpha$. We assume (9) so that the conclusion (11) of Theorem 1 holds. Note that if $\mu := \alpha$, assumption (9) is satisfied for any arbitrary function $g > 0$. If $\mu < \alpha$, (9) is satisfied if and only if $g' \geq 0$.

Suppose that we have the first possibility (i) in (11), i.e.

$$\Phi(x, t) \leq \alpha u^2(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) e^{2\alpha \bar{t}} = \alpha u_M^2 e^{2\alpha \bar{t}}, \quad (27)$$

with

$$u_M^2 := \max_{(-L, L)} u^2(x, \bar{t}). \quad (28)$$

We can rewrite (27) evaluated at $t = \bar{t}$ as

$$e^{-2\mu \bar{t}} G(e^{2\mu \bar{t}} u_x^2) \leq \alpha (u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})). \quad (29)$$

Making use of the mean value theorem we may bound the left hand side of (29) as follows:

$$u_x^2(x, \bar{t}) g_{\min} \leq e^{-2\mu \bar{t}} G(e^{2\mu \bar{t}} u_x^2), \quad (30)$$

where $g_{\min} > 0$ is the minimum value of g . From (29) and (30) we obtain the inequality

$$u_x^2(x, \bar{t})g_{\min} \leq \alpha(u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})), \quad (31)$$

that may be rewritten as

$$\frac{|u_x(x, \bar{t})|}{\sqrt{u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{g_{\min}}}. \quad (32)$$

Integrating (32) from the critical point \bar{x} to the nearest zero $\bar{\bar{x}} \in [-L, L]$ of $u(x, \bar{t})$, we obtain

$$\alpha \geq \alpha_0 := \frac{\pi^2 g_{\min}}{4|\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}}|^2}. \quad (33)$$

Since $|\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}}|$ is unknown, we need an upper bound for this quantity in (33). Obviously we may use

$$|\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}}| \leq \Lambda := \begin{cases} L & \text{if we have (4)}_1, \\ 2L & \text{if we have (4)}_k, k = 2, 3 \text{ or } 4, \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

if we assume the existence of $\bar{\bar{x}} \in [-L, L]$ when we have the boundary conditions (4)₄. This will be the case e.g. if the initial data $h(x)$ have zero mean value, i.e. if we have

$$\int_{-L}^L h(x) dx = 0. \quad (35)$$

In fact with the auxiliary function $\rho(\sigma)$ defined by

$$\rho(\sigma) := \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-1/2} \int_0^\sigma g(\xi)\xi^{-1/2} d\xi, \quad (36)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-L}^L u(x, t) dx &= \int_{-L}^L u_t(x, t) dx = \int_{-L}^L g(e^{2\mu t} u_x^2) u_{xx} dx \\ &= \int_{-L}^L (\rho(e^{2\mu t} u_x^2) u_x)_x dx = \rho(e^{2\mu t} u_x^2) u_x \Big|_{-L}^L = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

It then follows from (35) and (37) that

$$\int_{-L}^L u(x, t) dx = \int_{-L}^L h(x) dx = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (38)$$

i.e. the zero mean value property of $u(x, t)$ is inherited from the zero mean value property of the initial data if we have the boundary conditions (4)₄. But this implies the existence of $\bar{x} \in [-L, L]$ such that $u(\bar{x}, t) = 0$.

We conclude from the above investigation that, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, the first possibility (i) in (11) cannot hold, so that $|u|$ and $|u_x|$ must decay exponentially. This shows in fact that $u(x, t)$ cannot blow up and will exist for all $t > 0$. These results are summarized next.

THEOREM 2 *Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of the parabolic problem (3), (4)_k $k = 1, 2, 3$, or 4, and (5). If we have (4)₄ we require that the initial data $h(x)$ satisfy the further condition (35). Assume either*

$$\mu = \alpha < \alpha_0, \quad (39)$$

or

$$\mu < \alpha < \alpha_0 \quad \text{and} \quad g' \geq 0, \quad (40)$$

with

$$\alpha_0 := \frac{\pi^2 g_{\min}}{4\Lambda^2}, \quad (41)$$

where Λ is defined in (34). Then we may take $T = \infty$ in (3) and (4). Moreover the function Φ defined as

$$\Phi(x, t) := e^{2\alpha t} \{e^{-2\mu t} G(e^{2\mu t} u_x^2) + \alpha u^2\}, \quad (42)$$

takes its maximum value initially. The resulting inequality (11) with $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$ takes the form

$$e^{-2\mu t} G(e^{2\mu t} u_x^2) + \alpha_0 u^2 \leq H^2 e^{-2\alpha_0 t}, \quad \forall \mu \leq \alpha_0, \quad (43)$$

with

$$H^2 := \max_{[-L,L]} \{G(h'^2) + \alpha_0 h^2\}. \quad (44)$$

We note that the quantities α_0 and H^2 are explicitly computable in terms of the initial and boundary data.

A weaker but more practical version of (43) is

$$g_{\min} u_x^2(x, t) + \alpha_0 u^2 \leq H^2 e^{-2\alpha_0 t}. \quad (45)$$

Integrating (45) over $(-L, L)$ we obtain

$$g_{\min} \int_{-L}^L u_x^2(x, t) dx + \alpha_0 \int_{-L}^L u^2 dx \leq 2LH^2 e^{-2\alpha_0 t}. \quad (46)$$

Moreover depending of the boundary conditions (4), $u(x, t)$ is admissible for the variational characterization of the first or second eigenvalue of a vibrating string of length $2L$ with fixed or free ends. We have actually

$$g_{\min} \int_{-L}^L u_x^2(x, t) dx \geq \alpha_0 \int_{-L}^L u^2 dx, \quad (47)$$

valid in all cases considered in Theorem 2. From (46) and (47) we obtain the following decay bound for $\int_{-L}^L u^2 dx$:

$$\int_{-L}^L u^2(x, t) dx \leq L\alpha_0^{-1} H^2 e^{-2\alpha_0 t}. \quad (48)$$

We shall now derive a pointwise lower bound for $|u(x, t)|$ that is proportional to the distance $|x - \bar{x}|$ from x to the nearest zero \bar{x} of $u(x, t)$. To this end we rewrite (45) as

$$\frac{|u_x|}{\sqrt{(H^2/\alpha_0)e^{-2\alpha_0 t} - u^2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{g_{\min}}}. \quad (49)$$

Integrating (49) for fixed t from x to \bar{x} we obtain

$$\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_0}|u|}{He^{-\alpha_0 t}}\right) = \int_0^{|u|} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{(H^2/\alpha_0)e^{-2\alpha_0 t} - \xi^2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{g_{\min}}}|x - \bar{x}|, \quad (50)$$

or

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{H}{\sqrt{g_{\min}}} |x - \bar{x}| e^{-\alpha_0 t}, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t > 0. \quad (51)$$

Of course we can substitute \bar{x} by $+L$ or $-L$ if we have the boundary conditions (4)_k, $k = 1, 2, 3$.

3.2 Second Case: $f(u) \not\equiv 0$, $k(t) = 1$

In this section we consider the parabolic problem (3), (4)_k, $k = 1, 2, 3$ or 4, and (5) with the particular choice $k(t) = 1$, $f(u) \not\equiv 0$. It is well known that the solution $u(x, t)$ of this problem may not exist for all time. In fact $u(x, t)$ may blow up at some time t^* which may be finite or infinite [1,3]. However if blow-up does occur at $t = t^*$, then $u(x, t)$ will exist on the time interval $(0, t^*)$.

We want to establish conditions involving the data sufficient to prevent blow-up of $u(x, t)$ and even sufficient to guarantee its exponential decay. To this end we first establish the following comparison result.

LEMMA 1 *Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of the parabolic problem (3), (4)_k $k = 1, 2, 3$, or 4, and (5) with $h(x) \geq 0$ and $k(t) = 1$. Assume moreover the following conditions on f and g :*

$$sf'(s) \geq f(s) > 0, \quad s > 0, \quad f(0) = 0, \quad (52)$$

$$\mu := \frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \leq \alpha_0 := \frac{\pi^2 g_{\min}}{4\Lambda^2}, \quad (53)$$

$$g'(\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (54)$$

where u_M^2 has been defined in (28). We then have the following bounds for $u(x, t)$:

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq U \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} - \alpha_0 \right) t \right\}, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (55)$$

with

$$U := \max_{(-L,L)} \sqrt{h^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} G(h^2)}. \quad (56)$$

We note that condition (52) implies condition (10) and the fact that the ratio $f(s)/s$ is a nondecreasing function of s .

The lower bound in (55) follows from Nirenberg's and Friedman's maximum principles [3,6,10]. To establish the upper bound in (55) we introduce an auxiliary function $v(x, t)$ defined as

$$u(x, t) = v(x, t)e^{\mu t}, \quad (57)$$

with $\mu := f(u_M)/u_M$. Inserting (57) into (3) we obtain

$$e^{\mu t} [g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) v_{xx} - v_t] = u \left(\mu - \frac{f(u)}{u} \right) \geq 0, \quad (58)$$

where the above inequality results from the definition of μ together with the monotonicity of $f(s)/s$. The auxiliary function $v(x, t)$ then satisfies

$$g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) v_{xx} - v_t \geq 0, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (59)$$

$$v(x, 0) = h(x), \quad x \in (-L, L). \quad (60)$$

Moreover $v(x, t)$ satisfies the same boundary conditions (4) as $u(x, t)$. Let $w(x, t)$ satisfy

$$g(e^{2\mu t} w_x^2) w_{xx} - w_t = 0, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (61)$$

$$w(x, 0) = h(x), \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad (62)$$

with the same boundary conditions as u and v . From (59) and (61) we have

$$g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) v_{xx} - g(e^{2\mu t} w_x^2) w_{xx} - (v - w)_t \geq 0. \quad (63)$$

Using the mean value theorem we may rewrite the first two terms in (63) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) v_{xx} - g(e^{2\mu t} w_x^2) w_{xx} \\ &= g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) (v - w)_{xx} + w_{xx} [g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) - g(e^{2\mu t} w_x^2)] \\ &= g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) (v - w)_{xx} + w_{xx} g'(\xi) e^{2\mu t} (v - w)_x (v + w)_x, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

for some intermediate value ξ . We conclude from (63) and (64) that the function $\omega := v - w$ satisfies a parabolic inequality of the following form:

$$g(e^{2\mu t} v_x^2) \omega_{xx} + C(x, t) \omega_x - \omega_t \geq 0, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (65)$$

where $C(x, t)$ is regular throughout $(-L, L) \times (0, T)$. Since $\omega(x, 0) = 0$ and since ω satisfies zero Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions, it follows that

$$\omega := v - w \leq 0, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (66)$$

From (57) and (66) we obtain

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq e^{\mu t} w(x, t). \quad (67)$$

Finally since we assume (53) and (54) we may use (43) to bound $w(x, t)$. Dropping the first term in (43) we obtain

$$\alpha_0 w^2 \leq H^2 e^{-2\alpha_0 t}, \quad (68)$$

where H^2 is defined in (44). The desired inequality (55) follows now from (67) and (68).

Lemma 1 is the main tool in the derivation of the following result.

THEOREM 3 *Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of problem (3), (4) $_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ or 4, and (5) with $h(x) \geq 0$, and $k(t) = 1$. Assume (52)–(54). Moreover assume that the data in problem (3)–(5) are small enough in the following sense:*

$$\frac{f(U)}{U} < \alpha_0 := \frac{\pi^2 g_{\min}}{4\Lambda^2}, \quad (69)$$

where U is defined in (56). Then $u(x, t)$ exists for all time $t > 0$ (i.e. we may take $T = \infty$ in problem (3)–(5)). Moreover we have

$$\max_{(-L,L)} \frac{f(u(x, t))}{u(x, t)} < \alpha_0, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (70)$$

For the proof of Theorem 3 we assume that (70) is not valid and show that this invalidity is self-contradictory. From the definition of U we have

$$U \geq \max_{(-L,L)} h(x). \quad (71)$$

Since $f(s)/s$ is nondecreasing, (71) and (69) imply

$$\frac{f(h)}{h} \leq \frac{f(U)}{U} < \alpha_0. \quad (72)$$

If (70) is violated, there exists in view of (72) a first time $t = \tau$ for which we have

$$\max_{(-L,L)} \frac{f(u)}{u} = \alpha_0. \quad (73)$$

With $f(u_M)/u_M \leq \max_{(-L,L)} (f(u)/u)$, we obtain

$$\frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \leq \alpha_0. \quad (74)$$

From (55) and (74) we obtain

$$u(x, t) \leq U, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \quad (75)$$

and we conclude that

$$\max_{(-L,L)} \frac{f(u(x, \tau))}{u(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{f(U)}{U} < \alpha_0, \quad (76)$$

so that (70) cannot actually be violated in a finite time τ . This establishes (70) with $\tau = \infty$.

We are now prepared to establish the following result:

THEOREM 4 *Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of the parabolic problem (3), (4)_k, $k = 1, 2$ or 3 , and (5) with $h(x) \geq 0$, $k(t) = 1$. Assume (52)–(54). Moreover assume that the data in problem (3)–(5) are small enough in the sense that there exists a constant $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that the inequality*

$$\frac{f(U)}{U} < \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 \quad (77)$$

is satisfied, where U is defined in (56). Then we conclude that the first possibility (i) in (11) cannot hold $\forall \alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_1$. We are then led to the following decay bound for u^2 and u_x^2 :

$$G(u_x^2) + \alpha_1 u^2 + 2F(u) \leq \mathcal{H}^2 e^{-2\alpha_1 t}, \quad x \in (-L, L), \quad t > 0 \quad (78)$$

(valid for all time $t > 0$) with

$$\mathcal{H}^2 := \max_{(-L, L)} \{G(h^2) + \alpha_1 h^2 + 2F(h)\}. \quad (79)$$

Before proving Theorem 4 we show that the realization of (i) in (11) with $\alpha := \alpha_1$ implies the inequality

$$\frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \geq \alpha_0 - \alpha_1. \quad (80)$$

In fact the realization of (i) in (11) with $\alpha := \alpha_1$ implies the inequality

$$\{G(u_x^2(x, t)) + \alpha_1 u^2 + 2F(u)\} e^{2\alpha_1 t} \leq [\alpha_1 u_M^2 + 2F(u_M)] e^{2\alpha_1 \bar{t}}, \quad (81)$$

where u_M^2 is defined in (28). Evaluated at $t = \bar{t}$, we obtain

$$G(u_x^2(x, \bar{t})) \leq \alpha_1 [u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})] + 2[F(u_M) - F(u(x, \bar{t}))]. \quad (82)$$

Using the generalized mean value theorem and the monotonicity of $f(s)/s$ we may rewrite the last term in (82) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_M) - F(u(x, \bar{t})) &= \frac{F(u_M) - F(u(x, \bar{t}))}{u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})} [u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})] \\ &= \frac{f(\xi)}{2\xi} [u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})] \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} [u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})], \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where ξ is some intermediate value of u . Moreover the left hand side of (82) may be bounded as follows:

$$g_{\min} u_x^2(x, \bar{t}) \leq G(u_x^2(x, \bar{t})), \quad (84)$$

with $g_{\min} = g(0)$. From (82)–(84) we obtain the inequality

$$g_{\min} u_x^2(x, \bar{t}) \leq \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \right) [u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})], \quad (85)$$

that may be rewritten as

$$\frac{|u_x(x, \bar{t})|}{\sqrt{u_M^2 - u^2(x, \bar{t})}} \leq \sqrt{g_{\min}^{-1} \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \right)}. \quad (86)$$

Integrating (86) from the critical point \bar{x} to the nearest end $\bar{x} = \pm L$ of the interval $(-L, L)$ with $u(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = 0$, we obtain (80).

For the proof of Theorem 4 we note that the assumption (77) implies (69), so that conclusion (70) of Theorem 3 holds. In particular we have

$$\frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \leq \alpha_0, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (87)$$

and (55) leads to

$$u_M \leq U, \quad (88)$$

from which we obtain using the monotonicity of $f(s)/s$ and assumption (77)

$$\frac{f(u_M)}{u_M} \leq \frac{f(U)}{U} < \alpha_0 - \alpha_1, \quad (89)$$

in contradiction to (80), so that (i) in (11) cannot hold. The inequality (78) follows now from (ii) in (11). This achieves the proof of Theorem 4.

As an example, let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of the following parabolic differential equation:

$$u_{xx}\sqrt{1+u_x^2}+u^{1+\varepsilon}=u_t, \quad x \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \quad t > 0, \quad (90)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, t\right)=u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, t\right)=0, \quad (91)$$

and with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0)=a \cos x, \quad a = \text{const.} > 0. \quad (92)$$

With $\varepsilon := \text{const.} \geq 0$, the function $f(s) := s^{1+\varepsilon}$ satisfies (52). With $g(\sigma) := (1 + \sigma)^{1/2}$, condition (54) is satisfied. Since $g(s)$ is increasing we have $g_{\min} = 1$. From (56) with $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $h(x) = a \cos x$ we compute

$$U = \max_{(-\pi/2, \pi/2)} \left\{ a^2 \cos^2 x + \int_0^{a^2 \sin^2 x} \sqrt{1+\xi} \, d\xi \right\}^{1/2} = \left\{ \frac{2}{3} [(1+a^2)^{3/2} - 1] \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (93)$$

From Theorem 3 we conclude that $u(x, t)$ exists for all time $t > 0$ if (69) is satisfied, i.e. if we have $0 < a < \sqrt{(5/2)^{2/3} - 1} \cong 0.917$. From Theorem 4 we have the decay estimate (78) with $\alpha_1 := 1 - \{2/3[(1+a^2)^{3/2} - 1]\}^{\varepsilon/2} > 0$.

4 EXTENSION TO THE N -DIMENSIONAL CASE

The results of Sections 2 and 3.1 may be extended in case of N space variables $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $N \geq 2$. In this section we establish the following maximum principle analogous to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 5 *Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a $C^{2+\varepsilon}$ boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be the solution of the initial boundary value problem*

$$g(k(t)|\nabla u|^2)\Delta u = u_t, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (94)$$

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (95)$$

$$u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = h(x), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad (96)$$

where g and k are given positive functions, $g \in C^2$, $k \in C^1$. Let $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be defined on $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) := \left\{ \frac{1}{k(t)} G(k(t)|\nabla u|^2) + \alpha u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}, \quad (97)$$

with

$$G(\sigma) := \int_0^\sigma g(\xi) \, d\xi. \quad (98)$$

In (97), α is an arbitrary nonnegative parameter, and β is a constant to be chosen in $(0, 1)$ as indicated below. We distinguish two cases.

If $g'(\sigma) \geq 0$, we assume

$$2\alpha k - k' \geq 0, \quad (99)$$

and we assume that two constants $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ can be determined such that

$$g(\sigma) - A(\lambda, N, \beta)\sigma g'(\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (100)$$

with

$$A(\lambda, N, \beta) := \max \left\{ \lambda N, \frac{\lambda N + \lambda^{-1} - 2}{1 - \beta} \right\}. \quad (101)$$

If $g'(\sigma) \leq 0$, we assume

$$k'(t) \geq 0, \quad (102)$$

and we assume that $\beta \in (0, 1)$ can be determined such that

$$\sigma g(\sigma) - \beta G(\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (103)$$

$$g(\sigma) + B(N, \beta)\sigma g'(\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (104)$$

with

$$B(N, \beta) := \max \left\{ N - 1, \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \right\}. \quad (105)$$

We then conclude that $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ takes its maximum value either at an interior critical point $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{t})$ of u , or initially. In other words we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq \max \begin{cases} \Phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{t}) & \text{with } \nabla u(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{t}) = 0 & \text{(i),} \\ \max_{\Omega} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, 0) & & \text{(ii).} \end{cases} \quad (106)$$

We note the presence of a factor β in the decay exponent of $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$. This factor makes Theorem 5 less sharp than Theorem 1 corresponding to the one-dimensional case.

The existence of a classical solution of (94)–(96) will not be investigated in this paper. We refer to [1,5] for such existence results.

For the proof of Theorem 5 we proceed in two steps. We first construct a parabolic inequality of the following type:

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi := g(k(t)|\nabla u|^2)\Delta\Phi + |\nabla u|^{-2}\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \nabla\Phi - \Phi_t \geq 0, \quad (107)$$

where the vector field $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is regular throughout $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Using the following notations: $u_{,i} := \partial u / \partial x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $u_{,ik} := \partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_k$, $i, k = 1, \dots, N$, $u_{,t} = \partial u / \partial t$, $u_{,i}v_{,i} = \sum_{i=1}^N u_{,i}v_{,i} = \nabla u \cdot \nabla v$, etc., we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{,t} = & \left\{ \frac{k'}{k^2} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k(t)|\nabla u|^2)] + 2\alpha uu_t + 2gu_{,k}u_{,k} \right. \\ & \left. + 2\alpha\beta \left[\frac{1}{k} G(k|\nabla u|^2) + \alpha u^2 \right] \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

$$\Phi_{,k} = 2\{gu_{,ik}u_{,i} + \alpha uu_{,k}\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}, \quad (109)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Phi = & 2\{2g'ku_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,i\ell}u_{,\ell} + gu_{,i}(\Delta u)_{,i} \\ & + gu_{,ik}u_{,ik} + \alpha|\nabla u|^2 + \alpha u\Delta u\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Moreover differentiating (94) we obtain

$$gu_{,i}(\Delta u)_{,i} = -2kg'u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k}\Delta u + u_{,ik}u_{,k}. \quad (111)$$

Combining (108), (110), and (111), we obtain after some reduction

$$\begin{aligned}
 g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} = & \left\{ 4gg'k[u_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,i\ell}u_{,\ell} - u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k}\Delta u] + 2g^2u_{,ik}u_{,ik} \right. \\
 & + \frac{2\alpha}{k} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - \beta G(k|\nabla u|^2)] \\
 & \left. - \frac{k'}{k^2} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k|\nabla u|^2)] - 2\alpha^2\beta u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}. \quad (112)
 \end{aligned}$$

In contrast to the one-dimensional case the quantity $u_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,i\ell}u_{,\ell} - u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k}\Delta u$ is not identically zero. Depending on the sign of g' , it seems convenient to substitute an upper bound or a lower bound for $u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k}\Delta u$.

If $g' \geq 0$, we use the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k}\Delta u & \leq \lambda|\nabla u|^2(\Delta u)^2 + \lambda^{-1}|\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 \\
 & \leq \lambda N|\nabla u|^2u_{,ik}u_{,ik} + \lambda^{-1}|\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2, \quad (113)
 \end{aligned}$$

where λ is an arbitrary positive constant. Combining (112) and (113) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} \geq & \left\{ 4gg'ku_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,i\ell}u_{,\ell} + 2g[g - N\lambda g'k|\nabla u|^2]u_{,ik}u_{,ik} \right. \\
 & - 2\lambda^{-1}gg'k|\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{k} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - \beta G(k|\nabla u|^2)] \\
 & \left. - \frac{k'}{k^2} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k|\nabla u|^2)] - 2\alpha^2\beta u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}. \quad (114)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g - N\lambda g'k|\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$ by assumption (100) we may use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$|\nabla u|^2u_{,ik}u_{,ik} \geq u_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,i\ell}u_{,\ell}. \quad (115)$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} \geq & \left\{ 2g|\nabla u|^{-2}[g + (2 - \lambda N)g'k|\nabla u|^2]u_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,i\ell}u_{,\ell} \right. \\
 & - 2\lambda^{-1}gg'k|\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{k} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - \beta G(k|\nabla u|^2)] \\
 & \left. - \frac{k'}{k^2} [gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k|\nabla u|^2)] - 2\alpha^2\beta u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}. \quad (116)
 \end{aligned}$$

We now make use of (109) to represent $u_{,ik}u_{,i}$ as follows:

$$gu_{,ik}u_{,i} = -\alpha uu_{,k} + \dots, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad (117)$$

where dots stand for a term containing $\Phi_{,k}$. From (117) we compute

$$g^2u_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,il}u_{,l} = \alpha^2|\nabla u|^2u^2 + \dots, \quad (118)$$

$$g^2(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 = \alpha^2|\nabla u|^4u^2 + \dots \quad (119)$$

Inserting (118) and (119) into (116) we obtain after some reduction

$$\begin{aligned} & g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} + \dots \\ & \geq \left\{ 2g^{-1}\alpha^2u^2[g + (2 - N\lambda - \lambda^{-1})g'k|\nabla u|^2] + \frac{2\alpha}{k}[gk|\nabla u|^2 - \beta G(k|\nabla u|^2)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{k'}{k^2}[gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k|\nabla u|^2)] - 2\alpha^2\beta u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}. \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

Using (100) we obtain

$$g^{-1}\alpha^2u^2[g + (2 - N\lambda - \lambda^{-1})g'k|\nabla u|^2] \geq \beta\alpha^2u^2. \quad (121)$$

Combining (120) and (121) we are led to the desired inequality

$$g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} + \dots \geq k^{-2}(2\alpha k - k')[gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k|\nabla u|^2)]e^{2\alpha\beta t} \geq 0. \quad (122)$$

If $g' \leq 0$, we use the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta uu_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k} & \geq -(N-1)|\nabla u|^2u_{,ik}u_{,ik} + |\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 \\ & \quad + (N-1)u_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,il}u_{,l}, \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

derived in [7]. Combining (112) and (123) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} & \geq \left\{ 2(3-N)gg'ku_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,il}u_{,l} + 2g[g + (N-1)g'k|\nabla u|^2]u_{,ik}u_{,ik} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2gg'k|\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{k}[gk|\nabla u|^2 - \beta G(k|\nabla u|^2)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{k'}{k^2}[gk|\nabla u|^2 - G(k|\nabla u|^2)] - 2\alpha^2\beta u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t} \\ & \geq \left\{ 2(3-N)gg'ku_{,ik}u_{,k}u_{,il}u_{,l} + 2g[g + (N-1)g'k|\nabla u|^2]u_{,ik}u_{,ik} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2gg'k|\nabla u|^{-2}(u_{,ik}u_{,i}u_{,k})^2 - 2\alpha^2\beta u^2 \right\} e^{2\alpha\beta t}, \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

where the last inequality in (124) follows from assumptions (102) and (103). Now since $g + (N - 1)g'k|\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$ by assumption (104) we may use (115). Moreover inserting (118) and (119) we obtain after some reduction

$$g\Delta\Phi - \Phi_{,t} + \dots \geq \{2\alpha^2 u^2 g^{-1}[g + g'k|\nabla u|^2] - 2\alpha^2 \beta u^2\}e^{2\alpha\beta t} \geq 0, \quad (125)$$

where the last inequality follows from (104). The inequality (125) is again of the desired type.

It follows from Nirenberg's maximum principle [6,10] that Φ takes its maximum value (i) at an interior critical point $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{t})$ of u , or (ii) initially, or (iii) at a boundary point $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{t})$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \partial\Omega$. The second step of the proof of Theorem 5 consists in showing that the later possibility (iii) cannot hold. To this end we compute the outward normal derivative of Φ on $\partial\Omega$. Using (94) rewritten in normal coordinates we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial n} = 2e^{2\alpha\beta t} u_n u_{nn} g = -2(N - 1)e^{2\alpha\beta t} gK|\nabla u|^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (126)$$

where $K(\geq 0)$ is the average curvature of $\partial\Omega$. Let $(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{t})$ be a point at which Φ takes its maximum value with $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \partial\Omega$. Friedman's boundary lemma [3,10] implies that $\Phi \equiv \text{const.}$ in $\Omega \times [0, \tilde{t}]$, so that we must actually have $\partial\Phi/\partial n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Since we have $|\nabla u|^2 > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we conclude then that the average curvature K vanishes identically on $\partial\Omega$, which is clearly impossible. This achieves the proof of Theorem 5.

Now we want to select $\alpha \geq 0$ in such a way that the first possibility (i) in (106) cannot occur. To this end we proceed as in Section 3.1. In the particular case of $k(t) \equiv 1$, this leads to the following result.

THEOREM 6 *Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in \mathbb{R}^N whose boundary is $C^{2+\varepsilon}$. Let d be the radius of the greatest ball contained in Ω . Let $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be the solution of the parabolic problem (94)–(96) with $k(t) \equiv 1$. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 5 are satisfied. We then conclude that if*

$$0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_0 := \frac{\pi^2 g_{\min}}{4d^2}, \quad (127)$$

the first possibility (i) in (106) cannot occur. With $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$ we are then led to the following decay bound for Φ :

$$G(|\nabla u|^2) + \alpha_0 u^2 \leq H^2 e^{-2\alpha_0 \beta t}, \quad (128)$$

with

$$H^2 := \max_{\Omega} \{G(|\nabla h|^2) + \alpha_0 h^2\}. \quad (129)$$

We note that in the context of Theorem 6, the quantity

$$\psi := |\nabla u|^2 \quad (130)$$

satisfies the parabolic inequality

$$g\Delta\psi - \psi_{,t} + \psi^{-1}\nabla\psi \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \geq 0, \quad (131)$$

where the vector field $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ is regular throughout $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Moreover we have

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} = -2(N-1)Ku_n^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (132)$$

It then follows from (131) and (132) that ψ takes its maximum value initially. This shows that if $g' \leq 0$, we have

$$g_{\min} = g(\psi_{\max}), \quad (133)$$

with $\psi_{\max} = \max_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2$.

As a first example consider $g(\sigma) := (1 + \sigma)^{1/2}$. Since $g'(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma)^{-1/2} \geq 0$, we have to determine the (greatest) $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that (100) is satisfied, i.e. such that $A(\lambda, N, \beta) \leq 2$, where A is defined in (101). This condition is satisfied only for $N \leq 4$. We are then led to $\beta = 2 - \sqrt{N} > 0$ if $N = 2$ or $N = 3$.

As a second example, consider $g(\sigma) := (1 + \sigma)^{-\varepsilon}$, $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq E := \min\{\frac{1}{2}, 1/(N-1)\}$. Since $g' = -\varepsilon(1 + \sigma)^{-1-\varepsilon} \leq 0$, we have to determine the (greatest) $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that (103) and (104) are both satisfied. This will be the case for $\beta = 1 - \varepsilon$.

We refer to [9] for similar results involving solutions of the parabolic differential equation

$$(g(|\nabla u|^2)u_{,i})_{,i} = u_{,t}. \quad (134)$$

References

- [1] H. Amann, Quasilinear evolution equations and parabolic systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **293** (1986), 191–227.
- [2] J.M. Ball, Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **28** (1977), 473–486.
- [3] A. Friedman, Remarks on the maximum principle for parabolic equations and its applications, *Pacific J. Math.* **8** (1958), 201–211.
- [4] H. Kielhöfer, Halbgruppen und semilineare Anfangs-randwert-probleme, *Manuscripta Math.* **12** (1974), 121–152.
- [5] G.M. Lieberman, The first initial-boundary value problem for quasilinear second order parabolic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup.-Pisa* **13** (1986), 347–387.
- [6] L. Nirenberg, A strong maximum principle for parabolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **6** (1953), 167–177.
- [7] L.E. Payne and G.A. Philippin, On some maximum principles involving harmonic functions and their derivatives, *SIAM Journal Math. Anal.* **10** (1979), 96–104.
- [8] L.E. Payne and G.A. Philippin, Decay bounds for solutions of second order parabolic problems and their derivatives, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* **5** (1995), 95–110.
- [9] L.E. Payne and G.A. Philippin, Decay bounds in quasilinear parabolic problems, In: *Nonlinear Problems in Applied Mathematics*, Ed. by T.S. Angell, L. Pamela, Cook, R.E., SIAM, 1997.
- [10] M.H. Protter and H.F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall, 1967.
- [11] J. Serrin, Gradient estimates for solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations, In: *Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis* (Proc. Symp. Math. Res. Cent. Univ. Wisc., 1971) Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [12] R. Sperb, *Maximum Principles and their Applications*, Academic Press, Math. in Sci. and Engineering, Vol. 157, 1981.
- [13] B. Straughan, *Instability, Nonexistence and Weighted Energy Methods in Fluid Dynamics and Related Theories*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 74, 1982, Pitman Press, London.
- [14] W. Walter, *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Springer Verlag, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 55, 1964.