PROPERTIES OF SOLUTION SET OF STOCHASTIC INCLUSIONS¹

MICHAŁ KISIELEWICZ

Institute of Mathematics Higher College of Engineering Podgórna 50, 65-246 Zielona Góra, POLAND

ABSTRACT

The properties of the solution set of stochastic inclusions $x_t - x_s \in cl_{L^2}(\int_s^t F_{\tau}(x_{\tau})d\tau + \int_s^t G_{\tau}(x_{\tau})dw_{\tau} + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\tau,z}(x_{\tau})\widetilde{\nu}(d\tau,dz))$ are investigated. They are equivalent to properties of fixed points sets of appropriately defined set-valued mappings.

Key words: Stochastic inclusions, existence solutions, solution set, weak compactness.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications: 93E03, 93C30.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a large number of papers (see for example [1], [4] and [5]) dealing with the existence of optimal controls of stochastic dynamical systems described by integral stochastic equations. Such problems can be described (see [10]) by stochastic inclusions (SI(F,G,H)) of the form

$$x_t - x_s \in cl_{L^2} \left(\int\limits_s^t F_{\tau}(x_{\tau}) d\tau + \int\limits_s^t G_{\tau}(x_{\tau}) dw_{\tau} + \int\limits_s^t \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\tau, z}(x_{\tau}) \widetilde{\nu} (d\tau, dz) \right),$$

where the stochastic integrals are defined by Aumann's procedure (see [7], [9]).

The results of the paper are concerned with properties of the set of all solutions to SI(F,G,H). To begin with, we recall the basic definitions dealing with set-valued stochastic integrals and stochastic inclusions presented in [10]. We assume, as given, a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{T}, (\mathfrak{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$, where a family $(\mathfrak{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, of σ -algebras $\mathfrak{T}_t \subset \mathfrak{T}$ is assumed to be increasing: $\mathfrak{T}_s \subset \mathfrak{T}_t$ if $s \leq t$. We set $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, and \mathfrak{B}_+ will denote the Borel σ -algebra on

¹Received: April, 1993. Revised: July, 1993.

We consider set-valued stochastic processes $(F_t)_{t \ge 0}$, $(\mathfrak{G}_t)_{t \ge 0}$ and \mathbb{R}_{\perp} . $(\mathfrak{R}_{t,z})_{t \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$, taking on values from the space $Comp(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all nonempty compact subsets of *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . They are assumed to be predictable and such that $E\int_{0}^{\infty} \|\mathfrak{F}_{t}\|^{p} dt < \infty, p \ge 1, E\int_{0}^{\infty} \|\mathfrak{G}_{t}\|^{2} dt < \infty$ and $E \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \|\mathfrak{R}_{t,z}\|^2 dt q(dz) < \infty$, where q is a measure on the Borel σ -algebra \mathfrak{B}^n of $\mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } ||A|| := sup\{ |a| : a \in A\}, A \in Comp(\mathbb{R}^n). \text{ The space } Comp(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is }$ considered with the Hausdorff metric h defined in the usual way, i.e., $h(A, B) = max\{\overline{h}(A, B), \overline{h}(B, A)\},\$ for $A, B \in Comp(\mathbb{R}^n),$ h(A,B)where $= \{ dist(a, B) : a \in A \}$ and $\overline{h}(B, A) = \{ dist(b, A) : b \in B \}$. Although the classical theory of stochastic integrals (see [3], [8], [12]) usually deals with measurable and \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes, it can be finally reduced (see [4], pp. 60-62) to predictable ones.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Throughout the paper we shall assume that a filtered complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, (\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ satisfies the following usual hypotheses: (i) \mathfrak{F}_0 contains all the *P*-null sets of \mathfrak{F} , (ii) $\mathfrak{F} = \bigvee_{t \geq 0} \mathfrak{F}_t$ and (iii) $\mathfrak{F}_t = \bigcap_{u > t} \mathfrak{F}_u$, for all $t, 0 \leq t < \infty$. As usual, we consider a set $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ as a measurable space with the product σ -algebra $\mathbb{B}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{F}$. Moreover, we introduce on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ the predictable σ -algebra \mathfrak{P} generated by a semiring \mathfrak{K} of all predictable rectangles in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ of the form $\{0\} \times A_0$ and $(s,t] \times A_s$, where $A_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_s \in \mathfrak{F}_s$ for s < t in \mathbb{R}_+ . Similarly, besides the usual product σ -algebra on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we also introduce the predictable σ -algebra \mathfrak{P}^n generated by a semiring \mathfrak{K}^n of all sets of the form $\{0\} \times A_0 \times D$ and $(s,t] \times A_s \times D$, with $A_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_0$, $A_s \in \mathfrak{F}_s$ for s < t in \mathbb{R}_+ and $D \in \mathfrak{B}_0^n$, where \mathfrak{B}_0^n consists of all Borel sets $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that their closure does not contain the point 0.

An n-dimensional stochastic process x, understood as a function $x:\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with \mathfrak{F} -measurable sections x_t , each $t \ge 0$, is denoted by $(x_t)_{t \ge 0}$. It is measurable (predictable) if x is $\mathfrak{B}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{F}$ (\mathfrak{P} , resp.)-measurable. The process $(x_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is \mathfrak{F}_t -adapted if x_t is \mathfrak{F}_t -measurable for $t \ge 0$. It is clear (see [3], [8], [11]) that every predictable process is measurable and \mathfrak{F}_t -adapted. In what follows the Banach space $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \mathfrak{P}, dt \times P, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \ge 1$, with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{L^p_n}$ defined in the usual way, will be denoted by \mathfrak{L}^p_n . Similarly, the Banach spaces $L^{p}(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_{t}, P, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ and $L^{p}(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}_{n}}$ are denoted by $L^{p}_{n}(\mathfrak{F}_{t})$ and $L^{p}_{n}(\mathfrak{F})$, respectively.

Throughout the paper, by $(w_t)_{t\geq 0}$, we mean a one-dimensional \mathfrak{F}_{t} -Brownian motion starting at 0, i.e., such that $P(w_0=0)=1$. By $\nu(t,A)$ we denote a \mathfrak{F}_t -Poisson measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{B}^n$, and then define a \mathfrak{F}_t -centered Poisson measure $\tilde{\nu}(t,A), t\geq 0, A\in \mathbb{B}^n$, by taking $\tilde{\nu}(t,A) = \nu(t,A) - tq(A), t\geq 0, A\in \mathbb{B}^n$, where q is a measure on \mathbb{B}^n such that $E\nu(t,B) = tq(B)$ and $q(B) < \infty$ for $B\in \mathfrak{B}_0^n$.

For a given \mathfrak{F}_t -centered Poisson measure $\widetilde{\nu}(t,A)$, $t \geq 0$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}^n$, \mathfrak{W}_n^2 denotes the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{P}^n, dt \times P \times q)$, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{W}_n^2}$ defined in the usual way. We shall also consider the Banach spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathfrak{B}_+, dt, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $p \geq 1$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{B}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{B}^n, dt \times q, \mathbb{R}_+)$, with the usual norms by $|\cdot|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$, respectively. They will be denoted by $L^p(\mathfrak{B}_+)$ and $L^2(\mathfrak{B}_+ \times \mathfrak{B}^n)$, respectively. Finally, by $\mathcal{M}_n^p(\mathfrak{P})$, $p \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_n^2(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$ we shall denote the families of all \mathfrak{P} -measurable and \mathfrak{P}^n -measurable functions $f:\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h:\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, such that $\overset{\sim}{0}_0 |f_t|^p dt < \infty$ and $\overset{\sim}{0}_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{t,z}|^2 dtq(dz) < \infty$, a.s. Elements of $\mathcal{M}_n^p(\mathfrak{P})$, $p \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_n^2(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$ will be denoted by $f = (f_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $h = (h_{t,z})_{t \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$, respectively. We have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{n}^{p} &= \{f \in \mathcal{M}^{p}(\mathfrak{P}): E \int_{0}^{\infty} |f_{t}|^{p} dt < \infty\}, \ p \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{W}_{n}^{2} &= \{h \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathfrak{P}^{n}, q): E \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\infty} |h_{t,z}|^{2} dtq(dz) < \infty\} \end{split}$$

and

and

Given $g \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathfrak{P})$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$, by $(\int_0^t g_\tau dw_\tau)_{t \ge 0}$ and $(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\tau,z} \tilde{\nu} (d\tau, dz))_{t \ge 0}$, we denote their stochastic integrals with respect to a \mathfrak{F}_t -Brownian motion $(w_t)_{t \ge 0}$ and a \mathfrak{F}_t -centered Poisson measure $\tilde{\nu}(t, A), t \ge 0$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}^n$, respectively. These integrals, understood as *n*-dimensional stochastic processes, have quite similar properties (see [6]).

Let us denote by D the family of all n-dimensional \mathcal{F}_t -adapted cádlág processes $(x_t)_{t \ge 0}$ such that

$$E \sup_{t \ge 0} |x_t|^2 < \infty$$
$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{t \ge 0} \sup_{t \le s \le t + \delta} E |x_t - x_s|^2 = 0.$$

Recall that an *n*-dimensional stochastic process is said to be a cádlág process if it has almost all sample paths right continuous with finite left limits. The space D is considered as a normed space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell}$ defined by

 $\|\xi\|_{\ell} = \|\sup_{t \ge 0} |\xi_t| \|_{L^2_1} \text{ for } \xi = (\xi_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D. \quad \text{It can be verified that}$ $(D, \|\cdot\|_{\ell}) \text{ is a Banach space.}$

Given $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \infty$ and $(x_t)_{t \geq 0} \in D$ let $x^{\alpha,\beta} = (x_t^{\alpha,\beta})_{t \geq 0}$ be defined by $x_t^{\alpha,\beta} = x_{\alpha}$ and $x_t^{\alpha,\beta} = x_{\beta}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$ and $t \geq \beta$, respectively, and $x_t^{\alpha,\beta} = x_t$ for $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$. It is clear that $D^{\alpha,\beta} := \{x^{\alpha,\beta}: x \in D\}$ is a linear subspace of D, closed in the $\|\cdot\|_{\ell}$ -norm topology. Then $(D^{\alpha,\beta}, \|\cdot\|_{\ell})$ is also a Banach space. Finally, as usual, by $\sigma(D, D^*)$ we shall denote a weak topology on D.

In what follows we shall deal with upper and lower semicontinuous setvalued mappings. Recall that a set-valued mapping R with nonempty values in a topological space (Y, \mathfrak{T}_Y) is said to be upper (lower) semicontinuous [u.s.c. $(1.s.c.)] \quad \text{on a topological space } (X, \mathfrak{T}_X) \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{R}^-(C) := \{x \in X : \mathfrak{R}(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$ $(\mathfrak{R}_{C}):=\{x\in X:\mathfrak{R}(x)\subset C\}$ is a closed subset of X for every closed set $C \subset Y$. In particular, for \mathbb{R} defined on a metric space (\mathfrak{L}, d) with values in $\lim_{n \to \infty} \bar{h}\left(\mathfrak{R}(x_n), \mathfrak{R}(x)\right) = 0$ [9]) to $Comp(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is equivalent (see $(\lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{h}(\mathfrak{R}(x), \mathfrak{R}(x_n)) = 0)$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{L}$ and every sequence (x_n) of \mathfrak{L} converging to x. If, moreover, R takes convex values then it is equivalent to upper (lower) semicontinuity of a real-valued function $s(p, \mathcal{R}(\cdot))$ on \mathbb{R}^n for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $s(\cdot, A)$ denotes a support function of a set $A \in Comp(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In what follows, we shall need the follow well-known (see [9]) fixed point and continuous selection theorems.

Theorem (Schauder, Tikhonov): Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a locally convex topological Hausdorff space, \mathfrak{K} a nonempty compact convex subset of X and f a continuous mapping of \mathfrak{K} into itself. Then f has a fixed point in \mathfrak{K} .

Theorem (Covitz, Nadler): Let (\mathfrak{S},d) be a complete metric space and $\mathfrak{R}:\mathfrak{S}\to Cl(\mathfrak{S})$ a set-valued contraction mapping, i.e., such that $H(\mathfrak{R}(x),\mathfrak{R}(y)) \leq \lambda d(x,y)$ for $x,y \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\lambda \in [0,1)$, where H is the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d on the space $Cl(\mathfrak{S})$ of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of \mathfrak{S} . Then there exists $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{R}(x)$.

Theorem (Kakutani, Fan): Let (X, \mathbb{T}_X) be a locally convex topological Hausdorff space, \mathfrak{K} a nonempty compact convex subset of X and $CCl(\mathfrak{K})$ a family of all nonempty closed convex subsets of \mathfrak{K} . If $\mathfrak{R}: \mathfrak{K} \to CCl(\mathfrak{K})$ is u.s.c. on \mathfrak{K} then there exists $x \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{R}(x)$. **Theorem** (Michael): Let (X, \mathbb{T}_X) be a paracompact space and let \mathbb{R} be a set-valued mapping from X to a Banach space $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ whose values are closed and convex. Suppose, further \mathbb{R} is l.s.c. on X. Then there is a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

3. SET-VALUED STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS

Let $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a set-valued stochastic process with values in $Comp(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. a family of \mathfrak{F} -measurable set-valued mappings $\mathfrak{g}_t: \Omega \rightarrow Comp(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $t \geq 0$. We call \mathfrak{g} measurable (predictable) if it is $\mathfrak{B}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{P}, \operatorname{resp.})$ -measurable. Similarly, \mathfrak{g} is said to be \mathfrak{F}_t -adapted if \mathfrak{g}_t is \mathfrak{F}_t -measurable for each $t \geq 0$. It is clear that every predictable set-valued stochastic process is measurable and \mathfrak{F}_t -adapted. It follows from the Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski measurable selection theorem (see [9]) that every measurable (predictable) set-valued process with nonempty compact values possesses a measurable (predictable) selector. We shall also consider $\mathfrak{B}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{T} \otimes \mathfrak{B}^n$ and \mathfrak{P}^n -measurable set-valued mappings $\mathfrak{R}: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow Cl(\mathbb{R}^n)$. They will be denoted as families $(\mathfrak{R}_{t,z})_{t \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ and called measurable and predictable, respectively set-valued stochastic processes depending on a parameter $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The process $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathfrak{R}_{t,z})_{t \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is said to be \mathfrak{T}_t -adapted if $\mathfrak{R}_{t,z}$ is \mathfrak{T}_t -measurable for each $t \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{s-v}^p(\mathfrak{P})$, $p \ge 1$, and $\mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P}^n,q)$ the families of all set-valued predictable processes $F = (F_t)_{t \ge 0}$ and $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathfrak{R}_{t,z})_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$, respectively, such that $E \int_0^{\infty} ||F_t||^p dt < \infty$ and $E \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||\mathfrak{R}_{t,z}||^2 dtq(z) < \infty$. Immediately from the Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski measurable selection theorem it follows that for every $F \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^p(\mathfrak{P})$, $p \ge 1$, and $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P}^n,q)$ the sets

$$\mathfrak{I}^{p}(F):=\{f\in \mathfrak{L}^{p}_{n}:f_{t}(\omega)\in F_{t}(\omega),\ dt\times P\ -\ a.e.\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{G}^2_q(\mathfrak{R}):=\{h\in \mathscr{W}^2_n:h_{t,z}(\omega)\in \mathfrak{R}_{t,z}(\omega), dt\times P\times q - a.e.\}$$

are nonempty.

Given set-valued processes $F = (F_t)_{t \ge 0} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^p(\mathfrak{P}), \quad \mathfrak{G} = (\mathfrak{G}_t)_{t \ge 0} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^p(\mathfrak{P})$ and $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathfrak{R}_{t,z})_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$ by their stochastic integrals $\mathfrak{I}F$, $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}$ we mean families $\mathfrak{I}F = (\mathfrak{I}_tF)_{t \ge 0}, \quad \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{G} = (\mathfrak{I}_t\mathfrak{G})_{t \ge 0}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R} = (\mathfrak{T}_t\mathfrak{R})_{t \ge 0}$ subsets of $L_n^p(\mathfrak{F}_t), \quad p \ge 1$ and $L_n^2(\mathfrak{F}_t), \text{ respectively, defined by}$

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I}_{t}F &= \{\mathfrak{I}_{t}f \colon f \in \mathfrak{I}^{p}(F)\}, \ \mathfrak{J}_{t}\mathfrak{G} = \{\mathfrak{J}_{t}g \colon g \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}(\mathfrak{G})\} \ \text{ and } \ \mathfrak{T}_{t}\mathfrak{R} = \{\mathfrak{T}_{t}h \colon h \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(\mathfrak{R})\}, \ \text{where} \\ \mathfrak{I}_{t}f &= \int_{0}^{t}f_{s}ds, \ \mathfrak{J}_{t}g = \int_{0}^{t}g_{s}dw_{s} \ \text{and} \ \mathfrak{T}_{t}h = \int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}h_{s,z}\widetilde{\nu}(ds,dz). \quad \text{Given} \ 0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \infty, \\ \text{we also define } \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}F_{s}ds = \{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}f_{s}ds \colon f \in \mathfrak{I}^{p}(F)\}, \ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\mathfrak{G}_{s}dw_{s} = \{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g_{s}dw_{s} \colon g \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}(\mathfrak{G})\} \ \text{and} \\ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\mathfrak{R}_{s,z}\widetilde{\nu}(ds,dz) = \{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}h_{s,z}\widetilde{\nu}(ds,dz) \colon h \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}(\mathfrak{R})\}. \quad \text{The following properties of} \\ \text{set-valued stochastic integrals are given in [10].} \end{split}$$

- (i) $\mathfrak{I}_t\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_t\mathfrak{R}$ are closed subsets of $L^2_n(\mathfrak{T}_t)$ for each $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) If, moreover, F, G and \mathbb{R} take on convex values then $\mathfrak{I}_t F$, $\mathfrak{I}_t G$ and $\mathfrak{T}_t \mathbb{R}$ are convex and weakly compact in $L^p_n(\mathfrak{F}_t)$ and $L^2_n(\mathfrak{F}_t)$, respectively, for each $t \geq 0$.

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Proposition} & 2 & Let & F \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathfrak{P}), & \mathfrak{G} \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathfrak{P}) & and \\ \mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathfrak{P}^n,q). & Assume \ (x_t)_{t \geq 0} \in D \ is \ such \ that \end{array}$

$$x_{t} - x_{s} \in cl_{L^{2}} \left(\int_{s}^{t} F_{\tau} d\tau + \int_{s}^{t} \mathcal{G}_{\tau} dw_{\tau} + \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathfrak{R}_{\tau, z} \widetilde{\nu} \left(d\tau, dz \right) \right)$$

for every $0 \le s < t < \infty$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there are $f^{\epsilon} \in \mathfrak{I}^{p}(F)$, $g^{\epsilon} \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}(\mathfrak{G})$ and $h^{\epsilon} \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{a}(\mathfrak{R})$ such that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \| |(x_t - x_0) - \left(\int_0^t f_\tau^\epsilon d\tau + \int_0^t g_\tau^\epsilon dw_\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\tau, z}^\epsilon \widetilde{\nu} (d\tau, dz) \right) |\|_{L^2} \le \epsilon.$$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \textbf{Proposition} & \textbf{3:} & Assume & F \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathcal{P}), & \textbf{G} \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathcal{P}) & and \\ \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathcal{P}^n,q) \text{ take on convex values and let } (x_t)_{t \geq 0} \in D. & Then \end{array}$

$$x_t - x_s \in \int_s^t F_\tau d\tau + \int_s^t \mathfrak{g}_\tau dw_\tau + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{B}_{\tau, z} \widetilde{\nu} \left(d\tau, dz \right)$$

for $0 \le s < t < \infty$ if and only if there are $f \in \mathfrak{f}^2(F)$, $g \in \mathfrak{f}^2(\mathfrak{G})$ and $h \in \mathfrak{f}^2_q(\mathfrak{R})$ such that

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f_\tau d\tau + \int_0^t g_\tau dw_\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\tau,z} \widetilde{\nu} (d\tau, dz), \text{ a.s. for each } t \ge 0.$$

4. STOCHASTIC INCLUSIONS

Let $F = \{(F_t(x))_{t \ge 0} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $G = \{(G_t(x))_{t \ge 0} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ and $H = \{(H_{t,z}(x))_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. Assume F, G and H are such that $(F_t(x))_{t \ge 0} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^p(\mathfrak{P})$, $(G_t(x))_{t \ge 0} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P})$ and $(H_{t,z}(x))_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By a stochastic inclusion, denoted by SI(F,G,H), corresponding to F,Gand H given above, we mean the relation

$$x_t - x_s \in cl_{L^2} \left(\int_s^t F_\tau(x_\tau) d\tau + \int_s^t G_\tau(x_\tau) dw_\tau + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\tau, z}(x_\tau) \widetilde{\nu} \left(d\tau, dz \right) \right)$$

that is to be satisfied for every $0 \le s < t < \infty$ by a stochastic process $x = (x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$ such that $F \circ mx \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^p(\mathfrak{P})$, $G \circ mx \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P})$ and $H \circ mx \in \mathcal{M}_{s-v}^2(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$, where $F \circ mx = (F_t(x_t))_{t \ge 0}$, $G \circ mx = (G_t(x_t))_{t \ge 0}$ and $H \circ mx = (H_{t,z}(x_t))_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$. Every stochastic process $(x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$, satisfying the conditions mentioned above, is said to be global solution to SI(F, G, H).

Corollary 1: If F, G and H take on convex values then SI(F, G, H) has a form

$$x_t - x_s \in \int_s^t F_{\tau}(x_{\tau}) d\tau + \int_s^t G_{\tau}(x_{\tau}) dw_{\tau} + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\tau,z}(x_{\tau}) \widetilde{\nu} (d\tau, dz)$$

and $(x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$ is a global solution to SI(F,G,H) if and only if there are $f \in \mathfrak{I}^2(F \circ mx), g \in \mathfrak{I}^2(G \circ mx)$ and $h \in \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H \circ mx)$ such that

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f_\tau d\tau + \int_0^t g_\tau dw_\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\tau,z} \widetilde{\nu} (d\tau, dz), a.s. \text{ for each } t \ge 0.$$

Given $0 \le \alpha < \beta < \infty$, a stochastic process $(x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$ is said to be a local solution to SI(F,G,H) on $[\alpha,\beta]$ if

$$x_t - x_s \in cl_{L^2} \left(\int_s^t F_\tau(x_\tau) d\tau + \int_s^t G_\tau(x_\tau) dw_\tau + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\tau, z}(x_\tau) \widetilde{\nu} \left(d\tau, dz \right) \right)$$

for $\alpha \leq s < t \leq \beta$.

Corollary 2: A stochastic process $(x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$ is a local solution to SI(F,G,H) on $[\alpha,\beta]$ if and only if $x^{\alpha,\beta}$ is a global solution to $SI(F^{\alpha\beta},G^{\alpha\beta},H^{\alpha\beta})$, where $F^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}F$, $G^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}G$ and $H^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}H$.

A stochastic process $(x_t)_{t\geq 0} \in D$ is called a global (local on $[\alpha,\beta]$, resp.) solution to an initial value problem for stochastic inclusion SI(F,G,H) with an initial condition $y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$, resp.) if $(x_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a global (local on $[\alpha,\beta]$, resp.) solution to SI(F,G,H) and $x_0 = y$ ($x_\alpha = y$, resp.). An initial-value problem for SI(F,G,H) mentioned above will be denoted by $SI_y(F,G,H)$ ($SI_y^{\alpha,\beta}(F,G,H)$, resp.). In what follows, we denote a set of all global (local on $[\alpha,\beta]$, resp.) solutions to $SI_y(F,G,H)$ by $\Lambda_y(F,G,H)$ ($\Lambda_y^{\alpha,\beta}(F,G,H)$, resp.).

Suppose F, G and H satisfy the following conditions (\mathcal{A}_1) :

- $\begin{array}{ll} (i) & F = \{(F_t(x))_{t \geq 0} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \, G = \{(G_t(x))_{t \geq 0} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \text{ and } H = \\ & \{(H_{t,z}(x))_{t \geq 0, \, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \text{ are such that mappings } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni \\ & (t, \omega, x) \to F_t(x)(\omega) \in Cl(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathbb{R}_t \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (t, \omega, x) \to G_t(x)(\omega) \in Cl(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ & \text{and } \mathbb{R}_t \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (t, \omega, z, x) \to H_{t,z}(x)(\omega) \in Cl(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ are } \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{B}^n \text{ and } \\ & \mathfrak{P}^n \otimes \mathfrak{B}^n \text{-measurable, respectively.} \end{array}$
- (*ii*) $(F_t(x))_{t \ge 0}$, $(G_t(x))_{t \ge 0}$, $(H_{x,z}(x))_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ are uniformly *p* and square-integrable bounded, respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \parallel F_t(x) \parallel)_{t \ge 0} &\in \mathcal{L}_1^p, \, (\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \parallel G_t(x) \parallel)_{t \ge 0} \in \mathcal{L}_1^2 \qquad \text{and} \\ & (\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \parallel H_{t, z}(x) \parallel)_{t \ge 0, \, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \in \mathcal{W}_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3: For every $(x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$ and F, G, H satisfying (\mathcal{A}_1) one has $F \circ mx \in \mathcal{M}^p_{x-v}(\mathfrak{P}), \ G \circ mx \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathfrak{P})$ and $H \circ mx \in \mathcal{M}^2_{s-v}(\mathfrak{P}^n, q)$.

Now define a linear continuous mapping Φ on $\mathcal{L}_n^p \times \mathcal{L}_n^2 \times \mathcal{W}_n^2$ by taking $\Phi(f,g,h) = (\mathfrak{I}_t f + \mathfrak{J}_t g + \mathfrak{T}_t h)_{t \geq 0}$ to each $(f,g,h) \in \mathcal{L}_n^p \times \mathcal{L}_n^2 \times \mathcal{W}_n^2$. It is clear that Φ maps $\mathcal{L}_n^p \times \mathcal{L}_n^2 \times \mathcal{W}_n^2$ into D. Given above F, G and H satisfying (\mathcal{A}_1) , define a set-valued mapping \mathcal{H} on D by setting

$$\mathfrak{F}(x) = cl_{\ell}(\Phi(\mathfrak{I}^{p}(F \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}(G \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(H \circ mx)))$$
(1)

for $x = (x_t)_{t \ge 0} \in D$, where the closure is taken in the norm topology in $(D, \|\cdot\|_{\ell})$. Similarly, for given $0 \le \alpha < \beta < \infty$, we define a set-valued mapping $\mathfrak{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$ on D by taking

$$\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha,\,\beta}(x) = cl_{\ell}(\Phi(\mathfrak{I}^{p}(F^{\alpha\beta}\circ mx)\times\mathfrak{I}^{2}(G^{\alpha\beta}\circ mx)\times\mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(H^{\alpha\beta}\circ mx)) \tag{2}$$

where $F^{\alpha\beta}$, $G^{\alpha\beta}$ and $H^{\alpha\beta}$ are as above.

Corollary 4: For every F, G and H taking on convex values and

satisfying (\mathcal{A}_1) , one has $\mathfrak{K}(x) = \Phi(\mathfrak{I}^p(F \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^2(G \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H \circ mx))$ and $\mathfrak{K}^{\alpha,\beta}(y) = \Phi(\mathfrak{I}^p(F^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^2(G^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx))$ for $x \in D$.

Let S(F,G,H) and $S^{\alpha,\beta}(F,G,H)$ denote the set of all fixed points of \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$, respectively. It will be shown below that $S^{\alpha,\beta}(F,G,H) \subset D^{\alpha,\beta}$. Immediately from Proposition 2 (see [10]) the following result follows.

Proposition 4: Assume F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and take on convex values. Then $\Lambda_0(F, G, H) = S(F, G, H)$ and $\Lambda_0^{\alpha, \beta}(F, G, H) = S^{\alpha, \beta}(F, G, H)$ for every $0 \le \alpha < \beta < \infty$, respectively.

Proposition 5: Assume F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and let $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \infty$. Then $x \in S^{\alpha, b}(F, G, H)$ if and only if

- (i) $x_t = 0$ a.s. for $t \in [0, \alpha]$,
- (ii) $x_t = x_\beta$ a.s. for $t \ge \beta$,
- $\begin{array}{ll} (iii) & for & every & \epsilon > 0 & there & is \\ & (f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \in \mathfrak{I}^{p}(F^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}(G^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(H^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx)) & such & that \\ & \parallel sup_{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} \mid x_{t} \Phi_{t}(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \mid \parallel_{L^{2}_{1}} < \epsilon. \end{array}$

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $x \in S^{\alpha,\beta}(F,G,H)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is $(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \in \mathcal{P}^{p}(F^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathcal{P}^{2}(G^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathcal{P}^{2}_{q}(H^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx))$ such that $\|x - \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})\|_{\ell} < \epsilon$. We have of course $\Phi_{t}(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) = 0$ and $\Phi_{t}(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) = \Phi_{\beta}(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})$, a.s. for $0 \le t \le \alpha$ and $t \ge \beta$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{split} \|\sup_{\substack{0 \le t \le \alpha}} \|x_t\| \|_{L^2_1} &= \|\sup_{\substack{0 \le t \le \alpha}} \|x_t - \Phi_t(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})\| \|_{L^2_1} \\ &\leq \|x - \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})\|_{\ell} < \epsilon. \end{split}$$

and

$$\|\sup_{t \ge \beta} |x_t - x_\beta| \|_{L^2_1} = \|\sup_{t \ge \beta} |x_t - \Phi_t(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})| \|_{L^2_1}$$
$$+ \|\sup_{t \ge \beta} |x_t - \Phi_t(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})| \|_{L^2_1} \le 2\epsilon.$$

$$+ \|\sup_{t \ge \beta} |x_{\beta} - \Phi_{\beta}(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})| \|_{L^{2}_{1}} < 2\epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\sup_{0 \le t \le \alpha} |x_t| = 0$ and $\sup_{t \ge \beta} |x_t - x_{\beta}| = 0$ a.s.

By the properties of $\Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon})$, (i) and (ii), (iii) easily follow. (\Leftarrow) Conditions (i) - (iii) imply

$$\| x - \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \|_{\ell} = \| \sup_{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} | x_t - \Phi_t(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) | \|_{L^2_1} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $x \in cl_{\ell} \Phi(\mathfrak{I}^{p}(F^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}(G^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(H^{\alpha\beta} \circ mx)).$

Proposition 6: Assume F,G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and let $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers increasing to $+\infty$. If $x^1 \in S^{0,\tau_1}(F,G,H)$ and $x^{n+1} \in x_{\tau_n}^n + S^{\tau_n,\tau_{n+1}}(F,G,H)$ for n = 1,2,..., then $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_{n-1},\tau_n]}(x^n - x_{\tau_{n-1}}^{n-1})$ belongs to S(F,G,H), where $x_0^0 = 0$.

Proof: For every n = 1, 2, ... one has $x^n - x_{\tau_{n-1}}^{n-1} \in S^{\tau_{n-1}, \tau_n}(F, G, H)$. Then, by Proposition 5, for every n = 1, 2, ... and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $(f^n, g^n, h^n) \in \mathfrak{P}^p(F^{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n} \circ mx^n) \times \mathfrak{P}^2(G^{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n} \circ mx^n) \times \mathfrak{P}^2_q(H^{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n} \circ mx^n)$ such that

$$\|\sup_{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n} |(x_t^n - x_{\tau_{n-1}}^{n-1}) - \Phi_t(f^n, g^n, h^n)| \|_{L^2_1} < \epsilon/2^n.$$

Put $f^{\epsilon} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_{n-1},\tau_n)} f^n$, $g^{e} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_{n-1},\tau_n)} g^n$ and $h^{\epsilon} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_{n-1},\tau_n)} h^n$. By the decomposability (see [9], [10]) of $\mathcal{P}^2(F \circ mx)$, $\mathcal{P}^2(G \circ mx)$ and $\mathcal{P}^2_q(h \circ mx)$, we get $f^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{P}^2(F \circ mx)$, $g^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{P}^2(G \circ mx)$ and $h^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{P}^2_q(H \circ mx)$. Moreover

$$\begin{split} \| x - \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \|_{\ell} \\ &\leq \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_{n}}} | (x_{t}^{n} - x_{\tau_{n-1}}^{n-1} - \Phi_{t}(f^{n}, g^{n}, h^{n}) | \|_{L_{1}^{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| \sup_{\substack{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_{n}}} | (x_{t}^{n} - x_{\tau_{n-1}}^{n-1} - \Phi_{t}(f^{n}, g^{n}, h^{n}) | \|_{L_{1}^{2}} < \epsilon. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $x \in cl_{\ell} \Phi(\mathfrak{f}^2(F \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{f}^2(G \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{f}^2_q(H \circ mx).$

In what follows we shall deal with $F = \{(F_t(x))_{t \ge 0} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\},\$ $G = \{(G_t(x))_{t \ge 0} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ and $H = \{H_{t,z}(x))_{t \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ satisfying conditions (\mathcal{A}_1) and any one of the following conditions.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathcal{A}_2) \quad F,G \quad and \quad H \quad are \quad such \quad that \quad set-valued \quad functions \quad D \ni x \to (F \circ mx)_t(\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \\ D \ni x \to (G \circ mx)_t(\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad and \quad D \ni x \to (H \circ mx)_{t,z}(\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad are \quad w.-w.s.u.s.c. \\ on \quad D, \quad i.e., \quad for \quad every \quad x \in D \quad and \quad every \quad sequence \quad (x_n) \quad of \quad (D, \parallel \cdot \parallel_{\ell}) \\ converging \quad weakly \quad to \quad x, \quad one \quad has \quad \bar{h}(\int \int_A (F \circ mx_n)_t \quad dtdP, \\ \int \int_A (F \circ mx)_t dtdP) \to 0, \quad \bar{h}(\int \int_A (G \circ mx_n)_t dtdP, \quad \int \int_A (G \circ mx_n)_t dtdP) \to 0 \quad and \\ \bar{h}(\int \int \int_B (H \circ mx_n)_{t,z} \ dtq(dz)dP, \quad \int \int_B (H \circ mx)_{t,z} dtq(dz) \ dP) \to 0. \end{array}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_4): \ There \ are \ k, \ell \in \mathcal{L}_1^2 \ and \ m \in \mathcal{W}_1^2 \ such \ that \ \| \ \overset{\sim}{\underset{0}{\int}} h[(F \circ mx)_t, (F \circ my)_t]dt \,\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} \leq \\ & E \overset{\sim}{\underset{0}{\int}} k_t \,|\, x_t - y_t \,|\, dt, \ \| \ h(G \circ mx, G \circ my) \,\|_{\mathcal{L}_1^2} \leq \ E \overset{\sim}{\underset{0}{\int}} \ell_t \,|\, x_t - y_t \,|\, dt \ and \\ & \| \ h(H \circ mx, \ H \circ my) \,\|_{\mathcal{W}_1^2} \leq \ E \overset{\sim}{\underset{0}{\int}} \prod_{\mathfrak{R}^n} m_{t,z} \,|\, x_t - y_t \,|\, dtq(dz) \ for \ x, y \in D. \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} (\mathcal{A}_4') & There \quad are \quad k, \ell \in L^2(\mathfrak{B}_+) \quad and \quad m \in L^2(\mathfrak{B}_+ \times \mathfrak{B}^n) \quad such \quad that \quad h(F_t(x_2)(\omega), F_t(x_1)(\omega)) \leq & k(t) \mid x_1 - x_2 \mid , \quad h(G_t(x_2)(\omega), G_5(x_1)(\omega)) \leq \ell(t) \mid x_1 - x_2 \mid & and \\ & h(H_{t,\,z}(x_2)(\omega), \quad H_{t,\,z}(x_1)(\omega)) \quad \leq m(t,z) \mid x_1 - x_2 \mid & a.e., \quad each \quad t \geq 0 \quad and \\ & x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{array}$

It is clear that the upper (lower) semicontinuity of F, G and H does not imply their weak (strong) - weak sequential upper (lower) semicontinuity presented above. We shall show that in some special cases, i.e., for concave (convex, resp.), set-valued mappings such implication holds true. Recall a setvalued mapping \mathfrak{R} , defined on a locally convex topological space (X, \mathfrak{T}_X) with values in a normed space is said to be concave (convex) if $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) \subset$ $\alpha \mathfrak{R}(x_1) + \beta \mathfrak{R}(x_2)$ ($\alpha \mathfrak{R}(x_1) + \beta \mathfrak{R}(x_2) \subset \mathfrak{R}(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2)$), for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Lemma 1: Suppose F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) with p = 1, take on convex values and are concave (convex) with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If moreover F, Gand H are u.s.c. (l.s.c.) with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then they are w.-w.s.u.s.c. (s.w.s.l.s.c.).

Proof: Let $x \in D$ be fixed and let (x^n) be a sequence of D weakly converging to x. Denote $K_p(t, \omega, y) := -s(p, F_t(y_t)(\omega))$ for $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in D$, $t \ge 0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. We shall show that for every $A \in \mathfrak{P}$ and every $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ one has

$$\int \int_{A} K_{p}(t,\omega,x) dt dP \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} nf \int \int_{A} K_{p}(t,\omega,x^{n}) dt dP,$$

which is equivalent to the weak-weak sequential upper semicontinuity of F at $x \in D$ in the sense defined in (\mathcal{A}_2) . Similarly, the weak-weak sequential upper

semicontinuity of G and H can be verified.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{P}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. Denote $j_n = \int \int_A K_p(t, \omega, x^n) dt dP$ for n = 1, 2, ... and put $i: = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int \int_A K_p(t, \omega, x^n) dt dP$. By taking a suitable subsequence, say (n_k) of (n) we may well assume that $j_{n_k} \to i$ as $k \to \infty$. By the Banach and Mazur theorem (see [2]) for every s = 1, 2, ... there are numbers $\alpha_k^s \ge 0$ with k = 1, 2, ..., N and N = 1, 2, ... satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^s = 1$ and such that $|| z_N^s - x ||_\ell \to 0$ as $N \to 0$, where $z_N^s(t, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^s x_t^{n_k + s}(\omega)$. By the definition of the norm $|| \cdot ||_\ell$ there is a subsequence, say again (z_N^s) , of (z_N) such that $\sup_{k \ge 0} |z_N^s(t, \omega) - x_t(\omega)| \to 0$ a.s. for s = 1, 2, ... Put $\eta_N^s := \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^s K_p(\cdot, \cdot, x^{n_k + s}), \quad j_k^s = \int \int_A^\infty K_p(t, \omega, x^{n_k + s}) dt dP$

and let $\delta_s = max_{N \ge s+1}max_{1 \le k \le N} |j_k^s - i|$ for s = 1, 2, ... We have $\delta_s \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$. By the uniform square boundedness of F there is $m_F \in \mathcal{L}_1^2$ such that $\eta_N^s \ge -m_F$ a.e. for N, s = 1, 2, ... Therefore, $liminf_{N \to \infty} \eta_N^s \ge -m_F$ a.e. for s = 1, 2, ... Then by Fatou's lemma one obtains

$$\int \int_{A} \underset{N \to \infty}{limin} f \eta_{N}^{s} dt dP \leq \underset{N \to \infty}{limin} f \int \int_{A} \eta_{N}^{s} dt dP \leq i + \delta_{s}$$

for s = 1, 2, ..., because for every s = 1, 2, ..., we have $i - \delta_s \leq \int \int_A \eta_N^s dt dP \leq i + \delta_s$. Taking $\eta = liminf_{s \to \infty}[liminf_{N \to \infty}\eta_N^s]$ a.e., we get $\eta \geq -m_F$ a.e. and $\int \int \eta dt dP \leq i$. We shall verify that we also have $K(t, \omega, x) \leq \eta(t, \omega)$ for a.e. $(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$. Indeed, by upper semicontinuity of F with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a real valued function $x \to -s(p, F_t(x))$ is lower semicontinuous on \mathbb{R}^n , a.s. for every $t \geq 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore for every m, s = 1, 2, ... there is $M \geq 1$ such that

$$-s(p, F_{t}(x_{t})) - \frac{1}{m} < -s(p, F_{t}(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k}^{s} x_{t}^{n_{k}+s}))$$

a.s. for every $t \ge 0$ and $N \ge M$. Hence, by the properties of F, it follows

$$-s(p, F_t(x_t)) - \frac{1}{m} < \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k^s [-s(p, F_t(x_t^{n_k+s}))] = :\eta_N^s(t, \cdot)$$

a.s. for $t \ge 0$, s, m = 1, 2... and $N \ge M$. Therefore, for m = 1, 2, ... almost everywhere, one gets

$$K_p(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,,x) - \frac{1}{m} \leq \underset{s \to \infty}{limin} f[\underset{N \to \infty}{limin} f\eta_N^s] = \eta$$

Finally, we get

$$\int \int_{A} K_{p}(t,\omega,x) dt dP \leq \int \int_{A} \eta(t,\omega) dt dP \leq i.$$

5. PROPERTIES OF SOLUTION SET

We shall prove here the existence theorems for SI(F,G,H). We show first that conditions (\mathcal{A}_1) and anyone of conditions (\mathcal{A}_2) - (\mathcal{A}_4) or (\mathcal{A}'_4) imply the existence of fixed points for the set-valued mappings \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha,\beta}$ defined above. Hence, by Propositions 4 and 5, the existence theorems for SI(F,G,H) will follow. We begin with the following lemmas.

Lemma 2: Assume F,G and H take on convex values, satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) with p = 2 and (\mathcal{A}_2) . Then a set-valued mapping \mathfrak{H} is u.s.c. as a multifunction defined on a locally convex topological Hausdorff space $(D, \sigma(D, D^*))$ with nonempty values in $(D, \sigma(D, D^*))$.

Proof: Let C be a nonempty weakly closed subset of D and select a sequence (x^n) of $\mathbb{H}^-(C)$ weakly converging to $x \in D$. There is a sequence (y^n) of C such that $y^n \in \mathbb{H}(x^n)$ for n = 1, 2, ... By the uniform square-integrable boundedness of F, G and H, there is a convex weakly compact subset $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{L}^2_n \times \mathcal{L}^2_n \times \mathcal{W}^2_n$ such that $\mathbb{H}(x^n) \subset \Phi(\mathbb{B})$. Therefore, $y^n \in \Phi(\mathbb{B})$, for n = 1, 2, ... which, by the weak compactness of $\Phi(\mathbb{B})$, implies the existence of a subsequence, say for simplicity (y^k) , of (y^n) weakly converging to $y \in \Phi(\mathbb{B})$. We have $y^k \in \mathbb{H}(x^k)$ for k = 1, 2, ... Let $(f^k, g^k, h^k) \in \mathfrak{I}^2(F \circ mx^k) \times \mathfrak{I}^2(G \circ mx^k) \times \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H \circ mx^k)$ be such that $\Phi(f^k, g^k, h^k) = y^k$, for each k = 1, 2, ... We have of course $(f^k, g^k, h^k) \in \mathbb{B}$. Therefore, there is a subsequence, say again $\{(f^k, g^k, h^k)\}$ of $\{(f^k, g^k, h^k)\}$ weakly converging in $\mathcal{L}^2_n \times \mathcal{L}^2_n \times \mathcal{W}^2_n$ to $(f, g, h) \in \mathbb{B}$. Now, for every $A \in \mathfrak{P}$ one obtains

$$dist\left(\int_{A} \int_{t} f_{t} dt dP, \int_{A} \int_{F} F_{t}(x) dt dP\right) \leq \\ \leq \left|\int_{A} \int_{A} [f_{t} - f_{t}^{k}] dt dP\right| + dist\left(\int_{A} \int_{A} f_{t}^{k} dt dP, \int_{A} \int_{F} F_{t}(x_{k}) dt dP\right)\right)$$

$$+ \bar{h}\left(\int \int_{A} F_t(x^k) dt dP, \int \int_{A} F_t(x) dt dP\right).$$

Therefore (see [8], Lemma 4.4) $f \in \mathfrak{I}^2(F \circ mx)$. Quite similarly, we also get $t \in \mathfrak{I}^2(G \circ mx)$ and $h \in \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H \circ mx)$. Thus, $\Phi(f, g, h) \in \mathfrak{K}(x)$, which implies $y \in \mathfrak{K}(x)$. On the other hand we also have $y \in C$, because C is weakly closed. Therefore, $x \in \mathfrak{K}^-(C)$. Now the result follows immediately from Eberlein and Šmulian's theorem.

Lemma 3: Assume F,G and H take on convex values, satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) with p = 2 and (\mathcal{A}_3) . Then a set-valued mapping \mathcal{H} is l.s.c. as a multifunction defined on a locally convex topological Hausdorff space $(D, \sigma(D, D^*))$ with nonempty values in $(D, \sigma(D, D^*))$.

Proof: Let C be a nonempty weakly closed subset of D and (x^n) a sequence of $\mathcal{H}_{-}(C)$ weakly converging to $x \in D$. Select arbitrarily $y \in \mathcal{H}(x)$ and suppose $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{I}^2(F \circ mx) \times \mathcal{I}^2(G \circ mx) \times \mathcal{I}^2_q(H \circ mx)$ is such that $y = \Phi(f, g, h)$. Let $(f^n, g^n, h^n) \in \mathcal{I}^2(F \circ mx^n) \times \mathcal{I}^2(G \circ mx^n) \times \mathcal{I}^2_q(H \circ mx^n)$ be such that $|f_t(\omega) - f^n_t(\omega)| = dist(f_t(\omega), (F \circ mx^n)_t(\omega)),$

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(\omega) - f_t^n(\omega) | &= dist(f_t(\omega), (F \circ mx^n)_t(\omega)), \\ g_t(\omega) - g_t^n(\omega) | &= dist(g_t(\omega), (G \circ mx^n)_t(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$
 and

$$\begin{split} |h_{t,z}(\omega) - g_{t,z}^n(\omega)| &= dist(h_{t,z}(\omega), \ (H \circ mx^n)_{t,z}(\omega)) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \text{ and } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \text{respectively, for each } n &= 1, 2, \dots \text{ By virtue of } (\mathcal{A}_3) \text{ one gets } |f_t(\omega) - f_t^n(\omega)| \to 0, \\ |g_t(\omega) - g_t^n(\omega)| \to 0 \text{ and } |h_{t,z}(\omega) - h_{t,z}^n(\omega)| \to 0 \text{ a.e., as } n \to \infty. \text{ Hence, by } (\mathcal{A}_1) \text{ we} \\ \text{can easily see that a sequence } (y_n), \text{ defined by } y^n &= \Phi(f^n, g^n, h^n), \text{ weakly} \\ \text{converges to } y. \text{ But } y^n \in \mathfrak{K}(x^n) \subset C \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } C \text{ is weakly closed. Then} \\ y \in C \text{ which implies } \mathfrak{K}(x) \subset C. \text{ Thus } x \in \mathfrak{K}_-(C). \end{split}$$

Lemma 4. Suppose F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_4) or (\mathcal{A}'_4) . Then $H(\mathfrak{K}(x), \mathfrak{K}(y)) \leq L || x - y ||_{\ell}$ or $H(\mathfrak{K}(x), \mathfrak{K}(y)) \leq L' || x - y ||_{\ell}$, respectively, for every $x, y \in D$, where H is the Hausdorff metric induced by the norm $|| \cdot ||_{\ell}$, $L = || \int_{0}^{\infty} k_t dt ||_{L_1^2} + 2 || \int_{0}^{\infty} \ell_t dt ||_{L_1^2} + 2 || \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{r,z} d\tau q(dz) ||_{L_1^2}$ and $L' = |k|_1 + 2 ||\ell|_2 + 2 ||m||_2$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Proof:} & \text{Let } x, y \in D \text{ be given and let } u \in \mathfrak{K}(x). \text{ For every } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there is } \\ (f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}(F \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}(G \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(H \circ mx) \quad \text{such that} \quad \left\| u - \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \right\|_{\ell} \\ < \epsilon. \text{ Select now } (\widetilde{f}^{\epsilon}, \widetilde{g}^{\epsilon}, \widetilde{h}^{\epsilon}) \in \mathfrak{I}^{2}(F \circ my) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}(G \circ my) \times \mathfrak{I}^{2}_{q}(H \circ my) \quad \text{such that} \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} |f_t^{\epsilon}(\omega) - \widetilde{f}_t^{\epsilon}(\omega)| &= dist(f_t^{\epsilon}(\omega), (F \circ my)_t(\omega)), \\ |g_t^{\epsilon}(\omega) - \widetilde{g}_t^{\epsilon}(\omega)| &= dist(g_t^{\epsilon}(\omega), (G \circ my)_t(\omega)) \end{split}$$
 and

 $|h^{\epsilon}_{t,\,z}(\omega) - \widetilde{h}^{\epsilon}_{t,\,z}(\omega)| = dist(h^{\epsilon}_{t,\,z}(\omega), (H \circ my)_{t,\,z}(\omega)) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \Omega \text{ and } \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n},$ respectively. Now, by (\mathcal{A}_4) it follows

$$\begin{split} E & \left[\left. \sup_{t \ge 0} \left| \left| \int_{0}^{t} (f_{\tau}^{\epsilon} - \tilde{f}_{\tau}^{\epsilon}) d\tau \right| \right| \right]^{2} \le E \left[\left| \int_{0}^{\infty} |f_{\tau}^{\epsilon} - \tilde{f}_{\tau}^{\epsilon}| d\tau \right| \right]^{2} \\ \le E \left[\left| \int_{0}^{t} \bar{h} ((F \circ mx)_{\tau}, (F \circ my)_{\tau}) d\tau \right| \right]^{2} \le \left(E \int_{0}^{t} k_{\tau} |x_{\tau} - y_{\tau}| d\tau \right)^{2} \\ \le \left[E \left(\left| \sup_{t \ge 0} |x_{t} - y_{t}| + \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\tau} d\tau \right) \right| \right]^{2} \le E \left(\left| \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2} \cdot \|x - y\| \|_{\ell}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, by Doob's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} E\left[\sup_{t \ge 0} \left| \int_{0}^{t} (g_{\tau}^{\epsilon} - \widetilde{g}_{\tau}^{\epsilon}) dw_{\tau} \right| \right]^{2} &\leq 4E \int_{0}^{\infty} |g_{\tau}^{\epsilon} - \widetilde{g}_{\tau}^{\epsilon}|^{2} d\tau \\ &\leq 4E \int_{0}^{\infty} [\bar{h} \left((G \circ mx)_{\tau}, (G \circ my)_{\tau} \right)]^{2} d\tau \leq 4 \left(E \int_{0}^{\infty} \ell_{\tau} |x_{\tau} - y_{\tau}| d\tau \right)^{2} \\ &\leq 4 \left[E \left(\sup_{t \ge 0} |x_{t} - y_{t}| \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} \ell_{\tau} d\tau \right) \right]^{2} \leq 4E \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \ell_{\tau} d\tau \right)^{2} ||x - y||^{2} \ell^{2} \end{split}$$

Quite similarly, we also get

$$E\left[\sup_{t\geq 0}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}h_{\tau}^{\epsilon}-\widetilde{h}_{\tau,z}^{\epsilon})\widetilde{\nu}\left(d\tau,dz\right)\right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq 4E\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}m_{\tau,z}d\tau q(dz)\right)^{2}\cdot\left\|x_{\tau}-y_{\tau}\right\|_{\ell}^{2}.$$

$$\|u-\Phi(\widetilde{f}^{\epsilon},\widetilde{a}^{\epsilon},\widetilde{b}^{\epsilon})\|$$

Therefore

$${{\left\| {{\,u - \Phi (\widetilde f ^ \epsilon ,\widetilde g ^ \epsilon ,\widetilde h ^ \epsilon } \right\|} \,}_\ell }$$

$$\leq \| u - \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) \|_{\ell} + \| \Phi(f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon}, h^{\epsilon}) - \Phi(\widetilde{f}^{\epsilon}, \widetilde{g}^{\epsilon}, \widetilde{h}^{\epsilon}) \|_{\ell} \leq \epsilon + L \| x - y \|_{\ell},$$

where L is such as above. This implies $\overline{H}(\mathfrak{K}(x),\mathfrak{K}(y)) \leq L || x - y ||_{\ell}$. Quite similarly we also get $\overline{H}(\mathfrak{K}(y),\mathfrak{K}(x)) \leq L || x - y ||_{\ell}$. Therefore $H(\mathfrak{K}(x),\mathfrak{K}(y))$ $\leq L || x - y ||_{\ell}$. Using conditions (\mathcal{A}'_4) instead of (\mathcal{A}_4) we also get $H(\mathfrak{K}(y),\mathfrak{K}(x))$ $\leq L' || x - y ||_{\ell}$.

Lemma 5: Suppose F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_4) or (\mathcal{A}'_4) . Then for every $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \infty$ one has $H(\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha,\beta}(x),\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha,\beta}(y)) \leq L_{\alpha\beta} || x - y ||_{\ell}$ or $H(\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha,\beta}(x),\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha,\beta}(y)) \leq L'_{\alpha\beta} || x - y ||_{\ell}$, respectively, for every $x, y \in D^{\alpha,\beta}$, where His a Hausdorff metric induced by the norm $|| \cdot ||_{\ell}$, $L_{\alpha,\beta} = || \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}(t)k_t dt ||_{L_1^2}$ $+ 2 || \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}(t)\ell_t dt ||_{L_1^2} + 2 || \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}(t) m_{t,z} dtq(dz) ||_{L_1^2}$ and $L'_{\alpha,\beta} = || \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}k ||_1$ $+ 2 || \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}\ell ||_2 + 2 || \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}m ||_2$.

Proof: The proof follows immediately from Lemma 4 applied to $F^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}F, \ G^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}G \text{ and } H^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{I}_{[\alpha,\beta]}H.$

Immediately from Lemma 2 and the Kakutani and Fan fixed point theorem the following result follows.

Lemma 6: If F, G and H take on convex values and satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2) , then $S(F, G, H) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{B} = \{(f,g,h) \in \mathfrak{L}^2_n \times \mathfrak{L}^2_n \times \mathfrak{W}^2_n : |f_t(\omega)| \leq ||F_t(\omega)||, |g_t(\omega)| \leq ||G_t(\omega)||, |h_{t,z}(\omega)| \leq ||H_{t,z}(\omega)|| \text{ and put } \mathfrak{K} = \Phi(\mathfrak{B}).$ It is clear that \mathfrak{K} is a nonempty convex weakly compact subset of D such that $\mathfrak{K}(x) \subset \mathfrak{K}$ for $x \in D$. By (*ii*) of Proposition 1, $\mathfrak{K}(x)$ is a convex and weakly compact subset of D, for each $x \in D$. By Lemma 2, \mathfrak{K} is *u.s.c.* on a locally convex topological Hausdorff space $(D, \sigma(D, D^*))$. Therefore, by the Kakutani and Fan fixed point theorem, we get $S(F, G, H) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 7. If F,G and H take on convex values and satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_3) , then $S(F,G,H) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{K} be as in Lemma 6. By virtue of Lemma 3, \mathcal{H} is *l.s.c.* as a set-valued mapping from a paracompact space \mathcal{K} considered with its relative topology induced by a weak topology $\sigma(D, D^*)$ on D into a Banach space $(D, \|\cdot\|_{\rho})$. By (*ii*) of Proposition 1, $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is a closed and convex subset of D, for

each $x \in \mathfrak{K}$. Therefore, by Michael's theorem, there is a continuous selection $f: \mathfrak{K} \to D$ for \mathfrak{K} . But $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{K}) \subset \mathfrak{K}$. Then f maps \mathfrak{K} into itself and is continuous with respect to the relative topology on \mathfrak{K} , defined above. Therefore, by the Schauder and Tikhonov fixed point theorem, there is $x \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $x = f(x) \in \mathfrak{K}(x)$.

Lemma 8. If F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_4) or (\mathcal{A}'_4) then $S(F, G, H) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers increasing to $+\infty$. Select a positive number σ such that $L_{k\sigma,(k+1)\sigma} < 1$ or $L'_{k\sigma,(k+1)\sigma} < 1$, respectively, for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, where $L_{k\sigma,(k+1)\sigma}$ and $L'_{k\sigma,(k+1)\sigma}$ are as in Lemma 5. Suppose a positive integer n_1 is such that $n_1\sigma < \tau_1 \leq (n_1+1)\sigma$. By virtue of Lemma 5, $\mathfrak{K}^{k\sigma,(k+1)\sigma}$ is a set-valued contraction for every $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Therefore, by the Covitz and Nadler fixed point theorem, there is $z^1 \in S^{0,\sigma}(F,G,H)$. By the same argument, there is $z^2 \in z_{\sigma}^1 + S^{\sigma,2\sigma}(F,G,H)$, because $z_{\sigma}^1 + \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,2\sigma}$ is again a set-valued contraction mapping. Continuing the above procedure we can finally find a $z^{n_1+1} \in z_{n_1\sigma}^{n_1} + S^{n_1\sigma,\tau_1}(F,G,H)$. Put

$$\begin{split} x^{1} = & \sum_{k=0}^{n_{1}-1} \mathbf{I}_{[k\sigma,(k+1)\sigma)}(z^{k+1} - z_{k\sigma}^{k}) \\ &+ \mathbf{I}_{[n_{1}\sigma,,\tau_{1}]}(z^{n_{1}+1} - z_{n_{1}\sigma}^{n_{1}}) + \mathbf{I}_{(\tau_{1},\infty)}(z_{\tau_{1}}^{n_{1}+1} - z_{n_{1}\sigma}^{n_{1}}), \end{split}$$

where $z_0^0 = 0$. Similarly, as in the proof of Proposition 6, we can easily verify that $x^1 \in S^{0,\tau_1}(F,G,H)$. Repeating the above procedure to the interval $[\tau_1,\tau_2]$, we can find $x^2 \in x_{\tau_1}^1 + S^{\tau_1,\tau_2}(F,G,H)$. Continuing this process we can define a sequence (x^n) of D satisfying the conditions of Proposition 6. Therefore $S(f,G,H) \neq \emptyset$.

Now as a corollary of Proposition 4 and Lemmas 6-8, the following results follow.

Theorem 1. Suppose F, G and H take on convex values, satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2) or (\mathcal{A}_3) . Then $\Lambda_0(F, G, H) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2. Suppose F, G and H satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_4) or (\mathcal{A}'_4) and

take on convex values. Then $\Lambda_0(F,G,H) \neq \emptyset$.

From the stochastic optimal control theory point of view (see [6]), it is important to know whether the set $\Lambda_0(F,G,H)$ is at least weakly compact in $(D, \|\cdot\|_{\rho})$. We have the following result dealing with this topic.

Theorem 3. Suppose F, G and H take on convex values and satisfy (\mathcal{A}_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2) . Then $\Lambda_0(F, G, H)$ is a nonempty weakly compact subset of $(D, \|\cdot\|_{\ell})$.

Proof. Nonemptiness of $\Lambda_0(F,G,H)$ follows immediately from Theorem 1. By virtue of Proposition 4 and the Eberein and Šmulian theorem for the weak compactness of $\Lambda_0(F,G,H)$, it suffices only to verify that S(F,G,H) is sequentially weakly compact. But $S(F,G,H) \subset \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$, where \mathfrak{B} is a weakly compact subset of $\mathcal{L}^2_n \times \mathcal{L}^2_n \times \mathcal{W}^2_n$ defined in Lemma 6. Hence, by the properties of the linear mapping Φ , the relative sequential weak compactness of S(F,G,H)follows. Suppose (x^n) is a sequence of S(F,G,H) weakly converging to $x \in \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$, and let $(f^n, g^n, h^n) \in \mathfrak{I}^2(F \circ mx^n) \times \mathfrak{I}^2(G \circ mx^n) \times \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H \circ mx^n)$ be such that $x^n = \Phi(f^n, g^n, h^n)$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ By the weak compactness of \mathfrak{B} , there is a subsequence, denoted again by $\{(f^n, g^n, h^n)\}$, of $\{(f^n, g^n, h^n)\}$ weakly converging to $(f, g, h) \in \mathfrak{B}$. Similarly, as in the proof of Lemma 2, we can verify that $(f, g, h) \in \mathfrak{I}^2(F \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^2(G \circ mx) \times \mathfrak{I}^2_q(H \circ mx)$. This and the weak convergence of $\{\Phi(f^n, g^n, h^n)\}$ to $\Phi(f, g, h)$ imply that $x = \Phi(f, g, h) \in \mathfrak{H}(x)$. Thus $x \in S(F, G, H)\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, N.U., Optimal control of stochastic dynamical systems, Information and Control 22 (1973), 13-30.
- [2] Alexiewicz, A., Functional Analysis, Monografie Matematyczne 49, Polish Scientif Publishers, Warszawa, Poland 1969.
- [3] Chung, K.L., Williams, R.J., Introduction to Stochastic Integrals, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel 1983.
- [4] Fleming, W.H., Stochastic control for small noise intensities, SIAM J. Contr. 9 (1971), 473-517.
- [5] Fleming, W.H., Nisio, M., On the existence of optimal stochastic controls, J. Math. and Mech. 15 (1966), 777-794.
- [6] Gihman, I.I., Skorohod, A.V., Controlled Stochastic Processes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin -New York 1979.

- [7] Hiai, F., Umegaki, H., Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multifunctions, J. Multivariate Anal. 7 (1977), 149-182.
- [8] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S., Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes, North Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam-Tokyo 1981.
- [9] Kisieliwicz, M., Differential Inclusions and Optimal Control, Kluwer Acad. Publ. and Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa-Dordrecht-Boston-London 1991.
- [10] Kisielewicz, M., Set-valued stochastic integrals and stochastic inclusions. Ann. Probab., (submitted for publication).
- [11] Protter, Ph., Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1990.
- [12] Wentzel, A.D., Course of Theory of Stochastic Processes, Polish Scientific Publishers 1980 (in Polish).