

Research Article

A Perron-Frobenius Theorem for Positive Quasipolynomial Matrices Associated with Homogeneous Difference Equations

Bui The Anh and D. D. X. Thanh

Received 8 April 2007; Accepted 3 October 2007

Recommended by Patrick De Leenheer

We extend the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem for positive quasipolynomial matrices associated with homogeneous difference equations. Finally, the result obtained is applied to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of positive system.

Copyright © 2007 B. T. Anh and D. D. X. Thanh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Differential equations with dependence on the past state have various applications in physics, biology, economics, and so forth, and have also attracted many researchers as in the introduction and reference of [1–4]. The neutral differential difference equation is one of general classes. And one of important neutral differential difference equations is

$$\frac{d}{dt}[D(r, A)y_t] = f(t, y_t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $D(r, A) : C([-h; 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is linear continuous defined by

$$D(r, A)\phi = \phi(0) - \sum_{i=1}^N A_i \phi(-r_i), \quad \phi \in C([-h; 0], \mathbb{R}^n); \quad (1.2)$$

here each A_i is an $n \times n$ matrix, each r_i is a constant satisfying $r_i > 0$ and $h = \max\{r_i : i \in \bar{N}\}$, $\bar{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, and $y_t : [-h; 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $y_t(s) = y(t+s)$, $s \in [-h; 0]$. To get some properties of solution of (3.3), the stability of system (1.3) is required for the homogeneous difference equation of the form $D(r, A)y_t = 0$, $t \geq 0$; see [2]. This equation

can be rewritten as follows:

$$y(t) - \sum_{i=1}^N A_i y(t - r_i) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

We recall the definition in [2]: “the operator $D(r, A)$ or the system (1.3) is called stable if the zero solution of (1.3) with $y_0 \in C_D(r, A) = \{\phi \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) : D(r, A)\phi = 0\}$ is uniformly asymptotically stable.”

Associated with the system (1.3), we define the quasipolynomial

$$H(s) = I - \sum_{k=1}^N e^{-sr_k} A_k. \quad (1.4)$$

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, if $\det H(s) = 0$, then s is called a characteristic root of the quasipolynomial matrix (1.4). Then, a nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $H(s)x = 0$ is called an eigenvector of $H(\cdot)$ corresponding to the characteristic root s . We set $\sigma(H(\cdot)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \det H(\lambda) = 0\}$, the spectral set of (1.4), and $a_H = \sup \{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(H(\cdot))\}$, the spectral abscissa of (1.4) (noticing that we cannot replace sup by max). The following theorem is a well-known result in [2].

THEOREM 1.1. *The system (1.3) is stable if and only if $a_H < 0$.*

Thus, studying the stability of the system (1.3) turns out considering the characteristics of quasipolynomial (1.4). It is well known that the principal tool for the analysis of the stability and robust stability of a positive system is the Perron-Frobenius theorem; see [5–8]. In this work, we give an extension of the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem to positive quasipolynomial matrices of the form (1.4). Then the result obtained is applied to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for stability of positive systems of the form (1.3). An outline of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we summarize some notations and recall the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem which will be used in the remainder. The main results will be addressed in Section 3, where we extend the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem to positive quasipolynomial matrices. Finally, we apply the obtained results to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the positive systems of the form (1.3).

2. Preliminaries

We first introduce some notations. Let n, l, q be positive integers, a matrix $P = [p_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times q}$ is said to be nonnegative ($P \geq 0$) if all its entries p_{ij} are nonnegative. It is said to be positive ($P > 0$) if all its entries p_{ij} are positive. For $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times q}$, $P > Q$ means that $P - Q > 0$. The set of all nonnegative $l \times q$ -matrices is denoted by $\mathbb{R}^{l \times q}_+$. A similar notation will be used for vectors. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} , then for any $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and $P \in \mathbb{K}^{l \times q}$, we defined $|x| \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $|P| \in \mathbb{R}_+^{l \times q}$ by $|x| = (|x_i|)$, $|P| = [|p_{ij}|]$. For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ the spectral radius and the spectral abscissa of A are defined by $r(A) = \max \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ and $\mu(A) = \max \{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$, respectively, where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of A . We recall some useful results; see [9].

THEOREM 2.1 (Perron-Frobenius). *Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$. Then,*

- (i) $r(A)$ is an eigenvalue of A and there is a nonnegative eigenvector $x \geq 0$, $x \neq 0$ such that $Ax = r(A)x$;
- (ii) if $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ and $|\lambda| = r(A)$, then the algebraic multiplicity of λ is not greater than the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue $r(A)$;
- (iii) given $\alpha > 0$, there exists a nonzero vector $x \geq 0$ such that $Ax \geq \alpha x$ if and only if $r(A) \geq \alpha$;
- (iv) $(tI - A)^{-1}$ exists and is nonnegative if and only if $t > r(A)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$. If $|A| \leq B$, then*

$$r(A) \leq r(|A|) \leq r(B). \tag{2.1}$$

3. Main results

In this section, we will extend to Perron-Frobenius for positive quasipolynomial matrices of the form (1.4).

THEOREM 3.1. *if the quasipolynomial (1.4) is positive, that is, A_i is nonnegative matrix for all $i \in \overline{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, then $a_H \in \sigma(H(\cdot))$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\lambda_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\sigma(H(\cdot))$ such that $\lim \Re \lambda_m = a_H$. Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$x_m = \sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\lambda_m r_k} A_k x_m. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows that

$$|x_m| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\Re \lambda_m r_k} A_k \right) |x_m|. \tag{3.2}$$

By Theorem 2.1,

$$r \left(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\Re \lambda_m r_k} A_k \right) \geq 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Now let us define the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$f(t) = r \left(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-tr_k} A_k \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.4}$$

It is clear that $f(\cdot)$ is continuous and strictly decreasing on \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} r(t) = 0$. Moreover, from (3.3) we have $f(\Re \lambda_m) \geq 1$. Thus, from the continuity of $f(\cdot)$, there exists $\alpha_m \geq \Re \lambda_m$ satisfying $r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha_m r_k} A_k) = 1$ which implies $\alpha_m \in \sigma(H(\cdot))$.

Briefly, we have constructed a real sequence (α_m) that has the following properties: $\alpha_m \in \sigma(H(\cdot))$ and $\alpha_m \geq \Re \lambda_m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This follows from $\lim \alpha_m = a_H$. Furthermore, $\sigma(H(\cdot))$ is closed. Thus, $a_H \in \sigma(H(\cdot))$. The proof is complete. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let quasipolynomial (1.4) be positive. Then,*

- (i) a_H is a characteristic root of $H(a_H)$ and there is a nonnegative eigenvector $x \geq 0, x \neq 0$ such that $H(a_H)x = 0$;
- (ii) given $\alpha > 0$, there exists a nonzero vector $x \geq 0$ such that $(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha r_k} A_k)x \geq x$ if and only if $a_H \geq \alpha$;
- (iii) for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then one has

$$H(t)^{-1} \geq 0 \iff t > a_H. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. (i) First, we recall that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have constructed a real sequence (α_n) that has the following properties: $\alpha_n \in \sigma(H(\cdot))$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim \alpha_n = a_H$, and $r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha_n r_k} A_k) = 1$. Thus, this implies $r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-a_H r_k} A_k) = 1$. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a nonnegative eigenvector $x \geq 0, x \neq 0$ such that $(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-a_H r_k} A_k)x = x$, or $[I - (\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-a_H r_k} A_k)]x = 0$, then we get (i).

(ii) Assume that there exists a nonzero vector $x \geq 0$ such that $(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha r_k} A_k)x \geq x$. It follows that $f(\alpha) = r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha r_k} A_k) \geq 1$. By similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exists $\bar{\alpha} \geq \alpha$ such that $f(\bar{\alpha}) = r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\bar{\alpha} r_k} A_k) = 1$. Thus, by definition of a_H , $a_H \geq \bar{\alpha} \geq \alpha$. Conversely, if $a_H \geq \alpha$, then $r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha r_k} A_k) = f(\alpha) \geq f(a_H) = 1$. Therefore, applying Theorem 2.1 for positive matrix $\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\alpha r_k} A_k$, we get (ii).

(iii) For $t > a_H$, and the decrease of $f(\cdot)$, $f(t) < f(a_H) = 1$. Again applying Theorem 2.1(iii), we obtain $H(t)^{-1} \geq 0$. Conversely, assume that $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $H(t)^{-1} \geq 0$. By Theorem 2.1(iii), $f(a_H) = 1 > r(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-t r_k} A_k) = f(t)$. Thus, $t > a_H$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.3. From Theorem 3.2, it is easy to see that under the positivity assumption, the spectral abscissa, a_H , is continuous with respect to the delay parameters. This is not the case if the positivity assumption is dropped; see [1, 3].

4. Application to positive homogeneous difference equation

We now apply the results obtained in the previous section to derive some necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the positive homogeneous difference equation of the form (1.3). The following results are obtained by applying directly Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let quasipolynomial (1.4) be positive. The homogeneous difference equation (1.3) is stable if and only if all characteristic roots of $H(\cdot)$ lie inside a half plane $\mathbb{C}_- = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda < 0\}$.*

THEOREM 4.2. *Let quasipolynomial (1.4) be positive. The homogeneous difference equation (1.3) is stable if and only if $r(\sum_{i=1}^N A_i) < 1$.*

Proof. Assume that (1.3) is stable and positive. By (iii) in Theorem 3.2, from $a_H < 0$, we obtain $H^{-1}(0) = (I - \sum_{i=1}^N A_i) \geq 0$. Applying Theorem 2.1, $r(\sum_{i=1}^N A_i) < 1$.

Inversely, if $r(\sum_{i=1}^N A_i) < 1, H^{-1}(0) = (I - \sum_{i=1}^N A_i) \geq 0$. By (iii) in Theorem 3.2, $a_H < 0$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.3. (i) The stability of positive systems do not depend on delay parameters.

(ii) It is clear that in the positive system, applying Theorem 4.2 to check the existing stability for delay-difference equations is much more simpler and easier than the general results in [2–4].

Next, we address some remarks about the relationship between independent-delay stability and stability of the system (1.3).

Definition 4.4. The system (1.3) is stable globally in the delays if it is stable for each $(r_i)_{i \in \bar{N}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$.

The concept of global stability, which is sometimes called independent-delay stability, has interested many researchers as in [2, 4, 10] and references therein. In fact, if the system is globally stable, then it is stable, but the conversion is not true. However, in the case of positive system, both concepts are the same. This is stated in implications following corollary of Theorem 4.2.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let A_i be all nonnegative matrices for all $i \in \bar{N}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the system (1.3) is stable;
- (ii) equation (1.3) is independent-delay stable;
- (iii) $r(A_1 + \cdots + A_N) < 1$.

Now we consider some simple examples to illustrate the results obtained.

Example 4.6. Consider the system

$$y(t) = A_1 y(t-r) + A_2 y(t-s), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.121 & 0.231 \\ 0.431 & 0.386 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.236 & 0.521 \\ 0.267 & 0.431 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $r(A_1 + A_2) = 1.347128936 > 1$, by Corollary 4.5, the system (4.1) is neither stable nor independent-delay stable.

Example 4.7. Consider the following system:

$$y(t) = A_1 y(t-r) + A_2 y(t-s) + A_3 y(t-h), \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.121 & 0.231 \\ 0.131 & 0.116 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.231 & 0.221 \\ 0.127 & 0.331 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.131 & 0.126 \\ 0.112 & 0.132 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since $r(A_1 + A_2) = .9959344040 < 1$, by Corollary 4.5, the system (4.3) is both stable and independent-delay stable.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees for very important suggestions and remarks that led to the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] C. E. Avellar and J. K. Hale, "On the zeros of exponential polynomials," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 434–452, 1980.
- [2] J. K. Hale, *Functional Differential Equations*, vol. 3 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1971.
- [3] J. K. Hale and S. M. Verduyn Lunel, "Strong stabilization of neutral functional differential equations," *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, vol. 19, no. 1-2, pp. 5–23, 2002.
- [4] W. Michiels and T. Vyhldal, "An eigenvalue based approach for the stabilization of linear time-delay systems of neutral type," *Automatica*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 991–998, 2005.
- [5] D. Aeyels and P. De Leenheer, "Extension of the Perron-Frobenius theorem to homogeneous systems," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 563–582, 2002.
- [6] P. H. A. Ngoc, "A Perron-Frobenius theorem for a class of positive quasi-polynomial matrices," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 747–751, 2006.
- [7] S. M. Rump, "Theorems of Perron-Frobenius type for matrices without sign restrictions," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 266, pp. 1–42, 1997.
- [8] S. M. Rump, "Perron-Frobenius theory for complex matrices," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 363, pp. 251–273, 2003.
- [9] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [10] O. Diekmann, S. A. van Gils, S. M. Verduyn Lunel, and H.-O. Walther, *Delay Equations, Functional, Complex, and Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1995.

Bui The Anh: Department of Mathematics, University of Pedagogy, 280 An Duong Vuong Street, HoChiMinh City 70000, Vietnam
Email address: bt_anh80@yahoo.com

D. D. X. Thanh: Department of Mathematics, University of Ton Duc Thang, 98 Ngo Tat To Street, HoChiMinh City 70000, Vietnam
Email address: thanh_tdt_1201@yahoo.com

Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

| | |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Manuscript Due | June 1, 2009 |
| First Round of Reviews | September 1, 2009 |
| Publication Date | December 1, 2009 |

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be