STRONG ASYMPTOTICS FOR L_p EXTREMAL POLYNOMIALS OFF A COMPLEX CURVE

RABAH KHALDI

Received 29 September 2003 and in revised form 15 June 2004

We study the asymptotic behavior of $L_p(\sigma)$ extremal polynomials with respect to a measure of the form $\sigma = \alpha + \gamma$, where α is a measure concentrated on a rectifiable Jordan curve in the complex plane and γ is a discrete measure concentrated on an infinite number of mass points.

1. Introduction

Let F be a compact subset of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and let B be a metric space of functions defined on F. We suppose that B contains the set of monic polynomials. Then the extremal or general Chebyshev polynomial T_n of degree n is a monic polynomial that minimizes the distance between zero and the set of all monic polynomials of degree n, that is,

$$\operatorname{dist}(T_n, 0) = \min\{\operatorname{dist}(Q_n, 0) : Q_n(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0\} = m_n(B). \tag{1.1}$$

Recently, a series of results concerning the asymptotic of the extremal polynomials was established for the case of $B = L_p(F, \sigma)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, where σ is a Borel measure on F; see, for example, [3, 7, 8, 12]. When $p = 2$, we have the special case of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure σ. A lot of research work has been done on this subject; see, for example, [1, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13]. The case of the spaces $L_p(F, \sigma)$, where $0 < p < \infty$ and F is a closed rectifiable Jordan curve with some smoothness conditions, was studied by Geronimus [2]. An extension of Geronimus's result has been given by Kaliaguine [3] who found asymptotics when $0 < p < \infty$ and the measure σ has a decomposition of the form

$$\sigma = \alpha + \gamma, \tag{1.2}$$

where α is a measure supported on a closed rectifiable Jordan curve E as defined in [2] and γ is a discrete measure with a finite number of mass points.

In this paper, we generalize Kaliaguine's work [3] in the case where $1 \le p < \infty$ and the support of the measure σ is a rectifiable Jordan curve E plus an infinite discrete set of

Journal of Applied Mathematics 2004:5 (2004) 371–378
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C05, 30E15, 30E10
URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S1110757X0430906X

mass points which accumulate on E. More precisely, $\sigma = \alpha + \gamma$, where the measure α and its support E are defined as in [3], that is,

$$d\alpha(\xi) = \rho(\xi)|d\xi|, \quad \rho \geq 0,\ \rho \in L^1(E, |d\xi|); \tag{1.3}$$

γ is a discrete measure concentrated on $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \operatorname{Ext}(E)$ ($\operatorname{Ext}(E)$ is the exterior of E), that is,

$$\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} A_k \delta(z - z_k), \quad A_k > 0,\ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} A_k < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Note that the result of the special case $p = 2$ is also a generalization of [4]. More precisely, in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we show that condition [4, page 265, (17)] imposed on the points $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is redundant.

2. The $H^p(\Omega,\rho)$ spaces $(1 \leq p < \infty)$

Let E be a rectifiable Jordan curve in the complex plane, $\Omega = \operatorname{Ext}(E)$, $G = \{z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| > 1\}$ (∞ belongs to Ω and G).

We denote by Φ the conformal mapping of Ω into G with $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$ and $1/C(E) = \lim_{z\to\infty}(\Phi(z)/z) > 0$, where $C(E)$ is the logarithmic capacity of E. We denote $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$.

Let ρ be an integrable nonnegative weight function on E satisfying the Szegö condition

$$\int_E (\log\rho(\xi))\,|\Phi'(\xi)|\,|d\xi| > -\infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Condition (2.1) allows us to construct the so-called Szegö function D associated with the curve E and the weight function ρ:

$$D(z) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2p\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi}\frac{w + e^{it}}{w - e^{it}}\log\left(\frac{\rho(\xi)}{|\Phi'(\xi)|}\right)dt\right\} \quad (w = \Phi(z),\ \xi = \Psi(e^{it})) \tag{2.2}$$

such that

(i) D is analytic in Ω, $D(z) \neq 0$ in Ω, and $D(\infty) > 0$;
(ii) $|D(\xi)|^{-p}|\Phi'(\xi)| = \rho(\xi)$ a.e. on E, where $D(\xi) = \lim_{z\to\xi} D(z)$.

We say that $f \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$ if and only if f is analytic in Ω and $f_0\Psi/D_0\Psi \in H^p(G)$.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $H^p(\Omega,\rho)$ is a Banach space. Each function $f \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$ has limit values a.e. on E and

$$\|f\|_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)}^p = \int_E |f(\xi)|^p \rho(\xi)|d\xi| = \lim_{R\to 1^+}\frac{1}{R}\int_{E_R}\frac{|f(z)|^p}{|D(z)|^p}|\Phi'(z)dz|, \tag{2.3}$$

where $E_R = \{z \in \Omega : |\Phi(z)| = R\}$.

Lemma 2.1 [3]. *If $f \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$, then for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$, there is a constant C_K such that*

$$\sup\{|f(z)| : z \in K\} \leq C_K \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)}. \tag{2.4}$$

3. The extremal problems

Let $1 \le p < \infty$; we denote $\sigma_l = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{l} A_k \delta(z - z_k)$ and by $\mu(\rho)$, $\mu(l)$, $\mu^\infty(\rho)$, $m_{n,p}(\rho)$, $m_{n,p}(l)$, and $m_{n,p}(\sigma)$ the extremal values of the following problems, respectively:

$$\mu(\rho) = \inf\{\|\varphi\|^p_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)} : \varphi \in H^p(\Omega,\rho),\ \varphi(\infty) = 1\}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mu(l) = \inf\{\|\varphi\|^p_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)} : \varphi \in H^p(\Omega,\rho),\ \varphi(\infty) = 1,\ \varphi(z_k) = 0,\ k = 1,2,\dots,l\}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mu^\infty(\rho) = \inf\{\|\varphi\|^p_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)} : \varphi \in H^p(\Omega,\rho),\ \varphi(\infty) = 1,\ \varphi(z_k) = 0,\ k = 1,2,\dots\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$m_{n,p}(\rho) = \min\{||Q_n||_{L_p(\alpha)} : Q_n(z) = z^n + \cdots\}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$m_{n,p}(l) = \min\{||Q_n||_{L_p(\sigma_l)} : Q_n(z) = z^n + \cdots\}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$m_{n,p}(\sigma) = \min\{||Q_n||_{L_p(\sigma)} : Q_n(z) = z^n + \cdots\}. \tag{3.6}$$

As usual,

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\sigma)} := \left(\int_E |f(\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi)\right)^{1/p}. \tag{3.7}$$

We denote by φ^* and ψ^∞ the extremal functions of problems (3.1) and (3.3), respectively.

Let $T^l_{n,p}(z)$ and $T_{n,p}(z)$ be the extremal polynomials with respect to the measures σ_l and σ, respectively, that is,

$$||T^l_{n,p}||_{L_p(\sigma_l)} = m_{n,p}(l), \qquad ||T_{n,p}||_{L_p(\sigma)} = m_{n,p}(\sigma). \tag{3.8}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$ such that $\varphi(\infty) = 1$ and $\varphi(z_k) = 0$ for $k = 1,2,\dots,$ and let*

$$B_\infty(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi(z) - \Phi(z_k)}{\Phi(z)\overline{\Phi(z_k)} - 1} \frac{|\Phi(z_k)|^2}{\Phi(z_k)} \tag{3.9}$$

be the Blaschke product. Then

(i) $B_\infty \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$, $B_\infty(\infty) = 1$, $|B_\infty(\xi)| = \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\Phi(z_k)|$ $(\xi \in E)$;
(ii) $\varphi/B_\infty \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$ *and* $(\varphi/B_\infty)(\infty) = 1$.

Proof. This lemma is proved for $p = 2$ in [1]. The proof is based on the fact that if $f \in H^2(U)$, where $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| < 1\}$, and B is the Blaschke product formed by the zeros of f, then $f/B \in H^2(U)$. It remains true in $H^p(U)$ for $1 \le p < \infty$; see [6, 10]. □

Lemma 3.2. *An extremal function ψ^∞ of problem (3.3) is given by $\psi^\infty = \varphi^* B_\infty$; in addition,*

$$\mu^\infty(\rho) = \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\left|\Phi(z_k)\right|\right)^p \mu(\rho). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. If $\varphi \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$, $\varphi(\infty) = 1$ and $\varphi(z_k) = 0$ for $k = 1,2,\ldots$. Then by Lemma 2.1, we have $f = \varphi/B_\infty \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$, $f(\infty) = 1$, and $|B_\infty(\xi)| = \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\Phi(z_k)|$ for $\xi \in E$. These lead to

$$\|f\|^p = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left|\Phi(z_k)\right|\right)^{-p} \|\varphi\|^p. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus

$$\mu(\rho) \le \left(\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left|\Phi(z_k)\right|\right)^{-p} \mu^\infty(\rho). \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, since the function $\psi^\infty = \varphi^* B_\infty \in H^p(\Omega,\rho)$, $\varphi(\infty) = 1$ and $\varphi(z_k) = 0$ for $k = 1,2,\ldots$, we get

$$\mu^\infty(\rho) \le \|\psi^\infty\|^p = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left|\Phi(z_k)\right|\right)^p \mu(\rho). \tag{3.13}$$

Finally, the lemma follows from (3.12) and (3.13). □

4. The main results

Definition 4.1. A measure $\sigma = \alpha + \gamma$ is said to belong to a class A if the absolutely continuous part α and the discrete part γ satisfy conditions (1.3), (1.4), and (2.1) and Blaschke's condition, that is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\left|\Phi(z_k)\right| - 1\right) < \infty. \tag{4.1}$$

We denote $\lambda_n = \Phi^n - \Phi_n$, where Φ_n is the polynomial part of the Laurent expansion of Φ^n in the neighborhood of infinity.

Definition 4.2 [2]. A rectifiable curve E is said to be of class Γ if $\lambda_n(\xi) \to 0$ uniformly on E.

Theorem 4.3. *Let a measure $\sigma = \alpha + \gamma$ satisfy conditions (1.3), (1.4) and Blaschke's condition (4.1); then*

$$\lim_{l \to +\infty} m_{n,p}(l) = m_{n,p}(\sigma). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. The extremal property of $T_{n,p}(z_k)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}(m_{n,p}(\sigma))^p &\le \int_E |T^l_{n,p}(\xi)|^p\rho(\xi)|d\xi| + \sum_{k=1}^{l} A_k |T^l_{n,p}(z_k)|^p + \sum_{k=l+1}^{+\infty} A_k |T^l_{n,p}(z_k)|^p \\ &= (m_{n,p}(l))^p + \sum_{k=l+1}^{+\infty} A_k |T^l_{n,p}(z_k)|^p.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, from the extremal property of $T^l_{n,p}(z_k)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}m_{n,p}(l) &\le \left(\int_E |T_{n,p}(\xi)|^p\rho(\xi)|d\xi| + \sum_{k=1}^{l} A_k |T_{n,p}(z_k)|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ &\le m_{n,p}(\sigma) = C_n < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Note that C_n does not depend on l; so for all $l = 1,2,3,\dots,$

$$\left(\int_E |T^l_{n,p}(\xi)|^p\rho(\xi)|d\xi|\right)^{1/p} < C_n. \tag{4.5}$$

This implies that there is a constant C'_n independent of l such that for all $l = 1,2,3,\dots,$

$$\max\left\{|T^l_{n,p}(z)|^p : |z| \le 2\right\} < C'_n. \tag{4.6}$$

Using (4.6) in (4.3) for large enough l with (4.4), we get

$$(m_{n,p}(l))^p \le (m_{n,p}(\sigma))^p \le (m_{n,p}(l))^p + C'_n \sum_{k=l+1}^{+\infty} A_k. \tag{4.7}$$

Letting $l \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} m_{n,p}(l) = m_{n,p}(\sigma). \tag{4.8}$$

□

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $E \in \Gamma$, and let $\sigma = \alpha + \gamma$ be a measure which belongs to A. In addition, for all n and l,*

$$m_{n,p}(l) \le \left(\prod_{k=1}^{l} |\Phi(z_k)|\right) m_{n,p}(\rho). \tag{4.9}$$

Then the monic orthogonal polynomials $T_{n,p}(z)$ with respect to the measure σ have the following asymptotic behavior:

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty}(m_{n,p}(\sigma)/(C(E))^n) = (\mu^\infty(\rho))^{1/p}$;
(ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{n,p}/[C(E)\Phi]^n - \psi^\infty\|_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)} = 0$;
(iii) $T_{n,p}(z) = [C(E)\Phi(z)]^n[\psi^\infty(z) + \varepsilon_n(z)]$,

where $\varepsilon_n(z) \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω and ψ^∞ is an extremal function of problem (3.3).

Remark 4.5. For $p = 2$ and E the unit circle, condition (4.9) is proved (see [5, Theorem 5.2]). In this case, this condition can be written as $\gamma_n/\gamma_n^l \le \prod_{k=1}^{l} |z_k|$, where $\gamma_n^l = 1/m_{n,2}(l)$ and $\gamma_n = 1/m_{n,2}(\rho)$ are, respectively, the leading coefficients of the orthonormal polynomials associated to the measures σ_l and α.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Taking the limit when l tends to infinity in (4.9) and using Theorem 4.3, we get

$$\frac{m_{n,p}(\sigma)}{(C(E))^n} \le \left(\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\Phi(z_k)|\right) \frac{m_{n,p}(\rho)}{(C(E))^n}. \tag{4.10}$$

On the other hand, it is proved in [2] that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_{n,p}(\rho)}{(C(E))^n} = (\mu(\rho))^{1/p}. \tag{4.11}$$

Using (4.10), (4.11), and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_{n,p}(\sigma)}{(C(E))^n} \le \left(\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\Phi(z_k)|\right) (\mu(\rho))^{1/p} = (\mu^\infty(\rho))^{1/p}. \tag{4.12}$$

It is well known that (see [3, page 231])

$$\forall l > 0, \quad \mu(l) = \mu(\rho)\left(\prod_{k=1}^{l} |\Phi(z_k)|\right)^p. \tag{4.13}$$

We also have (see [3, Theorem 2.2])

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_{n,p}(l)}{(C(E))^n} = (\mu(l))^{1/p}. \tag{4.14}$$

From (4.4), we deduce that

$$\forall l > 0, \quad \frac{m_{n,p}(\sigma)}{(C(E))^n} \ge \frac{m_{n,p}(l)}{(C(E))^n}. \tag{4.15}$$

By passing to the limit when n tends to infinity in (4.15) and taking into account (4.13) and (4.14), we get

$$\forall l > 0, \quad \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_{n,p}(\sigma)}{(C(E))^n} \ge \left(\prod_{k=1}^{l} |\Phi(z_k)|\right) (\mu(\rho))^{1/p}. \tag{4.16}$$

Finally, by using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{m_{n,p}(\sigma)}{(C(E))^n} \geq \left(\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} |\Phi(z_k)| \right) (\mu(\rho))^{1/p} = (\mu^\infty(\sigma))^{1/p}. \tag{4.17}$$

Inequalities (4.12) and (4.17) prove Theorem 4.4(i).
We obtain (ii) by proceeding as in [3, pages 234, 235].
To prove (iii), we consider the function

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{T_{n,p}}{[C(E)\Phi]^n} - \psi^\infty \tag{4.18}$$

which belongs to the space $H^p(\Omega,\rho)$. Then by applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup\left\{ \left| \frac{T_{n,p}(z)}{[C(E)\Phi(z)]^n} - \psi^\infty(z) \right| : z \in K \right\} \\ &\quad = \sup\{ |\varepsilon_n(z)| : z \in K\} \leq C_K \|\varepsilon_n\|_{H^p(\Omega,\rho)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

for all compact subsets K of Ω. This achieves the proof of the theorem. □

References

[1] R. Benzine, *Asymptotic behavior of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to a measure with infinite discrete part off a curve*, J. Approx. Theory **89** (1997), no. 2, 257–265.

[2] Y. L. Geronimus, *On some extremal problems in the space $L_\sigma^{(p)}$*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **31(73)** (1952), 3–26 (Russian).

[3] V. Kaliaguine, *On asymptotics of L_p extremal polynomials on a complex curve* $(0 < p < \infty)$, J. Approx. Theory **74** (1993), no. 2, 226–236.

[4] R. Khaldi and R. Benzine, *On a generalization of an asymptotic formula of orthogonal polynomials*, Int. J. Appl. Math. **4** (2000), no. 3, 261–274.

[5] ———, *Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials off the circle*, J. Appl. Math. **2004** (2004), no. 1, 37–53.

[6] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p Spaces*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 40, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.

[7] X. Li and K. Pan, *Asymptotics for L_p extremal polynomials on the unit circle*, J. Approx. Theory **67** (1991), no. 3, 270–283.

[8] D. S. Lubinsky and E. B. Saff, *Strong asymptotics for L_p extremal polynomials* $(1 < p \leq \infty)$ *associated with weights on* $[-1,1]$, Approximation Theory (Tampa, Fla, 1985-1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1287, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987, pp. 83–104.

[9] F. Peherstorfer and P. Yuditskii, *Asymptotics of orthonormal polynomials in the presence of a denumerable set of mass points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 11, 3213–3220.

[10] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

[11] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th ed., American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1975.

[12] J. P. Tiran and C. Detaille, *Chebychev polynomials on a circular arc in the complex plane*, preprint, 1990, Namur University, Belgium.

[13] H. Widom, *Extremal polynomials associated with a system of curves in the complex plane*, Advances in Math. **3** (1969), 127–232.

Rabah Khaldi: Department of Mathematics, University of Annaba, P.O. Box 12, 23000 Annaba, Algeria

E-mail address: rkhadi@yahoo.fr

Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences

Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be