BLOWUP OF SOLUTIONS WITH POSITIVE ENERGY IN NONLINEAR THERMOELASTICITY WITH SECOND SOUND

SALIM A. MESSAOUDI AND BELKACEM SAID-HOUARI

Received 6 November 2003 and in revised form 14 April 2004

This work is concerned with a semilinear thermoelastic system, where the heat flux is given by Cattaneo's law instead of the usual Fourier's law. We will improve our earlier result by showing that the blowup can be obtained for solutions with "relatively" positive initial energy. Our technique of proof is based on a method used by Vitillaro with the necessary modifications imposed by the nature of our problem.

1. Introduction

Results concerning existence, blowup, and asymptotic behaviors of smooth, as well as weak, solutions in classical thermoelasticity have been established by several authors over the past two decades. See in this regard [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20].

For thermoelasticity with second sound, Tarabek [21] considered problems related to the one-dimensional system

$$u_{tt} - a(u_x, \theta, q)u_{xx} + b(u_x, \theta, q)\theta_x = \alpha_1(u_x, \theta)qq_x,$$

$$\theta_t + g(u_x, \theta, q)q_x + d(u_x, \theta, q)u_{tx} = \alpha_2(u_x, \theta)qq_t,$$

$$\tau(u_x, \theta)q_t + q + k(u_x, \theta)\theta_x = 0$$
(1.1)

in both bounded and unbounded situations and established global existence results for small initial data. He also showed that these "classical" solutions tend to equilibrium as t tends to infinity; however, no rate of decay has been discussed. In his work, Tarabek used the usual energy argument and exploited some relations from the second law of thermodynamics to overcome the difficulty arising from the lack of Poincare's inequality in the unbounded domains. Relations from thermodynamics have been also used by Hrusa & Tarabek [4] to prove a global existence for the Cauchy problem to a classical thermoelasticity system and then by Hrusa & Messaoudi [3] to establish a blowup result for a thermoelastic system. Saouli [19] used the nonlinear semigroup theory to prove a local existence result for a system similar to the one considered by Tarabek.

Concerning the asymptotic behavior, Racke [15] discussed lately (1.1) and established exponential decay results for several linear and nonlinear initial boundary value problems. In particular, he studied the system (1.1) for a rigidly clamped medium with temperature held constant on the boundary, that is,

$$u(t,0) = u(t,1) = \theta(t,0) = \theta(t,1) = \bar{\theta}, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (1.2)

and showed that, for small enough initial data and for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, classical solutions decay exponentially to the equilibrium state. We should note here that, although the dissipative effects of heat conduction induced by Cattaneo's law are usually weaker than those induced by Fourier's law, a global existence as well as exponential decay results for small initial data have been established. For a discussion in this direction, see Racke [15]. Messaoudi and Said-Houari [10] extended lately the decay result of [15] to (1.1) for α_1 and α_2 that are not necessarily zero.

Regarding the multidimensional case (n = 2,3), Racke [16] established an existence result for the n-dimensional problem

$$u_{tt} - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \beta \nabla \theta = 0,$$

$$\theta_t + \gamma \operatorname{div} q + \delta \operatorname{div} u_t = 0,$$

$$\tau q_t + q + \kappa \nabla \theta = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \quad u_t(\cdot, 0) = u_1, \quad \theta(\cdot, 0) = \theta_0, \quad q(\cdot, 0) = q_0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u = \theta = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t \ge 0,$$

$$(1.3)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $u=u(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is the displacement vector, $\theta=\theta(x,t)$ is the difference temperature, $q=q(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is the heat flux vector, and μ , λ , β , γ , δ , τ , κ are positive constants, where μ , λ are Lame moduli and τ is the relaxation time, a small parameter compared to the others. In particular, if $\tau=0$, (1.3) reduces to the system of classical thermoelasticity in which the heat flux is given by Fourier's law instead of Cattaneo's law. He also proved, under the conditions rot $u=\cot q=0$, an exponential decay result for (1.3). This result includes the radially symmetric solution, as it is on only a special case. Messaoudi [9] investigated the situation where a nonlinear source term is competing with the damping caused by the heat conduction and established a local existence result. He also showed that solutions with negative energy blow up in finite time. His work generalized an earlier one in [7, 8] to thermoelasticity with second sound.

In this paper, we are concerned with the nonlinear problem

$$u_{tt} - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \beta \nabla \theta = |u|^{p-2} u,$$

$$\theta_t + \gamma \operatorname{div} q + \delta \operatorname{div} u_t = 0,$$

$$\tau q_t + q + \kappa \nabla \theta = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \quad u_t(\cdot, 0) = u_1, \quad \theta(\cdot, 0) = \theta_0, \quad q(\cdot, 0) = q_0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u = \theta = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t \ge 0,$$

$$(1.4)$$

for p > 2. This is a similar problem to (1.3), with a nonlinear source term competing with the damping factor. We will extend the blowup result of [9] to situations where the energy

can be positive. Our technique of proof follows carefully the techniques of Vitillaro [22] with the necessary modifications imposed by the nature of our problem. For the sake of completeness, we state here the local existence of [9]. For this purpose, we introduce the following functional spaces:

$$\Pi := \left[H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \right]^n \times \left[H_0^1(\Omega) \right]^n \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times D,
D := \left\{ q \in \left[L^2(\Omega) \right]^n \text{ such that } \operatorname{div} q \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},
H := \left[H_0^1(\Omega) \right]^n \times \left[L^2(\Omega) \right]^n \times L^2(\Omega) \times \left[L^2(\Omega) \right]^n.$$
(1.5)

THEOREM 1.1. Assume that

$$2
$$2 < p, \qquad n \le 4,$$
(1.6)$$

holds. Then given any $(u_0, u_1, \theta_0, q_0) \in \Pi$, there exists a positive number T small enough such that problem (1.4) has a unique strong solution satisfying

$$(u, u_t, \theta, q) \in C^1([0, T); \Pi) \cap C([0, T); H).$$
 (1.7)

2. Blowup

In order to state and prove our result we introduce the following: let B_1 be the best constant of the Sobolev imbedding $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^n \hookrightarrow [L^p(\Omega)]^n$ and $B_2 = B_1/\mu$. We set

$$\alpha_1 = B_2^{-p/(p-2)}, \qquad E_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\alpha_1^2,$$
 (2.1)

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} ||u_t||_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} ||\nabla u||_2^2 + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} ||\operatorname{div} u||_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{2\delta} ||\theta||_2^2 + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{2\delta k} ||q||_2^2 - \frac{1}{\rho} ||u||_p^p.$$
 (2.2)

LEMMA 2.1. Let (u, θ, q) be solution of (1.4). Assume that $E(0) < E_1$ and

$$\left[\mu||\nabla u_0||_2^2 + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u_0||_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{\delta}||\theta_0||_2^2 \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k}||q_0||_2^2\right]^{1/2} > B_2^{-p/(p-2)}.$$
 (2.3)

Then there exists a constant $\alpha_2 > B_2^{-p/(p-2)}$ such that

$$\left[\mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu)\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2}\right]^{1/2} \ge \alpha_{2}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$||u||_p \ge B_2\alpha_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$
 (2.5)

Proof. We first note that, by (2.2) and the Sobolev imbedding, we have

$$\begin{split} E(t) &\geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{2\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} \right] \\ &- \frac{B_{2}^{p}}{p} \left[\mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} \right]^{p/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} - \frac{B_{2}^{p}}{p} \alpha^{p} = g(\alpha), \end{split} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\alpha = \left[\mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (2.7)

It is easy to verify that g is increasing for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$, decreasing for $\alpha > \alpha_1$, $g(\alpha) \to -\infty$ as $\alpha \to +\infty$, and

$$g(\alpha_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) B_2^{-2p/(p-2)} = E_1,$$
 (2.8)

where α_1 is given in (2.1). Therefore, since $E(0) < E_1$, there exists $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ such that $g(\alpha_2) = E(0)$.

If we set

$$\alpha_0 = \left[\mu ||\nabla u_0||_2^2 + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u_0||_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{\delta} ||\theta_0||_2^2 + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} ||q_0||_2^2 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{2.9}$$

then by (2.6), we have

$$g(\alpha_0) \le E(0) = g(\alpha_2),$$
 (2.10)

which implies that $\alpha_0 \ge \alpha_2$.

Now to establish (2.4), we suppose by contradiction that

$$\left[\mu||\nabla u(t_0)||_2^2 + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u(t_0)||_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{\delta}||\theta(t_0)||_2^2 + \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k}||q(t_0)||_2^2\right]^{1/2} < \alpha_2$$
 (2.11)

for some $t_0 > 0$ and by the continuity of

$$\mu ||\nabla u(\cdot)||_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u(\cdot)||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} ||\theta(\cdot)||_{2}^{2} \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} ||q(\cdot)||_{2}^{2}, \tag{2.12}$$

we can choose t_0 such that

$$\left[\mu||\nabla u(t_0)||_2^2 + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u(t_0)||_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{\delta}||\theta(t_0)||_2^2 \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k}||q(t_0)||_2^2\right]^{1/2} > \alpha_1.$$
 (2.13)

Again the use of (2.6) leads to

$$E(t_{0}) \geq g\left(\mu||\nabla u(t_{0})||_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u(t_{0})||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta}||\theta(t_{0})||_{2}^{2} \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k}||q(t_{0})||_{2}^{2}\right) > g(\alpha_{2}) = E(0).$$
(2.14)

This is impossible since $E(t) \le E(0)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. Hence (2.4) is established. To prove (2.5), we exploit (2.2) to see

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} \right] \leq E(0) + \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p}. \tag{2.15}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left[\mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} \right] - E(0)$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} - E(0) \ge \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} - g(\alpha_{2}) = \frac{B_{2}^{p}}{p} \alpha_{2}^{p}.$$
(2.16)

Therefore, (2.16) and (2.1) yield the desired result.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose that

$$2$$

$$\frac{\beta \tau \delta}{\kappa \gamma} < 8. \tag{2.18}$$

Then any solution of (1.4), with initial data satisfying

$$\left[\mu||\nabla u_0||_2^2 + (\lambda + \mu)||\operatorname{div} u_0||_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{\delta}||\theta_0||_2^2 + \frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k}||q_0||_2^2\right] > B_2^{-2p/(p-2)}$$
(2.19)

and

$$E(0) < E_1,$$
 (2.20)

blows up in finite time.

Remark 2.3. The condition (2.18) is "physically" reasonable due to the very small value of τ . For instance, in [15], for the isotropic silicon and a medium temperature of 300 K, we have

$$\beta \approx 391.62 \left[\frac{m^2}{s^2 K} \right], \qquad \tau \approx 10^{-12} [s], \qquad \delta \approx 163.82 [K],$$

$$\gamma \approx 5.99 \times 10^{-7} \left[\frac{ms^2 K}{kg} \right], \qquad \kappa \approx 148 \left[\frac{W}{mK} \right];$$
(2.21)

consequently, we get

$$\frac{\beta \tau \delta}{\kappa \gamma} \approx 72.367 \times 10^{-7} < 8. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. A multiplication of (1.4) by u_t , $(\beta/\delta)\theta$, and $(\beta\gamma/\delta\tau)q$, respectively, integration over Ω , using integration by parts, and addition of equalities yields

$$E'(t) = -\frac{\gamma \beta}{\delta k} \|q\|_2^2 \le 0.$$
 (2.23)

We then set

$$H(t) = E_1 - E(t). (2.24)$$

By using (2.2) and (2.23), we get

 $0 < H(0) \le H(t)$

$$\leq E_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \left| u_{t} \right| \right|_{2}^{2} + \mu \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p}, \quad (2.25)$$

and from (2.1) and (2.4), we obtain

$$E_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(||u_{t}||_{2}^{2} + \mu ||\nabla u||_{2}^{2} + (\lambda + \mu) ||\operatorname{div} u||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} ||\theta||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} ||q||_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$< E_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \alpha_{1}^{2} < 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

$$(2.26)$$

Hence

$$0 < H(0) \le H(t) \le \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{p}^{p}, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (2.27)

We then define

$$L(t) = H^{1-\sigma}(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left[u \cdot u_t + \frac{\beta \tau}{k} u \cdot q \right] (x, t) dx, \tag{2.28}$$

for ε small to be chosen later and

$$\sigma = \frac{p-2}{2p}.\tag{2.29}$$

By taking a derivative of (2.28) and using (1.4), we obtain

$$L'(t) = (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon \left(\|u\|_p^p + \|u_t\|_2^2 - \mu \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - (\lambda + \mu)\|\operatorname{div} u\|_2^2 \right)$$

$$- \frac{\varepsilon \beta}{k} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot q dx + \frac{\varepsilon \beta \tau}{k} \int_{\Omega} u_t \cdot q dx.$$

$$(2.30)$$

By exploiting (2.2) and (2.24), the estimate (2.30) takes the form

$$L'(t) = (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) \|u\|_{p}^{p} + 2\varepsilon ||u_{t}||_{2}^{2} - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{k} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot q dx + \frac{\varepsilon\beta\tau}{k} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot q dx + 2\varepsilon H(t) - 2\varepsilon E_{1} + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_{2}^{2}.$$

$$(2.31)$$

Then using (2.5), we obtain

$$L'(t) \ge (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{2}{p} - 2E_1(B_2\alpha_2)^{-p}\right) \|u\|_p^p + 2\varepsilon \|u_t\|_2^2$$

$$- \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{k} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot q dx + \frac{\varepsilon\beta\tau}{k} \int_{\Omega} u_t \cdot q dx + 2\varepsilon H(t) + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_2^2,$$
(2.32)

which implies

$$L'(t) \ge (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon c_0 \|u\|_p^p + 2\varepsilon \|u_t\|_2^2 + 2\varepsilon H(t) + \frac{\varepsilon \beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon \gamma \beta \tau}{\delta k} \|q\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon \beta}{k} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot q dx + \frac{\varepsilon \beta \tau}{k} \int_{\Omega} u_t \cdot q dx,$$

$$(2.33)$$

where $c_0 = 1 - 2/p - 2E_1(B_2\alpha_2)^{-p} > 0$ since $\alpha_2 > B_2^{-p/(p-2)}$.

Next we exploit Young's inequality to estimate the last two terms in (2.33) as follows:

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot q dx \right| \leq \frac{a}{2} ||u_{t}||_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2a} ||q||_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall a > 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u \cdot q dx \leq \frac{b}{2} ||q||_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2b} ||u||_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall b > 0.$$
(2.34)

Thus (2.33) yields

$$L'(t) \geq (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon c_0 \|u\|_p^p + \varepsilon \left(2 - \frac{a\beta\tau}{2k}\right) \|u_t\|_2^2$$

$$+ 2\varepsilon H(t) + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{\delta} \|\theta\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{\gamma\beta\tau}{\delta k} - \frac{\beta\tau}{2ak}\right) \|q\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{k} \left[\frac{b}{2} \|q\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2b} \|u\|_2^2\right].$$

$$(2.35)$$

At this point, we choose a so that

$$A_1 := 2 - \frac{a\beta\tau}{2k} > 0, \qquad A_2 := \frac{\beta\tau}{2k} \left(\frac{2\gamma}{\delta} - \frac{1}{a}\right) > 0.$$
 (2.36)

This is possible by virtue of (2.18); consequently, (2.35) becomes

$$L'(t) \ge (1 - \sigma)H^{-\sigma}(t)H'(t) + \varepsilon A_1 ||u_t||_2^2 + \varepsilon A_2 ||q||_2^2 + \varepsilon C_0 ||u||_p^p + \varepsilon A_3 ||\theta||_2^2 + 2\varepsilon H(t) - \frac{\varepsilon \beta}{k} \left[\frac{b}{2} ||q||_2^2 + \frac{1}{2b} ||u||_2^2 \right],$$
(2.37)

where A_1 , A_2 , A_3 are strictly positive constants.

We also set $b = 2M\gamma H^{-\sigma}(t)/\delta$, for M a large constant to be determined, to deduce from (2.37)

$$L'(t) \ge \left[(1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon M \right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon A_1 ||u_t||_2^2 + \varepsilon A_2 ||q||_2^2 + \varepsilon c_0 ||u||_p^p + \varepsilon A_3 ||\theta||_2^2 + 2\varepsilon H(t) - \frac{C\varepsilon}{4M} H^{\sigma}(t) ||u||_p^2,$$
(2.38)

where *C*, here and in the sequel, is a positive generic constant depending on Ω , p, β , γ , δ , k, λ , μ , τ only.

We then use (2.27) to get

$$L'(t) \ge \left[(1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon M \right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon A_1 ||u_t||_2^2 + \varepsilon A_2 ||q||_2^2 + \varepsilon c_0 ||u||_p^p + \varepsilon A_3 ||\theta||_2^2 + 2\varepsilon H(t) - \frac{C\varepsilon}{4pM} ||u||_p^{2+\sigma p}.$$
(2.39)

By using (2.29) and the inequality

$$z^{\nu} \le (z+1) \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)(z+a), \quad \forall z \ge 0, \ 0 < \nu \le 1, \ a > 0,$$
 (2.40)

we have the following:

$$||u||_{p}^{2+\sigma p} \le d(||u||_{p}^{p} + H(0)) \le d(||u||_{p}^{p} + H(t)), \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (2.41)

where d = 1 + 1/H(0).

Inserting the estimate (2.41) into (2.39), we arrive at

$$L'(t) \ge \left[(1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon M \right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon A_1 ||u_t||_2^2$$

$$+ \varepsilon A_2 ||q||_2^2 + \varepsilon \left(c_0 - \frac{Cd}{4pM} \right) ||u||_p^p + \varepsilon A_3 ||\theta||_2^2 + \varepsilon \left(2 - \frac{Cd}{4pM} \right) H(t).$$
(2.42)

At this point, we choose M large enough so that (2.42) becomes, for some positive constant A_0 ,

$$L'(t) \ge \left[(1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon M \right] H^{-\sigma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon A_0 \left[\left\| u_t \right\|_2^2 + \left\| q \right\|_2^2 + \left\| u \right\|_p^p + H(t) \right]. \tag{2.43}$$

Once *M* is fixed (hence A_0), we pick ε small enough so that $(1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon M \ge 0$ and

$$L(0) = H^{1-\sigma}(0) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left[u_0 \cdot u_1 + \frac{\beta \tau}{k} u_0 \cdot q_0 \right] (x, t) dx > 0.$$
 (2.44)

Therefore, (2.43) yields

$$L'(t) \ge \varepsilon A_0 [||u_t||_2^2 + ||q||_2^2 + ||u||_p^p + H(t)]. \tag{2.45}$$

Consequently, we have

$$L(t) \ge L(0) > 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (2.46)

Next we estimate

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t(x,t) dx \right| \le \|u\|_2 \|u_t\|_2 \le C \|u\|_p \|u_t\|_2, \tag{2.47}$$

which implies

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t(x,t) dx \right|^{1/(1-\sigma)} \le C \|u\|_p^{1/(1-\sigma)} ||u_t||_2^{1/(1-\sigma)}. \tag{2.48}$$

Again Young's inequality gives us

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t(x, t) dx \right|^{1/(1-\sigma)} \le C \left[\|u\|_p^{r/(1-\sigma)} + \|u_t\|_2^{s/(1-\sigma)} \right]$$
 (2.49)

for 1/r + 1/s = 1. We take $s = 2(1 - \sigma)$ to get $r/(1 - \sigma) = 2/(1 - 2\sigma) = p$ by virtue of (2.29). Therefore, (2.49) becomes

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t(x,t) dx \right|^{1/(1-\sigma)} \le C \left[\|u\|_p^p + \|u_t\|_2^2 \right], \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (2.50)

Similarly we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uq(x,t)dx \right|^{1/(1-\sigma)} \le C[\|u\|_{p}^{p} + \|q\|_{2}^{2}], \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (2.51)

Finally, by noting that

$$L^{1/(1-\sigma)}(t) = \left(H^{1-\sigma}(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u \left(u_{t} + \frac{\beta \tau}{\kappa} q\right)(x, t) dx\right)^{1/(1-\sigma)}$$

$$\leq C \left(H(t) + \left| \int_{\Omega} u u_{t}(x, t) dx \right|^{1/(1-\sigma)} + \left| \int_{\Omega} u q(x, t) dx \right|^{1/(1-\sigma)}\right)$$

$$\leq C \left[H(t) + \|u\|_{p}^{p} + \|u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \|q\|_{2}^{2}\right], \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$
(2.52)

and combining it with (2.45), we obtain

$$L'(t) \ge a_0 L^{1/(1-\sigma)}(t), \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (2.53)

where a_0 is a positive constant depending on εA_0 and C. A simple integration of (2.53) over (0,t) then yields

$$L^{(p-2)/(p+2)}(t) \ge \frac{1}{L^{-(p-2)/2}(0) - a_0 t(p-2)/2}.$$
(2.54)

Therefore, L(t) blows up in a time

$$T^* \le \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha a_0 [L(0)]^{(p-2)/(p+2)}}.$$
 (2.55)

Remark 2.4. The estimate (2.55) shows that the larger L(0) is the quicker the blowup takes place.

Acknowledgment

The first author would like to express his sincere thanks to KFUPM for its support.

References

- [1] M. Aassila, Nonlinear boundary stabilization of an inhomogeneous and anisotropic thermoelasticity system, Appl. Math. Lett. 13 (2000), no. 1, 71–76.
- [2] C. M. Dafermos and L. Hsiao, Development of singularities in solutions of the equations of nonlinear thermoelasticity, Quart. Appl. Math. 44 (1986), no. 3, 463–474.
- [3] W. J. Hrusa and S. A. Messaoudi, On formation of singularities in one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 111 (1990), no. 2, 135–151.
- [4] W. J. Hrusa and M. A. Tarabek, On smooth solutions of the Cauchy problem in one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity, Quart. Appl. Math. 47 (1989), no. 4, 631–644.
- [5] S. Jiang and R. Racke, Evolution Equations in Thermoelasticity, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 112, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Florida, 2000.
- [6] M. Kirane and N. Tatar, A nonexistence result to a Cauchy problem in nonlinear one dimensional thermoelasticity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 254 (2001), no. 1, 71–86.
- [7] S. A. Messaoudi, On weak solutions of semilinear thermoelastic equations, Rev. Maghrébine Math. 1 (1992), no. 1, 31–40.
- [8] _____, A blowup result in a multidimensional semilinear thermoelastic system, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2001 (2001), no. 30, 1–9.
- [9] ______, Local existence and blow up in nonlinear thermoelasticity with second sound, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 27 (2002), no. 7-8, 1681–1693.
- [10] S. A. Messaoudi and B. Said-Houari, Exponential stability in one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity with second sound, To appear in Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.
- [11] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. K. Barreto, Existence and exponential decay in nonlinear thermoelasticity, Nonlinear Anal. 31 (1998), no. 1-2, 149–162.
- [12] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and M. L. Olivera, Stability in inhomogeneous and anisotropic thermoelasticity, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7) 11 (1997), no. 1, 115–127.

- [13] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. Racke, Smoothing properties, decay, and global existence of solutions to nonlinear coupled systems of thermoelastic type, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 26 (1995), no. 6, 1547–1563.
- [14] R. Racke, *Blow-up in nonlinear three-dimensional thermoelasticity*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **12** (1990), no. 3, 267–273.
- [15] ______, Thermoelasticity with second sound-exponential stability in linear and non-linear 1-d, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 25 (2002), no. 5, 409–441.
- [16] ______, Asymptotic behavior of solutions in linear 2- or 3-D thermoelasticity with second sound, Quart. Appl. Math. **61** (2003), no. 2, 315–328.
- [17] R. Racke and Y. Shibata, Global smooth solutions and asymptotic stability in one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 116 (1991), no. 1, 1–34.
- [18] R. Racke and Y. Wang, *Propagation of singularities in one-dimensional thermoelasticity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **223** (1998), no. 1, 216–247.
- [19] Z. Saouli, Etude d'un système hyperbolique non lineaire d'équations thermoélastiques unidimensionelles, Master's thesis, University of Batna, 1995.
- [20] M. Slemrod, Global existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic stability of classical smooth solutions in one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 76 (1981), no. 2, 97–133.
- [21] M. A. Tarabek, On the existence of smooth solutions in one-dimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity with second sound, Quart. Appl. Math. **50** (1992), no. 4, 727–742.
- [22] E. Vitillaro, *Global nonexistence theorems for a class of evolution equations with dissipation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **149** (1999), no. 2, 155–182.

Salim A. Messaoudi: Mathematical Sciences Department, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: messaoud@kfupm.edu.sa

Belkacem Said-Houari: Département de Mathématiques, Université Badji Mokhtar, BP 12, Annaba 23000, Algeria

E-mail address: saidhouarib@yahoo.fr

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru