REISSNER-MINDLIN PLATE THEORY FOR ELASTODYNAMICS

SHEN RONG WU

Existence and uniqueness of solution are proved for elastodynamics of Reissner-Mindlin plate model. Higher regularity is proved under the assumptions of smoother data and certain compatibility conditions. A mass scaling is introduced. When the thickness approaches zero, the solution of the clamped Reissner-Mindlin plate is shown to approach the solution of a Kirchhoff-Love plate.

1. Introduction

The Reissner-Mindlin (R-M) theory [13, 14, 15] has been popularly applied to thin-walled structures with moderate thickness. Transient response plays an important role in many aspects of structural analysis. The governing equation of the elastodynamics problem of R-M plate is of an evolutionary type with second-order time derivatives. In this paper, we apply a priori estimate to investigate the elastodynamics problem of R-M plate. This method has been successfully used in developing the theory of various partial differential equations, for example, [7, 10, 11]. Following the line of [7, 10, 11], we prove the existence and uniqueness of the H^1 solution. We then apply the approaches of [8] to prove the H^2 regularity and higher regularity when the data is smoother and certain compatibility conditions are satisfied.

For static problem under the assumption of load scaling, it is proved in [3] that the solution of the clamped R-M plate approaches the solution of the Kirchhoff-Love (K-L) plate when the thickness approaches zero. This fact has been employed to investigate the finite element method of R-M plate, such as locking-free and uniform convergence, cf. [2, 3, 5, 12, 16, 18]. For dynamics problem, with the introduction of mass scaling [4], we prove that when thickness approaches zero, the H^2 strong solution of the clamped R-M plate approaches the H^2 weak solution of K-L plate (whose classical solution requires H^4 smoothness).

In what follows, we describe the system of equations in Section 2 and prove the existence, uniqueness, and regularity in Section 3. Then we discuss the relation between R-M plate and K-L plate in Section 4. This is followed by a summary in Section 5.

2. Governing equations of Reissener-Mindlin plate for elastodynamics

For elastodynamic bending shear problem modeled by R-M plate theory [13, 14, 15], the displacement components of a generic point at a distance z to the midsurface are expressed by the deflection w at the midsurface and the rotations (β_1, β_2) of the normal to the midsurface,

$$U_1 = -z\beta_1, \qquad U_2 = -z\beta_2, \qquad U_3 = w, \tag{2.1}$$

$|z| \leq \zeta/2$. ζ is the thickness of the plate. For dynamics problems, the velocity and acceleration, traditionally denoted by $\dot{U}_i$ and $\ddot{U}_i$, respectively, have the same format of (2.1) after differentiation with respect to time. The motion equation of R-M plate can be derived from the general three-dimensional elastodynamics by integration through thickness, or from the energy method using Hamilton's principle, for example, [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
I\ddot{\beta}_1 + EA_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,1} - \beta_1) &= m_1, \\
I\ddot{\beta}_2 + EA_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,2} - \beta_2) &= m_2, \\
\rho\zeta^{-2}\ddot{w} - \lambda\zeta^{-2}\nabla \cdot (\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= g = f_3\zeta^{-2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{-((1+\nu)(\beta_{\alpha,\alpha})_{,1} + (1-\nu)\nabla^2\beta_1)}{24(1-\nu^2)}, \\
A_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{-((1+\nu)(\beta_{\alpha,\alpha})_{,2} + (1-\nu)\nabla^2\beta_2)}{24(1-\nu^2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Here, E is the Young's modulus, ρ is the density, and ν is the Poisson ratio. We denote $I = \rho/12$ and $\lambda = G\kappa$, with the shear modulus G and a shear correction factor κ, which is introduced to balance the zero shear stress at the top and bottom surfaces. As analyzed for static problem [2, 3, 5], the lateral loading force f_3 (per unit volume) is scaled to $\zeta^2 g$. The convention of summation on repeated indices is also applied, with the Greek index running over the range from 1 to 2. The bold-faced variables are used to denote a two-dimensional vector, for example, $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$, and β_α is used to indicate all of the two components involved when the indication is clear. Here, $w_{,1}$ in (2.2) indicates the partial derivative $\partial w/\partial x_1$. The same applies for all the similar cases.

For simplicity, we consider the equations defined on a smooth bounded domain Ω in R^2, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and general initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_\alpha(t,\mathbf{x}) &= 0; & w(t,\mathbf{x}) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\
\beta_\alpha(0,\mathbf{x}) &= \beta_\alpha^0(\mathbf{x}); & w(0,\mathbf{x}) &= W^0(\mathbf{x}), \\
\dot{\beta}_\alpha(0,\mathbf{x}) &= \beta_\alpha^1(\mathbf{x}); & \dot{w}(0,\mathbf{x}) &= W^1(\mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We adopt the usual notations of Sobolev spaces. The Galerkin method yields the following variational equation. For any $t \in [0, T]$, find $\beta_\alpha, w \in V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$I\langle \ddot{\beta}_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle + \rho\zeta^{-2}\langle \ddot{w}, v \rangle + Ea(\beta, \eta) + \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,\alpha} - \beta_\alpha, v_{,\alpha} - \eta_\alpha) = (m_\alpha, \eta_\alpha) + (g, v), \quad \forall \eta_\alpha, v \in V. \tag{2.5}$$

Here, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the usual L^2 inner product, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality on $V' \otimes V$. $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear form on $V \otimes V$ defined as

$$a(\beta, \eta) = \frac{1}{24(1 - \nu^2)}((1 + \nu)(\beta_{\alpha,\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha,\alpha}) + (1 - \nu)(\nabla\beta_\alpha, \nabla\eta_\alpha)). \tag{2.6}$$

It is associated with the operators A_1 and A_2 such that

$$a(\beta, \eta) = \langle A_1(\beta), \eta_1 \rangle + \langle A_2(\beta), \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}(\beta), \eta \rangle, \quad \forall \beta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in V. \tag{2.7}$$

In fact, $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric, the same as the two-dimensional elasticity operator with a scalar factor. With Dirichlet boundary conditions, $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is equivalent to the H^1-norm on V [6] and there exist constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1\|\eta\|_1^2 &\le a(\eta, \eta), \quad \forall \eta_\alpha \in V, \\ a(\beta, \eta) &\le \alpha_2\|\beta\|_1\|\eta\|_1, \quad \forall \beta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in V. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

We denote $\|\eta\|_x^2 = \|\eta_1\|_x^2 + \|\eta_2\|_x^2$ for η_α of a functional space X. Note that for time-dependent problems, the norm $\|v\|_X$ of a function $v : [0, T] \to X$ is a function of time. We use the following notations for the functional spaces and the measure in time:

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(X) &= L^2(0, T; X) \\ &= \left\{ v : [0, T] \longrightarrow X \mid v(t, \mathbf{x}) \in X, \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;X)} = \left(\int_0^T (\|v\|_X)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}, \\ L^\infty(X) &= L^\infty(0, T; X) \\ &= \left\{ v : [0, T] \longrightarrow X \mid v(t, \mathbf{x}) \in X, \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;X)} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0 \le t \le T} (\|v\|_X) < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

3. Existence, uniqueness, and regularity

For linear hyperbolic equations of the second order in time with one function, the existence and uniqueness are proven (see, e.g., [7, 10, 11]) using the method of a priori estimate. The method is also employed in [17] for Navier-Stokes problem whose steady-state case has close relation to the static problems of R-M plate, (cf. [5]). We extend the scheme to the dynamic problems of R-M plate. For the time being, we keep the material parameters explicitly expressed for later use in Section 4.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $m_\alpha, g \in L^2(L^2)$; $B_\alpha^0, W^0 \in H_0^1$; and $B_\alpha^1, W^1 \in L^2$, then there exists a solution (β_α, w) of (2.5) (a weak solution of (2.2)) with initial conditions (2.4), $\beta_\alpha, w \in L^\infty(H_0^1)$, $\dot{\beta}_\alpha, \dot{w} \in L^\infty(L^2)$, and $\ddot{\beta}_\alpha, \ddot{w} \in L^\infty(H^{-1})$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent*

of the material parameters, such that

$$I\|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}\|\dot{w}\|_0^2 + E\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1^2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}\|_0^2$$
$$\leq C\Big(I\|\mathbf{B}^1\|_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}\|W^1\|_0^2 + E\|\mathbf{B}^0\|_1^2 \qquad\qquad\qquad (3.1)$$
$$+ \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla W^0 - \mathbf{B}^0\|_0^2 + I^{-1}\|\mathbf{m}\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \rho^{-1}\zeta^2\|g\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2\Big).$$

Proof. We apply the scheme for hyperbolic equations developed in [7, 10, 11]. The space V is separable. We construct an approximation of order n, with a countable basis $\{\psi_i(\mathbf{x}),\ i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of V:

$$\beta_{\alpha n}(t, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{\alpha n}^j(t)\psi_j(\mathbf{X}), \qquad w_n(t, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_n^j(t)\psi_j(\mathbf{X}),$$
$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (3.2)$$
$$I(\ddot{\beta}_{\alpha n}, \eta_\alpha) + \rho\zeta^{-2}(\ddot{w}_n, \nu) + Ea(\boldsymbol{\beta}_n, \boldsymbol{\eta}) + \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{n,\alpha} - \beta_{\alpha n}, \nu_{,\alpha} - \eta_\alpha)$$
$$= (g, \nu) + (m_\alpha, \eta_\alpha), \quad \forall \eta_\alpha, \nu \in V_n = \text{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}.$$

The approximation problem (3.2) leads to a linear system of second-order ordinary differential equations. With the approximations of (2.4) for the initial conditions

$$\sum_{j=1}^n B_{\alpha n}^{0j}\psi_j(\mathbf{X}) = B_{\alpha n}^0 \longrightarrow B_\alpha^0, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^n W_n^{0j}\psi_j(\mathbf{X}) = W_n^0 \longrightarrow W^0,$$
$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (3.3)$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^n B_{\alpha n}^{1j}\psi_j(\mathbf{X}) = B_{\alpha n}^1 \longrightarrow B_\alpha^1, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^n W_n^{1j}\psi_j(\mathbf{X}) = W_n^1 \longrightarrow W^1,$$

we have unique solution

$$\{\beta_{1n}^j(t), \beta_{2n}^j(t), w_n^j(t),\ j = 1, \dots, n\} \in H^2([0, T]). \qquad\qquad (3.4)$$

Now using $\eta_\alpha = \dot{\beta}_{\alpha n}(t, \mathbf{X})$ and $\nu = \dot{w}_n(t, \mathbf{X})$ in (3.2), then integrating from $t = 0$ to T, we have

$$I\|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n\|_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}\|\dot{w}_n\|_0^2 + Ea(\boldsymbol{\beta}_n, \boldsymbol{\beta}_n) + \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla w_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n\|_0^2$$
$$= I\|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(0)\|_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}\|\dot{w}_n(0)\|_0^2 + Ea(\boldsymbol{\beta}_n(0), \boldsymbol{\beta}_n(0))$$
$$+ \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla w_n(0) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n(0)\|_0^2 + 2\int_0^T ((m_\alpha, \dot{\beta}_{\alpha n}) + (g, \dot{w}_n))\,dt \qquad (3.5)$$
$$\leq I\|\mathbf{B}_n^1\|_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}\|W_n^1\|_0^2 + E\alpha_2\|\mathbf{B}_n^0\|_1^2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla W_n^0 - \mathbf{B}_n^0\|_0^2$$
$$+ \int_0^T \Big(I^{-1}\|\mathbf{m}\|_0^2 + \rho^{-1}\zeta^2\|g\|_0^2\Big)dt + \int_0^T \Big(I\|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n\|_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}\|\dot{w}_n\|_0^2\Big)dt.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$I||\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n||_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}||\dot{w}_n||_0^2 + \alpha_1 E||\boldsymbol{\beta}_n||_1^2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\nabla w_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n||_0^2$$
$$\leq C\Big(I||\mathbf{B}_n^1||_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}||W_n^1||_0^2 + \alpha_2 E||\mathbf{B}_n^0||_1^2 \tag{3.6}$$
$$+ \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\nabla W_n^0 - \mathbf{B}_n^0||_0^2 + I^{-1}||\mathbf{m}||_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \rho^{-1}\zeta^2||g||_{L^2(L^2)}^2\Big).$$

The right-hand side has limit as $n \to \infty$ due to (3.3). Therefore, the left-hand side is bounded. Note that $||w_n||_1 \leq C||\nabla w_n||_0 \leq C(||\nabla w_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n||_0 + ||\boldsymbol{\beta}_n||_0)$. By compactness, we can find convergent subsequences, still denoted by subscript n, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\alpha n} &\longrightarrow \beta_\alpha, & w_n &\longrightarrow w \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(H_0^1), \\ \dot{\beta}_{\alpha n} &\longrightarrow \varphi_\alpha, & \dot{w}_n &\longrightarrow \chi \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(L^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

It is a straightforward task to verify that $\dot{\beta}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$, $\dot{w} = \chi$, $\ddot{\beta}_{\alpha n} \to \ddot{\beta}_\alpha$, $\ddot{w}_n \to \ddot{w}$ weakly star in $L^\infty(H^{-1})$, and $\{\beta_\alpha, w\}$ satisfy the initial conditions (2.4) and the variational equation (2.5), thus form a weak solution of (2.2). $\qquad\square$

THEOREM 3.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, the solution $\{\beta_\alpha, w\}$ is unique, that is, if $g = 0$, $m_\alpha = 0$, $B_\alpha^0 = w^0 = B_\alpha^1 = w^1 = 0$, then $\beta_\alpha = w = 0$.*

Proof. Following the line of [10, 11], we can prove the uniqueness, but omit the details. $\qquad\square$

THEOREM 3.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, if $\dot{m}_\alpha, \dot{g} \in L^2(L^2)$, $B_\alpha^0, W^0 \in H^2$, and $B_\alpha^1, W^1 \in H_0^1$, then the solution (β_α, w) of (2.2) with the initial conditions (2.4) satisfies $\ddot{\beta}_\alpha, \ddot{w} \in L^\infty(L^2)$, $\dot{\beta}_\alpha, \dot{w} \in L^\infty(H_0^1)$, $\beta_\alpha, w \in L^\infty(H^2)$, and*

$$I||\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}||\ddot{w}||_0^2 + E||\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_1^2 + \zeta^{-2}||\nabla\dot{w} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_0^2$$
$$\leq C\Big(I^{-1}\Big(E^2||\mathbf{B}^0||_2^2 + \lambda^2\zeta^{-4}||\nabla W^0 - \mathbf{B}^0||_0^2 + ||\mathbf{m}(0)||_0^2\Big)$$
$$+ \rho^{-1}\zeta^2\Big(\lambda^2\zeta^{-4}||\nabla W^0 - \mathbf{B}^0||_1^2 + ||g(0)||_0^2\Big) \tag{3.8}$$
$$+ E||\mathbf{B}^1||_1^2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\nabla W^1 - \mathbf{B}^1||_0^2 + I^{-1}||\dot{\mathbf{m}}||_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \rho^{-1}\zeta^2||\dot{g}||_{L^2(L^2)}^2\Big),$$
$$E||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_2 \leq C\big(I||\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_0 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}||_0 + ||\mathbf{m}||_0\big), \tag{3.9}$$
$$\lambda\zeta^{-2}||w||_2 \leq C\big(\rho\zeta^{-2}||\ddot{w}||_0 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\beta||_1 + ||g||_0\big), \tag{3.10}$$

where the bounds of $||\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}||_0$ and $||\beta||_1$ are established in (3.1).

Proof. We apply the method for hyperbolic equations demonstrated in [8]. From Theorem 3.1, we have $\dot{\beta}_{\alpha n}^j, \dot{w}_n^j \in H^1([0,T])$. Differentiating (3.2) with respect to t, we obtain $\ddot{\beta}_{\alpha n}^j, \ddot{w}_n^j \in L^2([0,T])$. The a priori estimate like (3.6) holds:

$$I||\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n||_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}||\ddot{w}_n||_0^2 + \alpha_1 E||\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n||_1^2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\nabla\dot{w}_n - \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n||_0^2$$
$$\leq C\Big(I||\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(0)||_0^2 + \rho\zeta^{-2}||\ddot{w}_n(0)||_0^2 + \alpha_2 E||\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(0)||_1^2 \tag{3.11}$$
$$+ \lambda\zeta^{-2}||\nabla\dot{w}_n(0) - \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n(0)||_0^2 + I^{-1}||\dot{\mathbf{m}}||_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \rho^{-1}\zeta^2||\dot{g}||_{L^2(L^2)}^2\Big).$$

From (2.2), we obtain $\ddot{\beta}_\alpha(0)$, $\ddot{w}(0) \in L^2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\|\ddot{\beta}_\alpha(0)\|_0 &\le E\|\mathbf{B}^0\|_2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla W^0 - \mathbf{B}^0\|_0 + \|m_\alpha(0)\|_0, \\
\rho\zeta^{-2}\|\ddot{w}(0)\|_0 &\le \lambda\zeta^{-2}\|\nabla W^0 - \mathbf{B}^0\|_1 + \|g(0)\|_0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

The argument of boundedness and compactness leads to the conclusion that $\ddot{\beta}_{\alpha n} \to \ddot{\beta}_\alpha$, $\ddot{w}_n \to \ddot{w}$ weakly star in $L^\infty(L^2)$. Hence, (3.11) implies (3.8).

On the other hand, we rewrite (2.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
EA_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= m_1 - I\ddot{\beta}_1 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,1} - \beta_1), \\
EA_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= m_2 - I\ddot{\beta}_2 + \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,2} - \beta_2), \\
-\lambda\zeta^{-2}\nabla^2 w &= g - \rho\zeta^{-2}\ddot{w} - \lambda\zeta^{-2}\beta_{\alpha,\alpha}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.13}
$$

For any fixed time t, the right-hand sides of these equations are in L^2. We have the elasticity operator and the Laplace operator in the left-hand side. According to the theory of elliptic equations, with a smooth domain Ω, we have $\beta_\alpha, w \in H^2$, and the bounds (3.9) and (3.10). $\qquad\square$

We are ready to extend the method for higher regularity of hyperbolic equation [8] to the transient dynamics of R-M plate. For simplicity, the dependence on the material parameters is not explicitly expressed and will have more discussion in Section 4.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume for any integer $P \ge 0$,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_\alpha^0, W^0 &\in H^{P+1} \cap H_0^1, \qquad B_\alpha^1, W^1 \in H^P \cap H_0^1, \\
\frac{\partial^k m_\alpha}{\partial t^k}, \quad \frac{\partial^k g}{\partial t^k} &\in L^2(H^{P-k}), \quad k = 0,1,\ldots,P,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

and that the following compatibility conditions hold for $P \ge 2$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_\alpha^{k+2} &= I^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^k m_\alpha(0)}{\partial t^k} - EA_\alpha(\mathbf{B}^k) + \lambda\zeta^{-2}(\nabla W^k - \mathbf{B}^k) \right) \in H_0^1, \\
W^{k+2} &= (\rho\zeta^{-2})^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^k g(0)}{\partial t^k} + \lambda\zeta^{-2}\nabla \cdot (\nabla W^k - \mathbf{B}^k) \right) \in H_0^1,
\end{aligned}
\qquad k = 0,1,\ldots,P-2.
\tag{3.15}
$$

Then the solution of (2.2) with (2.4) satisfy, for $k = 0,1,\ldots,P+1$,

$$
\frac{\partial^k \beta_\alpha}{\partial t^k}, \quad \frac{\partial^k w}{\partial t^k} \in L^\infty(H^{P+1-k}),
\tag{3.16}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\partial^k \beta_\alpha}{\partial t^k}\right\|_{P+1-k} + \left\|\frac{\partial^k w}{\partial t^k}\right\|_{P+1-k} \\
&\le C\left(\sum_{j=0}^P \left(\left\|\frac{\partial^j m_\alpha}{\partial t^j}\right\|_{L^2(H^{P-j})} + \left\|\frac{\partial^j g}{\partial t^j}\right\|_{L^2(H^{P-j})} \right) \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \|\mathbf{B}^0\|_{P+1} + \|W^0\|_{P+1} + \|\mathbf{B}^1\|_P + \|W^1\|_P \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}
$$

Proof. The cases of $P = 0$ and $P = 1$ are proved in Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, respectively. Using the method of induction, we assume that the theorem is true for $P \leq Q$ and assume that the conditions (3.14) and (3.15) are valid for $P = Q + 1$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B}_\alpha &= \dot{\beta}_\alpha, & \tilde{w} &= \dot{w}, \\
\tilde{m}_\alpha &= \dot{m}_\alpha, & \tilde{g} &= \dot{g}, \\
\tilde{B}_\alpha^k &= B_\alpha^{k+1}, & \tilde{W}^k &= W^{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.18}
$$

Then $\tilde{B}_\alpha^k, \tilde{W}^k$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q$, satisfy (3.15) for $P = Q$. $\tilde{B}_\alpha^0 = B_\alpha^1$ and $\tilde{W}^0 = W^1 \in H^{Q+1} \cap H_0^1$. For $k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q$, $\partial^k \tilde{m}_\alpha / \partial t^k = \partial^{k+1} m_\alpha / \partial t^{k+1}$ and $\partial^k \tilde{g} / \partial t^k = \partial^{k+1} g / \partial t^{k+1} \in L^2(H^{Q-k})$. From (3.14) and (3.15) with $P = Q + 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^1\|_Q &= \|\mathbf{B}^2\|_Q \leq C(\|\mathbf{m}(0)\|_Q + \|\mathbf{B}^0\|_{Q+2} + \|W^0\|_{Q+1} + \|\mathbf{B}^0\|_Q) \leq \infty, \\
\|\tilde{W}^1\|_Q &= \|W^2\|_Q \leq C(\|g(0)\|_Q + \|W^0\|_{Q+2} + \|\mathbf{B}^0\|_{Q+1}) \leq \infty.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.19}
$$

Hence $\tilde{B}_\alpha^k, \tilde{W}^k$, $k = 0$ and 1, satisfy (3.14) for $P = Q$. We apply the assumption of induction and obtain from (3.16) and (3.17) with $P = Q$, for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q + 1$,

$$
\frac{\partial^k \tilde{B}_\alpha}{\partial t^k}, \quad \frac{\partial^k \tilde{w}}{\partial t^k} \in L^\infty(H^{Q+1-k}),
\tag{3.20}
$$

$$
\left\| \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\beta}_\alpha}{\partial t^k} \right\|_{Q+1-k} + \left\| \frac{\partial^k \tilde{w}}{\partial t^k} \right\|_{Q+1-k}
$$
$$
\leq C \left(\sum_{j=0}^{Q} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial^j \tilde{m}_\alpha}{\partial t^j} \right\|_{L^2(H^{Q-j})} + \left\| \frac{\partial^j \tilde{g}}{\partial t^j} \right\|_{L^2(H^{Q-j})} \right) \right.
\tag{3.21}
$$
$$
\left. + \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^0\|_{Q+1} + \|\tilde{W}^0\|_{Q+1} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^1\|_Q + \|\tilde{W}^1\|_Q \right).
$$

It implies that, for $k = 1, \ldots, Q + 2$,

$$
\frac{\partial^k \beta_\alpha}{\partial t^k}, \quad \frac{\partial^k w}{\partial t^k} \in L^\infty(H^{Q+2-k}),
\tag{3.22}
$$

$$
\left\| \frac{\partial^k \beta_\alpha}{\partial t^k} \right\|_{Q+2-k} + \left\| \frac{\partial^k w}{\partial t^k} \right\|_{Q+2-k}
$$
$$
\leq C \left(\sum_{j=0}^{Q+1} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial^j m_\alpha}{\partial t^j} \right\|_{L^2(H^{Q+1-j})} + \left\| \frac{\partial^j g}{\partial t^j} \right\|_{L^2(H^{Q+1-j})} \right) \right.
\tag{3.23}
$$
$$
\left. + \|\mathbf{B}^1\|_{Q+1} + \|W^1\|_{Q+1} + \|\mathbf{B}^2\|_Q + \|W^2\|_Q \right).
$$

We can use (3.19) to estimate $\mathbf{B}^2$ and W^2 in (3.23) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{m}(0)\|_Q &\leq C\|\mathbf{m}\|_{C^0(H^Q)} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{m}\|_{L^2(H^Q)} + \|\dot{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2(H^Q)} \right), \\
\|g(0)\|_Q &\leq C\|g\|_{C^0(H^Q)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L^2(H^Q)} + \|\dot{g}\|_{L^2(H^Q)} \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

Therefore, (3.17) is true for $P = Q + 1$ and $k = 1, \ldots, Q + 2$. Now the right-hand sides of (3.13) are bounded in H^Q. We have

$$\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{Q+2}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{I}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \lambda\zeta^{-2}(\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{m}\|_Q^2 \leq C(\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_Q^2 + \|w\|_{Q+1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_Q^2 + \|\mathbf{m}\|_Q^2) \leq \infty,$$

$$\|w\|_{Q+2}^2 \leq C\|\rho\zeta^{-2}\ddot{w} + \lambda\zeta^{-2}\beta_{\alpha,\alpha} - g\|_Q^2 \leq C(\|\ddot{w}\|_Q^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{Q+1}^2 + \|g\|_Q^2) \leq \infty.$$

(3.25)

Therefore,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{Q+2} + \|w\|_{Q+2}$$

$$\leq C\left(\sum_{j=0}^{Q+1}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial^j m_\alpha}{\partial t^j}\right\|_{L^2(H^{Q+1-j})} + \left\|\frac{\partial^j g}{\partial t^j}\right\|_{L^2(H^{Q+1-j})}\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + \|\mathbf{B}^0\|_{Q+2} + \|W^0\|_{Q+2} + \|\mathbf{B}^1\|_{Q+1} + \|W^1\|_{Q+1}\right).$$

(3.26)

Thus, (3.17) also holds for $P = Q + 1$ and $k = 0$. The case of $P = Q + 1$ of the induction is true. □

4. Relation to Kirchhoff-Love plate

For static problem, it is understood that when the thickness $\zeta \to 0$, the solution of the clamped R-M plate approaches the solution of a K-L plate (see, e.g., [3] for a proof). The convergence is for the systems with load scaling, in the sense that $\beta_\alpha \to \tilde{\beta}_\alpha$, $w \to \tilde{w}$, and

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \nabla\tilde{w},$$ (4.1)

$$D_0\nabla^4\tilde{w} = g,$$ (4.2)

where $D_0 = E/12(1 - \nu^2) = D\zeta^{-3}$. D is the usual bending stiffness. Due to the load scaling, the K-L equation (4.2) is independent of thickness. Physically, when the thickness approaches zero, the bending stiffness approaches zero faster with a factor of ζ^3. The unscaled loading, which contributes to the external work, is proportional to the thickness and will not give a meaningful solution. This fact is used for investigating the thickness-independent convergence of finite element method, for example, [2, 3, 5, 12, 16, 18] (see [12, 16, 18] for numerical examples).

For dynamic problem, due to the appearance of the inertia term, which contributes to the kinetic energy, the equation of K-L plate is no longer thickness independent. To keep K-L plate as a reference model, a possible approach is then to scale the mass density [4] along with the load. Assume

$$\rho = \zeta^2\rho_0,$$

$$I = \zeta^2 I_0, \quad I_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{12}.$$

(4.3)

We consider the scaled R-M equation (2.2) with $m_\alpha = 0$, which does not appear in K-L plate:

$$I_0 \zeta^2 \ddot{\beta}_1 + E A_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \lambda \zeta^{-2}(w_{,1} - \beta_1) = 0,$$
$$I_0 \zeta^2 \ddot{\beta}_2 + E A_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \lambda \zeta^{-2}(w_{,2} - \beta_2) = 0, \qquad (4.4)$$
$$\rho_0 \ddot{w} - \lambda \zeta^{-2} \nabla \cdot (\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = g,$$

or the variational equation (2.5):

$$I_0 \zeta^2 \langle \ddot{\beta}_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \rangle + \rho_0 \langle \ddot{w}, \nu \rangle + E a(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) + \lambda \zeta^{-2}(w_{,\alpha} - \beta_\alpha, \nu_{,\alpha} - \eta_\alpha) = (g, \nu), \quad \forall \eta_\alpha, \nu \in V. \qquad (4.5)$$

As a parallel study to the static problem, we consider a special case of elastodynamics with zero initial conditions:

$$B_\alpha^0 = B_\alpha^1 = W^0 = W^1 = 0. \qquad (4.6)$$

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume $g \in H^1(L^2)$, $\dot{g} \in L^2(L^2)$, and $(\beta_\alpha, w) \in L^\infty(H_0^1)$ is the solution of (4.4) (or (4.5)) with initial conditions (4.6). Then as $\zeta \to 0$, there exists a sequence of (β_α, w) with the same notation for simplicity such that*

$$w \longrightarrow \tilde{w} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(H^2),$$
$$\beta_\alpha \longrightarrow \tilde{\beta}, \qquad \dot{w} \longrightarrow \dot{\tilde{w}} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(H^1), \qquad (4.7)$$
$$\ddot{w} \longrightarrow \ddot{\tilde{w}} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(L^2).$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \nabla \tilde{w} \qquad (4.8)$$

and $\tilde{w}$ is the solution of a K-L plate problem of elastodynamics with clamped boundary conditions

$$\rho_0 \ddot{\tilde{w}} + D_0 \nabla^4 \tilde{w} = g \qquad or$$
$$\rho_0 (\ddot{\tilde{w}}, \nu) + D_0 (\nabla^2 \tilde{w}, \nabla^2 \nu) = (g, \nu), \quad \forall \nu \in H_0^2,$$
$$\tilde{w}|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \qquad (4.9)$$
$$\tilde{w}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \dot{\tilde{w}}(0, \mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorems 3.1 to 3.3, we have a unique solution $(\beta_\alpha, w) \in L^\infty(H_0^1) \cap L^\infty(H^2)$ for (4.4) (or (4.5)), where the generic constant $C > 0$ used in the a priori estimates is independent of material parameters. With (4.6), the a priori estimate (3.1) is reduced to

$$\sqrt{I_0} \zeta \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0 + \sqrt{\rho_0} \|\dot{w}\|_0 + \sqrt{E} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1 + \sqrt{\lambda \zeta^{-2}} \|\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}\|_0 \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(L^2)}. \qquad (4.10)$$

Inequality (3.8) yields

$$\sqrt{I_0} \zeta \|\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0 + \sqrt{\rho_0} \|\ddot{w}\|_0 + \sqrt{E} \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 + \sqrt{\lambda \zeta^{-2}} \|\nabla \dot{w} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0 \leq C(\|g(0)\|_0 + \|\dot{g}\|_{L^2(L^2)}). \qquad (4.11)$$

Inequality (3.10) results in

$$\|w\|_2 \le C\big(\|g(0)\|_0 + \|\dot{g}\|_{L^2(L^2)} + \|g\|_{L^2(L^2)}\big). \tag{4.12}$$

Furthermore, $\|\dot{w}\|_1 \le C(\|\dot{w}\|_0 + \|\nabla\dot{w}\|_0) \le C(\|\dot{w}\|_0 + \|\nabla\dot{w} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0 + \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0)$. Therefore, the boundedness of w in $L^\infty(H^2)$, $\beta_\alpha, \dot{\beta}_\alpha, \dot{w}$ in $L^\infty(H^1)$, and $\zeta\ddot{\beta}_\alpha, \ddot{w}$ in $L^\infty(L^2)$ are all uniform with respect to the thickness. We can extract the convergent sequences

$$w \longrightarrow \tilde{w} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(H^2),$$

$$\beta_\alpha \longrightarrow \tilde{\beta}_\alpha, \qquad \dot{\beta}_\alpha \longrightarrow \dot{\tilde{\beta}}_\alpha, \qquad \dot{w} \longrightarrow \dot{\tilde{w}} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(H^1), \tag{4.13}$$

$$\ddot{w} \longrightarrow \ddot{\tilde{w}} \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(L^2),$$

where, for simplicity, no ζ-dependence notation is used for the sequences. The relation with time differentiation is trivial.

The initial conditions $\tilde{w}(0,\mathbf{x}) = \dot{\tilde{w}}(0,\mathbf{x}) = 0$ are a direct result of (4.6). Inequality (4.10) implies $\sqrt{\lambda}\|\nabla w - \boldsymbol{\beta}\|_0 \le C\zeta\|g\|_{L^2(L^2)} \to 0 \Rightarrow \nabla\tilde{w} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = 0$. Meanwhile, the boundary conditions on (β_α, w) lead to $\tilde{\beta}_\alpha|_{\partial\Omega} = \tilde{w}|_{\partial\Omega} = \nabla\tilde{w}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. The last equation implies, for smooth domain, $\partial\tilde{w}/\partial n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

On the other hand, by (4.11), $\zeta^2\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_0 \to 0$. Thus, the first two equations of (4.4) yield $EA_\alpha(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,\alpha} - \beta_\alpha) \to 0$. That means $\lambda\zeta^{-2}(w_{,\alpha} - \beta_\alpha) \to EA_\alpha(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = EA_\alpha(\nabla\tilde{w})$. Then the third equation of (4.4) gives $\rho_0\ddot{\tilde{w}} - E\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{A}(\nabla\tilde{w})) = \rho_0\ddot{\tilde{w}} + D_0\nabla^4\tilde{w} = g$. The last equality can be easily verified with the definition of the operator $\mathbf{A}$ and considered in the weak sense. Similar statement for the variational equation is straightforward.   □

Remark 4.2. The generic constant C involved in the inequalities derived in Theorem 3.4 is thickness dependent. So the boundedness of β and w in higher spaces may not be uniform with respect to the thickness. It is worth noting that the boundary layer is found for static problems of R-M plate [1]. With clamped boundary conditions, as in our case, $\partial^3\beta/\partial n^3 = O(\zeta^{-1})$ near the boundary, that is, $\|\beta\|_3 = O(\zeta^{-1/2})$. The boundary layer is expected for the dynamics problem too, which warrants further investigation. Since $\tilde{\beta} = \nabla\tilde{w}$, it is not optimistic that we can have the convergence of $w \to \tilde{w}$ in the sense of H^4, although the corresponding K-L plate can have a strong solution $\tilde{w}$ in H^4.

5. Summary

Existence and uniqueness of H^1 solution of R-M plate for elastodynamics with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and general initial conditions were proved. The solution with smoother data was further investigated and proved to be in H^2. Furthermore, with higher smoothness of data and certain compatibility requirements satisfied, higher regularity of the solution was proved. With the introduction of mass scaling, along with the load scaling, the H^2 solution of R-M plate was proved to approach the H^2 weak solution of K-L plate when the thickness approaches zero.

Acknowledgments

References

[1]   D. N. Arnold and R. S. Falk, *Edge effect in the Reissner-Mindlin plate theory*, Analytic and Computational Models of Shells (A. K. Noor, T. Belytschko, and J. C. Simo, eds.), A.S.M.E., New York, 1989, pp. 71–90.

[2]   ———, *A uniformly accurate finite element method for the Reissner-Mindlin plate*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **26** (1989), no. 6, 1276–1290.

[3]   K. J. Bathe and F. Brezzi, *On the convergence of a four-node plate bending element based on Mindlin-Reissner plate theory and a mixed interpolation*, The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications V (Uxbridge, 1984), Academic Press, London, 1985, pp. 491–503.

[4]   F. Brezzi, *On scaling of Reissner-Mindlin plate*, Private communication (2003).

[5]   F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, *Numerical approximation of Mindlin-Reissner plates*, Math. Comp. **47** (1986), no. 175, 151–158.

[6]   P. G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical Elasticity. Vol. I*, Three-Dimensional Elasticity, Elsevier Science Publishing, New York, 1988.

[7]   G. Duvaut and J.-L. Lions, *Inequalities in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.

[8]   L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1998.

[9]   K. M. Liew, C. M. Wang, Y. Xiang, and S. Kitipornchai, *Vibration of Mindlin Plates, Programming the P-Ersion Ritz Method*, Elsevier, Oxford, 1998.

[10] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications. Vol. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[11] ———, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications. Vol. II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[12] M. Lyly, R. Stenberg, and T. Vihinen, *A stable bilinear element for the Reissner-Mindlin plate model*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **110** (1993), no. 3-4, 343–357.

[13] R. D. Mindlin, *Influence of rotatory inertia and shear on flexural motions of isotropic elastic plates*, J. Appl. Mech. **18** (1951), 31–38.

[14] E. Reissner, *The effect of transverse shear deformation on the bending of elastic plates*, J. Appl. Mech. **12** (1945), A-69–A-77.

[15] ———, *On bending of elastic plates*, Quart. Appl. Math. **5** (1947), 55–68.

[16] M. Suri, I. Babuška, and C. Schwab, *Locking effects in the finite element approximation of plate models*, Math. Comp. **64** (1995), no. 210, 461–482.

[17] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, 3rd ed., Theory and Numerical Analysis, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.

[18] Z. Zhang and S. Zhang, *Wilson's element for the Reissner-Mindlin plate*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **113** (1994), no. 1-2, 55–65.

Shen Rong Wu: Research and Advanced Engineering, Ford Motor Company, 2101 Village Road, SRL-MD 2115, Dearborn, MI 48124, USA

E-mail address: swu@ford.com

Special Issue on
Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be