# QUASI-DEFINITENESS OF GENERALIZED UVAROV TRANSFORMS OF MOMENT FUNCTIONALS

#### D. H. KIM AND K. H. KWON

Received 11 March 2001

When  $\sigma$  is a quasi-definite moment functional with the monic orthogonal polynomial system  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , we consider a point masses perturbation  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  given by  $\tau := \sigma + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{m_l} ((-1)^k \mathfrak{u}_{lk}/k!) \delta^{(k)}(x-c_l)$ , where  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}_{lk}$ , and  $c_l$  are constants with  $c_i \neq c_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . That is,  $\tau$  is a generalized Uvarov transform of  $\sigma$  satisfying  $A(x)\tau = A(x)\sigma$ , where  $A(x) = \prod_{l=1}^m (x-c_l)^{m_l+1}$ . We find necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\tau$  to be quasi-definite. We also discuss various properties of monic orthogonal polynomial system  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  relative to  $\tau$  including two examples.

#### 1. Introduction

In the study of Padé approximation (see [5, 10, 21]) of Stieltjes type meromorphic functions

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d\mu(x)}{z - x} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} C_{lk} \frac{k!}{(z - c_{l})^{k+1}},$$
 (1.1)

where  $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$ ,  $C_{lk}$  are constants, and  $d\mu(x)$  is a positive Stieltjes measure, the denominators  $R_n(x)$  of the main diagonal sequence of Padé approximants satisfy the orthogonality

$$\int_{a}^{b} R_{n}(x)\pi(x)d\mu(x) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} C_{lk} \partial^{k} \left[\pi R_{n}\right] (c_{l}) = 0, \quad \pi \in \mathbb{P}_{n-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

Copyright © 2001 Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Applied Mathematics 1:2 (2001) 69–90
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C45
URL: http://jam.hindawi.com/volume-1/S1110757X01000225.html

where  $\mathbb{P}_n$  is the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  with  $\mathbb{P}_{-1} = \{0\}$ . That is,  $R_n(x)$   $(n \ge 0)$  are orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$d\mu + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_l} (-1)^k C_{lk} \delta^{(k)} (x - c_l), \qquad (1.3)$$

which is a point masses perturbation of  $d\mu(x)$ . Orthogonality to a positive or signed measure perturbed by one or two point masses arises naturally also in orthogonal polynomial eigenfunctions of higher order  $(\geq 4)$  ordinary differential equations (see [14, 15, 16, 19]), which generalize Bochner's classification of classical orthogonal polynomials (see [6, 18]). On the other hand, many authors have studied various aspects of orthogonal polynomials with respect to various point masses perturbations of positive-definite (see [1, 2, 8, 14, 27, 28]) and quasi-definite (see [3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 20, 23]) moment functionals. In this work, we consider the most general such situation. That is, we consider a moment functional au given by

$$\tau := \sigma + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_l} \frac{(-1)^k u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} (x - c_l), \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a given quasi-definite moment functional,  $\lambda$ ,  $u_{lk}$ , and  $c_l$  are complex numbers with  $u_{l,m_1} \neq 0$  and  $c_i \neq c_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , that is,  $\tau$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by adding a distribution with finite support. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\tau$  to be quasi-definite. When  $\tau$  is also quasi-definite, we discuss various properties of orthogonal polynomials  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  relative to  $\tau$  in connection with orthogonal polynomials  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  relative to  $\sigma$ . These generalize many previous works in [4, 9, 11, 12, 20, 23].

#### 2. Necessary and sufficient conditions

For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  be the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  and  $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{n>0} \mathbb{P}_n$ . For any  $\pi(x)$  in  $\mathbb{P}$ , let  $deg(\pi)$  be the degree of  $\pi(x)$  with the convention that deg(0) = -1. For the moment functionals  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  (i.e., linear functionals on  $\mathbb{P}$ ) (see [7]), c in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and a polynomial  $\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$ , let

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \sigma', \pi \right\rangle &:= - \left\langle \sigma, \pi' \right\rangle; & \left\langle \varphi \sigma, \pi \right\rangle := \left\langle \sigma, \varphi \pi \right\rangle; \\ \left\langle (x-c)^{-1} \sigma, \pi \right\rangle &:= \left\langle \sigma, \theta_c \pi \right\rangle; & \left( \theta_c \pi \right)(x) := \frac{\pi(x) - \pi(c)}{x - c}; \\ (\sigma \varphi)(x) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \sum_{j=k}^n \alpha_j \sigma_{jk} \right) x^k; & \left\langle \sigma \tau, \pi \right\rangle = \left\langle \sigma, \tau \pi \right\rangle, & \pi \in \mathbb{P}. \end{split}$$

We also let

$$F(\sigma)(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{z^{n+1}}$$
 (2.2)

be the (formal) Stieltjes function of  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma_n := \langle \sigma, x^n \rangle$   $(n \ge 0)$  are the moments of  $\sigma$ . Following Zhedanov [29], for any polynomials A(z), B(z), C(z), D(z) with no common zero and  $|C| + |D| \ne 0$ , let

$$S(A,B,C,D)F(\sigma)(z) := \frac{AF(\sigma) + B}{CF(\sigma) + D}.$$
 (2.3)

If  $S(A,B,C,D)F(\sigma)=F(\tau)$  for some moment functional  $\tau$ , then we call  $\tau$  a rational (resp., linear) spectral transform of  $\sigma$  (resp., when C(z)=0). Then  $S(A,B,C,D)F(\sigma)=F(\tau)$  if and only if

$$xA(x)\sigma = C(x)(\sigma\tau) + xD(x)\tau,$$
 
$$\langle \sigma, A \rangle + x(\sigma\theta_0 A)(x) + xB(x) = (\sigma\tau)(\theta_0 C)(x) + \langle \tau, D \rangle + x(\tau\theta_0 D)(x).$$
 (2.4)

In particular, for any c and  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , let

$$U(c,\beta)F(\sigma) := \frac{(z-c)F(\sigma) + \beta}{z-c}$$
 (2.5)

be the Uvarov transform (see [28, 29]) of F( $\sigma$ ). Then for any  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^k$  and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^k$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$F(\tau) := U(c_k, \beta_k) \cdots U(c_1, \beta_1) F(\sigma) = \frac{A(z)F(\sigma) + B(z)}{A(z)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where 
$$A(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k (z - c_i)$$
,  $B(z) = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^k (z - c_j)$ , and by (2.4)

$$A(x)\tau = A(x)\sigma. \tag{2.7}$$

In this case, we say that  $\tau$  is a generalized Uvarov transform of  $\sigma$ . Conversely, if (2.7) holds for some polynomial  $A(x) \ (\not\equiv 0)$ , then

$$F(\tau) = \frac{A(z)F(\sigma) + (\tau\theta_0 A)(z) - (\sigma\theta_0 A)(z)}{A(z)}$$
(2.8)

and  $F(\tau)$  is obtained from  $F(\sigma)$  by deg(A) successive Uvarov transforms (see [29]), that is,  $\tau$  is a generalized Uvarov transform of  $\sigma$ .

In the following, we always assume that  $\tau$  is a moment functional given by (1.4), where  $\sigma$  is a quasi-definite moment functional. Let  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be the monic orthogonal polynomial system (MOPS) relative to  $\sigma$  satisfying the

three term recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_n)P_n(x) - c_nP_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 0, \ (P_{-1}(x) = 0).$$
 (2.9)

Since (1.4) implies (2.7) with  $A(x) = \prod_{l=1}^m (x-c_l)^{m_l+1}$ ,  $\tau$  is a generalized Uvarov transform of  $\sigma$ . Then our main concern is to find conditions under which a generalized Uvarov transform  $\tau$ , given by (1.4), of  $\sigma$  is also quasidefinite. In other words, we are to solve the division problem (2.7) of the moment functionals.

Let

$$K_n(x,y) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{P_j(x)P_j(y)}{\left\langle \sigma, P_j^2 \right\rangle}, \quad n \ge 0 \tag{2.10}$$

be the nth kernel polynomial for  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $K_n^{(i,j)}(x,y)=\partial_x{}^i\partial_y{}^jK_n(x,y)$ . We need the following lemma which is easy to prove.

Lemma 2.1. Let  $V=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)^t$  and  $W=(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_n)^t$  be two vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then

$$\det (I_n + VW^t) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j, \quad n \ge 1,$$
 (2.11)

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

Theorem 2.2. The moment functional  $\tau$  is quasi-definite if and only if  $d_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , where  $d_n$  is the determinant of  $(\sum_{l=1}^m (m_l+1)) \times (\sum_{l=1}^m (m_l+1))$  matrix  $D_n$ :

$$D_n := \left[ A_{tl}(n) \right]_{t,l=1}^m, \quad n \ge 0, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$A_{tl}(n) = \left[\delta_{tl}\delta_{ki} + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{m_l-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_n^{(k,j)}(c_t,c_l)\right]_{k=0, i=0}^{m_t - m_l}.$$
 (2.13)

If  $\tau$  is quasi-definite, then the MOPS  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  relative to  $\tau$  is given by

$$R_{n}(x) = P_{n}(x) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n-1}^{(0,j)}(x,c_{l}) R_{n}^{(i)}(c_{l}), \qquad (2.14)$$

where  $\{R_n^{(i)}(c_l)\}_{l=1,i=0}^m \overset{m_l}{\underset{i=0}{\text{are given by}}}$ 

$$D_{n-1}\begin{bmatrix} R_{n}(c_{1}) \\ R'_{n}(c_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ R_{n}^{(m_{1})}(c_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ R_{n}^{(m_{m})}(c_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ R_{n}^{(m_{m})}(c_{m}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{n}(c_{1}) \\ P'_{n}(c_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ P_{n}^{(m_{1})}(c_{1}) \\ P_{n}(c_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ P_{n}^{(m_{m})}(c_{m}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \geq 0 \ (D_{-1} = I). \tag{2.15}$$

Moreover,

$$\left\langle \tau, R_n^2 \right\rangle = \frac{d_n}{d_{n-1}} \left\langle \sigma, P_n^2 \right\rangle, \quad n \ge 0 \ \left( d_{-1} = 1 \right). \tag{2.16}$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Assume that  $\tau$  is quasi-definite and expand  $R_n(x)$  as

$$R_n(x) = P_n(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{nj} P_j(x), \quad n \ge 1,$$
 (2.17)

where  $C_{nj} = \langle \sigma, R_n P_j \rangle / \langle \sigma, P_j^2 \rangle$ , with  $0 \le j \le n-1$ . Here,

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \sigma, R_{n} P_{j} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \tau - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{k} u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} (x - c_{l}), R_{n} P_{j} \right\rangle \\ &= -\lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} R_{n}^{(i)} (c_{l}) P_{j}^{(k-i)} (c_{l}) \end{split}$$
(2.18)

so that

$$\begin{split} R_{n}(x) &= P_{n}(x) - \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_{j}(x)}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{j}^{2} \right\rangle} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} R_{n}^{(i)}(c_{l}) P_{j}^{(k-i)}(c_{l}) \\ &= P_{n}(x) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} R_{n}^{(i)}(c_{l}) K_{n-1}^{(0,k-i)}(x,c_{l}) \\ &= P_{n}(x) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l-i}} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n-1}^{(0,j)}(x,c_{l}) R_{n}^{(i)}(c_{l}). \end{split}$$

Hence, we have (2.14). Set the matrices  $B_l$  and  $E_l$  to be

$$B_{l} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{n}(c_{l}) \\ R'_{n}(c_{l}) \\ \vdots \\ R_{n}^{(m_{l})}(c_{l}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{l} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{n}(c_{l}) \\ P'_{n}(c_{l}) \\ \vdots \\ P_{n}^{(m_{l})}(c_{l}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m.$$
 (2.20)

Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ \vdots \\ E_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{tl}(n-1) \end{bmatrix}_{t,l=1}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_m \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2.21)$$

which gives (2.15). Now,

$$\begin{split} D_{n} &= \left[A_{t1}(n)\right]_{t, t=1}^{m} \\ &= D_{n-1} + \frac{\lambda}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle} \left[ \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{m_{1}-i} \frac{u_{t, i+j}}{i! j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{t}) P_{n}^{(k)}(c_{t}) \right]_{k=0, \ i=0}^{m_{t}-m_{t}} \right]_{t, t=1}^{m} \\ &= D_{n-1} + \frac{\lambda}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle} \left[ E_{2}^{E_{1}} \right]_{j:} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{m_{1}} \frac{u_{1j}}{j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{1}), \sum_{j=0}^{m_{1}-1} \frac{u_{1, j+1}}{j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{1}), \dots, \right. \\ &\left. \frac{u_{1, m_{1}}}{m_{1}!} P_{n}(c_{1}), \sum_{j=0}^{m_{2}} \frac{u_{2j}}{j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{2}), \dots, \right. \\ &\left. \frac{u_{m, m_{m}}}{m_{m}!} P_{n}(c_{m}) \right] \\ &= D_{n-1} \left[ I + \frac{\lambda}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle} \left[ E_{1}^{B_{1}} \right]_{j:} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{m_{1}} \frac{u_{1j}}{j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{1}), \sum_{j=0}^{m_{1}-1} \frac{u_{1, j+1}}{j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{1}), \dots, \right. \\ &\left. \frac{u_{1, m_{1}}}{m_{1}!} P_{n}(c_{1}), \sum_{j=0}^{m_{2}} \frac{u_{2j}}{j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{2}), \dots, \right. \\ &\left. \frac{u_{m, m_{m}}}{m_{m}!} P_{n}(c_{m}) \right] \right] \end{split} \tag{2.22} \end{split}$$

so that

$$d_{n} = d_{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \rangle} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{1}-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{l}) R_{n}^{(i)}(c_{l}) \right)$$
(2.23)

by Lemma 2.1. On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \left<\tau, R_{n}^{2}\right> &= \left<\tau, R_{n} P_{n}\right> \\ &= \left<\sigma, R_{n} P_{n}\right> + \lambda \left<\sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{k} u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} \left(x - c_{l}\right), R_{n} P_{n}\right> \\ &= \left<\sigma, P_{n}^{2}\right> + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} R_{n}^{(j)} \left(c_{l}\right) P_{n}^{(k-j)} \left(c_{l}\right) \\ &= \left<\sigma, P_{n}^{2}\right> + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=j}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \binom{k}{j} R_{n}^{(j)} \left(c_{l}\right) P_{n}^{(k-j)} \left(c_{l}\right) \end{split} \tag{2.24}$$

so that

$$\left<\tau,R_{n}^{2}\right> = \left<\sigma,P_{n}^{2}\right> + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}-j} \frac{u_{l,j+k}}{j!k!} R_{n}^{(j)}(c_{l}) P_{n}^{(k)}(c_{l}). \tag{2.25}$$

Hence, from (2.23) and (2.25), we have

$$\left\langle \sigma,P_{n}^{2}\right\rangle d_{n}=d_{n-1}\left\langle \tau,R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle ,\quad n\geq0. \tag{2.26}$$

Note that (2.26) also holds for n=0 if we take  $d_{-1}=1$ . Hence,  $d_n\neq 0$ ,  $n\geq 0$  inductively and we have (2.16).

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Assume that  $d_n \neq 0$ , with  $n \geq 0$  and define  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  by (2.14). Then we have, by (2.14) and (2.23),

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \tau, R_{n} P_{r} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sigma, R_{n} P_{r} \right\rangle + \lambda \left\langle \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{k} u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} \left( x - c_{l} \right), R_{n} P_{r} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sigma, R_{n} P_{r} \right\rangle + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} R_{n}^{(j)} \left( c_{l} \right) P_{r}^{(k-j)} \left( c_{l} \right) \\ &= \left\langle \sigma, P_{n} P_{r} \right\rangle - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l-j}} \frac{u_{l,j+k}}{j!k!} R_{n}^{(j)} \left( c_{l} \right) \left\langle \sigma, K_{n-1}^{(0,k)} \left( x, c_{l} \right) P_{r} \left( x \right) \right\rangle \\ &+ \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l-j}} \frac{u_{l,j+k}}{j!k!} R_{n}^{(j)} \left( c_{l} \right) P_{r}^{(k)} \left( c_{l} \right) \\ &= \left\langle \sigma, P_{n} P_{r} \right\rangle - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l-j}} \frac{u_{l,j+k}}{j!k!} R_{n}^{(j)} \left( c_{l} \right) P_{r}^{(k)} \left( c_{l} \right) \left( 1 - \delta_{nr} \right) \\ &+ \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l-j}} \frac{u_{l,j+k}}{j!k!} R_{n}^{(j)} \left( c_{l} \right) P_{r}^{(k)} \left( c_{l} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1, \\ \left\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l-j}} \frac{u_{l,j+k}}{j!k!} P_{n}^{(k)} \left( c_{l} \right) R_{n}^{(j)} \left( c_{l} \right), \quad r = n, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1, \\ \frac{d_{n}}{d_{n-1}} \left\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle \neq 0, \quad r = n, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.27} \end{split}$$

since  $\langle \sigma, K_{n-1}^{(0,k)}(x,c_1)P_r(x)\rangle = P_r^{(k)}(c_1)(1-\delta_{nr})$ . Hence,

$$\label{eq:tau_equation} \left\langle \tau, R_n R_m \right\rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq n-1, \\ \left\langle \tau, R_n P_n \right\rangle \neq 0, & \text{if } m = n, \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

so that  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is the MOPS relative to  $\tau$  and so  $\tau$  is also quasi-definite.  $\hfill\Box$ 

General division problems of moment functionals

$$D(x)\tau = A(x)\sigma \tag{2.29}$$

is handled in [17], when D(x) and A(x) have no common zero. Theorem 2.2 includes the following as special cases.

- m = 1,  $m_1 = 0$ : Marcellán and Maroni [23],
- m = 2,  $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ : Draïdi and Maroni [9], Kwon and Park [20],

- m = 1,  $m_1 = 1$ : Belmehdi and Marcellán [4],
- m = 1: Kim, Kwon, and Park [12].

Some other special cases where  $\sigma$  is a classical moment functional were handled in [2, 1, 3, 8, 11, 14].

From now on, we always assume that  $d_n \neq 0$ , with  $n \geq 0$ , so that  $\tau$  is also quasi-definite.

Theorem 2.3. For the MOPS  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  relative to  $\tau,$  we have

(i) (the three-term recurrence relation)

$$R_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n) R_n(x) - \gamma_n R_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 0,$$
 (2.30)

where

$$\beta_{n} = b_{n} + \frac{\lambda}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \right\rangle} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!}$$
 (2.31)

$$\times \big\{ P_n^{(j)} \big( c_l \big) R_{n+1}^{(i)} \big( c_l \big) - P_{n-1}^{(j)} \big( c_l \big) R_n^{(i)} \big( c_l \big) \big\} \quad (n \ge 0),$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{d_n d_{n-2}}{d_{n-1}^2} c_n \quad (n \ge 1).$$
 (2.32)

(ii) (the quasi-orthogonality)

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m} (x - c_l)^{m_l + 1} R_n(x) = \sum_{j=n-r}^{n+r} C_{nj} P_j(x), \quad n \ge r,$$
 (2.33)

where  $r = \sum_{l=1}^m (m_l + 1), \; C_{n,n-r} \neq 0, \; \text{and} \;$ 

$$\begin{split} C_{nj} &= \frac{\left\langle \sigma, \prod_{l=1}^{m} \left( x - c_{l} \right)^{m_{l}+1} R_{n} P_{j} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{j}^{2} \right\rangle} \\ &= \frac{\left\langle \tau, \prod_{l=1}^{m} \left( x - c_{l} \right)^{m_{l}+1} R_{n} P_{j} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \sigma, P_{j}^{2} \right\rangle}, \quad \textit{where } n - r \leq j \leq n + r. \end{split} \tag{2.34}$$

Proof. For (i), by (2.14), we can rewrite (2.30) as

$$\begin{split} P_{n+1}(x) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l-i}} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n}^{(0,j)} \big( x, c_{l} \big) R_{n+1}^{(i)} \big( c_{l} \big) \\ = \big( x - \beta_{n} \big) \Bigg\{ P_{n}(x) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l-i}} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n-1}^{(0,j)} \big( x, c_{l} \big) R_{n}^{(i)} \big( c_{l} \big) \Bigg\} \\ - \gamma_{n} \Bigg\{ P_{n-1}(x) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l-i}} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n-2}^{(0,j)} \big( x, c_{l} \big) R_{n-1}^{(i)} \big( c_{l} \big) \Bigg\}. \end{split}$$
 (2.35)

After multiplying (2.35) by  $P_n(x)$  and applying  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{split} -\lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} P_{n}^{(j)}(c_{l}) R_{n+1}^{(i)}(c_{l}) \\ &= (b_{n} - \beta_{n}) \langle \sigma, P_{n}^{2} \rangle - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} P_{n-1}^{(j)}(c_{l}) R_{n}^{(i)}(c_{l}). \end{split} \tag{2.36}$$

Hence, we have (2.31) and by (2.16)

$$\gamma_{n} = \frac{\left\langle \tau, R_{n}^{2} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \tau, R_{n-1}^{2} \right\rangle} = \frac{d_{n} d_{n-2}}{d_{n-1}^{2}} c_{n} \quad (n \ge 1). \tag{2.37}$$

For (ii),  $\prod_{l=1}^m (x-c_l)^{m_l+1} R_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+r} C_{nj} P_j(x)$ , where  $r = \sum_{l=1}^m (m_l+1)$  and

$$\begin{split} C_{nk} \left\langle \sigma, P_k^2 \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sigma, \prod_{l=1}^m \left( x - c_l \right)^{m_l + 1} R_n(x) P_k(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \prod_{l=1}^m \left( x - c_l \right)^{m_l + 1} \tau, R_n(x) P_k(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \tau, \prod_{l=1}^m \left( x - c_l \right)^{m_l + 1} R_n(x) P_k(x) \right\rangle = 0, \quad \text{if } r + k < n. \end{split}$$

Hence,  $C_{n\,k}=0,\,0\leq k\leq n-r-1$  and  $C_{n,n-r}\neq 0$  so that we have (2.33) and (2.34).  $\hfill\Box$ 

Corollary 2.4. Assume that  $\sigma$  is positive-definite and let  $[\xi,\eta]$  be the true interval of the orthogonality of  $\sigma$ . Then

- (i)  $\prod_{l=1}^{m} (x-c_l)^{m_l+1} R_n(x)$  has at least n-r distinct nodal zeros (i.e., zeros of odd multiplicities) in  $(\xi,\eta)$ .
  - (ii)  $R_n(x)$  has at least n-r-m distinct nodal zeros in  $(\xi,\eta)$ .

If furthermore  $m_l$   $(1 \le l \le m)$  are odd or  $\xi \ge c_l$   $(1 \le l \le m)$ , then

(iii)  $R_n(x)$  has at least n-r distinct nodal zeros in  $(\xi,\eta)$ .

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are trivial by (2.33).

For (iii), assume that  $m_l$   $(1 \le l \le m)$  are odd. Then  $\tilde{\sigma} := \prod_{l=1}^m (x-c_l)^{m_l+1}\sigma$  is also positive-definite on  $[\xi,\eta]$ . Let  $\{\tilde{P}_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be the MOPS relative to  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . Then we may write  $R_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \tilde{C}_{nj}\tilde{P}_j(x)$ , where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{C}_{nk} \left\langle \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{P}_{k}^{2} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \tilde{\sigma}, R_{n} \tilde{P}_{k} \right\rangle = \left\langle \prod_{l=1}^{m} \left( x - c_{l} \right)^{m_{l}+1} \tau, R_{n} \tilde{P}_{k} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \tau, \prod_{l=1}^{m} \left( x - c_{l} \right)^{m_{l}+1} R_{n} \tilde{P}_{k} \right\rangle. \end{split} \tag{2.39}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{C}_{nk}=0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-r-1$  so that  $R_n(x)=\sum_{j=n-r}^n \tilde{C}_{nj} \tilde{P}_j(x)$ . Hence,  $R_n(x)$  has at least n-r distinct nodal zeros in  $(\xi,\eta)$ . In case  $\xi \geq c_1$   $(1 \leq l \leq m)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}=\prod_{l=1}^m (x-c_l)^{m_l+1}\sigma$  is also positive-definite on  $[\xi,\eta]$  so that by the same reasoning as above  $R_n(x)$  has at least n-r distinct nodal zeros in  $(\xi,\eta)$ .

Theorem 2.5. For any polynomial p(x) of degree at most n, we have

$$\langle \tau, L_n^{(0,k)}(x,y)p(x) \rangle = p^{(k)}(y),$$
 (2.40)

where  $L_n(x,y)=\sum_{i=0}^nR_i(x)R_i(y)/\langle \tau,R_i^2\rangle$ ,  $n\geq 0$ , is the nth kernel polynomial for  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and

$$L_{n}(x,y) = K_{n}(x,y) - \frac{\lambda}{d_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \left| D_{n}^{u} \right| \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}-i} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n}^{(0,j)}(x,c_{l}), \quad (2.41)$$

where  $u = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (m_k + 1) + (i+1)$  and  $D_n^i$  is the matrix obtained from  $D_n$  by replacing the ith column of  $D_n$  by

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{n}(c_{1},y), K_{n}^{(1,0)}(c_{1},y), \dots, K_{n}^{(m_{1},0)}(c_{1},y), \\ K_{n}(c_{2},y), K_{n}^{(1,0)}(c_{2},y), \dots, K_{n}^{(m_{m},0)}(c_{m},y) \end{bmatrix}^{T}.$$
 (2.42)

*Proof.* If  $deg(p) \le n$ , then  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\langle \tau, pR_i \rangle / \langle \tau, R_i^2 \rangle) R_i(x)$  so that

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \tau, L_{n}^{(0,k)}(x,y)p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\left\langle \tau, pR_{i} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \tau, R_{i}^{2} \right\rangle} \left\langle \tau, L_{n}^{(0,k)}(x,y)R_{i}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\left\langle \tau, pR_{i} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \tau, R_{i}^{2} \right\rangle} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{R_{j}^{(k)}(y)}{\left\langle \tau, R_{j}^{2} \right\rangle} \left\langle \tau, R_{j}(x)R_{i}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\left\langle \tau, pR_{i} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \tau, R_{i}^{2} \right\rangle} R_{i}^{(k)}(y) = p^{(k)}(y). \end{split} \tag{2.43}$$

Expand  $L_n(x,y)$  as  $L_n(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{nj}(y) P_j(x),$  where

$$\begin{split} \alpha_{nj}(y) &= \frac{\left\langle \sigma, L_n(x,y) P_j(x) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \sigma, P_j^2 \right\rangle} \\ &= \frac{\left\langle \tau, L_n(x,y) P_j(x) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \sigma, P_j^2 \right\rangle} - \frac{\lambda}{\left\langle \sigma, P_j^2 \right\rangle} \\ &\times \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{m_l} \frac{(-1)^k u_{lk}}{k!} \left\langle \delta^{(k)} \left( x - c_l \right), L_n(x,y) P_j(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{P_j(y)}{\left\langle \sigma, P_j^2 \right\rangle} - \frac{\lambda}{\left\langle \sigma, P_j^2 \right\rangle} \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{m_l} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} L_n^{(i,0)} \left( c_l, y \right) P_j^{(k-i)} \left( c_l \right) \end{split} \tag{2.44}$$

by (2.40). Hence

$$\begin{split} L_{n}(x,y) &= K_{n}(x,y) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{u_{lk}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} L_{n}^{(i,0)} (c_{l},y) K_{n}^{(0,k-i)} (x,c_{l}) \\ &= K_{n}(x,y) - \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{l}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{l-i}} \frac{u_{l,i+j}}{i!j!} K_{n}^{(0,j)} (x,c_{l}) L_{n}^{(i,0)} (c_{l},y), \end{split}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} D_{n} \big[ L_{n}(c_{1},y), L_{n}^{(1,0)}(c_{1},y), \dots, L_{n}^{(m_{1},0)}(c_{1},y), \\ L_{n}(c_{2},y), \dots, L_{n}^{(m_{m},0)}(c_{m},y) \big]^{T} \\ &= \big[ K_{n}(c_{1},y), K_{n}^{(1,0)}(c_{1},y), \dots, K_{n}^{(m_{1},0)}(c_{1},y), \\ & K_{n}(c_{2},y), \dots, K_{n}^{(m_{m},0)}(c_{m},y) \big]^{T}. \end{split} \tag{2.46}$$

Hence, we have (2.41) from (2.45) and (2.46).

#### 3. Semi-classical case

Since  $\tau$  is a linear spectral transform (see [29]) of  $\sigma$ , if  $\sigma$  is a Laguerre-Hahn form (see [22]) or a semi-classical form (see [24]) or a second degree form (see [26]), then so is  $\tau$ . Here, we consider the semi-classical case more closely.

Definition 3.1 (see Maroni [24]). A moment functional  $\sigma$  is said to be semiclassical if  $\sigma$  is quasi-definite and satisfies a Pearson type functional equation

$$(\phi(x)\sigma)' - \psi(x)\sigma = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for some polynomials  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  with  $\deg(\phi) \geq 0$  and  $\deg(\psi) \geq 1$ .

For a semi-classical moment functional  $\sigma$ , we call

$$s := \min \max \left( \deg(\phi) - 2, \deg(\psi) - 1 \right) \tag{3.2}$$

the class number of  $\sigma$ , where the minimum is taken over all pairs  $(\phi, \psi)$  of polynomials satisfying (3.1). We then call the MOPS  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  relative to  $\sigma$ a semi-classical OPS (SCOPS) of class s.

From now on, we assume that  $\sigma$  is a semi-classical moment functional satisfying (3.1) and set  $s := \max(\deg(\phi) - 2, \deg(\psi) - 1)$ . Then  $\tau$  satisfies the functional equation

$$(\mathsf{T}(x)\varphi(x)\tau)' = (\mathsf{T}'(x)\varphi(x) + \mathsf{T}(x)\psi(x))\tau, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$T(x) = \prod_{l=1}^{m} (x - c_l)^{m_l + 2}.$$
 (3.4)

We now determine the class number of  $\tau$ . By (3.3), if  $\sigma$  is of class s, then  $\tau$  is of class  $\leq s + \sum_{l=1}^{m} (m_l + 2)$ .

Lemma 3.2 (see [25]). The semi-classical moment functional  $\sigma$  satisfying (3.1) is of class s if and only if for any zero c of  $\phi(x)$ ,

$$\mathbb{N}(\sigma;c) := \left| r_c \right| + \left| \left\langle \sigma, q_c(x) \right\rangle \right| \neq 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\phi(x) = (x-c)\phi_c(x)$  and  $\phi_c(x) - \psi(x) = (x-c)q_c(x) + r_c$ .

Theorem 3.3. Assume that  $\sigma$  is of class  $s=\max(\deg(\varphi)-2,\deg(\psi)-1)$ . Then  $\tau$  is of class  $s+\sum_{l=1}^m(m_l+2)$  if  $\varphi(c_l)\neq 0,\ 1\leq l\leq m$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\varphi(c_1) \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = T(x)\varphi(x)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = T'(x)\varphi(x) + T(x)\psi(x)$ . For any zero c of  $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ , let  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = (x-c)\tilde{\varphi}_c(x)$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_c(x) - \tilde{\psi}(x) = (x-c)\tilde{q}_c(x) + \tilde{r}_c$ . Then either  $c = c_t$   $(1 \leq t \leq m)$  or  $\varphi(c) = 0$ . If  $c = c_t$   $(1 \leq t \leq m)$ , then

$$\tilde{\phi}_{c}(x) - \tilde{\psi}(x) = \frac{\mathsf{T}(x)\phi(x)}{x - c_{t}} - \mathsf{T}'(x)\phi(x) - \mathsf{T}(x)\psi(x) = (x - c_{t})\tilde{q}_{c}(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Hence,  $\tilde{r}_c = 0$  but

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \tau, \tilde{q}_{c}(x) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sigma + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{k} u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} \left( x - c_{l} \right), \tilde{q}_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sigma + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{k} u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} \left( x - c_{l} \right), \\ &\frac{T(x) \varphi(x)}{\left( x - c_{t} \right)^{2}} - \frac{T^{'}(x) \varphi(x) + T(x) \psi(x)}{x - c_{t}} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left( \varphi \sigma \right)^{'} - \psi \sigma, \frac{T(x)}{x - c_{t}} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{l}} \frac{(-1)^{k} u_{lk}}{k!} \delta^{(k)} \left( x - c_{l} \right), \\ &\frac{T(x) \varphi(x)}{\left( x - c_{t} \right)^{2}} - \frac{T^{'}(x) \varphi(x) + T(x) \psi(x)}{x - c_{t}} \right\rangle \\ &= -\lambda u_{t, m_{t}} \left( m_{t} + 1 \right) \prod_{l=1}^{m} \left( c_{t} - c_{l} \right) \varphi(c_{t}) \neq 0, \end{split}$$

so that  $\mathbb{N}(\tau,c)\neq 0$ .

If 
$$c \neq c_t$$
  $(1 \le t \le m)$ , then  $\varphi(c) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_c(x) = T(x)\varphi_c(x)$ , and 
$$\tilde{\varphi}_c(x) - \tilde{\psi}(x) = T(x)\varphi_c(x) - T'(x)\varphi(x) - T(x)\psi(x). \tag{3.8}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{r}_c = T(c)\varphi_c(c) - T(c)\psi(c) = T(c)r_c$ . If  $r_c \neq 0$ , then  $\tilde{r}_c \neq 0$  so that  $\mathbb{N}(\tau;c) \neq 0$ .

If  $r_c = 0$ , then  $\langle \sigma, q_c(x) \rangle \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{r}_c = 0$  so that

$$\tilde{q}_{c}(x) = T(x)q_{c}(x) - T'(x)\phi_{c}(x).$$
 (3.9)

Then

$$\langle \tau, \tilde{q}_{c}(x) \rangle = \langle \sigma, \tilde{q}_{c}(x) \rangle = \langle \sigma, T(x)q_{c}(x) - T'(x)\varphi_{c}(x) \rangle. \tag{3.10}$$

Set  $Q_1(x)$ ,  $Q_2(x)$ ,  $Q_3(x)$ , and  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $r_3$  to be

$$T(x) = (x-c)Q_1(x) + r_1;$$

$$T'(x) = (x-c)Q_2(x) + r_2;$$

$$Q_1(x) = (x-c)Q_3(x) + r_3.$$
(3.11)

Then  $Q_2(x) = Q_1^{'}(x) + Q_3(x)$  and  $r_2 = r_3 = Q_1(c)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \tau, \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_{c}(x) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sigma, Q_{1}(x) \left( \varphi_{c}(x) - \psi(x) \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sigma, r_{1} q_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \sigma, Q_{2}(x) \varphi(x) \right\rangle - \left\langle \sigma, r_{2} \varphi_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sigma, Q_{3}(x) \varphi(x) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sigma, r_{3} \varphi_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \sigma, Q_{1}(x) \psi(x) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sigma, r_{1} q_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \sigma, Q_{2}(x) \varphi(x) \right\rangle - \left\langle \sigma, r_{2} \varphi_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \varphi(x) \sigma, Q_{3}(x) \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi(x) \sigma, Q_{1}^{'}(x) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \varphi(x) \sigma, Q_{2}(x) \right\rangle + r_{1} \left\langle \sigma, q_{c}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= r_{1} \left\langle \sigma, q_{c}(x) \right\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^{m} \left( c - c_{l} \right)^{m_{1} + 2} \left\langle \sigma, q_{c}(x) \right\rangle \neq 0. \end{split}$$

Hence 
$$\mathbb{N}(\tau;c) \neq 0$$
.

#### 4. Examples

As illustrating examples, we consider the following example.

Example 4.1. Let

$$\tau := \sigma + \lambda \left( u_{10}\delta(x-1) + u_{20}\delta(x+1) + u_{11}\delta'(x-1) + u_{21}\delta'(x+1) \right), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $|u_{10}| + |u_{20}| + |u_{11}| + |u_{21}| \neq 0$ , and  $\sigma$  is the Jacobi moment functional defined by

$$\langle \sigma, \pi \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x)^{\alpha} (1 + x)^{\beta} \pi(x) dx \quad (\alpha > -1, \beta > -1), \quad \pi \in \mathbb{P}.$$
 (4.2)

Then

$$\begin{split} P_n(x) &= P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \\ &= \binom{2n+\alpha+\beta}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \binom{n+\beta}{k} (x-1)^k (x+1)^{n-k}, \quad n \geq 0 \end{split} \tag{4.3}$$

are the Jacobi polynomials satisfying

$$\begin{split} &\left(1-x^2\right)y''(x) + \left[\beta - \alpha - (\alpha+\beta+2)x\right]y'(x) + n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)y(x) = 0,\\ &\left<\sigma, P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)^2\right> := k_n\\ &= \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+2n+1}n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)_n^2}, \quad n \geq 0, \end{split} \label{eq:def_problem}$$

where

$$(a)_{k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0\\ a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1), & \text{if } k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
 (4.5)

In this case, using the differential-difference relation

$$(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x))^{(\nu)} = \frac{n!}{(n-\nu)!} P_{n-\nu}^{(\alpha+\nu,\beta+\nu)}(x), \quad \nu = 0,1,2,\dots, \ n \ge \nu,$$
 (4.6)

the structure relation

where

$$\begin{split} &\tilde{\alpha}_n = -n, \\ &\tilde{\beta}_n = \frac{2(\alpha - \beta)n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + 2 + \alpha + \beta)}, \\ &\tilde{\gamma}_n = \frac{4n(n + \alpha)(n + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta - 1)(2n + \alpha + \beta)^2(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}, \end{split} \tag{4.8}$$

and the three term recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (x - \beta_n) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\beta_{n} = \frac{\beta^{2} - \alpha^{2}}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + 2 + \alpha + \beta)},$$

$$\gamma_{n} = \frac{4n(n + \alpha)(n + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta)}{(2n + \alpha + \beta - 1)(2n + \alpha + \beta)^{2}(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)},$$
(4.10)

we can easily obtain (see [1, equations (30)-(32)]):

$$\begin{split} K_{n-1}^{(0,0)}(1,1) &= \frac{\left(P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)\right)^{2}n(n+\beta)}{k_{n-1}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\gamma_{n}(\alpha+1)}, \\ K_{n-1}^{(0,0)}(1,-1) &= -\frac{nP_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1)P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)}{k_{n-1}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\gamma_{n}}, \\ K_{n-1}^{(0,1)}(1,1) &= \frac{\left(P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\right)'(1)P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)(n+\beta)(n-1)}{k_{n-1}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\gamma_{n}(\alpha+2)}, \\ K_{n-1}^{(0,1)}(1,-1) &= -\frac{\left(P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\right)'(-1)P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)(n-1)}{k_{n-1}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\gamma_{n}}, \\ K_{n-1}^{(1,1)}(1,1) &= P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)\left(P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\right)'(1)(n-1)(n+\beta) \\ &\qquad \times \frac{\left[(\alpha+2)\left(n^{2}+n\alpha+n\beta\right)-(\alpha+1)(\alpha+\beta+2)\right]}{2k_{n-1}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\gamma_{n}(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)}, \\ K_{n-1}^{(0,0)}(1,1) &= -\frac{P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)\left(P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\right)'(-1)(n-1)\left[n^{2}+n\alpha+n\beta-\alpha-\beta-2\right]}{2k_{n-1}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\gamma_{n}(\alpha+1)}, \end{split}$$

where

$$K_n(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)}{k_n}$$
 (4.12)

is the nth kernel polynomial of  $\{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $K_n^{(i,j)}(x,y) = \partial_x^i \partial_y^j K_n(x,y)$ . Using the symmetry of the Jacobi kernels, we obtain that the moment functional  $\tau$  in (4.1) is quasi-definite if and only if

$$d_{n} = \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda u_{10} K_n^{(0,0)}(1,1) + \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(0,1)}(1,1) & \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(0,0)}(1,1) \\ \lambda u_{10} K_n^{(1,0)}(1,1) + \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(1,1)}(1,1) & 1 + \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(1,0)}(1,1) \end{pmatrix} \\ A_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u_{20} K_n^{(0,0)}(1,-1) + \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(0,1)}(1,-1) & \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(0,0)}(1,-1) \\ \lambda u_{20} K_n^{(1,0)}(1,-1) + \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(1,1)}(1,-1) & \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(1,0)}(1,-1) \end{pmatrix} \\ A_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u_{10} K_n^{(0,0)}(-1,1) + \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(0,1)}(-1,1) & \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(0,0)}(-1,1) \\ \lambda u_{10} K_n^{(1,0)}(-1,1) + \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(1,1)}(-1,1) & \lambda u_{11} K_n^{(1,0)}(-1,1) \end{pmatrix} \\ A_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda u_{20} K_n^{(0,0)}(-1,-1) + \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(0,1)}(-1,-1) & \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(0,0)}(-1,-1) \\ \lambda u_{20} K_n^{(1,0)}(-1,-1) + \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(1,1)}(-1,-1) & 1 + \lambda u_{21} K_n^{(1,0)}(-1,-1) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Álvarez-Nodarse, J. Arvesú, and F. Marcellán [1] showed that for any values of  $\lambda$  and  $u_{10}$ ,  $u_{20}$ ,  $u_{11}$ ,  $u_{21}$ ,  $d_n \neq 0$  for large n so that  $R_n(x)$  exists for large n. Moreover, they express  $R_n(x)$  as

$$\begin{split} R_n(x) &= \left(1 + n\zeta_n + n\eta_n\right) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + \left[\zeta_n(1-x) - \eta_n(1+x) + \theta_n\right] \left(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\right)' \\ &+ \left[\chi_n(1+x) - \omega_n(1-x)\right] \left(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\right)'', \end{split} \tag{4.15}$$

where  $\zeta_n$ ,  $\eta_n$ ,  $\theta_n$ ,  $\chi_n$ , and  $\omega_n$  are constants depending on n,  $\lambda$ ,  $u_{10}$ ,  $u_{20}$ , and  $u_{11}$ , (see [1, equations (47)–(50)]). They also express  $R_n(x)$  as a generalized hypergeometric series  ${}_6F_5$  (see [1, Proposition 2]).

*Example 4.2.* Consider a moment functional  $\tau$  given by

$$\tau := \sigma + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k u_k}{k!} \delta^{(k)}(x), \tag{4.16}$$

where  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $u_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $N \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$  and  $\sigma$  is the Laguerre moment functional defined by

$$\langle \sigma, p \rangle = \int_0^\infty x^\alpha e^{-x} \pi(x) dx \quad (\alpha > -1), \ \pi \in \mathbb{P}.$$
 (4.17)

Then

$$P_{n}(x) = L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) = (-1)^{n} n! \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n+\alpha \choose n-k} \frac{(-x)^{k}}{k!}$$

$$= (-1)^{n} (\alpha+1)_{n} {}_{1}F_{1}(-n;\alpha+1;x), \quad n \ge 0$$
(4.18)

86

are the monic Laquerre polynomials satisfying

$$xy''(x) + (1 + \alpha - x)y'(x) + ny(x) = 0,$$

$$\langle \sigma, L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)^2 \rangle = n!\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1), \quad n \ge 0.$$
(4.19)

Hence

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(0) = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} = (-1)^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n} n!. \tag{4.20}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.2, the moment functional  $\tau$  in (4.16) is quasi-definite if and only if  $d_n \neq 0$ , where  $d_n$  is the determinant of the  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix  $D_n$ ,

$$D_{n} := \left[ \delta_{ij} + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{N-j} \frac{u_{j+k}}{j!k!} K_{n}^{(i,k)}(0,0) \right]_{i,j=0}^{N}, \quad n \ge 0,$$
 (4.21)

where  $K_n(x,y)=\sum_{k=0}^n L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)L_k^{(\alpha)}(y)/\langle \sigma, L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)^2\rangle$ . When  $d_n\neq 0$  for  $n\geq 0$ , we now claim that the MOPS  $\{R_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  relative to  $\tau$  can be given as

$$R_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} A_{k}^{(n)} \partial_{x}^{k} L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad n \ge 0$$
 (4.22)

for suitable constants  $A_k^{(n)}$   $(0 \le k \le N+1)$  with  $A_0^{(n)} = 1$ . For any fixed  $n \ge 1$ , set

$$\tilde{R}_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} A_k \vartheta_x^k L_n^{(\alpha)}(x), \tag{4.23}$$

where  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{N+1}$  are constants to be determined. Note here that if  $0 \le n \le N$ , then  $\partial_x^k L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = 0$  for  $n+1 \le k \le N+1$  so that we may take  $A_k$  for  $n+1 \le k \le N+1$  to be 0. Since  $(L_n^{(\alpha)}(x))' = nL_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x), \ n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\tilde{R}_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (n-k+1)_{k} A_{k} L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x), \qquad (4.24)$$

where  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = 0$  for n < 0. We now show that the coefficients  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{N+1}$  can

be chosen so that

$$\langle \tau, x^m \tilde{R}_n(x) \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le m \le n-1.$$
 (4.25)

If  $N + 1 \le m < n$ , then by (4.24)

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \tau, x^{m} \tilde{R}_{n}(x) \right\rangle &= \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m} \tilde{R}_{n}(x) x^{\alpha} e^{-x} \, dx + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{u_{k}}{k!} (x^{m} \tilde{R}_{n}(x))^{(k)}(0) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} A_{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m} L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) x^{\alpha} e^{-x} \, dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} A_{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m-k} L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) x^{\alpha+k} e^{-x} \, dx \\ &= 0. \end{split} \tag{4.26}$$

We now assume that  $0 \le m \le \min(N, n-1)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \left<\sigma, x^m L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x)\right> &= \int_0^\infty x^m L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) x^\alpha e^{-x} \, dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty x^{m-k} L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) x^{\alpha+k} e^{-x} \, dx = 0, \end{split} \tag{4.27}$$

for  $0 \le k \le m$ . For  $m+1 \le k \le n$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \sigma, x^{m} L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) \right\rangle &= (-1)^{n-k} (n-k)! \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k-j} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m+\alpha+j} e^{-x} \, dx \\ &= (-1)^{n-k} (n-k)! \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k-j} \Gamma(m+\alpha+j+1) \\ &= (-1)^{n-k} (n-k)! \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \Gamma(m+\alpha+1) \\ &\qquad \times {}_{2}F_{1}(-n+k, m+\alpha+1; k+\alpha+1; 1) \\ &= (-1)^{n-k} (n-k)! \binom{n-m-1}{n-k} \Gamma(m+\alpha+1) \end{split}$$

$$\tag{4.28}$$

by (4.18) and  ${}_{2}F_{1}(-n,b;c;1) = (c-b)_{n}/(c)_{n}$ . Hence by (4.20)

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \tau, x^m \tilde{R}_n(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (n\!-\!k\!+\!1)_k A_k \left\langle \sigma, x^m L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) \right\rangle \\ &+ \lambda \sum_{l=0}^N \frac{u_l}{l!} \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (n\!-\!k\!+\!1)_k A_k \big( x^m L_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k)}(x) \big)^{(l)}(0) \\ &= n! \Gamma(m\!+\!\alpha\!+\!1) \sum_{k=m+1}^{N+1} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n\!-\!m\!-\!1}{n\!-\!k} A_k \\ &+ \lambda n! \sum_{l=m}^N \frac{u_l}{(l\!-\!m)!} \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (-1)^{n-k-l+m} \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k-l+m} A_k, \\ &0 \leq m \leq \text{min}(N,n\!-\!1), \\ &(4.29) \end{split}$$

where  $\binom{n}{k}=0,$  for k<0. Hence  $\langle \tau, x^m \tilde{R}_n(x)\rangle=0,$  with  $0\leq m\leq n-1$  if and only if

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)\sum_{k=m+1}^{N+1}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n-m-1}{n-k}A_k\\ &+\lambda\sum_{l=m}^{N}\frac{u_l}{(l-m)!}\sum_{k=0}^{N+1}(-1)^{n-k-l+m}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-k-l+m}A_k=0,\\ &0\leq m\leq min(N,n-1). \end{split} \tag{4.30}$$

Since (4.30) is a homogeneous system of N+1 (resp., n) equations for N+2 (resp., n+1) unknowns  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{N+1}$  (resp.,  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n)$  when  $n\geq N+1$  (resp.,  $n\leq N$ ), there always exists a nontrivial solution  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{N+1}$ . With this choice of  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^{N+1}$ ,  $\tilde{R}_n(x)$  is a nonzero polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  and  $\langle \tau, x^m \tilde{R}_n(x) \rangle = 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq n-1$  so that  $deg(\tilde{R}_n) = n$ , that is,  $A_0 \neq 0$ . Then  $A_0^{-1} \tilde{R}_n(x) = R_n(x)$  so that we have (4.22).

Now we can express  $R_n(x)$  as a hypergeometric series (see [13]);

$$R_{n}(x) = \frac{\beta_{0}\beta_{1}\cdots\beta_{N}}{(\alpha+1)_{N+1}}(-1)^{n}(\alpha+1)_{n}(A_{0}+A_{1}+\cdots+A_{N+1})$$

$$\times {}_{N+2}F_{N+2}\begin{pmatrix} -n,\beta_{0}+1,\beta_{1}+1,\dots,\beta_{N}+1 \\ \alpha+N+2,\beta_{0},\beta_{1},\dots,\beta_{N} \end{pmatrix} x$$
(4.31)

for suitable constants  $\{\beta_j\}_{j=0}^N$ .

#### **Acknowledgements**

D. H. Kim was partially supported by BK-21 project and K. H. Kwon was partially supported by KOSEF (99-2-101-001-5).

#### References

- [1] R. Álvarez-Nodarse, J. Arvesú, and F. Marcellán, A generalization of the Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials, preprint.
- R. Álvarez-Nodarse and F. Marcellán, A generalization of the class of Laguerre polynomials: asymptotic properties and zeros, Appl. Anal. 62 (1996), no. 3-4, 349-366. Zbl 866.33005.
- [3] J. Arvesú, R. Álvarez-Nodarse, F. Marcellán, and K. H. Kwon, Some extension of the Bessel-type orthogonal polynomials, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 7 (1998), no. 3-4, 191-214. MR 2001b:33013. Zbl 936.33003.
- [4] S. Belmehdi and F. Marcellán, Orthogonal polynomials associated with some modifications of a linear functional, Appl. Anal. 46 (1992), no. 1-2, 1-24. MR 94f:33012. Zbl 807.39013.
- [5] C. Bernardi and Y. Maday, Some spectral approximations of one-dimensional fourth-order problems, Progress in Approximation Theory, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1991, pp. 43-116. MR 92j:65176.
- [6] S. Bochner, Über Sturm-Liouvillesche polynomsysteme, Math. Z. 29 (1929),
- T. S. Chihara, An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials, Mathematics and its [7] Applications, vol. 13, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1978. MR 58#1979. Zbl 389.33008.
- \_, Orthogonal polynomials and measures with end point masses, Rocky [8] \_ Mountain J. Math. 15 (1985), no. 3, 705-719. MR 87a:42037. Zbl 586.33007.
- N. Draïdi and P. Maroni, Sur l'adjonction de deux masses de Dirac à une forme régulière quelconque [On the adjointness of two Dirac masses to an arbitrary regular form], Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications (Spanish) (Vigo, 1988), Esc. Téc. Super. Ing. Ind. Vigo, Vigo, 1989, pp. 83-90 (French). MR 92m:42024. Zbl 764.42015.
- [10] A. A. Gonchar, On convergence of Pade approximants for some classes of meromorphic functions, Math. USSR, Sb. 26 (1975), 555-575, [translated from Mat. Sb. 97 (1975) 607-629]. Zbl 341.30029.
- [11] E. Hendriksen, A Bessel type orthogonal polynomial system, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **46** (1984), no. 4, 407–414. MR 86c:33016. Zbl 564.33006.
- [12] D. H. Kim, K. H. Kwon, and S. B. Park, Delta perturbation of a moment functional, Appl. Anal. 74 (2000), no. 3-4, 463-477. MR 2001c:33018.
- [13] R. Koekoek, Generalizations of Laguerre polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 153 (1990), no. 2, 576-590. MR 92d:33016.
- T. H. Koornwinder, Orthogonal polynomials with weight function  $(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\alpha}$ [14]  $(x)^{\beta} + M\delta(x+1) + N\delta(x-1)$ , Canad. Math. Bull. **27** (1984), no. 2, 205–214. MR 85i:33011. Zbl 532.33010.
- [15] A. M. Krall, Orthogonal polynomials satisfying fourth order differential equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 87 (1980/81), no. 3-4, 271-288. MR 82d:33021. Zbl 453.33006.

- 90
- [16] H. L. Krall, On orthogonal polynomials satisfying a certain fourth order differential equation, Pennsylvania State College Studies, 1940 (1940), no. 6, 24. MR 2,98a. Zbl 060.19210.
- [17] K. H. Kwon and J. H. Lee, Division problem of moment functionals, submitted.
- [18] K. H. Kwon and L. L. Littlejohn, Classification of classical orthogonal polynomials, J. Korean Math. Soc. 34 (1997), no. 4, 973-1008. MR 99k:33028. Zbl 898.33002.
- [19] K. H. Kwon, L. L. Littlejohn, and G. J. Yoon, Orthogonal polynomial solutions to spectral type differential equations; Magnus' Conjecture, submitted.
- [20] K. H. Kwon and S. B. Park, Two-point masses perturbation of regular moment functionals, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 8 (1997), no. 1, 79-93. MR 99d:42044. Zbl 877.42009.
- [21] G. L. Lopes, Convergence of Pade approximants of Stieltjes type meromorphic functions and comparative asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials, Math. USSR, Sb. **64** (1989), 207–227, [translated from Mat. Sb. **136** (1988) 206–226]. Zbl 669.30026.
- [22] A. P. Magnus, Associated Askey-Wilson polynomials as Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials, Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications (Segovia, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1329, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 261–278. MR 90d:33008. Zbl 645.33015.
- [23] F. Marcellán and P. Maroni, Sur l'adjonction d'une masse de Dirac à une forme régulière et semi-classique [On the assignment of a Dirac-mass for a regular and semi-classical form], Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 162 (1992), 1-22 (French). MR 94e:33014. Zbl 771.33008.
- [24] P. Maroni, Prolégomènes à l'étude des polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques [Preliminary remarks for the study of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials], Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **149** (1987), 165–184 (French). MR 89c:33016. Zbl 636.33009.
- [25] \_\_\_\_\_, Variations around classical orthogonal polynomials. Connected problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 48 (1993), no. 1-2, 133-155. MR 94k:33013. Zbl 790.33006.
- [26] \_\_\_\_\_, An introduction to second degree forms, Adv. Comput. Math. 3 (1995), no. 1-2, 59-88. MR 96c:42053. Zbl 837.42009.
- [27] P. G. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1979), no. 213, 1–185. MR 80k:42025. Zbl 405.33009.
- [28] V. B. Uvarov, The connection between systems of polynomials orthogonal with respect to different distribution functions, U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys. 9 (1969), 25–36. Zbl 231.42013.
- [29] A. Zhedanov, Rational spectral transformations and orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 85 (1997), no. 1, 67-86. MR 98h:42026. Zbl 918.42016.
- D. H. Kim: Division of Applied Mathematics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Taejon 305-701, Korea

E-mail address: dhkim@jacobi.kaist.ac.kr

K. H. Kwon: Division of Applied Mathematics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Taejon 305-701, Korea

E-mail address: khkwon@jacobi.kaist.ac.kr

## **Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences**

# Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

### **Call for Papers**

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

#### **Lead Guest Editor**

**Gerrit K. Janssens,** Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

#### **Guest Editor**

**Cathy Macharis,** Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be