NORM-PRESERVING L-L INTEGRAL TRANSFORMATIONS

YU CHUEN WEI

Department of Mathematics University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901 U.S.A.

(Received March 22, 1984)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider an L-L integral transformation G of the form $F(x) = \int_0^{\infty} G(x,y) f(y) dy$, where G(x,y) is defined on $D = \{(x,y): x \ge 0, y \ge 0\}$ and f(y) is defined on $[0,\infty)$. The following results are proved: For an L-L integral transformation G to be norm-preserving, $\int_0^{\infty} |G_x(x,t)| dx = 1$ for almost all $t \ge 0$ is only a necessary condition, where $G_x(x,t) = \lim_{h\to 0} \inf \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} G(x,y) dy$ for each $x \ge 0$. For certain G's. $\int_0^{\infty} |G_x(x,t)| dx = 1$ for almost all $t \ge 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for preserving the norm of certain f ϵ L. In this paper the analogous result for sum-preserving L-L integral transformation G is proved.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *l-l method. L-L integral transformation. Absolutely continuity* of integrals. Fubini-Tonelli Theorem. 1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 44A02, 44A06, 42A76.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The well-known summability method defined by a $\ell-\ell$ matrix A = (a_{nk}) , mapping from ℓ into ℓ , is sum-preserving if and only if for each k, $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_{nk} = 1$. In our present study we also discuss conditions under which G defined by G(x,y), mappings L into L, is norm-preserving or sum-preserving.

2. NOTATION.

The notation and terms used are:

The statement that f is Lebesgue integrable on $[0,\infty)$ means that for every u > 0, if f is Lebesgue integrable on [0,u] and that $\int_0^u f(x) dx$ tends to a finite limit as $u \to \infty$.

L - the space of functions that are Lebesgue integrable on $[0,\infty)$ with norm $\|f\| = \int_0^\infty |f(x)| dx$.

D - the first quadrant of the plane, i.e., D = {(x,y): $x \ge 0$, $y \ge 0$ }.

G - an integral transformation, G: $f \rightarrow F$, of the form (*) $F(x) = \int_0^{\infty} G(x,y)f(y)dy$, for all $x \ge 0$, where f is defined on $[0,\infty)$ and G(x,y) defined on D.

G - the collection of all G of the form (*).

GL - the subcollection of G such that $F \in L$ whenever $f \in L$.

 L^{∞} - the space of functions which are measurable and essentially bounded on

 $[0,\infty)$ with norm $\|f_{i} = ess - \sup_{x>0} |f(x)|$

3. MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM 1. If $G \in GL$ and for every $f \in L$

$$\int_0^\infty |F(x)| dx = \int_0^\infty |f(y)| dy ,$$

then for almost all $y \ge 0$,

 $\int_{0}^{\infty} |G_{*}(x,y)| dx = 1 ,$

where $G_{\star}(x,y) = \liminf_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{y}^{y+h} G(x,t)dt$, for each $x \ge 0$.

PROOF. Suppose that there is a set $A \subseteq \{y \ge 0\}$ satisfying $0 < mA < \infty$ such that either $\int_0^{\infty} |G_*(x,y)| dx > 1$ for all $y \in A$ or $\int_0^{\infty} |G_*(x,y)| dx < 1$ for all $y \in A$. Since $G \in GL$, for each $x \ge 0$, it follows from Theorem (T.S.T.), see [1], that for every measurable set A of finite measure, $\int_A G(x,y) dy < \infty$, without loss of generality, we can assume that A is a bounded measurable set. Case i). Suppose that for all $y \in A$, $\int_0^{\infty} |G_*(x,y)| dx < 1$. Without loss of generality we assume that for each $y \in A$

$$\int_0^\infty |G_*(x,y)| dx < 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where ε is a small positive number. Let $f(y) = \chi_A(y)$ then

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^\infty G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \chi_A(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$
$$= \int_A G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} ,$$

and

$$\|F\| = \int_0^\infty |\int_0^\infty G(x,y) \chi_A(y) dy| dx$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty \int_A |G(x,y)| dy dx \quad .$$

Since for each $x \ge 0$, $G_{\star}(x,y) = G(x,y)$ for almost all $y \ge 0$, see [2, Theorem 5. P. 255], so it follows from the Fubini-Tonelli Theorem that

$$\| F \| \leq \int_0^\infty \int_A |G(x,y)| dy dx$$

= $\int_0^\infty \int_A |G_*(x,y)| dy dx$
= $\int_A \int_0^\infty |G_*(x,y)| dx dy$
 $\leq \int_A (1 - \varepsilon) dy$
= $(1 - \varepsilon) mA$
 $\leq mA = ||\chi_A||.$

Hence, for case i), G is not norm-preserving.

Case ii). Suppose that for all y ϵ A, $\int_0^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dx > 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that for each y ϵ A

$$\int_0^\infty |G_*(x,y)| dx > 1 + \varepsilon$$

where ε is a small positive number. Let $f(y) = \chi_A(y)$; then $F(x) = \int_A G(x,y) dy$ for all $x \in [0,\infty)$. If F(x) = 0 for almost all $x \in [0,\infty)$, then

$$\|F\| = 0 < mA = \|\chi_A\| = \|f\|$$
,

and we're done.

Suppose that $F(x) \neq 0$ for all x in some set with positive measure. Since G ϵ GL, so it follows from Theorem (T. S. T) by author, see [1], G_{*}(x,y) is measur-

442

able on D and $\int_0^{\infty} |G_*(x,y)| dx \le M$ for almost all $y \ge 0$, where M is a constant. Thus

$$\int_{A} G_{\star}(x,y) dy = \int_{A} G(x,y) dy \text{ and } \int_{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dxdy \leq MmA \leq \infty, \text{ and }$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{A} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dydx = \int_{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dxdy \leq \infty.$$

$$Given \ 1 \geq \varepsilon/2 \geq n \geq 0, \text{ there is an } X_{0} \geq 0 \text{ such that }$$

$$\int_{X_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{A} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dydx \leq n \cdot mA/2 \leq \varepsilon \cdot mA/4 .$$

It follows that there is at least a subset $A_0 \subseteq A$, having positive measure and for all y $\in A_0$, satisfying

and from
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |G_{*}(x,y)| dx < \varepsilon/8$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |G_{*}(x,y)| dx > 1 + \varepsilon \text{ for each } y \in A \text{ that}$$
$$\int_{0}^{X_{0}} |G_{*}(x,y)| dx > 1 + 3\varepsilon/4$$

for all $y \in A_0$. Let $E = \{(x,y) \in [0,X_0] \times A_0: |G_*(x,y)| < \varepsilon/2^4 X_0\}$ and for any $y \in A_0$, let $E_y = \{x_1 \in [0,X_0]: (x_1,y) \in E\}$. Then $0 \le mE_y \le X_0$ for all $y \in A_0$. Since

$$\int_{A_0} \int_0^{X_0} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dxdy \leq \int_A \int_0^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dxdy$$

so it follows from the absolute continuity of the integral that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for every measurable set $H \subseteq [0, x_0] \times A_0$ satisfying mH < δ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H} |G_{\star}(x,y)| \, dy \, dx &< n \cdot mA_{0}/4 \\ \text{If } \int_{A_{0}} G(x,y) \, dy &= 0 \quad \text{for almost all } x \geq 0, \quad \text{then} \\ \| F \| &= \int_{0}^{\infty} |\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y) \, \chi_{A_{0}}(y) \, dy \, | \, dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} |\int_{A_{0}} G(x,y) \, dy \, | \, dx \\ &= 0 < mA_{0} = \| \chi_{A_{0}} \| , \end{aligned}$$

and we're done. So we suppose that $\int_{A_0} G(x,y) dy \neq 0$ for some set of $x \ge 0$ with positive measure. By the Generalization of Luzin's Theorem we can choose a closed set $F \subseteq [0, X_0] \times A_0$ such that if $H = [0, X_0] \times A_0$ ~ F then mH < δ and

$$\iint_{\mathbf{H}} |\mathbf{G}_{\star}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} < \eta \cdot \mathbf{m} \mathbf{A}_{0}/4$$

and $G_{\star}(x,y)$ is continuous over F. It is clear that $G_{\star}(x,y)$ is uniformly continuour on F. Thus we can have a finite number N of subsets A_{i} of $mA_{i} > 0$ of set A_{0} such that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} [0,X_{0}] \times A_{i}$ and within each strip $[0,X_{0}] \times A_{i}$ for each $x \in [0,X_{0}]$ the value of $G_{\star}(x,y)$ are close to one another. More precisely, for (x,y'), $(x,y'') \in [0,X_{0}] \times A_{i}$ and (x,y'), $(x,y'') \notin E$, $|G_{\star}(x,y') - G_{\star}(x,y'')| < \varepsilon/2^{5}X_{0}$. Then for each A_{i} there are three sets A_{i}^{+} , A_{i}^{-} and E_{v} of $x \in [0,X_{0}]$, such that

$$G_{\star}(x,y) > 0$$
, if $(x,y) \in F \cap (A_{i}^{+} \times A_{i})$
 $G_{\star}(x,y) < 0$, if $(x,y) \in F \cap (A_{i}^{-} \times A_{i})$,

and

$$|G_{\star}(x,y)| < \varepsilon/2^4 X_0$$
, if $(x,y) \in E_y \times A_i$

Hence, if $(x,y) \in F \cap [0,X_0] \times A_i$, then $\int_{0}^{X_{0}} \int_{0}^{f^{\infty}} G(x,y) \chi_{A_{4}}(y) dy | dx = \int_{0}^{X_{0}} \int_{A_{4}} G(x,y) dy | dx$ $= \int_{0}^{X_{0}} \left| \int_{A_{\cdot}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy \right| dx$ $= \int_{A^{+}} \left| \int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx + \int_{A^{-}_{i}} \left| \int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx$ + $\int_{\mathbf{E}_{1}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{A}_{1}} \mathbf{G}_{\star}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right| d\mathbf{x}$ $= \int_{A_{1}^{+}} \int_{A_{1}} G_{*}(x,y) dy dx + \int_{A_{1}^{-}} (-\int_{A_{1}} G_{*}(x,y) dy) dx$ $+ \int_{E_{y}} \left| \int_{A_{1}} G_{*}(x,y) dy \right| dx$ $= \int_{A_{i}} \int_{A_{i}^{+}} G_{\star}(x,y) dx dy + \int_{A_{i}} \int_{A_{i}^{-}} (-G_{\star}(x,y)) dy dx$ $+\int_{\mathbf{E}_{1}}\int_{\mathbf{A}_{2}}\mathbf{G}_{\star}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x}$ $= \int_{A_{i}} \int_{A^{+}_{i}} \bigcup_{A^{-}_{i}} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dy dx + \int_{E_{i}} \int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy dx$ $= \int_{A_{i}^{+} \cup A_{i}^{-}} \int_{A_{i}^{+}} G_{\star}(x,y) | dy dx + \int_{E_{v}^{+}} \int_{A_{i}^{+}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy | dx$ $= \int_{0}^{X_{0}} \int_{A_{i}} |G(x,y)| dy dx - \int_{E_{y}} \int_{A_{i}} |G(x,y)| dy dx + \int_{E_{y}} |\int_{A_{i}} G_{*}(x,y) dy| dx$ $= \int_{0}^{X_{0}} \int_{A_{i}} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dy dx - \{\int_{E_{v}} [\int_{A_{i}} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dy - |\int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy|] dx$ $\geq \int_{A_{j}} \int_{0}^{X_{0}} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dxdy - 2 \int_{E_{v}} \int_{A_{j}} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dydx$ > $(1 + 3\varepsilon/4) \text{mA}_i - \varepsilon \cdot \text{mA}_i/8$ (since $\text{mE}_v < X_0$) If $m\{H \cap [0,X_0] \times A_i\} = 0$ for some $A_i \in \{A_i\}_1^N$, then for such an A_i , $\|F\| = \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty G(x,y) \chi_A(y) dy \right| dx$ = $\int_0^\infty \left| \int_A G(x,y) dy \right| dx$ $= \int_{0}^{X_{0}} \left| \int_{A_{1}}^{-} G_{*}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx + \int_{X_{0}}^{\infty} \left| \int_{A_{1}}^{-} G_{*}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx$ $\geq \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \left| \int_{A_{\star}}^{x} G_{\star}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx - \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \left| \int_{A_{\star}}^{x} G_{\star}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx$ (x,y) ε H (x,y) ε F $= \int_0^{X_0} \left| \int_{A_1} G_{\star}(x,y) \, dy \right| dx$ > $(1 + 3\varepsilon/4) \mathbf{m} \mathbf{A}_i - \varepsilon \mathbf{m} \mathbf{A}_i/8$ > mA_i = $\|\chi_{A_i}\|$, and we're done. If $m\{H \cap [0,X_0] \times A_i\} \neq 0$ for all $A_i \in \{A_i\}_1^N$, then there is at least an A_i $\int_{H\cap[0,X_{\alpha}]\times A_{\alpha}} |G_{*}(x,y)| dy dx < (\eta \cdot mA_{0}/4) \cdot mA_{1}/mA_{0},$ such that

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y) \chi_{A_{i}}(y) dy \right| dx$$

= $\int_{0}^{X_{0}} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{*}(x,y) \chi_{A_{i}}(y) dy \right| dx + \int_{X_{0}}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{*}(x,y) \chi_{A_{i}}(y) dy \right| dx$
= $\int_{0}^{X_{0}} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{*}(x,y) \chi_{A_{i}}(y) dy \right| dx + \int_{X_{0}}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{*}(x,y) \chi_{A_{i}}(y) dy \right| dx$

$$\sum_{0}^{X_{0}} |\int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy| dx - \int_{0}^{X_{0}} |\int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy| dx$$

$$(x,y) \in F \qquad (x,y) \in H$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{X_{0}} |\int_{A_{i}} G_{\star}(x,y) dy| dx - \eta \cdot mA_{i}/4$$

$$(x,y) \in F$$

$$\geq (1 + 3\epsilon/4) mA_{i} - 2 \in mA_{i}/8$$

$$= (1 + \epsilon/2) mA_{i}$$

$$> mA_{i} = ||X_{A_{i}}||.$$

Hence, case (ii) we have proved that G is not norm-preserving and so the proof is complete.

Theorem 1 shows us that if $G \in GL$, for almost all $y \ge 0$, $\int_0^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dx = 1$ is a necessary condition for $\int_0^{\infty} |F(x)| dx = \int_0^{\infty} |f(y)| dy$ whenever $f \in L$. The next example will tell us that for almost all $y \ge 0$, $\int_0^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dx = 1$ is not a sufficient condition for $\int_0^{\infty} |F(x)| dx = \int_0^{\infty} |f(y)| dy$ for every $f \in L$.

But the following theorem will show that for certain G's, $\int_0^{\infty} |G_{\star}(x,y)| dx = 1$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for preserving the norms of certain f ϵ L.

Example. Define

G

and for such an A_i,

 $\| \mathbf{F} \| = \int_0^\infty |\int_0^\infty \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x},$

$$(x,y) = \begin{cases} -1/4x^{1/2}, & \text{if } x \in (0,1), \\ & & \text{for all } y \ge 0; \\ 1/2x^2, & \text{if } x \in [1,), \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} -2/(y+1)^2, & \text{if } y \in [0,1), \\ 10/(y+1)^2, & \text{if } y \in [1,\infty). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(y)| dy = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2}{(y+1)^{2}} dy + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{10}{(y+1)^{2}} dy = -2(y+1)^{-1} |_{0}^{1} - 10(y+1)^{-1} |_{1}^{\infty}$$
$$= -1 + 2 + 5 = 6$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |G_{*}(x,y)| dx = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{4x^{1/2}} dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \frac{2x^{1/2}}{4} |_{0}^{1} - \frac{1}{2x} |_{1}^{\infty}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$$

But

$$F(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f(y) dy \\ = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{\infty} (-1/4x^{1/2}) f(y) dy, & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \\ \\ \int_{0}^{\infty} (1/2x^{2})f(y) dy, & \text{if } x \in [1,\infty) \end{cases},$$

where

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} (1/4x^{1/2}) f(y) dy = -1/4x^{1/2} [\int_{0}^{1} -2/(y+1)^{2} dy + \int_{1}^{\infty} 10/(y+1)^{2} dy]$$

$$= -1/4x^{1/2} [-2(-1)(y+1)^{-1}|_{0}^{1} + 10(-1(y+1)^{-1}|_{1}^{\infty}]$$

$$= -1/4x^{1/2} [1 - 2 + 5]$$

$$= -1/x^{1/2}, \quad \text{if} \quad x \in (0,1) \quad ,$$
and
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (1/2x^{2}) f(y) dy = (1/2x^{2}) [\int_{0}^{1} -2/(y+1)^{2} dy + \int_{1}^{\infty} 10/(y+1)^{2} dy]$$

$$= (1/2x^{2}) (1 - 2 + 5) = 2/x^{2}, \quad \text{if} \quad x \in [1, \infty) \quad .$$

Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |F(x)| dx = \int_{0}^{1} 1/x^{1/2} dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} 2/x^{2} dx$$
$$= 2x^{1/2} |_{0}^{1} + 2(-1)x^{-1} |_{1}^{\infty}$$
$$= 2 + 2$$
$$= 4 \neq 6 = \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(y)| dy \quad .$$

THEOREM 2. Suppose that G(x,y) is a nonnegative function on D and G ϵ GL; then the folowing are equivalent;

i)
$$\|F\| = \|f\|$$
 whenever $f \in L$ and $f(y) \ge 0$ on $[0,\infty)$;
ii) $\|F\| = \|f\|$ whenever $f \in L$ and $f(y) \le 0$ on $[0,\infty)$;
iii) $\|F\| = \|f\|$ whenever $f \in L$, if $F(x) = \int_0^\infty G(x,y) |f(y)| dy$;
iv) $\int_0^\infty G_*(x,y) dx = 1$, for almost all $y \ge 0$.
PROOF. Since $\|f\| = \int_0^\infty |f(y)| dy$, $F(x) = \int_0^\infty G(x,y) f(y) dy$ and
 $\|F\| = \int_0^\infty |F(x)| dx$,

it is clear that i) is equivalent to i). We now prove that i) is equivalent to iv). Assuming that $G(x,y) \ge 0$ on D and $f(y) \ge 0$ for all $y \in [0,\infty)$, we have $f(y)G(x,y) \ge 0$ on D. Hence

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty G(x,y)f(y)dy \ge 0 ,$$

so

$$|F(x)| = F(x) .$$

Therefore

$$\|F\| = \int_0^\infty |F(x)| dx = \int_0^\infty F(x) dx$$
,

and

$$\| \mathbf{F} \| = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \quad .$$

By the Fubini-Tonelli Theorem and for each $x \ge 0$, $C_{\pm}(x,y) = G(x,y)$ for almost all $y \ge 0$, $\|F\| = \int_0^{\infty} f(y) \int_0^{\infty} G_{\pm}(x,y) dx dy$.

Hence,

$$\|F\| = \|f\| \text{ if and only if}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} G_*(x,y) dx = 1 \text{ for almost all } y \ge 0 .$$

446

Next we prove that iii) is equivalent to iv). Let

$$f^{+} = \begin{cases} f(y), & \text{if } f(y) \ge 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(y) < 0 \\ \end{cases}$$
$$f^{-} = \begin{cases} -f(y), & \text{if } f(y) < 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(y) \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $G(x,y) \ge 0$ on D, so whenever $f \in L$

$$F^{+} = \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{+}(y)dy \ge 0 , \text{ for all } x \ge 0 ;$$

$$F^{-} = \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{-}(y)dy \ge 0 , \text{ for all } x \ge 0 ;$$

and

$$f(y) = f^{+} - f^{-}$$

if $F(x) = \int_0^\infty G(x,y) |f(y)| dy$, then

$$|F(x)| = F^{+} + F^{-}$$
.

It follows from i) that

$$\| F(x) \| = \int_0^\infty |F(x)| dx$$
$$= \int_0^\infty |f(y)| dy$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty G_*(x,y) dx = 1 \quad \text{for almost all } y \ge 0$$

We are also interested in the analogous sum-preserving question for L-L integral transformations, viz., when is $\int_0^\infty F(x) dx = \int_0^\infty f(y) dy$ whenever $f \in L$?

Next we give the definition of sum-preserving for L-L integral transformations and a result concerning it.

DEFINITION. The integral transformation G ϵ GL is said to be sum-preserving if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty F(x) dx = \int_0^\infty f(y) dy$$

for all $f(y) \in L$, where $F(x) = \int_0^\infty G(x,y)f(y)dy$.

COROLLARY. Suppose that G(x,y) is a nonnegative function on D and $G \in GL$; then G is a sum-preserving transformation whenever $f \in L$ if and only if $\int_0^{\infty} G_*(x,y) dx = 1$ for almost all $y \ge 0$.

PROOF. Since $f \in L$, $f = f^+ - f^-$, where

$$f^{+} = \begin{cases} f(y), & \text{if } f(y) \ge 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(y) < 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(y) < 0 \\ \end{cases},$$
$$f^{-} = \begin{cases} -f(y), & \text{if } f(y) < 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(y) \ge 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(y) \ge 0 \\ \end{cases},$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{+} dy - \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{-} dy .$$

and

Then

$$F(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f(y) dy$$

= $\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)[f^{+} - f^{-}]dy$
= $\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{+}(y) dy - \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{-}(y) dy$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} F(x) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} [\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{+}(y) dy - \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{-}(y) dy] dx$$

= $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{+}(y) dy dx - \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y)f^{-}(y) dy dx$

and

and
$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} G(x,y) f^+(y) dy dx = \int_0^{\infty} f^+(y) \int_0^{\infty} G_*(x,y) dx dy ;$$
$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} G(x,y) f^-(y) dy dx = \int_0^{\infty} f^-(y) \int_0^{\infty} G_*(x,y) dx dy .$$
Thus

 $\int_0^\infty F(x) dx = \int_0^\infty f(y) dy$

Thus

and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y) f^{+}(y) dy dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{+}(y) dy ;$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} G(x,y) f^{-}(y) dy dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{-}(y) dy$$

if and only if

if and only if

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} G_{*}(x,y) dx = 1 \quad \text{for almost all } y \ge 0$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty F(x) dx = \int_0^\infty f^+ dy - \int_0^\infty f^- dy$$

i.e.,

$$_{0}^{G} G_{\star}(x,y) dx = 1$$
 for almost all $y \ge 0$,

if and only if

```
\int_0^\infty G_*(x,y)\,dx = 1 \quad \text{for almost all } y \ge 0 \quad .
```

The proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- 1. WEI, Y.C. A property of L-L integral transformation, (will be published).
- 2. NATONSON, I.P. <u>Theory of functions of a real variable</u>. Vol. 1, Frederick Unger Publishing, 1961.
- 3. SUNOUCHI, G.I. and TSUCHIKURA, T. Absolute regularity for convergent integrals, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 4 (1952), 153-156.
- 4. TATCHELL, J.B. On some integral transformation, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 3 (1953), 257-266.
- 5. HARDY, G.H. Divergent series, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949.
- FRIDY, J.A. Absolute summability matrices that are stronger than the identity mapping, <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.</u> 47 (1975), 112-118.