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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with a special class of holomorphic functions with extremal properties of its absolute values on arbitrary closed line segments in the complex plane. The main result is a geometrical characterization of the functions $z \rightarrow e^{a z+b}, z \rightarrow(a z+b)^{n}$ and $z \rightarrow(a z+b)^{\alpha+i \beta}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{z}$.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

The present work is closely related to the following problem raised by Rubel [1]: Find all entire functions $f$ such that for every closed line segment $L$ in the complex plane, wherever located an in whatever direction, the maximum of $|f|$ on $L$ is taken on at one of the two endpoints of $L$.

As a secondary result of the solution for this problem we will obtain a simple characterization of the entire function $z \rightarrow e^{a z+b}$. Suppose $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a complex function holomorphic in the region $G$.

Then for all $z=x+i y \in G$ with $f(z) \neq 0$ the partial derivatives of first and second order of the function $w(x, y)=|f(z)|$ are given by [2]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{X}=|f(z)| \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right), w_{y}=-|f(z)| \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right), \\
& w_{X X}=|f(z)|\left\{\operatorname{Im}^{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right\},  \tag{1.1}\\
& w_{y Y}=|f(z)|\left\{\operatorname{Re}^{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right\}, \\
& w_{X Y}=|f(z)|\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover the formula of Taylor implies:

$$
\begin{align*}
w(x+h, y+k) & =w(x, y)+h w_{x}(x, y)+k w_{y}(x, y) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{h^{2} w_{x x}(x, y)+2 h k w_{x y}(x, y)+k^{2} w_{y y}(x, y)\right\}  \tag{1.2}\\
& +o\left(h^{2}+k^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing the variable $\zeta:=h+i k$ we deduce from (1.1) and (1.2):

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(z+\zeta)|-|f(z)|=|f(z)| & \left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \zeta\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}^{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \zeta\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \zeta^{2}\right\}\right\} \\
+ & o\left(|\zeta|^{2}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By means of this equation we prove the following Lemma.
LEMMA 1. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic in the region $G, z \in G$ with $f(z) \neq 0, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime 2}(z)}\right\}>1 \text { respectively } \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime 2}(z)}\right)<1 .
$$

Then there exists a line segment $L$ through $z$ such that $|f|$ does not reach its maximum respectively minimum at one of the endpoints of
L.

PROOF. Suppose $z \in G$ with $f(z) \neq 0, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$
and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime 2}(z)}\right)>1$.
For real $t$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of zero, we define $\zeta:=i \frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} t$.
Then we have:
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \zeta\right)=0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \zeta\right)=t$,
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \zeta^{2}\right)=-t^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime 2}(z)}\right)$.
From these equations it follows by means of (1.3)
$\left|f\left(z+i \frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} t\right)\right|-|f(z)|=\frac{t^{2}}{2}|f(z)|\left(1-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime 2}(z)}\right)\right)+o\left(t^{2}\right)$.
Hence there exists a $t_{0} \in R$ such sthat:
$\left|f\left(z+i \frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} t_{0}\right)\right|<|f(z)|$ and
$\left|f\left(z-i \frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} t_{0}\right)\right|<|f(z)|$.
The case $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime 2}(z)}\right\}<1$ is treated in the same way.

The next Lemma is an immediate consequence of the well known theorem of Picard [3], "Let $g$ be a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. If there exist three different numbers not belonging to the range of $g$, then $g$ is constant".

LEMMA 2. Let $f$ be a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane, which is not constant. Then the function $g:=\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime 2}}$ is either a constant or there exist $z_{o,}, z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(g\left(z_{0}\right)\right)>1 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left(g\left(z_{1}\right)\right)<1 .
$$

PROOF. Since $f$ is meromorphic in all of $\mathbb{C}$ and not constant, also the function $g=\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{2}}$ is meromorphic in all of $\mathbb{C} \cdot$ Then our Lemma immediately follows from the theorem of Picard. Collecting the results obtained so far we end up with the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Suppose $f$ is a non constant function meromorphic in the whole complex plane such that also $g=\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{2}}$ is not a constant. Then there exist two line segments $L_{o}$ and $L_{1}$ such that neither the maximum of $|f|$ on $L_{o}$ nor the minimum of $|f|$ on $L_{1}$ is taken on at the endpoints of these segments.
Next we consider the case that the expression $\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{2}}$ is a constant on ©

LEMMA 3. Let $c=\gamma+i \delta$ be an arbitrary complex number. Then the solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime 2}}=c \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are giben by:

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}e^{a z+b} & \text { for } c=1,  \tag{1.6}\\ (a z+b)^{\frac{1}{1-c}} & \text { for } c \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

PROOF. Rewriting the differential equation (1.5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}=c \frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

it may easily be integrated [4].
The result is (1.6) with $a \in \mathbb{a} \backslash 0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$.
In the case $c=\gamma+i \delta \neq 1$ the introduction of new variables $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by $\alpha+i \beta:=\frac{1}{1-c}$ leads to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1-\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}}, \beta=\frac{\delta}{(1-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thereby

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=0 \leftrightarrow \gamma=1, \alpha>0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma<1, \alpha<0 \Leftrightarrow 1<\gamma, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will be needed later on.
The investigation of the functions $f(z)=e^{z}$ respectively $f(z)=z$ with regard to the extremal properties of their absolute values causes no difficulties. Since the simple similarity transformation $z \rightarrow a z+b$ maps line segments into line segments there directly follows:

THEOREM 2. If $f$ is a non-constant, entire function on $\mathbb{d}$ such that on every line segment $L$ its absolute value $|f|$ takes on its maximum at one of the endpoints of $L$, then $f$ is given either by $f(z)=e^{a z+b}$ or by $f(z)=(a z+b)^{n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 2 completely solves the problem of Rubel mentioned at the beginning. In view of the equation $\left|\frac{1}{f(z)}\right|=\frac{1}{|f(z)|}$, a further consequence of Theorem 2 is:

THEOREM 3. If $f$ is a non constant function meromorphic in the entire complex plane such that on every line segment $L$ its absolute value $|f|$ reaches its minimum at one of the endpoints of $L$, then $f$ is given either by $f(z)=e^{a z+b}$ or by $f(z)=\frac{1}{(a z+b)^{n}}, n \in \mathbf{N}$. The combination of theorem 2 and Theorem 3 leads to a simple characterization of the exponential function:

THEOREM 4. Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function such that on every line segment $L$ the absolute value $|f|$ reaches its maximum as well as its minimum at the endpoints of $L$, then $f$ is an exponential function of the form $f(z)=e^{a z+b}$.
eral power function $f(z)=z^{\alpha+i \beta}, \alpha+i \beta \notin z$, with regard to the extremal properties of its absolute value in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
G:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} /-\pi<\arg z<\pi\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing polar coordinates $z=r e^{i \varphi}$, the absolute value of $f$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)|=r^{\alpha} \cdot e^{-\beta \varphi} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the half-lines with $\varphi=$ const. the behaviour of $|f|$ is obvious. In the case of straight lines not running through the origin we have to consider separately those cutting the negative real axis. Finally in view of (1.11) it suffices to investigate $|f|$ on straight lines cutting the positive real axis vertically respectively on half-lines cutting the negative real axis vertically.
A straight line of the first kind is given in polar coordinate by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{p}{\cos \varphi}, p>0,-\frac{\pi}{2}<\varphi<\frac{\pi}{2} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha>0$ it follows by means of elementary analysis that there exists exactly one minimum of $|f|$ on (1.12) given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \varphi_{1}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for $\alpha<0$ there exists exactly one maximum of $|f|$ on (1.12) also fixed by (1.13). These results are in accordance with Lemma 1 and equation (1.9). Moreover the result (1.13) may be easily derived also via geometrical arguments by considering the geometry of the set of curves $r^{\alpha} \cdot e^{-\beta \varphi}=$ const. For $1>\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$ there occur two turning points, the position of which is fixed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \varphi_{2,3}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \pm \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}}{1-\alpha}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the half-lines mentioned above the arguments have to be slightly modified because of the limits:

$$
\lim _{\arg \lim _{z \rightarrow \pi}|f(z)|=r^{\alpha} \cdot e^{-\beta \pi}, \lim _{\arg } \mid f \rightarrow \pi}|f(z)|=r^{\alpha} \cdot e^{\beta \pi} .
$$

Our final result reads:
THEOREM 5. Let $G$ be the region defined by (1.10), $K$ the class of all functions $f$ holomorphic and non-constant in $G$ with the further property that $g=\frac{f f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{2}}$ is meromorphic in the entire complex plane. Every function $f \in K$ such that on any line segment $L \subset G$ its absolute value $|f|$ reaches its maximum (respectively minimum) in one of the endpoints of $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z)=e^{a z+b} \text { or } f(z)=(a z+b)^{\alpha+i \beta} \text { with } \alpha \geq 0 \\
& \text { (respectively } \left.f(z)=e^{a z+b} \text { or } f(z)=(a z+b)^{\alpha+i \beta} \quad \text { with } \alpha \leq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In passing it should be mentioned that. Ullrich [4] in his paper "Betragflächen mit ausgezeichnetem Krümmungsverhalten" ends up with the same functions which I have discussed in my paper [5], too.
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