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1. Introduction. Let $H$ be the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U=\{z ;|z|<1\}$. Let $S$ be the subclass of $H$ consisting of univalent functions.

For the function $f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$, let $(f * g)(z)$ denote the Hadamard product or convolution of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define the function $\phi(a, c ; z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(a, c ; z)=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} z^{k+1}, \quad(c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots, z \in U) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
(\lambda)_{k}=\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}= \begin{cases}1, & k=0  \tag{1.4}\\ \lambda(\lambda+1) \cdots(\lambda+k-1), & k \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}\end{cases}
$$

It follows from [4] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z[\phi(c, c+1)]^{\prime}=c \phi(c+1, c+1)-(c-1) \phi(c, c+1) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carlson and Shaffer [2] defined a linear operator $L(a, c)$ on $H$ by using the Hadamard product

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(a, c) f=\phi(a, c ; z) * f(z), \quad f \in H \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known in [2] that $L(a, c)$ maps $H$ into itself. If $a \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, then $L(a, c)$ has a continuous inverse $L(c, a)$. Clearly, $L(a, a)$ is the unit operator. Also, if $c>a>0$, then $L(a, c)$ has the integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(a, c) f(z)=\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c-a)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{a-2}(1-u)^{c-a-1} f(u z) d u \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ruscheweyh [7] introduced an operator $D^{\lambda}: H \rightarrow H$ defined by the Hadamard product or convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\lambda} f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z), \quad(\lambda>-1, z \in U) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
D^{n} f(z)=\frac{z\left(z^{n-1} f(z)\right)^{(n)}}{n!}, \quad\left(n \in N_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}\right)  \tag{1.9}\\
D^{\lambda} f(z)=L(\lambda+1,1) f(z)
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume $\alpha>0, \mu>0, \lambda>-1, \rho<1$, a function $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is said to be in the class $P_{\rho}$ if and only if $p(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk $U$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>\rho$, $z \in U$; a function $f(z) \in H$ is said to be in the class $B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\mu)\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}+\mu\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right]>\rho, \quad z \in U \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the power are understood as principle values. Below we apply this agreement. It is obvious that the subclass $B_{0}(1, \alpha, 0)$ is the subclass of Bazilevič functions, which is the subclass of univalent functions $S$, let $B(\alpha, \rho) \equiv B_{0}(1, \alpha, \rho)$. The subclass $B_{0}(1, \alpha, \rho)$ $(0 \leq \rho<1)$ has been studied by Bazilevič [1], Singh [8], respectively. $B_{0}(0, \alpha, \rho)(\rho<1)$ has been studied by Liu [5]. The subclass $B_{0}(\lambda, 1, \rho)(0 \leq \rho<1)$ has been studied by Chichra [3], Ding et al. [4], respectively.

In this paper, we study the properties of $B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$. The results obtained generalize the related works of some authors. We also obtained some new univalent criterions.

## 2. Some lemmas

LEMMA 2.1 [4]. Let $\rho<1,0<u<1, F(z) \in P_{\rho}$, then for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}[F(z)-F(u z)] \geq \frac{2(1-\rho)(u-1) r}{(1+r)(1+u r)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inequality is sharp.

Lemma 2.2. Let $c>0, \mu>0, \rho<1, p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be analytic in $U$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+c \mu z p^{\prime}(z)\right]>\rho, \quad z \in U, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+c z p^{\prime}(z)\right] \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\mu(1+r)}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1 / c \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u, \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+c z p^{\prime}(z)\right] \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{1-\rho}{\mu}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1 / c \mu-1}}{1+u} d u \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and these results are sharp.
Proof. Set $F(z)=p(z)+c \mu z p^{\prime}(z)$, then it follows from (2.2) that $F(z) \in P_{\rho}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z F(z)=(1-c \mu)[z p(z)]+c \mu z[z p(z)]^{\prime}=L\left(\frac{1}{c \mu}+1, \frac{1}{c \mu}\right)[z p(z)] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p(z)=L\left(\frac{1}{c \mu}, \frac{1}{c \mu}+1\right)[z F(z)]=\frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} u^{1 / c \mu-1} z F(u z) d u . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b=1 / c \mu$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=b \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} F(u z) d u . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1.5) and (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
z[z p(z)]^{\prime} & =\left[z(\phi(b, b+1 ; z))^{\prime}\right] *[z F(z)] \\
& =b L(b+1, b+1)[z F(z)]-(b-1) L(b, b+1)[z F(z)]  \tag{2.7}\\
& =b z F(z)-b(b-1) z \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} F(u z) d u .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[z p(z)]^{\prime}=p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
p(z)+ & c z p^{\prime}(z) \\
& =(1-c) p(z)+c[z p(z)]^{\prime} \\
& =b c F(z)+b(1-c) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} F(u z) d u-b c(b-1) z \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} F(u z) d u  \tag{2.9}\\
& =b c F(z)+b(1-b c) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} F(u z) d u .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mu>1$, then $0<b c=1 / \mu<1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+c z p^{\prime}(z)\right] & =b c \operatorname{Re}[F(z)]+b(1-b c) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} \operatorname{Re}[F(u z)] d u \\
& \geq b c \cdot \frac{1-(1-2 \rho) r}{1+r}+b(1-b c) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} \frac{1-(1-2 \rho) u r}{1+u r} d u  \tag{2.10}\\
& =2 \rho-1+\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\mu(1+r)}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1 / c \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u
\end{align*}
$$

If $0<\mu \leq 1$, then $b c=1 / \mu \geq 1$, so that it follows from Lemma 2.1 and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+c z p^{\prime}(z)\right] & =\operatorname{Re}\left[b c F(z)-b(b c-1) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} F(u z) d u\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Re} F(z)+b(b c-1) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} \operatorname{Re}[F(z)-F(u z)] d u  \tag{2.11}\\
& \geq \frac{1-(1-2 \rho) r}{1+r}+b(b c-1) \int_{0}^{1} u^{b-1} \frac{2(1-\rho)(u-1) r}{(1+r)(1+u r)} d u \\
& =2 \rho-1+\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\mu(1+r)}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1 / c \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \rho-1+\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\mu(1+r)}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1 / c \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is decreasing with respect to $r$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+c z p^{\prime}(z)\right] \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{1-\rho}{\mu}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1 / c \mu-1}}{1+u} d u . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu, c, \rho}(z)=\frac{1}{c \mu} \int_{0}^{1} u^{1 / c \mu-1} \frac{1+(1-2 \rho) u z}{1-u z} d u \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies (2.2), we obtain that the inequalities (2.3) are sharp.
Lemma 2.3 [6]. Let $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+\cdots \in P_{\rho}$, then $\left|p_{k}\right| \leq 2-2 \rho, k=1,2, \ldots$.
3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha>0, \beta>0, \lambda \geq 0, f(z) \in H$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right]>\frac{\lambda}{\beta+\lambda}, \quad z \in U, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in $U$, that is, $B_{\lambda}(1, \alpha, \lambda /(\beta+\lambda)) \subset B(\alpha, 0)$.
Proof. Since $\lambda /(\beta+\lambda) \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\alpha, \frac{\lambda}{\beta+\lambda}\right) \subset B(\alpha, 0) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T=D^{\lambda} f(z)$, then $T$ is a linear and homeomorphism from $B_{\lambda}(1, \alpha, \lambda /(\beta+\lambda))$ onto $B(\alpha, \lambda /(\beta+\lambda))$, therefore it follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}\left(1, \alpha, \frac{\lambda}{\beta+\lambda}\right)=T^{-1} B\left(\alpha, \frac{\lambda}{\beta+\lambda}\right) \subset T^{-1} B(\alpha, 0)=B_{0}(1, \alpha, 0) \equiv B(\alpha, 0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the proof is completed.
Since $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \lambda /(\beta+\lambda)=0$, the following corollary follows from Theorem 3.1.
COROLLARY 3.2. Let $\alpha>0,0<\rho<1, \lambda \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}(1, \alpha, \rho) \subset B(\alpha, 0) \subset S \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let $\mu_{2} \geq \mu_{1}>0,1>\rho_{2} \geq \rho_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{2}\right) \subset B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, it is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{2}\right) \subset B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we only need to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \subset B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(z)=\left[D^{\lambda} f(z) / z\right]^{\alpha}$ for $f \in B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right)$, where the power are understood as principle values, then $p(z)=1+(1+\lambda) \alpha a_{2} z+\cdots$ is analytic in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\alpha}=z^{\alpha} p(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the derivatives in the both sides of (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}+\mu_{2}\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}=p(z)+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)\right]>\rho_{1}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+\frac{\mu_{1}}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)\right] & \geq 2 \rho_{1}-1+\frac{2\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)}{\mu(1+r)}+2\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u \\
& \geq 2 \rho_{1}-1+\frac{2\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)}{\mu}+2\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}>\rho_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mu=\mu_{2} / \mu_{1} \geq 1$. Hence it follows from (3.6) and (3.11) that
$\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(1-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}+\mu_{1}\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+\frac{\mu_{1}}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)\right]>\rho_{1}$,
that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{2}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \subset B_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.2, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.4. Let $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 1,0<\rho<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho) \subset B_{\lambda}(1, \alpha, \rho) \subset S . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $\alpha>0, \lambda \geq 0, \mu>0, \rho<1$. If $f \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, then for $|z|=r<1$, $\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\mu(1+r)}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u$, $\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{1-\rho}{\mu}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u$,
and these results are sharp.
Proof. Let $p(z)=\left[D^{\lambda} f(z) / z\right]^{\alpha}$ for $f \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, where the power are understood as principle values, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+(1+\lambda) \alpha a_{2} z+\cdots \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\alpha}=z^{\alpha} p(z) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the derivatives in the both sides of (3.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\mu)\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}+\mu\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}=p(z)+\frac{\mu}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+\frac{\mu}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)\right]>\rho, \quad z \in U \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] & =\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+\frac{1}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)\right] \\
& \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\mu(1+r)}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u r} d u, \\
\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] & =\operatorname{Re}\left[p(z)+\frac{1}{\alpha} z p^{\prime}(z)\right] \\
& \geq 2 \rho-1+\frac{1-\rho}{\mu}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \rho}(z)=L(1, \lambda+1)\left\{z\left[\frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\alpha / \mu-1} \frac{1+(1-2 \rho) u z}{1-u z} d u\right]^{1 / \alpha}\right\} \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that inequalities (3.16) are sharp.
Remark 3.6. Setting $\lambda=0, \alpha=1$ in Theorem 3.5, we get [4, Theorem 1(ii)].
Theorem 3.7. Let $\alpha>0, \mu>0, \lambda \geq 0, \rho_{0} \leq \rho<1$, then $B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho) \subset B_{\lambda}\left(1, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \subset S$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=1-\frac{1}{2-1 / \mu-2(1-1 / \mu)(\alpha / \mu) \int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\alpha / \mu-1} /(1+u)\right) d u} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $\rho_{0}$ cannot be replaced by any smaller one.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, then it follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right]>\rho_{1}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1} & =2 \rho-1+\frac{1-\rho}{\mu}+2(1-\rho)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u \\
& =1-(1-\rho)\left[2-\frac{1}{\mu}-2\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u\right] . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}<\frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u=\frac{1}{2}+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu}}{(1+u)^{2}} d u<1 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{1, \frac{1}{\mu}\right\}>2-\frac{1}{\mu}-2\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u>\min \left\{1, \frac{1}{\mu}\right\}>0 . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from $\rho_{0} \leq \rho<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}>1-\left(1-\rho_{0}\right)\left[2-\frac{1}{\mu}-2\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha / \mu-1}}{1+u} d u\right]=0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows from (1.10) and Corollary 3.2 that $f(z) \in B_{\lambda}\left(1, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \subset S$ and $f(z)$ is univalent in $U$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho) \subset B_{\lambda}\left(1, \alpha, \rho_{1}\right) \subset S \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $\rho_{0}$ cannot be replaced by any smaller one from Theorem 3.5.
Remark 3.8. Setting $\lambda=0, \alpha=1$ in Theorem 3.7, we get [4, Theorem 2]; setting $\lambda=0, \mu=1$ in Theorem 3.7, we get the result of [1].

Setting $\lambda=0, \mu=\alpha>0$ in Theorem 3.7, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.9. If $f(z) \in H$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}+\alpha f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right]>\rho_{\alpha}=\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-2 \ln 2)}{\alpha+(\alpha-1)(1-2 \ln 2)}, \quad z \in U, \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in $U$, and the result is sharp.
Remark 3.10. We note that $\rho_{\alpha}<0$ for $\alpha>1$.
Setting $\mu=\alpha=3, \lambda=1$ in Theorem 3.7, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.11. If $f(z) \in H$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{z\left[\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{3}\right]^{\prime}+\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{3}\right\}>\frac{2-4 \ln 2}{5-4 \ln 2} \approx-0.34, \quad z \in U, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in $U$.
THEOREM 3.12. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2-2 \rho}{(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)}, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inequality is sharp, with the extremal function defined $f_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \rho}(z)$ by (3.22).
Proof. Since $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\mu)\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}+\mu\left(D^{\lambda} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right]  \tag{3.33}\\
=\operatorname{Re}[1+(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu) z+\cdots]>\rho .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu) a_{2}\right| \leq 2-2 \rho, \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2-2 \rho}{(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)} . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f_{\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \rho}(z)=z+((2-2 \rho) /(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)) z^{2}+\cdots \in B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, we obtain that inequality (3.32) is sharp.

Remark 3.13. Setting $\lambda=0, \mu=1$ in Theorem 3.12, we get [8, Theorem 6].
Theorem 3.14 (covering theorem). Let $\alpha>0, \mu>0, \lambda \geq 0, \rho_{0} \leq \rho<1, f(z) \in$ $B_{\lambda}(\mu, \alpha, \rho)$, then the unit disk $U$ is mapped on a domain that contain the disk $|w|<r_{1}$, where $\rho_{0}$ defined by (3.23) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\frac{(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)}{2-2 \rho+2(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)} . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $w_{0}$ be any complex number such that $f(z) \neq w_{0}$ for $z \in U$, then $w_{0} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w_{0} f(z)}{w_{0}-f(z)}=z+\left(a_{2}+\frac{1}{w_{0}}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent in $U$ by Theorem 3.7, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}+\frac{1}{w_{0}}\right| \leq 2 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore according to Theorem 3.12, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{0}\right| \geq \frac{(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)}{2-2 \rho+2(1+\lambda)(\alpha+\mu)}=r_{1} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have completed the proof.
Setting $\lambda=0$ and $\mu=1$ in Theorem 3.14, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.15 (covering theorem). Let $f(z) \in B_{0}(1, \alpha, \rho)$ with $\mu>0,0 \leq \rho<1$, then the unit disk $U$ is mapped on a domain that contain the disk $|w|<(1+\alpha) /(4-$ $2 \rho+2 \alpha)$.
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