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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give two sufficient conditions on the existence of periodic solutions of the non-autonomous Rayleigh equation of retarded type by using the coincidence degree theory.
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1. Introduction. In $[1,2]$, the authors studied the existence of periodic solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)+h(t, x(t))=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we discuss the existence of periodic solutions of the non-autonomous Rayleigh equation of related type

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right)+g(t, x(t-\sigma))=p(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau, \sigma \geq 0$ are constants, $f$ and $g \in C\left(R^{2}, R\right), f(t, x)$ and $g(t, x)$ are functions with period $2 \pi$ for $t, f(t, 0)=0$ for $t \in R, p \in C(R, R), p(t)=p(t+2 \pi)$ for $t \in R$ and $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} p(t)=0$. Using coincidence degree theory developed by Mawhin [2], we find two sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions of (1.2).

## 2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose there are positive constants $K$, $D$, and $M$ such that
(i) $|f(t, x)| \leq K$ for $(t, x) \in R^{2}$;
(ii) $x g(t, x)>0$ and $|g(t, x)|>K$ for $t \in R$ and $|x| \geq D$;
(iii) $g(t, x) \geq-M$ for $t \in R$ and $x \leq-D$;
(iv) $\sup _{(t, x) \in R \times[-D, D]}|g(t, x)|<+\infty$.

Then (1.2) has at least a periodic solution with period $2 \pi$.
Proof. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right)+\lambda g(t, x(t-\sigma))=\lambda p(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Suppose that $x(t)$ is a periodic solution with period $2 \pi$ of (2.1). Since $x(0)=x(2 \pi)$, there is some $t_{0} \in[0,2 \pi]$ such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. In view of (2.1), we see
that for any $t \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} x^{\prime \prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(s, x^{\prime}(s-\tau)\right)\right| d s+\lambda \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|g(s, x(s-\sigma))| d s+\lambda \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|p(s)| d s \\
& \leq 2 \pi K+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|g(s, x(s-\sigma))| d s+2 \pi \max _{0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi}|p(s)| . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|g(s, x(s-\sigma))| d s \leq 2 \pi K+4 \pi D_{1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive number $D_{1}$. Indeed, integrating (2.1) from 0 to $2 \pi$ and noting condition (i), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\{g(t, x(t-\sigma))-K\} d t & \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{g(t, x(t-\sigma))-\left|f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right)\right|\right\} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right)+g(t, x(t-\sigma))\right\} d t=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=\{t \in[0,2 \pi] \mid x(t-\sigma)>D\}, \quad E_{2}=[0,2 \pi] \backslash E_{1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying (ii), (iii), and (iv), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{E_{2}}|g(t, x(t-\sigma))| d t \leq 2 \pi \max \left\{M, \sup _{(t, x) \in R \times[-D, D]}|g(t, x)|\right\},  \tag{2.6}\\
\int_{E_{1}}\{|g(t, x(t-\sigma))|-K\} d t \\
\leq \int_{E_{1}}|g(t, x(t-\sigma))-K| d t=\int_{E_{1}}\{g(t, x(t-\sigma))-K\} d t  \tag{2.7}\\
\quad \leq-\int_{E_{2}}\{g(t, x(t-\sigma))-K\} d t \leq \int_{E_{2}}|g(t, x(t-\sigma))| d t+\int_{E_{2}} K d t .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|g(t, x(t-\sigma))| d t \leq 2 \pi K+4 \pi \max \left\{M, \sup _{(t, x) \in R \times[-D, D]}|g(t, x)|\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so (2.3) holds. Combining (2.2) and (2.3), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq D_{2}, \quad t \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive number $D_{2}$. Next, note that the last equality in (2.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t_{1}, x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}-\tau\right)\right)+g\left(t_{1}, x\left(t_{1}-\sigma\right)\right)=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $t_{1}$ in $[0,2 \pi]$. Thus in view of condition (i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(t_{1}, x\left(t_{1}-\sigma\right)\right)\right|=\left|f\left(t_{1}, x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}-\tau\right)\right)\right| \leq K, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in view of (ii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x\left(t_{1}-\sigma\right)\right|<D \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x(t)$ is a periodic solution with period $2 \pi$ of (2.1), we infer that $\left|x\left(t_{2}\right)\right|<D$ for some $t_{2}$ in $[0,2 \pi]$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)|=\left|x\left(t_{2}\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} x^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \leq D+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \leq D+2 \pi D_{2}, \quad t \in[0,2 \pi] . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X$ be the Banach space of all continuous differentiable functions of the form $x=x(t)$, defined on $R$ such that $x(t+2 \pi)=x(t)$ for all $t$, and endowed with the norm $\|x\|_{1}=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi}\left\{|x(t)|,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\}$. Let $Y$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions of the form $y=y(t)$, defined on $R$ such that $y(t+2 \pi)=y(t)$ for all $t$, and endowed with the norm $\|y\|_{0}=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi}|y(t)|$, and let $\Omega$ be the subspace of $X$ containing functions of the form $x=x(t)$, such that $|x(t)|<\bar{D}$ and $\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<\bar{D}$, where $\bar{D}$ is a fixed number greater than $D+2 \pi D_{2}$. Now, let $L: X \cap C^{(2)}(R, R) \rightarrow Y$ be the differential operator defined by $(L x)(t)=x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ for $t \in R$, and let $N: X \rightarrow Y$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N x)(t)=-f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t-\sigma)\right)-g(t, x(t-\tau))+p(t), \quad t \in R . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that ker $L=R$. Furthermore if we define the projections $P: X \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y / \operatorname{Im} L$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P x=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x(t) d t  \tag{2.15}\\
& Q y=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} y(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, then $\operatorname{ker} L=\operatorname{Im} P$ and $\operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L$. Furthermore, the operator $L$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero, and the operator $N$ is $L$-compact on the closure $\bar{\Omega}$ of $\Omega$ (see, e.g., [2, p. 176]). In terms of valuation of bound of periodic solutions as above, we know that for any $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and any $x=x(t)$ in the domain of $L$, which also belongs to $\partial \Omega, L x \neq \lambda N x$. Since for any $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, x=\bar{D}$ or $x=-\bar{D}$, then in view of (ii), (iii), and $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} p(t) d t=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q N x & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[-f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right)-g(t, x(t-\sigma))+p(t)\right] d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}[-f(t, 0)-g(t, x(t-\sigma))] d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}[-g(t, x(t-\sigma))] d t  \tag{2.16}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(t, x) d t \neq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(t,-\bar{D}) d t>0 \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(t, \bar{D}) d t<0 \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\{Q N X, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} \neq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Mawhin continuation theorem [2, p. 40], there exists a periodic solution with period $2 \pi$ of (1.2). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that there are positive constants $K, D$, and $M$ such that
(i) $|f(t, x)| \leq K$ for $(t, x) \in R^{2}$;
(ii) $x g(t, x)>0$ and $|g(t, x)|>K$ for $t \in R,|x| \geq D$;
(iii) $g(t, x) \leq M$ for $t \in R, x \geq D$;
(iv) $\sup _{(t, x) \in R \times[-D, D]}|g(t, x)|<+\infty$.

Then (1.2) has at least a periodic solution with period $2 \pi$.
The proof of Theorem 2.2 is similitude of Theorem 2.1, and so, we omit the details here.
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