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#### Abstract

In this paper we present equivalent characterizations of $k$-Kernel symmetric Matrices. Necessary and sufficient conditions are determined for a matrix to be $k$-Kernel Symmetric. We give some basic results of kernel symmetric matrices. It is shown that $k$-symmetric implies $k$-Kernel symmetric but the converse need not be true. We derive some basic properties of $k$-Kernel symmetric fuzzy matrices. We obtain k-similar and scalar product of a fuzzy matrix.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout we deal with fuzzy matrices that is, matrices over a fuzzy algebra $\mathcal{F}$ with support $[0,1]$ under max-min operations. For $a, b \in \mathcal{F}, a+b=\max \{a, b\}, a \cdot b=\min \{a, b\}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{F}$, in short $\mathcal{F}_{n n}$ is denoted as $\mathcal{F}_{n}$. For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$, let $A^{T}$, $A^{+}, R(A), C(A), N(A)$, and $\rho(A)$ denote the transpose, Moore-Penrose inverse, Row space, Column space, Null space, and rank of $A$, respectively. $A$ is said to be regular if $A X A=A$ has a solution. We denote a solution X of the equation $A X A=A$ by $A^{-}$and is called a generalized inverse, in short, g -inverse of $A$. However $A\{1\}$ denotes the set of all g -inverses of a regular fuzzy matrix A. For a fuzzy matrix A, if $A^{+}$exists, then it coincides with $A^{T}[1$, Theorem 3.16]. A fuzzy matrix A is range symmetric if $R(A)=R\left(A^{T}\right)$ and Kernel symmetric if $N(A)=N\left(A^{T}\right)=\{x: x A=0\}$. It is well known that for complex matrices, the concept of range symmetric and kernel symmetric is identical. For fuzzy matrix $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}, A$ is range symmetric, that is, $R(A)=R\left(A^{T}\right)$ implies $N(A)=N\left(A^{T}\right)$ but converse needs not be true [2, page 217]. Throughout, let $k$-be a fixed product of disjoint transpositions in $S_{n}=1,2, \ldots, n$ and, $K$ be the associated permutation matrix. A matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathscr{F}_{n}$ is $k$-Symmetric if $a_{i j}=a_{k(j) k(i)}$ for $i, j=1$ to $n$. A theory for $k$-hermitian matrices over the complex field is developed in [3] and the concept of $k$-EP matrices as a generalization of $k$-hermitian and EP (or) equivalently kernel symmetric matrices over the complex field is studied in [4-6].

Further, many of the basic results on $k$-hermitian and EP matrices are obtained for the $k$ EP matrices. In this paper we extend the concept of $k$-Kernel symmetric matrices for fuzzy matrices and characterizations of a $k$-Kernel symmetric matrix is obtained which includes the result found in [2] as a particular case analogous to that of the results on complex matrices found in [5].

## 2. Preliminaries

For $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}$, let us define the function $\kappa(x)=\left(x_{k(1)}, x_{k(2)}, \ldots, x_{k(n)}\right)^{T} \in \mathcal{F}_{n \times 1}$. Since $K$ is involutory, it can be verified that the associated permutation matrix satisfy the following properties.

Since $K$ is a permutation matrix, $K K^{T}=K^{T} K=I_{n}$ and $K$ is an involution, that is, $K^{2}=I$, we have $K^{T}=K$.
(P.1) $K=K^{T}, K^{2}=I$, and $\kappa(x)=K x$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$,
(P.2) $N(A)=N(A K)$,
(P.3) if $A^{+}$exists, then $(K A)^{+}=A^{+} K$ and $(A K)^{+}=K A^{+}$
(P.4) $A^{+}$exist if and only if $A^{T}$ is a g-inverse of $A$.

Definition 2.1 (see [2, page 119]). For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ is kernel symmetric if $N(A)=N\left(A^{T}\right)$, where $N(A)=\left\{x / x A=0\right.$ and $\left.x \in \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}\right\}$, we will make use of the following results.

Lemma 2.2 (see [2, page 125]). For $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ and $P$ being a permutation matrix, $N(A)=$ $N(B) \Leftrightarrow N\left(P A P^{T}\right)=N\left(P B P^{T}\right)$

Theorem 2.3 (see [2, page 127]). For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $A$ is Kernel symmetric,
(2) $P A P^{T}$ is Kernel symmetric for some permutation matrix $P$,
(3) there exists a permutation matrix $P$ such that $P A P^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ with $\operatorname{det} D>0$.

## 3. $k$-Kernel Symmetric Matrices

Definition 3.1. A matrix $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ is said to be $k$-Kernel symmetric if $N(A)=N\left(K A^{T} K\right)$
Remark 3.2. In particular, when $\mathcal{K}(i)=i$ for each $i=1$ to $n$, the associated permutation matrix $K$ reduces to the identity matrix and Definition 3.1 reduces to $N(A)=N\left(A^{T}\right)$, that is, $A$ is Kernel symmetric. If $A$ is symmetric, then $A$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric for all transpositions $k$ in $S_{n}$.

Further, $A$ is $k$-Symmetric implies it is $k$-kernel symmetric, for $A=K A^{T} K$ automatically implies $N(A)=N\left(K A^{T} K\right)$. However, converse needs not be true. This is, illustrated in the following example.

Example 3.3. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0.6 \\
0.5 & 1 & 0 \\
0.5 & 0.3 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad K=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{3.1}\\
K A^{T} K=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0.6 \\
0.3 & 1 & 0 \\
0.5 & 0.5 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, $A$ is not $k$-symmetric.
For this $A, N(A)=\{0\}$, since $A$ has no zero rows and no zero columns. $N\left(K A^{T} K\right)=\{0\}$. Hence $A$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric, but $A$ is not $k$-symmetric.

Lemma 3.4. For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}, A^{+}$exists if and only if $(K A)^{+}$exists.
Proof. By [1, Theorem 3.16], For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{m n}$ if $A^{+}$exists then $A^{+}=A^{T}$ which implies $A^{T}$ is a g-inverse of $A$. Conversely if $A^{T}$ is a g-inverse of $A$, then $A A^{T} A=A \Rightarrow A^{T} A A^{T}=A^{T}$. Hence $A^{T}$ is a 2 inverse of $A$. Both $A A^{T}$ and $A^{T} A$ are symmetric. Hence $A^{T}=A^{+}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{+} \text {exists } & \Longleftrightarrow A A^{T} A=A \\
& \Longleftrightarrow K A A^{T} A=K A \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(K A)(K A)^{T}(K A)=K A  \tag{3.2}\\
& \Longleftrightarrow(K A)^{T} \in(K A)\{1\} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(K A)^{+}, \text {exists (By,P.4). }
\end{align*}
$$

For sake of completeness we will state the characterization of $k$-kernel symmetric fuzzy matrices in the following. The proof directly follows from Definition 3.1 and by (P.2).

Theorem 3.5. For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $A$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric,
(2) K $A$ is Kernel symmetric,
(3) AK is Kernel symmetric,
(4) $N\left(A^{T}\right)=N(K A)$,
(5) $N(A)=N\left((A K)^{T}\right)$,

Lemma 3.6. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$, then any two of the following conditions imply the other one,
(1) $A$ is Kernel symmetric,
(2) $A$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric,
(3) $N\left(A^{T}\right)=N\left((A K)^{T}\right)$.

Proof. However, (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow(3)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A \text { is } k \text {-Kernel symmetric } & \Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left(K A^{T} K\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left(K A^{T}\right) \quad(\mathrm{By}, \mathrm{P} .2) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (1) and $(2) \Longrightarrow N\left(A^{T}\right)=N(A)=N\left((A K)^{T}\right)$.

Thus (3) holds.
Also (1) and (3) $\Rightarrow(2)$ :
$A$ is Kernel symmetric $\Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left(A^{T}\right)$

$$
\text { Hence, (1) and (3) } \begin{align*}
& \Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left((A K)^{T}\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow N(A K)=N\left((A K)^{T}\right) \quad(\mathrm{By}, \mathrm{P} .2)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \Longrightarrow A K \text { is Kernel symmetric } \\
&\Longrightarrow A \text { is } k \text {-Kernel symmetric (by Theorem }(3.5))
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (2) holds.
However, (2) and (3) $\Rightarrow(1)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A \text { is } k \text {-Kernel symmetric } & \Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left(K A^{T} K\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left((A K)^{T}\right) \quad(\text { by, P.2 }) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (2) and $(3) \Longrightarrow N(A)=N\left(A^{T}\right)$.

Thus, (1) holds.
Hence, Theorem.
Toward characterizing a matrix being $k$-Kernel symmetric, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. Let $B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, where $D$ is $r \times r$ fuzzy matrix with no zero rows and no zero columns, then the following equivalent conditions hold:
(1) $B$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric,
(2) $N\left(B^{T}\right)=N\left((B K)^{T}\right)$,
(3) $K=\left[\begin{array}{cc}K_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & K_{2}\end{array}\right]$ where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are permutation matrices of order $r$ and $n-r$, respectively,
(4) $k=k_{1} k_{2}$ where $k_{1}$ is the product of disjoint transpositions on $S_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ leaving $(r+1, r+2, \ldots, n)$ fixed and $k_{2}$ is the product of disjoint transposition leaving $(1,2, \ldots, r)$ fixed.

Proof. Since $D$ has no zero rows and no zero columns $N(D)=N\left(D^{T}\right)=\{0\}$. Therefore $N(B)=N\left(B^{T}\right) \neq\{0\}$ and $B$ is Kernel symmetric.

Now we will prove the equivalence of (1),(2), and (3). B is $k$-Kernel symmetric $\Leftrightarrow$ $N\left(B^{T}\right)=N\left((B K)^{T}\right)$ follows from By Lemma (3.6).

Choose $z=[0 y]$ with each component of $y \neq 0$ and partitioned in conformity with that of $B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Clearly, $z \in N(B)=N\left(\left(B^{T}\right)\right)=N\left((B K)^{T}\right)$. Let us partition $K$ as $K=\left[\begin{array}{ll}K_{1} & K_{3} \\ K_{3}^{T} & K_{2}\end{array}\right]$, Then

$$
K B^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{1} & K_{3}  \tag{3.6}\\
K_{3}^{T} & K_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rr}
D^{T} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{1} D^{T} & 0 \\
K_{3}^{T} D^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
z & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & y
\end{array}\right] \in N(B)=N\left(K B^{T}\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{1} D^{T} & 0 \\
K_{3}^{T} D^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right]=0  \tag{3.7}\\
& \Longrightarrow y K_{3}^{T} D^{T}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $N\left(D^{T}\right)=0$, it follows that $y K_{3}^{T}=0$.
Since each component of $y \neq 0$ under max-min composition $y K_{3}^{T}=0$, this implies $K_{3}^{T}=$ $0 \Rightarrow K_{3}=0$.

Therefore

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} & 0  \tag{3.8}\\
0 & K_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Thus, (3) holds, Conversely, if (3) holds, then

$$
K B^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} D^{T} & 0  \tag{3.9}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad N\left(K B^{T}\right)=N(B)
$$

Thus (1) $\Leftrightarrow(2) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ holds.
However, (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4): the equivalence of (3) and (4) is clear from the definition of $k$.
Definition 3.8. For $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{n}, A$ is $k$-similar to $B$ if there exists a permutation matrix $P$ such that $A=\left(K P^{T} K\right) B P$.

Theorem 3.9. For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ and $k=k_{1} k_{2}$ (where $k_{1} k_{2}$ as defined in Lemma 3.7). Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $A$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric of rank $r$,
(2) $A$ is $k$-similar to a diagonal block matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ with $\operatorname{det} D>0$,
(3) $A=K G L G^{T}$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{r}$ with $\operatorname{det} L>0$ and $G^{T} G=I_{r}$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow(2)$.
By using Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 3.7 the proof runs as follows.
$A$ is $k$-Kernel symmetric $\Longleftrightarrow K A$ is Kernel symmetric :

$$
\Longleftrightarrow P K A P^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \operatorname{det} E>0
$$

for some permutation matrix $P$ (By Theorem (2.3))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Longleftrightarrow A=K P^{T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P \\
& \Longleftrightarrow A=\left(K P^{T} K\right) K\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P \quad(\text { By P.1) }  \tag{3.10}\\
& \Longleftrightarrow A=K P^{T} K\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} & 0 \\
0 & K_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P \\
& \Longleftrightarrow A=K P^{T} K\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P \\
& \Longleftrightarrow A=K P^{T} K\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $A$ is $k$-similar to a diagonal block matrix $\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, where $D=K_{1} E$ and $\operatorname{det} D>0$.
However, $(2) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =K P^{T} K\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] P \\
& =K\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1}^{T} & P_{3}^{T} \\
P_{2}^{T} & P_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K_{1} & 0 \\
0 & K_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1} & P_{2} \\
P_{3} & P_{4}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =K\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}^{T} \\
P_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right] K_{1} D\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1} & P_{2}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{3.11}\\
& =K G L G^{T}, \quad \text { where } G=\left[\begin{array}{c}
P_{1}^{T} \\
P_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right], G^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1} & P_{2}
\end{array}\right], L=K_{1} D \in \mathcal{F}_{r} \\
G^{T} G & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1} & P_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}^{T} \\
P_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right]=P_{1} P_{1}^{T}+P_{2} P_{2}^{T}=I_{r}, \quad L \in \mathscr{F}_{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the Proof.

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n} \dot{A}$ scalar product of $x$ and $y$ is defined by $x y^{T}=\langle x, y\rangle$. For any subset $S \in \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}, S^{\perp}=\{y:\langle x, y\rangle=0$, for all $x \in S\}$.

Remark 3.10. In particular, when $\kappa(i)=i, K$ reduces to the identity matrix, then Theorem 3.9 reduces to Theorem 2.3. For a complex matrix $A$, it is well known that $N(A)^{\perp}=R\left(A^{*}\right)$, where $N(A)^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $N(A)$. However, this fails for a fuzzy matrix hence $C^{n}=N(A) \oplus R(A)$ this decomposition fails for Kernel fuzzy matrix. Here we shall prove the partial inclusion relation in the following.

Theorem 3.11. For $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$, if $N(A) \neq\{0\}$, then $R\left(A^{T}\right) \subseteq N(A)^{\perp}$ and $R\left(A^{T}\right) \neq \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}$.
Proof. Let $x \neq 0 \in N(A)$, since $x \neq 0, x_{i o} \neq 0$ for atleast one $i_{o}$. Suppose $x_{i} \neq 0$ (say) then under the max-min composition $x A=0$ implies, the $i$ th row of $A=0$, therefore, the $i$ th column of $A^{T}=0$. If $x \in R\left(A^{T}\right)$, then there exists $y \in \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}$ such that $y A^{T}=x$. Since $i$ th column of $A^{T}=0$, it follows that, $i$ th component of $x=0$, that is, $x_{i}=0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $x \notin R\left(A^{T}\right)$ and $R\left(A^{T}\right) \neq \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}$.

For any $z \in R\left(A^{T}\right), z=y A^{T}$ for some $y \in \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}$. For any $x \in N(A), x A=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle x, z\rangle & =x z^{T} \\
& =x\left(y A^{T}\right)^{T}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =x A y^{T} \\
& =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{z} \in N(A)^{\perp}, R\left(A^{T}\right) \subseteq N(A)^{\perp}$.
Remark 3.12. We observe that the converse of Theorem 3.11 needs not be true. That is, if $R\left(A^{T}\right) \neq \mathcal{F}_{1 \times n}$, then $N(A) \neq\{0\}$ and $N(A)^{\perp} \subseteq R\left(A^{T}\right)$ need not be true. These are illustrated in the following Examples.

Example 3.13. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0.6  \tag{3.13}\\
0.5 & 1 & 0 \\
0.5 & 0.3 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

since $A$ has no zero columns, $N(A)=\{0\}$.
For this $A, R\left(A^{T}\right)=\{(x, y, z): 0 \leq x \leq 0.6,0 \leq y \leq 1,0 \leq z \leq 0.5\}$.
Therefore, $R\left(A^{T}\right) \neq \mathcal{F}_{1 \times 3}$.
Example 3.14. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0  \tag{3.14}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

For this $A$,

$$
\begin{align*}
N(\mathrm{~A}) & =\{(0,0, z): z \in \mathcal{F}\}, \\
N(A)^{\perp} & =\{(x, y, 0): x, y \in \mathcal{F}\}, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $R\left(A^{T}\right)=\{(x, y, 0): 0 \leq y \leq x \leq 1\} \neq \mathcal{F}_{1 \times 3}$.
Therefore, for $x>y \in \mathcal{F},(x, y, 0) \in N(A)^{\perp}$ but $(x, y, 0) \notin R\left(A^{T}\right)$.
Therefore, $N(A)^{\perp}$ is not contained in $R\left(A^{T}\right)$.
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