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#### Abstract

We present a useful new characterization of the automorphisms of the regular wreath product group $P$ of a finite cyclic $p$-group by a finite cyclic $p$-group, for any prime $p$, and we discuss an application. We also present a short new proof, based on representation theory, for determining the order of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$, where $P$ is the regular wreath product of a finite cyclic $p$-group by an arbitrary finite $p$-group.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $P$ denote the regular wreath product group $C$ l $Q$, where $Q$ is an arbitrary nontrivial finite $p$-group, for some prime $p$, and where $C$ is an any finite cyclic $p$-group. Thus $P$ is the semidirect product $B \rtimes Q$, where $B$ is a direct product of $|Q|$ copies of $C$, and where $Q$ acts via automorphisms on $B$ by regularly permuting these direct factors.

In [1], Houghton determines some information on the structure of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$. Using this work of Houghton (see also [2, Chapter 5]), it is possible to calculate the order of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$. Our first result in this paper is to present an alternative method for calculating the order of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$. Our approach to this calculation is to apply the Automorphism Counting Formula (established in [3]), a general formula for the order of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ of a monolithic finite group $G$ in terms of information about the complex characters of $G$ and information about how $G$ is embedded as a subgroup of a particular finite general linear group. A finite group is said to be monolithic if and only if it has a unique minimal normal subgroup. Thus a finite $p$-group is monolithic if and only if its center is cyclic. Let $|C|=p^{e}$ and $|Q|=p^{n}$. Throughout this paper we assume that $p^{e n} \geq 3$, which excludes only the case where $p=2$ and $e=n=1$, for which $P$ is dihedral of order 8 .

Theorem 1.1. $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ has order $|\operatorname{Aut}(Q)|(p-1) p^{a}$, where $a=2 e p^{n}-e-1$.
Because the dihedral group of order 8 has an automorphism group of order 8 , the condition $p^{e n} \geq 3$ is a necessary hypothesis for Theorem 1.1.

The next result is a step along the way to proving Theorem 1.1. We mention it here.
Theorem 1.2. Let $q$ be any prime-power larger than 1 such that $p^{e}$ is the full $p$-part of $q-1$. Then the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}\left(p^{n}, q\right)$ has exactly one conjugacy class of subgroups whose members are isomorphic to $P$.

Now suppose that the group $Q$ of order $p^{n}$ is cyclic. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ has order $(p-1) p^{n-1}$, Theorem 1.1 yields $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=(p-1)^{2} p^{2 e p^{n}+n-e-2}$. Using knowledge of $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|$ and little more than an elementary counting argument, we obtain a useful new characterization of the automorphisms of $P$. Before stating this characterization, we establish some notation.

Hypothesis 1.3. Assume that the group $Q$ of order $p^{n}$ is cyclic. Let $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p^{n}-1}$ be a collection of elements of order $p^{e}$ that constitutes a generating set for the homocyclic group $B$ of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $p^{n}$. Let w be a generator for the cyclic group $Q$ and suppose that $\mathrm{x}_{u}^{\mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{x}_{u-1}$ for each $u \in\left\{1, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right\}$ and that $\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}$.

Under Hypothesis 1.3, it is clear that $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}, \mathrm{w}\right\}$ is a generating set for the group $P$, and so every automorphism of $P$ is determined by where it maps these two elements.

Neumann [4] has characterized the regular wreath product groups (including infinite groups) for which the so-called base group is a characteristic subgroup. This general result of Neumann implies that $B$ is always a characteristic subgroup of $P$ for the particular class of wreath product groups $P$ considered in this paper. Nevertheless, in our proof of Theorem 1.1 we present our own brief argument (see Step 7) that $B$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$. From this fact it follows that $[B, P]$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$.

We are now ready to state the main result of this paper.
Theorem A. Assume Hypothesis 1.3. Then the group $B /[B, P]$ is cyclic of order $p^{e}$, and therefore has a unique maximal subgroup which one denotes as $D /[B, P]$, and so $D$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$ that satisfies $|B: D|=p$. Let $\mathcal{\varepsilon}$ denote the set of all elements $g \in P$ of order $p^{n}$ that satisfy the condition $P=\langle B, g\rangle$. Then for each pair of elements $(a, b)$ such that $a \in B-D$ and $b \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists an automorphism of $P$ that maps $x_{p^{n}-1}$ to $a$ and maps w to $b$. Furthermore, every automorphism of $P$ is of this type.

In the notation of Theorem $A$, the information that we have about the subgroup $D$ and the set $\mathcal{\varepsilon}$ makes it clear that every automorphism of $P$ maps the set $B-D$ to itself and maps the set $\mathcal{\varepsilon}$ to itself. It is not difficult to see that the element $x_{p^{n}-1}$ belongs to the set $B-D$ and that the element w belongs to the set $\mathcal{E}$. From this perspective, we might summarize Theorem A as stating that every mapping that could possibly be an automorphism of $P$ actually is an automorphism of $P$.

Theorem A gives us a factorization of $A=\operatorname{Aut}(P)$, namely, $A=\mathbf{C}_{A}(\mathrm{w}) \mathbf{C}_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}_{A}(\mathrm{w}) \cap \mathbf{C}_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$, where $x^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}$. Houghton's main result in [1] is a factorization of $A$, namely, $A=\mathrm{C}_{A}(\mathrm{w}) I \rtimes Q^{*}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{A}(\mathrm{w}) \cap I=1$, where $I$ denotes the group of inner automorphisms of $P$ induced by elements of $B$, and where $Q^{*}$ is the image of the usual embedding of $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ in $A$ (see [2]). In particular $Q^{*} \cong \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$. Since $I \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, these two factorizations are the same if and only if $Q^{*} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. However, $Q^{*}$ permutes the elements
$\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}$ with $x^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}$ lying in a regular orbit, and so $Q^{*} \cap \mathbf{C}_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$. Hence these two factorizations are the same if and only if $Q^{*}=1$, which happens only when $|Q|=2$.

We now discuss an application of Theorem A. In [5] we classify up to isomorphism the nonabelian subgroups of the wreath product group $P=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}\left\langle\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right.$ for an arbitrary prime $p$ and positive integer $e$ such that $p^{e} \geq 3$. In [6] we use the characterization of the elements of $A=\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ that is provided by Theorem A to compute the index $\left|\mathbf{N}_{A}(H): \mathbf{C}_{A}(H)\right|$ for each group $H$ of class 3 or larger appearing in this classification. For each such group $H$, we then observe that this index is equal to the order of the automorphism group Aut $(H)$, from which we deduce that the group $\mathbf{N}_{A}(H) / \mathbf{C}_{A}(H)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$, which says that the full automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ is realized inside the group $A=\operatorname{Aut}(P)$.

In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 4 we prove Theorem A. In Section 2 we discuss some preliminary results used in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of irreducible ordinary characters of a finite group $G$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For each finite group $G$ and prime-power $q$, let mindeg $(G, q)$ denote the smallest positive integer $m$ such that the general linear group $\operatorname{GL}(m, q)$ contains a subgroup that is isomorphic to $G$. Thus mindeg $(G, q)$ is the minimal degree among all the faithful $F$-representations of the group $G$, where $F$ denotes the field with $q$ elements. For any groups $H$ and $G$ such that $H \subseteq G$, we have mindeg $(H, q) \leq \operatorname{mindeg}(G, q)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a monolithic finite group, let $q$ be a prime-power that is relatively prime to the order of $G$, and let $m=\operatorname{mindeg}(G, q)$. We say that the ordered triple $(G, q, m)$ is a monolithic triple in case every faithful irreducible ordinary character of $G$ has degree at least $m$. Assuming that $(G, q, m)$ is a monolithic triple, we define $\mathcal{F}(G, q)$ to be the set of all faithful irreducible ordinary characters of $G$ of degree $m$. We say that the monolithic triple $(G, q, m)$ is good provided that every value of each character belonging to the set $\mathcal{F}(G, q)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of complex $(q-1)$ st roots of unity.

The following is a special case of result that was proved in [3]. We call this result the Automorphism Counting Formula. It is the key to establishing Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(G, q, m)$ be a good monolithic triple. Suppose that $\Gamma=\operatorname{GL}(m, q)$ has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups whose members are isomorphic to $G$. Let $H$ be any subgroup of $\Gamma$ that is isomorphic to $G$. Then $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|(q-1)=|\mathcal{F}(G, q)| \cdot\left|\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}(H)\right|$.

In our proof of Theorem 1.1, the idea is to define a good monolithic triple ( $G, q, m$ ) with $G=P$ that satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2. The conclusion of Theorem 2.2 would then yield $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|$ provided that we know in advance $|\mathcal{F}(G, q)|$ and $\left|\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}(H)\right|$.

Given a monolithic group $G$, in order to define a good monolithic triple ( $G, q, m$ ) we must choose an appropriate prime-power $q$ and then calculate mindeg $(G, q)$. The following result may be used to calculate $\operatorname{mindeg}(G, q)$ for certain groups $G$ and prime-powers $q$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be any finite group containing an abelian $p$-subgroup $B$ of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $r$, where $p$ is a prime. Let $F$ be any field containing a primitive $p^{e}$ th root of unity. If there exists a faithful $F$-representation of $G$ of degree $r$, then $\operatorname{mindeg}(G, F)=r$.

Proof. The hypotheses yield mindeg $(B, F) \leq \operatorname{mindeg}(G, F) \leq r$. It remains to show that $r \leq \operatorname{mindeg}(B, F)$. The hypothesis on $F$ implies that every irreducible $F$-representation of $B$ has degree 1 and that the characteristic of the field $F$ is not $p$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any faithful $F$ representation of $B$, and let $n$ be its degree. By Maschke's theorem, $\mathcal{X}$ is similar to a faithful $F$ representation $y$ consisting of diagonal matrices. Let $E$ be the subgroup of $G L(n, F)$ consisting of all diagonal matrices of order dividing $p^{e}$. Then $y(B) \subseteq E$ while $E$ is homocyclic of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $n$. Since $y$ is faithful, indeed $\mathscr{y}(B)$ is an abelian $p$-group of rank $r$. It follows that $r \leq n$. Therefore mindeg $(B, F) \geq r$, as desired.

One of the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 is that the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(m, q)$ has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups whose members are isomorphic to $G$. The following result (Lemma 4.5 in [3]) is useful for establishing this condition in certain situations.

Lemma 2.4. Let $F$ be a field containing a primitive $p^{e}$ th root of unity, where $p$ is some prime and $e$ is some positive integer. Let $G$ be any finite group containing an abelian normal p-subgroup $B$ of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $r$. Then every faithful $F$-representation of $G$ of degree $r$ is similar to a representation $y$ such that $y(B)$ consists of diagonal matrices and $y(G)$ consists of monomial matrices.

Using Theorem 2.2 to calculate the order of the automorphism group Aut(G) for a given monolithic triple $(G, q, m)$ requires that we know in advance the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{F}(G, q)$ that was defined in Definition 2.1. The following result is helpful for calculating the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{F}(G, q)$ in certain situations.

Lemma 2.5. Let $p$ be a prime and let $P$ be a monolithic finite $p$-group. One defines the set $\mathscr{A}=\{\psi \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}(P) \mid \psi$ is faithful\}. Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer and suppose that every character belonging to the set $\mathcal{A}$ has degree $p^{n}$. Then $|\mathcal{A}|=|P|(p-1) / p^{2 n+1}$.

Proof. We define the set $\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{Irr}(P)-\mathcal{A}$. Let $N$ be the unique minimal normal subgroup of $P$, and note that $\mathcal{B}=\{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(P) \mid N \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \psi\}$. Hence the set $B$ may be identified with the set $\operatorname{Irr}(P / N)$. We have $|N|=p$, and so $|P / N|=|P| / p$. By Corollary 2.7 in [7], along with the fact that $\operatorname{Irr}(P)=\mathcal{A} \cup \mathbb{B}$ is a disjoint union, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P|=\sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{A}} \psi(1)^{2}+\sum_{\psi \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(1)^{2}=|\mathcal{A}| p^{2 n}+\frac{|P|}{p} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $|\mathcal{A}|$, we obtain the desired conclusion.
Using Theorem 2.2 to calculate the order of the automorphism group Aut(G) for a given monolithic triple $(G, q, m)$ requires that we know in advance the order of the normalizer of a certain subgroup $H$ in the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(m, q)$. The following result (which is part of Theorem 4.4 in [3]) is useful for this task in certain situations.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{GL}(m, q)$ where $q>1$ is any prime-power and $m$ is any positive integer. Let $F$ be the field with q elements, let $F_{0}$ be any nontrivial subgroup of the multiplicative group $F^{\times}=F-\{0\}$, and let $E$ be the group of all diagonal matrices in $\Gamma$ having the property that each entry along the diagonal belongs to $F_{0}$. Let $S$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma$ consisting of all permutation matrices, and note that $S \cong \operatorname{Sym}(m)$. Let $T$ be any transitive subgroup of the symmetric group $S$ and let $H=E \rtimes T$. If $E$ is a characteristic subgroup of $H$, then $\left|\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}(H)\right|=\left|\mathbf{N}_{S}(T): T\right| \cdot|H|(q-1) /\left|F_{0}\right|$.

The following rather specialized result will be used in our proof of Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 2.7. Let $p$ be any prime and let $e, n$, and $j$ be positive integers such that $j \leq n$. Then the condition $e p^{n-j}\left(p^{j}-1\right) \leq j$ holds if and only if $p=2$ and $e=n=j=1$.

Proof. First, an easy inductive argument shows that $2^{j}-1>j$ whenever $j \geq 2$. Now suppose that $e p^{n-j}\left(p^{j}-1\right) \leq j$ holds. First we show that $j=1$. Assuming instead that $j \geq 2$, we get $p^{j}-1 \geq 2^{j}-1>j$, forcing $e p^{n-j}\left(p^{j}-1\right)>j$, a contradiction. Hence $j=1$, and so $e p^{n-1}\left(p^{1}-1\right) \leq 1$, which forces each of the positive integers $e, p^{n-1}$, and $p-1$ to be 1 . Therefore $e=n=1$ and $p=2$, as desired. The reverse implication is trivial.

The next two results on permutation groups will be used later in this article.
Lemma 2.8. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be isomorphic transitive subgroups of order $n$ of the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$. Then $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are conjugate subgroups of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$.

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \Omega=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and each $x \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$, let $\alpha \cdot x$ denote the image of $\alpha$ under $x$. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, the maps $f_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by $f_{i}(x)=1 \cdot x$ are bijections. Let $\theta: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be an isomorphism. The composition $y=f_{2} \theta f_{1}^{-1}: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is an element of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$. It suffices to show that $y^{-1} x y=\theta(x)$ for each $x \in H_{1}$. A straightforward calculation (left to the reader) yields $\alpha \cdot y^{-1} x y=\alpha \cdot \theta(x)$ for arbitrary $\alpha \in \Omega$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $H$ be any transitive subgroup of order $n$ in the symmetric group $S=\operatorname{Sym}(n)$. Then the normalizier $\mathbf{N}_{S}(H)$ is isomorphic to the holomorph $H \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(H)$.

The following basic lemma is needed for our proof of Theorem 2.9.
Lemma 2.10. Let $G$ be a group of permutations of a set $\Omega$, let $H$ be a transitive subgroup of $G$, and let $C=C_{G}(H)$. For each $\alpha \in \Omega$, the stabilizer subgroup $C_{\alpha}$ is trivial.

Proof. Let $x \in C_{\alpha}$. To prove that $x=1$, it suffices to show that $\beta \cdot x=\beta$ for arbitrary $\beta \in \Omega$, since $G$ acts faithfully. There exists $h \in H$ such that $\alpha \cdot h=\beta$. Since $x \in C$, we have $h x=x h$, and so $\beta \cdot x=(\alpha \cdot h) \cdot x=\alpha \cdot(h x)=\alpha \cdot(x h)=(\alpha \cdot x) \cdot h=\alpha \cdot h=\beta$.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let $G$ be a group that is isomorphic to $H$. Let $V=G \rtimes A$ where $A=$ Aut $(G)$. First we identify a subgroup $D$ of $V$ that is isomorphic to $G$ and that centralizes $G$. The rule $x \mapsto \varphi_{x} x^{-1}$ defines an injective homomorphism $\theta: G \rightarrow V$, where $\varphi_{x} \in A$ is the inner automorphism induced by $x$. Let $D=\theta(G)$. For $x, y \in G$, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x)^{-1} y \theta(x)=\left(x \varphi_{x}^{-1}\right) y\left(\varphi_{x} x^{-1}\right)=x\left(\varphi_{x}^{-1} y \varphi_{x}\right) x^{-1}=x\left(x^{-1} y x\right) x^{-1}=y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we embed $V$ as a subgroup of $S$ in such a way that $G$ becomes a transitive (in fact regular) subgroup of $S$. Since $\operatorname{core}_{V}(A)=1$, the action of $V$ on the set $\Omega$ consisting of the right cosets of $A$ in $V$ is faithful. We now argue that the action of $G$ on $\Omega$ is regular. Since $|G|=|\Omega|$, it suffices to show that each nonidentity element of $G$ fixes no element of $\Omega$. Let $x \in G$ and $A v \in \Omega$ such that $x$ fixes $A v$. Thus $A v x=A v$ and so $v x v^{-1} \in A$. Since $x \in G \triangleleft V$, we obtain $v x v^{-1} \in A \cap G=1$, and so $x=1$, as desired. Now label the members of $\Omega$ as the numbers $1,2, \ldots, n$. In this way we regard $V$ as a subgroup of $S$.

Since $H$ and $G$ are isomorphic transitive subgroups of order $n$ in $S$, by Lemma 2.8 we may complete the proof by showing that $\mathbf{N}_{S}(G)=V$. Write $C=\mathbf{C}_{S}(G)$. Lemma 2.10 implies that every orbit in the action of $C$ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ has size $|C|$. Hence $|C|$ divides $n=|G|=|D|$. But since $D$ centralizes $G$, we have $D \subseteq C$. It follows that $D=C$.

Write $N=\mathbf{N}_{S}(G)$. By the $N$-Mod-C Theorem, the integer $|N| /|C|$ divides $|A|$, which says that $|N|$ divides $|C| \cdot|A|$. Recalling that $|C|=|D|=|G|$, this says that $|N|$ divides $|G| \cdot|A|=$ $|V|$. But since $G \triangleleft V \subseteq S$, we have $V \subseteq N$. It follows that $V=N$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\left\{x_{u} \mid u \in Q\right\}$ be a collection of elements of order $p^{e}$ that constitutes a generating set for the homocyclic group $B$ of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $|Q|=p^{n}$. We now define an action of the group $Q$ on the set $\left\{x_{u} \mid u \in Q\right\}$. For each pair $u, v \in Q$, we let $x_{u}^{v}=x_{u v}$, where the product $u v$ is computed in $Q$. This action naturally gives rise to an action of $Q$ via automorphisms on the group $B$. Let $P=B \rtimes Q$ denote the semidirect product group corresponding to this action. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set consisting of all functions from $Q$ into the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}$. For each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we define the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(f)=\prod_{u \in Q} \mathrm{x}_{u}^{f(u)} \in B \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each element of $B$ has the form $x(f)$ for some unique function $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We define the element $z \in B$ of order $p^{e}$ by letting $z$ denote the product of all the elements $x_{u}$ for $u \in Q$.

Step 1. For each subgroup $L$ of $Q$, the centralizer $\mathbf{C}_{B}(L)$ is equal to the set of all elements $x(f)$ such that the function $f \in \mathscr{F}$ is constant on each of the left cosets of $L$ in $Q$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a transversal for the left cosets of $L$ in $Q$. For each $t \in T$, observe that the set $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{u} \mid u \in t L\right\}$ is an orbit in the action of $L$ on the set of generators $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{u} \mid u \in Q\right\}$ for $B$.

Step 2. The group $P$ is monolithic, and its center is the cyclic group $\langle z\rangle$ of order $p^{e}$.
Proof. Since $B$ is abelian and the action of $Q$ via automorphisms on $B$ is faithful, the center of $P=B \rtimes Q$ is $\mathrm{C}_{B}(Q)$. By Step $1, \mathrm{C}_{B}(Q)$ is the cyclic group generated by the element $z$. Finally, since $P$ is a $p$-group whose center is cyclic, $P$ is indeed monolithic.

Following standard notation (see [7]), we define the inertia subgroup of any character $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ as the subgroup $\mathbf{I}_{P}(\theta)=\left\{x \in P \mid \theta^{x}=\theta\right\}$.

Step 3. For each character $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{P}(\theta)>B$, every irreducible constituent of the induced character $\theta^{P}$ is not faithful.

Proof. For each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathscr{F}$ we define the dot product $f \cdot g$ to be the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \cdot g=\sum_{u \in Q} f(u) g(u) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\epsilon$ be any primitive complex $p^{e}$ th root of unity. For each function $g \in \mathcal{F}$, we define the character $\varphi_{g} \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ by $\varphi_{g}(x(f))=\epsilon^{f \cdot g}$ for every function $f \in \mathcal{F}$. It is clear that every irreducible ordinary character of $B$ is of the form $\varphi_{g}$ for some function $g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{P}(\theta)>B$. Since $\operatorname{ker} \theta^{P}$ is equal to the intersection of the kernels of the irreducible constituents of $\theta^{P}$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{ker} \theta^{P}>1$. Because $P=B \rtimes Q$, we have $\mathbf{I}_{P}(\theta)=B \rtimes L$ for some nontrivial subgroup $L$ of $Q$. Let $T$ be any transversal for the left cosets of $L$ in $Q$. Since $1<L \subseteq Q$, the prime $p$ divides $|L|$. Since $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$, we have $\theta=\varphi_{g}$ for some function $g \in \mathscr{F}$. Because the character $\theta$ is $L$-invariant, the function $g$ must be constant on each left coset of $L$ in $Q$. This says that for each $t \in T$, there exists a value $c_{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}$ such that $g(u)=c_{t}$ for each element $u \in t L$.

By Step $2,\left\langle z^{p^{e-1}}\right\rangle$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $P$. Note that $z^{p-1}=x(f)$ for the constant function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ defined as $f(u)=p^{e-1}$ for $u \in Q$. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(z^{p^{e-1}}\right)=\epsilon^{f \cdot g} \quad \text { where } f \cdot g=\sum_{u \in Q} f(u) g(u)=\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{u \in t L} f(u) g(u) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $t \in T$, using the fact that $|t L|=|L|$ is divisible by $p$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in t L} f(u) g(u)=\sum_{u \in t L} p^{e-1} c_{t}=|L| p^{e-1} c_{t}=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $f \cdot g=0$, which yields $z^{p^{e-1}}=\mathrm{x}(f) \in \operatorname{ker} \theta$. Hence $\left\langle z^{p^{e-1}}\right\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \theta$. Using $\operatorname{ker} \theta^{P}=\operatorname{core}_{P}(\operatorname{ker} \theta)$ and $1<\left\langle z^{p^{e-1}}\right\rangle \triangleleft P$, we obtain $1<\left\langle z^{p^{e-1}}\right\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \theta^{P}$, as desired.

We define the set $\mathcal{A}=\{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(P) \mid \psi$ is faithful $\}$.
Step 4. For each character $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $x(1)=p^{n}$, and for each element $x \in P$ the value $X(x)$ is a sum of complex $p^{e}$ th roots of unity. Furthermore $|\mathcal{A}|=(p-1)|P| / p^{2 n+1}$.

Proof. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary and let $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ be any irreducible constituent of the restriction $X_{B}$. Hence $\mathcal{X}$ is an irreducible constituent of the induced character $\theta^{P}$. Since $B \subseteq \mathbf{I}_{P}(\theta)$ and since $\mathcal{X}$ is faithful, Step 3 yields $\mathbf{I}_{P}(\theta)=B$. By the Clifford Correspondence [7, Theorem 6.11], it follows that $\theta^{P}$ is irreducible, and so $\chi=\theta^{P}$. Since $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ while $B$ is abelian, we have $\theta(1)=1$. Therefore $x(1)=\theta^{P}(1)=|P: B| \theta(1)=|Q|=p^{n}$.

Since $\chi=\theta^{P}$ with $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ and $B \triangleleft P$, the character $\chi$ vanishes off $B$. Furthermore, because $B$ is an abelian $p$-group of exponent $p^{e}$, every value of $\theta$ is a complex $p^{e}$ th root of unity. By Theorem 6.2 in [7], the restriction $X_{B}$ is a sum of conjugates of $\theta$ in $P$. Hence for each element $x \in B$, the value $X(x)$ is a sum of complex $p^{e}$ th roots of unity.

Finally, Lemma 2.5 yields $|\mathcal{A}|=|P|(p-1) / p^{2 n+1}$, as desired.
Let $q>1$ be any prime-power such that $p^{e}$ is the full $p$-part of $q-1$. Let $\Gamma=\mathrm{GL}\left(p^{n}, F\right)$ where $F$ is the field with $q$ elements. Let $D, S$, and $M$ denote the subgroups of $\Gamma$ consisting of all diagonal matrices, permutation matrices, and monomial matrices, respectively. Note that $M=D \rtimes S$ and that $S$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree $p^{n}$. Let $E$ denote the subgroup of $\Gamma$ consisting of all diagonal matrices of order dividing $p^{e}$. Thus $E$ is homocyclic of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $p^{n}$. Note that $E$ is the unique Sylow $p$-subgroup of the abelian group $D$, and that $E$ is a separator subgroup of $\Gamma$.

We will now define a faithful representation $\mathcal{z}: P \rightarrow \Gamma$. Recall that $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{u} \mid u \in Q\right\}$ is a collection of elements of order $p^{e}$ that constitutes a generating set for the homocyclic group $B$ of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $|Q|=p^{n}$. We index the rows and the columns of the matrices in $\Gamma$ by the elements of the group $Q$. We choose an arbitrary element $\omega$ of order $p^{e}$ in the cyclic multiplicative group of nonzero elements in the field $F$. For each $u \in Q$, we define $\mathcal{Z}\left(x_{u}\right)$ to be the diagonal matrix in $\Gamma$ whose $(u, u)$-entry is $\omega$, and each of whose other diagonal entries is 1 . Thus $\mathcal{Z}(B)=E$ consists of diagonal matrices. We define $\left.\mathcal{Z}\right|_{Q}: Q \rightarrow \Gamma$ to be the right regular representation of the group $Q$. Thus $\mathfrak{Z}(Q)$ consists of permutation matrices and is a regular subgroup of the symmetric group $S$. The action of $Q$ by conjugation on $B$ inside the group $P$ is similar to the action of $\mathcal{Z}(Q)$ by conjugation on $\mathcal{Z}(B)$ inside the group $\Gamma$. Thus, since $P=Q B$ and $B \cap Q=1$, we have a faithful representation z: $P \rightarrow \Gamma$ whose image $\mathfrak{z}(P)=\mathfrak{z}(Q) \nsucceq(B)$ is a subgroup of $S E$.

Step 5. mindeg $(P, F)=p^{n}$.
Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a faithful $F$-representation of $P$ of degree $p^{n}$; use Lemma 2.3.
The next step establishes Theorem 1.2.
Step 6. Every faithful $F$-representation of $P$ of degree $p^{n}$ is similar to 疋.
Proof. By Lemma 2.4, every faithful $F$-representation of $P$ of degree $p^{n}$ is similar to a faithful $F$-representation $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(B) \subseteq D$ and $\mathcal{X}(P) \subseteq M$. Since $E$ is the unique Sylow $p$ subgroup of $D$, indeed $\mathcal{X}(B) \subseteq E$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is faithful, the $p$-groups $\mathcal{X}(B)$ and $E$ are homocyclic of exponent $p^{e}$ and of rank $p^{n}$. It follows that $\mathcal{X}(B)=E$. That $E$ is the unique Sylow $p$ subgroup of $D$ yields $E \triangleleft \mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}(D)$. Satz II.7.2(a) in [8] yields $\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}(D)=M$, so $E \triangleleft M=D S$. Let $R$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S$. Thus $E R$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $M$. Since $\mathcal{X}(P)$ is a $p$-subgroup of $M$, Sylow's theorem asserts that $X$ is similar (by a matrix in $M$ ) to a representation $y$ such that $y(P) \subseteq E R$. We have $y(B)=E$, since $E \triangleleft M$. Thus $y(P) / E$ and $\mathfrak{z}(P) / E$ are regular subgroups of the symmetric group $E S / E \cong \operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{n}\right)$, and are both isomorphic to $Q$. By Lemma 2.8, conjugation by some element of $E S / E$ maps $y(P) / E$ to $\mathcal{Z}(P) / E$. Conjugation by the unique preimage of this element under the natural isomorphism $S \rightarrow E S / E$ maps $y(P)$ to $\mathfrak{z}(P)$. Hence $y$ is similar to $\mathcal{Z}$.

Step 7. B is a characteristic subgroup of $P$.
Proof. We argue that $B$ is the only abelian normal subgroup of index $p^{n}$ in $P$. Let $A$ be an abelian normal subgroup of $P$ such that $|P: A|=p^{n}$ and $A \neq B$. Write $|A B: B|=p^{j}$ with $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $L=A B \cap Q$. We now argue that $A B=B \rtimes L$. Since $L \subseteq Q$ while $B \cap Q=1$, we have $B \cap L=1$. Because $B \subseteq A B$, Dedekind's lemma yields $B L=A B \cap B Q=A B \cap P=A B$, and so $A B=B \rtimes L$. From this we obtain $|L|=|A B: B|=p^{j}$. Since $A$ and $B$ are abelian, we have $A \cap B \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(A B)$. It follows that $A \cap B \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{B}(L) \subseteq B$ and $\left|B: \mathbf{C}_{B}(L)\right| \leq|B: A \cap B|=p^{j}$. By Step 1, we have $\left|\mathrm{C}_{B}(L)\right|=\left(p^{e}\right)^{|Q: L|}=p^{e p^{n-j}}$. Since $|B|=p^{e p^{n}}$, it follows that $\left|B: \mathbf{C}_{B}(L)\right|=p^{e p^{n-j}\left(p^{j}-1\right)}$. Thus $e p^{n-j}\left(p^{j}-1\right) \leq j$. By Lemma 2.7, this contradicts the hypothesis $p^{e n} \geq 3$.

Step 8. The normalizer $\mathbf{N}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{Z}(P))$ has order $(q-1)|P| \cdot|\operatorname{Aut}(Q)| / p^{e}$.
Proof. Using $P=B \rtimes Q$ and $E=\not \approx(B)$, we obtain $\not \approx(P)=E \rtimes z(Q)$. By Step 7 and the fact that $\mathfrak{z}$ is faithful, $E=\mathfrak{z}(B)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\mathfrak{Z}(P)$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}(Q)$ is a regular subgroup of the symmetric group $S$ and since $\mathfrak{Z}(Q) \cong Q$, Theorem 2.9 implies that the normalizer
$\mathbf{N}_{S}(\mathcal{Z}(Q))$ is isomorphic to the holomorph of $Q$. Therefore $\left|\mathbf{N}_{S}(\mathcal{Z}(Q)): \mathcal{Z}(Q)\right|=|\operatorname{Aut}(Q)|$. The statement now follows from Theorem 2.6.

Step 9. $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=(p-1)|\operatorname{Aut}(Q)| p^{2 e p^{n}-e-1}$.
Proof. By Steps 2, 4, and $5,\left(P, q, p^{n}\right)$ is a good monolithic triple and $\mathcal{F}(P, q)=\mathcal{A}$. Thus Step 4 yields $|\mathcal{F}(P, q)|=(p-1)|P| / p^{2 n+1}$. By Step 6, $\mathcal{Z}(P)$ belongs to the unique conjugacy class of subgroups of $\Gamma$ whose members are isomorphic to $P$. In view of Step 8, Theorem 2.2 yields $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=(p-1)|\operatorname{Aut}(Q)| \cdot|P|^{2} / p^{e+2 n+1}$ where $|P|=p^{e p^{n}+n}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{A}$

Assume Hypothesis 1.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of all functions from the set $\mathcal{U}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right\}$ into the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}$. For each function $f \in \mathscr{F}$, we define the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(f)=x_{0}^{f(0)} x_{1}^{f(1)} \cdots x_{p^{n}-1}^{f\left(p^{n}-1\right)} \in B \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each element of $B$ has the form $x(f)$ for some unique $f \in \mathcal{F}$. The mapping $\varphi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}$ defined by $\varphi(x(f))=f(0)+f(1)+\cdots+f\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ is a surjective homomorphism. Hence $B / \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is cyclic of order $p^{e}$. To establish Theorem A, our first task is to prove that $B /[B, P]$ is cyclic of order $p^{e}$. For this it suffices to show that $[B, P]=\operatorname{ker} \varphi$.

Lemma 4.1. For each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the commutator element $[x(f), \mathrm{w}]$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{f(1)-f(0)} x_{1}^{f(2)-f(1)} \cdots x_{p^{n}-2}^{f\left(p^{n}-1\right)-f\left(p^{n}-2\right)} x_{p^{n}-1}^{f(0)-f\left(p^{n}-1\right)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $[\mathrm{x}(f), \mathrm{w}]=\mathrm{x}(f)^{-1} \mathrm{x}(f)^{\mathrm{w}}$. Conjugating $\mathrm{x}(f)$ by w , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x}(f)^{\mathrm{w}} & =\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\mathrm{W}}\right)^{f(0)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{W}}\right)^{f(1)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}^{\mathrm{W}}\right)^{f(2)} \cdots\left(\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}^{\mathrm{W}}\right)^{f\left(p^{n}-1\right)}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}^{f(0)} \mathrm{x}_{0}^{f(1)} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{f(2)} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-2}^{f\left(p^{n}-1\right)}=\mathrm{x}_{0}^{f(1)} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{f(2)} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-2}^{f\left(p^{n}-1\right)} \mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}^{f(0)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x(f)^{-1}=x_{0}^{-f(0)} x_{1}^{-f(1)} \cdots x_{p^{n}-2}^{-f\left(p^{n}-2\right)} x_{p^{n}-1}^{-f\left(p^{n}-1\right)}$, the result follows.
Theorem 4.2. $[B, P]=\operatorname{ker} \varphi$.
Proof. Let $[B, \mathrm{w}]$ denote the subgroup of $P$ that is generated by all elements of the form $[b, \mathrm{w}]$ with $b \in B$. Using $Q=\langle w\rangle$, we can show that $[B, w]=[B, Q]$. Since $P=B Q$ while $B$ is abelian, it is clear that $[B, Q]=[B, P]$. Hence it suffices to show that $[B, \mathrm{w}]=\operatorname{ker} \varphi$.

To show that $[B, \mathrm{w}] \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \varphi$, we must verify that $[\mathrm{x}(f), \mathrm{w}] \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, but this is obvious by Lemma 4.1. Next we argue that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi \subseteq[B, \mathrm{w}]$. An arbitrary element of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ has the form $x(g)$ for some function $g \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $g(0)+g(1)+\cdots+g\left(p^{n}-1\right)=0$. To establish that $\mathrm{x}(g) \in[B, \mathrm{w}]$, we will now define a particular function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $[\mathrm{x}(f), \mathrm{w}]=\mathrm{x}(g)$. Let $f(0)=0$, and for each $u \in\left\{1, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right\}$ let $f(u)=g(0)+g(1)+\cdots+g(u-1)$. It follows
that for each $u \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{n}-2\right\}$ we have $f(u+1)-f(u)=g(u)$. Furthermore, using the condition $g(0)+g(1)+\cdots+g\left(p^{n}-1\right)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)-f\left(p^{n}-1\right)=0-\sum_{v=0}^{p^{n}-2} g(v)=g\left(p^{n}-1\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, we deduce that $[x(f), w]=x(g)$. Therefore $x(g) \in[B, w]$, as desired.
The cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}$ has a unique subgroup of index $p$, namely, $p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}=\left\{p a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}\right\}$. Let $D$ be the group consisting of all those elements $x(f)$ in $B$ such that $\varphi(x(f)) \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e}}$. It is clear that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi \subseteq D \subseteq B$ and $|B: D|=p$.

Corollary 4.3. $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ and $D$ are characteristic subgroups of $P$.
Proof. By Step 7 in the proof of Theorem 1.1, $B$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$. It follows that $[B, P]$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$. By Theorem 4.2, we deduce that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$. Since $B / \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is cyclic, $D$ is the only subgroup of $P$ that satisfies the conditions $\operatorname{ker} \varphi \subseteq D \subseteq B$ and $|B: D|=p$. Because $B$ and ker $\varphi$ are characteristic subgroups of $P$, it follows that $D$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$.

For the next result, we need a formula (due to Philip Hall) for raising the product of two group elements to an arbitrary positive integer power. For any positive integer $n$ and any elements $a$ and $b$ belonging to some group, the element $(a b)^{n}$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{n}\left(a^{-(n-1)} b a^{(n-1)}\right)\left(a^{-(n-2)} b a^{(n-2)}\right) \cdots\left(a^{-2} b a^{2}\right)\left(a^{-1} b a^{1}\right) b \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This says that $(a b)^{n}=a^{n} b^{a^{n-1}} b^{a^{n-2}} \cdots b^{a^{2}} b^{a^{1}} b$. Furthermore, in case all the conjugates of $b$ by powers of $a$ commute with each other (which is automatically true if $b$ is contained in an abelian normal subgroup of any group containing $a$ and $b$ ), this formula becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a b)^{n}=a^{n} b b^{a^{1}} b^{a^{2}} \cdots b^{a^{n-2}} b^{a^{n-1}}=a^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{n} b^{a^{j}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4. The set $\varepsilon$ has cardinality $(p-1) p^{e p^{n}-e+n-1}$.
Proof. Each element $g$ of the group $P=B \rtimes Q$ has the form $g=w^{m} x(f)$ for a unique integer $m \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right\}$ and a unique function $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We will argue that $g \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $x(f) \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ while $p$ does not divide $m$. From this it will follow that, to construct an element $g \in \mathcal{E}$, there are $(p-1) p^{n-1}$ choices for $m$ and $|\operatorname{ker} \varphi|=p^{e p^{n}-e}$ choices for $f$.

Because $P / B$ is cyclic of order $p^{n}$, the condition $\langle B, g\rangle=P$ holds if and only if the coset $g B=\mathrm{w}^{m} \mathrm{x}(f) B=\mathrm{w}^{m} B$ has order $p^{n}$ as an element of $P / B$. Since the subgroups $Q=\langle\mathrm{w}\rangle$ and $B$ intersect trivially, the coset $\mathrm{w}^{m} B$ has order $p^{n}$ if and only if the element $\mathrm{w}^{m}$ has order $p^{n}$. Recalling that the element w has order $p^{n}$, we see that the element $\mathrm{w}^{m}$ has order $p^{n}$ if and only if $p$ does not divide $m$. Therefore the condition $\langle B, g\rangle=P$ holds if and only if $p$ does not divide $m$.

Also because $P / B$ is cyclic of order $p^{n}$, the condition $\langle B, g\rangle=P$ implies that the order of the element $g$ is divisible by $p^{n}$. Henceforth we suppose that $p$ does not divide $m$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $g^{p^{n}}=1$ if and only if $x(f) \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$.

Write $y=\mathrm{w}^{m}$. Thus $g=y x(f)$. Using Philip Hall's formula for raising the product of two elements to a power, along with the fact that $y^{p^{n}}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{p^{n}} & =\prod_{j=0}^{p^{n}-1} \mathrm{x}(f)^{y^{j}}=\prod_{j=0}^{p^{n}-1}\left[\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathrm{x}_{u}^{f(u)}\right]^{y^{j}} \\
& =\prod_{j=0}^{p^{n}-1}\left[\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{u}^{y^{j}}\right)^{f(u)}\right]  \tag{4.7}\\
& =\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}}\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p^{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{u}^{y^{j}}\right)\right]^{f(u)} .
\end{align*}
$$

We define the element $z=x_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{p^{n}-1} \in B$ of order $p^{e}$. Conjugation by w cyclically permutes the elements $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}$. Since $p$ does not divide $m$, conjugation by $y=\mathrm{w}^{m}$ cyclically permutes the elements $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p^{n}-1}$ in some order. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=0}^{p^{n}-1}\left(x_{u}^{y^{j}}\right)=z \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From our work above, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{p^{n}}=\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} z^{f(u)}=z^{s}, \quad \text { where } s=\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} f(u)=\varphi(x(f)) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that the element $z$ has order $p^{e}$, we deduce that $g^{p^{n}}=1$ if and only if $x(f) \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$.

We will now complete the proof of Theorem $A$. Since $D \subseteq B$ while $D$ and $B$ are characteristic subgroups of $P$, every automorphism of $P$ maps the set $B-D$ to itself. Because $x_{p^{n}-1} \in B$ and $\varphi\left(x_{p^{n}-1}\right)=1$, we have $x_{p^{n}-1} \in B-D$. Since $B$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$, every automorphism of $P$ maps the set $\varepsilon$ to itself. Note that $w \in \mathcal{\varepsilon}$. Thus for each automorphism $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$, we have $x_{p^{n}-1}^{\sigma} \in B-D$ and $w^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set consisting of all ordered pairs $(a, b)$ such that $a \in B-D$ and $b \in \mathcal{E}$. We now define the mapping $\Psi: \operatorname{Aut}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ as follows. For each automorphism $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ we let $\Psi(\sigma)=\left(\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}^{\sigma}, \mathrm{w}^{\sigma}\right)$. By the last sentence of the preceding paragraph, the mapping $\Psi$ is well defined. Since $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{p^{n}-1}, \mathrm{w}\right\}$ is a generating set for the group $P$, every automorphism of $P$ is determined by where it maps the two elements $x_{p^{n}-1}$ and $w$, and so the mapping $\Psi$ is injective. We now argue that $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=|\mathcal{S}|$, an equality that would force the mapping $\Psi$ to be a bijection, thereby completing the proof of Theorem A.

Using $|B: D|=p$ and $|B|=p^{e p^{n}}$, we obtain $|B-D|=(p-1) p^{e p^{n}-1}$. It is clear that $|\mathcal{S}|=|B-D| \cdot|\mathcal{\varepsilon}|$, and so by Lemma 4.4 we deduce that $|\mathcal{S}|=(p-1)^{2} p^{2 e p^{n}+n-e-2}$. On the other hand, in the Introduction we calculated that $|\operatorname{Aut}(P)|=(p-1)^{2} p^{2 e p^{n}+n-e-2}$.
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